

Appendix E

Contents

HRQ-FWLA-001	E3
HRQ-FWLA-002	

Data Verification Summary

Data Verification Title: <u>Cherokee Nuclear Station Final Foundation Field Maps and Index</u> <u>Map</u>

ID Number: <u>HRQ-FWLA-001</u>

Date of Qualification Review Team Meeting: November 12, 2010

Quality Review Team:

Name	Sign (Initials)	Organization	Qualifications
Jim Cassidy	JRC 1 Der 2010	Duke Energy	QA/QC Verification
John McConaghy	JMM JONIO	Duke Energy	Engineering
Juan Vizcaya	N	Enercon Services Inc.	Engineering
Malcolm Schaeffer	MFS	HDR/DTA	Former Duke Power Project Geologist
Michael Gray	MGG	FWLA	Project Principal Geologist
Adam Wade	AMU	FWLA	Project Geologist

Data / Evidence Considered During the Reviews:

The contents of the files outlined in attachment 2 were reviewed for applicability and completeness.

Critical Attributes Considered During the Reviews:

Files comprise the hand drawn field maps, including index map, documentingobserved foundation level mapping conducted at former Cherokee Nuclear Station(CNS) Unit 1 and portions of CNS Unit 2.

Basis for Qualification / Non-Qualification:

The data is qualified to use in WLS evaluations to document final foundation geology beneath former CNS units 1 and 2. The information documented in these files was acquired, prepared, and verified using the applicable specifications and Duke QA procedures governing at the time of gathering and preparation. The CNS final foundation field geologic maps, including index map, may be used in corroboration of information. These field maps will be used as inputs to develop a final foundation map record to support geologic evaluations as part of WLS COLA.

Is the data considered Nuclear Safety Related QA Qualified? <u>Yes</u> (Yes / No)

Recommendations for Additional Qualification Activities:

None

Dissenting conclusions or comments: document the dissenting view as follows:	If the team reaches concensus	s, enter "No	ne" here. Otherwise,
Dissenting Statement:	None		
Signature and Date:			
I hereby certify this Data Verification Quality Review Team Lead: <u></u>	n Package is complete:	(Sign)	Date: <u>12/02/2010</u>
I approve this Data Verification Pack	kage for the usage ident	ified abov	ve:

Approved By: <u>B2 Mayor & R.L. Morgan, 3r</u> (Sign) Date: <u>12/02/2010</u>

(Duke Project Manager or designee)

Data Verification Planning Form

Data Verification Title:Cherokee Nuclear StationID Number:HRQ-FWLA-001Final Foundation Maps and Index Map

Scope of Historical Data Requiring Review:

Sixty (60) hand drawn field geologic maps at 1"=10' scale of final excavated

surface of the Cherokee Nuclear Station (CNS), and one (1) hand drawn index map. Mapping performed during CNS construction from 1977 to 1982. Attachment 1 summarizes the

individual field maps to be qualified. Attachment 2 contains .pdf copies of hand drawn

map panels and index map. Attachment 3 contains a copy of the records transmittal.

Attachment 4 contains a copy of the written mapping procedures and addendum for the final excavation mapping program.

Purpose / Applicability of

Data:

These field maps will be used as inputs to develop a final foundation map record to support geologic evaluations as part of WLS COLA.

Methods of Verification (X):

Peer Review _____ Data Corroboration X Confirmatory Testing _____

Rationale:

