

From: [Sreenivas, V](#)
To: ["david.heacock@dom.com"](mailto:david.heacock@dom.com)
Cc: ["Tom Shaub"](#); [Cotton, Karen](#); [Pascarelli, Robert](#); [Panicker, Mathew](#); ["Gary D Miller"](#)
Subject: RE: ACCEPTANCE REVIEW -NORTH ANNA POWER STATION, UNITS 1 AND 2 (NAPS), AND SURRY POWER STATION, UNITS 1 AND 2 (SURRY) ADDITION OF AN ANALYTICAL METHODOLOGY TO THE CORE OPERATING LIMITS REPORTS AND AN INCREASE TO THE MINIMUM TEMPERATURE FOR CRITICALITY
Date: Wednesday, July 24, 2013 11:55:00 AM

Corrected Subject line and TAC Numbers for North Anna and Surry Plants:

By letter dated June 26, 2013, Virginia Electric and Power Company (Dominion) submitted a request for amendment to the Technical Specifications (TS) for North Anna Power Station (NAPS) Units 1 and 2 and Surry Power Station (SPS) Units 1 and 2. The proposed license amendment requests the approval of (1) generic application of Appendix D, "Qualification of the ABB-NV and WLOP Critical Heat Flux (CHF) Correlations in the Dominion VIPRE-D Computer Code," to Fleet Report DOM-NAF-2-A, "Reactor Core Thermal-Hydraulics Using the VIPRE-D Computer Code," (2) the plant specific application of Appendix D to DOM-NAF-2-A to North Anna and Surry Power Stations (in accordance with Section 2.1 of DOM-NAF-2-A), and (3) an increase in the Surry Power Station TS Minimum Temperature for Criticality. The purpose of this e-mail is to provide the results of the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) staff's acceptance review of this proposed amendment. The acceptance review was performed to determine if there is sufficient technical information in scope and depth to allow the NRC staff to complete its detailed technical review. The acceptance review is also intended to identify whether the application has any readily apparent information insufficiencies in its characterization of the regulatory requirements or the licensing basis of the plants.

The NRC staff has reviewed your application and concluded that it does provide technical information in sufficient detail to enable the NRC staff to complete its detailed technical review and make an independent assessment regarding the acceptability of the proposed amendment request in terms of regulatory requirements and the protection of public health and safety and the environment. Given the lesser scope and depth of the acceptance review as compared to the detailed technical review, there may be instances in which issues that impact the NRC staff's ability to complete the detailed technical review are identified despite completion of an adequate acceptance review. If additional information is needed, you will be advised by separate correspondence.

Reference: LICENSE NOS DPR-32/37, NPF-4/7 DOCKET NOS 50-280/281, 50-338/339 (TAC NOS MF2364, MF2365, MF2366, MF2367)

If you have any questions, please contact me at 301-415-2597.

[V. Sreenivas, PH.D., C.P.M.,](#)
[Project Manager, LPL2-1,](#)
[Division of Operating Reactor Licensing](#)
[Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation](#)