and procedures by Duke Power Company, now Duke-Energy. They represent an in-process product with status as of the time work on the Cherokee project was stopped. A copy of the Duke Power mapping procedure and addendum is provided in Attachment 4. Current-era work will compile information from these field maps to support licensing and construction of Lee Nuclear Station Need for Data Qualification Affirmed By: <u>Michael Gray</u> Date: 5/19/2010 FWLA Project Manager (or designee)	The field maps generated for this project were produced using approved standards			
product with status as of the time work on the Cherokee project was stopped. A copy of the Duke Power mapping procedure and addendum is provided in Attachment 4. Current-era work will compile information from these field maps to support licensing and construction of Lee Nuclear Station Need for Data Qualification Affirmed By: Michael Gray 5/19/2010 FWLA Project Manager (or designee) Required Organizations for	and procedures by Duke Power Company, now Duke-Energy. They represent an in-process			
Duke Power mapping procedure and addendum is provided in Attachment 4. Current-era work will compile information from these field maps to support licensing and construction of Lee Nuclear Station Need for Data Qualification Affirmed By: Michael Gray FWLA Project Manager (or designee) Required Organizations for	product with status as of the time work	on the Cherokee project was stopped. A	copy of the	
work will compile information from these field maps to support licensing and construction of Lee Nuclear Station Need for Data Qualification Affirmed By: Michael Gray FWLA Project Manager (or designee) Required Organizations for	Duke Power mapping procedure and ad	dendum is provided in Attachment 4. C	urrent-era	
construction of Lee Nuclear Station Need for Data Qualification Affirmed By: Michael Gray Date: 5/19/2010 FWLA Project Manager (or designee) Required Organizations for	work will compile information from the	ese field maps to support licensing and		
Need for Data Qualification Michael Gray Date: 5/19/2010 Affirmed By: FWLA Project Manager (or designee) Date: 5/19/2010 Required Organizations for Value Value	construction of Lee Nuclear Station			
Affirmed By: Michael Gray Date: 5/19/2010 FWLA Project Manager (or designee) Pate: 5/19/2010 Required Organizations for Value	Need for Data Qualification			
FWLA Project Manager (or designee) Required Organizations for	Affirmed By:	Michael Gray	Date: 5/19/2010	
Required Organizations for		FWLA Project Manager (or designee)		
Required Organizations for				
	Required Organizations for			
Verification:				
FWLA with support from Duke-Energy and Enercon				
1 $1 $ $1 $ $1 $ $1 $ $1 $ $1 $ 1	11			

Duke Approval of Scope and Methods

Used:

Roman & RL Morgan, J Date: 12/02/2010

Duke Project Manager (or designee)

Attachments:

Attachment 1 Listing of Field Maps to be Qualified Attachment 2 CNS Final Foundation Field Maps and Index Map Attachment 3 Record Transmittals Attachment 4 Duke Power Company Mapping Procedures and Addendum

PR No. DUK-001-PR-01 **Revision 0** Page E5 of E160



Attachment 1 - Listing of Field Maps to be Qualified

PR No. DUK-001-PR-01 Revision 0 Page E6 of E160

Duke Energy Records Designation		CNS Records Designation	CNS Map Phase				
Folder Name	File Name/ Map Panel #	Date of Transmittal	Rescanned Map Panel File Name	Date of Transmittal	Map Panel Designation	Phase II map 1"=10'	Inset map 1"=10'
CK10-01	20.TIFF	March 23 2007			CK10-01A	Х	
CK10-01	21.TIFF	March 23 2007			CK10-01A		Х
	22.TIFF	March 23 2007			CK10-02B	X	
	23.11FF 24 TIEE	March 23 2007			CK10-01B	X	
CK10-02	24.TIFF 25 TIFF	March 23 2007			CK10-02D	×	
01110 02	26.TIFF	March 23 2007			CK10-02F	X	
	27.TIFF	March 23 2007			CK10-02E	Х	
	28.TIFF	March 23 2007			CK10-020	Х	
	29.TIFF	March 23 2007			CK10-03A	X	
	30.TIFF	March 23 2007			CK10-03B	X	
CK10-03	31.11FF	March 23 2007			CK10-03C	X	
	32.TIFF 33.TIFF	March 23 2007			CK10-03D	×	
	34.TIFF	March 23 2007			CK10-03E	X	
	35.TIFF	March 23 2007			CK10-04A	X	
CK10.04	36.TIFF	March 23 2007			CK10-04B	Х	
CK10-04	37.TIFF	March 23 2007			CK10-04C	Х	
	38.TIFF	March 23 2007			CK10-04D	X	
01/40.05	39.TIFF	March 23 2007			CK10-05A	X	
CK10-05	40.11FF	March 23 2007			CK10-05B	X	
	41.11FF 42 TIFF	March 23 2007			CK10-05C	×	
	43.TIFF	March 23 2007			CK10-06B	X	
01/40.00	44.TIFF	March 23 2007			CK10-06C	X	
CK10-06	45.TIFF	March 23 2007			CK10-06D	Х	
	46.TIFF	March 23 2007			CK10-06E	Х	
	47.TIFF	March 23 2007			CK10-06F	Х	
	48.TIFF	March 23 2007		hun - 4 0040	CK10-07F	X	Х
	49.11FF	March 23 2007	49 CK10-07A.JPEG	June 4 2010	CK10-07A	X	
CK10-07	50.TIFF	March 23 2007			CK10-07B	× ×	
CK10-07	52.TIFF	March 23 2007	52 CK10-07D.JPEG	June 4 2010	CK10-07D	X	
	53.TIFF	March 23 2007			CK10-07E	Х	
	54.TIFF	March 23 2007			CK10-07F	Х	
	55.TIFF	March 23 2007			CK10-08C	X	
CK10-08	56.TIFF	March 23 2007			CK10-08A	X	
		March 23 2007			CK10-08B	X	
СК10-01 СК10-02 СК10-03 СК10-03 СК10-04 СК10-05 СК10-06 СК10-07 СК10-07 СК10-07 СК10-09 СК10-10 СК10-10 СК10-11 СК10-11	50.11FF	March 23 2007			CK10-08D	X	
CK10-09	60 TIFF	March 23 2007			CK10-090	X	
CK10-09	61.TIFF	March 23 2007			CK10-09B	X	
	62.TIFF	March 23 2007	62 CK10-10B.JPEG	June 4 2010	CK10-10B	X	
	63.TIFF	March 23 2007			CK10-10A	Х	
CK10-10	64.TIFF	March 23 2007			CK10-10D	X	
	65.11FF	March 23 2007			CK10-10C	X	
	67 TIFF	March 23 2007			CK10-10F	×	
	68.TIFF	March 23 2007			CK10-11E	X	
	69.TIFF	March 23 2007	69 CK10-11F.JPEG	June 4 2010	CK10-11F	X	
CK10 11	70.TIFF	March 23 2007			CK10-11B	Х	
	71.TIFF	March 23 2007			CK10-11C	Х	
	72.TIFF	March 23 2007	70 0//10 110 1050	hun - 1 0010	CK10-11A	X	
	73.11FF	March 23 2007	73 CK10-11D.JPEG	June 4 2010	CK10-11D	X	
CK10-12	75 TIFF	March 23 2007			CK10-12B	×	
	76.TIFF	March 23 2007			CK10-120	X	
CK10-13	77.TIFF	March 23 2007			CK10-13A	X	
CK10.14	78.TIFF	March 23 2007			CK10-14B	Х	
GR 10-14	79.TIFF	March 23 2007			CK10-14A	Х	
	Final Foundation	Navanka 00.0000					
	Index Map (AKA	November 23 2006			CK-0021-05A Rev.2	NA	NA
	DITUELZ Z). PDF	<u> </u>					

PR No. DUK-001-PR-01 **Revision 0** Page E7 of E160







CK10-01A



PR No. DUK-001-PR-01 Revision 0 Page E10 of E160





PR No. DUK-001-PR-01 Revision 0 Page E12 of E160

x 46+\$6

205+06

NOL EDL

xouthe

Jan Ver











PR No. DUK-001-PR-01 Revision 0 Page E17 of E160 · No - 94+10× 74 184 t 194+20X 1×2 74+30 × 13 94+40-X F 1ª the 146 947501 T 94+60% ALC: N 94+ 701 94+80× Ye. STR. 14+90 K 120 95+00× 28



PR No. DUK-001-PR-01 Revision 0 Page E18 of E160

932

93+10x

93+20X

73+30X

93+40x

93+50X

93+60 X

93+70X

93+80×

734901

29

(S

CR



PR No. DUK-001-PR-01 Revision 0 Page E19 of E160

E

4100%

914+10 ×

941200

94+60 ×

24+30×

24+202

30

35-4



PR No. DUK-001-PR-01 Revision 0 Page E20 of E160

1.30

Ser.

100

95+00%

95+10%

95+202

95+30%

95+402

95+502

- 75+60%

95+702

95+80%





- Angeland PR No. DUK-001-PR-01 934000 Revision 0 Page E21 of E160 93+10× 93+20X 92+30 X 93+40X 93450× 93+60 × (VALAAAAAAA 93+70× 93480X 93+95X AD. 10 B 94400 X 32





PR No. DUK-001-PR-01 Revision 0 Page E23 of E160

160

And (P)

754201

95-501

PR No. DUK-001-PR-01 Revision 0 Page E25 of E160

16+00 X

95+90 ×

15+80 X

95+70×

95+60X

95+ SOX

95140X

95+30×

95FJOX

45HOX

(Stook

36

The set of the

PR No. DUK-001-PR-01 Revision 0 Page E27 of E160

95+00 X

95+10%

95420 X

95+30×

95140X

95+50×

75+60%

95+20%

75+80%

1-1

95190%

38

9.6400×

PR No. DUK-001-PR-01 Revision 0 Page E28 of E160

96400X

96+10%

96+20%

96130X

96+40X

96+50X

96+60%

96+20X

96+80%

96490X

39

97+00×

2

Ó

A

R

* 133,10, 45 5W 185, 47 NW 145E, 45 NW N4E, 54 \$ N34E, 60 NW N34E, 60 NW N38E, 70 NW N80W, 75 NE

×

NAM, 66 5W N1810, 605W

97490%

974000

97420

PR No. DUK-001-PR-01 Revision 0 Page E30 of E160

CK10-05C

PR No. DUK-001-PR-01 Revision 0 Page E35 of E160

98+10%

98+20X

98+20×

98+40%

98+50 X

98+60%

98+70×

98+80X

98+90×

CK10-06E

99+00×

PR No. DUK-001-PR-01 Revision 0 Page E36 of E160

984000

98+101

+20%

98+50%

98-120%

98486X

End

Sala

能


PR No. DUK-001-PR-01 Revision 0 Page E37 of E160

Tex.

984104

95+20X

98+30Y

98-4404

98+50Y

98+60 Y

98+20X

98+80410-07F 98+90Y

48

99+00X

3

38



PR No. DUK-001-PR-01 Revision 0 Page E38 of E160

355

12

+ 01

96+907

49

974002

2h

ale-



PR No. DUK-001-PR-01 Revision 0 Page E39 of E160

974002

97+10×

and a star

97420×

92+30

9-140X

AD+ SON

97+60×

95+202

974804

9.7390Y

50

98+002

A.Cale

21

10.25

品報

cka mes

V

the



PR No. DUK-001-PR-01 Revision 0 Page E40 of E160 96100% 96+10× 96+20% 96730X 9-+40 964 DX. 94+60% 96+70% 96+BEX CKIO 96 Jeax 51 97+00X



PR No. DUK-001-PR-01 Revision 0 Page E41 of E160 97+00 x-

97+107

97+20X

97+30X

97+90Y

97+50×

97+60X

97770X

97+80X

97+90×

52

98400X

1/2

6+4

(10-07b





PR No. DUK-001-PR-01 Revision 0 Page E43 of E160

LOOK

54



PR No. DUK-001-PR-01 Revision 0 Page E44 of E160 98+10× 98+20Y 98+307 98+40% 787504 98+604 98+704 98+80× 1010 280 98+90× 99400X 55



PR No. DUK-001-PR-01 Revision 0 Page E45 of E160

-

Nat

NEW

Non

96+00à

996+10%

96+202

96+302

96+402

6+50×

96+60%

- 96+80k

76+90%

-jth-

1. 96+70×

56



PR No. DUK-001-PR-01 97460 Revision 0 Page E46 of E160 97+10× 97+20× 97730X 97+404 X 97+50X 97+60 Y 18 1/2 5 97470Y 40 2 120 19-20 97+801 2 CKID-08B The second 97+904 1 Maria 98+00× Kalthb Lot the 57



PR No. DUK-001-PR-01 Revision 0 Page E47 of E160

96+00×

96+10×

96+20×

96+30%

96+401

96+30%

76 yeak.

96+20×

96-90%

58

225.00 x

1

183 A



PR No. DUK-001-PR-01 Revision 0 Page E48 of E160 101+00X 101+10 X 101+20X 101+30X 101+40× 101+50 Y. 101+60× 101+20 Y Ξ×, 1 101+80X ann. 101+90) S 10 59 09C 102+00× 20 196 40 23 ×



PR No. DUK-001-PR-01 99+00X Revision 0 Page E49 of E160 99+10% 99+20× 99+30 X 99+40% 99+50X 99+60× 99+70X 99+80% 99+90X CKIO -09A 60 100+00X 102+304 02 1024 Koht201 20 8



PR No. DUK-001-PR-01 Revision 0 Page E50 of E160

100+10%

100+20X

100+30%

100+40%

100+50×

100+60%

100+70X

100+80%

100+90X

CK10-09B 00×

61

272450

P

(2+30)





PR No. DUK-001-PR-01 Revision 0 Page E52 of E160

p

50%

25

te

Nati

AAAAAAAA.

1 and a

25-14

142020 A

2

4

10 W

N-5 45

1122W 7

99+10×

99420X

79.720

99440X

79+50%

99+60X

99+70)

99+80y

+90X

CKID-IOA

00+001

63

石

63/

2. 4.

No. 10







PR No. DUK-001-PR-01 Revision 0 Page E55 of E160

136

150

101420X

101-430

101-140 X2

101-150Y

10-14-60]

1014704

101+80X

10/490%

102 +00;

66

-Q

9+50

Fice+5b

han tob





PR No. DUK-001-PR-01 Revision 0 Page E57 of E160

100+10X

1001204

100+30%

100-404

100+504

100+608

100+204

100+80Y

100+90X

101400X

68

9645

新/台

14-93

498796

CK10-11



PR No. DUK-001-PR-01 Revision 0 Page E58 of E160

THE MAN

47

dr+95

96430

1 500

6

Will &.

TOHIOX

101+20 Y

10H40X

104501

101+684

101+20×

101480%

CK10-11 F

101+90%

x 102+00 Y

69

the state

ANTO 101+30X

100

in all



PR No. DUK-001-PR-01 Revision 0 Page E59 of E160

100+002

100+10 y

100+20X

100+30%

100+40%

100+50×

100460X

100470X

100+80%

CKID-11B

100+90¥

10HOOX

E



PR No. DUK-001-PR-01 Revision 0 Page E60 of E160

TOLLOOX

101+10%

101+204

101+30%

101+40%

101+50%

101460X

101+707

10/480X

CK10-11C

101-1904

102+00X

7

A00+36

10316



PR No. DUK-001-PR-01 Revision 0 Page E61 of E160

2

A.

99+ 101

194204

79-30×

99790X

99+52X

99+60X

99470Y

99+80X

CILID-IIA

79+90×

100+001

72

(as to b

A.

315



PR No. DUK-001-PR-01 Revision 0 Page E62 of E160

22

Call of

NG

313

96+30 X

40

99+107

99+201

99730%

99+40%

99+50Y

99+60Y

99+70Y

97+80×

CKID-

DI

99490X

= 100+00 X



PR No. DUK-001-PR-01 /001607 Revision 0 Page E63 of E160

100+104

100+204

100+304

100+404

100-150×

100+60%

100+20%

100+80%

CHIDHZB

100+90×

101+00×

4

Top

64780Y

14

Per la

K05+







PR No. DUK-001-PR-01 Revision 0 Page E66 of E160

102+00%

102+10 %

102420X

102+30×

102440%

102+50X

102+60X

102+70 X

102+80×

102490 CK 10-13A

103+00×

77

20

+30¥

N

(a)



PR No. DUK-001-PR-01 Revision 0 Page E67 of E160 1024104 102+20X Annantrannat 102+30× 102+40X 102+50 X 102+60X 102+704 102+80X 102+90× 010-14B X 20+201 Komen Fractula hacted



PR No. DUK-001-PR-01 Revision 0 Page E68 of E160

102+20%

102+30%

102+00 X

102+10%

102+40%

102+50X

102+60 X

102+70×

102+80%

103+00× 79

H 00

2

(08+00)

+00

102+90 CK10-14A

PR No. DUK-001-PR-01 Revision 0 Page E69 of E160

Replacement Scans (Transmitted June 4, 2010)

- 49 CK10-07A.JPEG
- 52 CK10-07D.JPEG
- 62 CK10-10B.JPEG
- 69 CK10-11F.JPEG
- 73 CK10-11D.JPEG





16FORXING

67.10.4

5

A.

96+907

49



PR No. DUK-001-PR-01 Revision 0 Page E71 of E160

97+60X

97+501

977101

97+20X

97+30X

97+404

97+70 X

97+80 X

97+90X

52

98+00X

36

+50 V

96+



PR No. DUK-001-PR-01 /00 Revision 0 Page E72 of E160 100+10× 100+20X MANNAN 100+30X 100+40× 100+50K 100+60 % 100+70% 1 100+80% 100+90X 12 NO. 0 R. 76 Seto -ID-B 101400X 62 8 00


PR No. DUK-001-PR-01 Revision 0 Page E74 of E160 99+001 -11 99+10% 99+10 X 99+201 99+201 1 99+30% 99+30× 99+40X 99+40× 99+50× 99+50X 99+60× 99+60X 99+70X 99470X 99+801 99+80× CKI0-E 99+90× 99+90X 100+00X 100+00× holts b 95404 95400) hortsb hoe+56 95+30y haht56 96+304 (25+56) 96+50 08+56 964 454 2 73 40y

PR No. DUK-001-PR-01 Revision 0 Page E75 of E160



Record Transmittals: Historical Mapping of the Excavation for Lee Nuclear Site Historical Construction Photos of Unit 1 Area Transmitted to FWLA from Duke Energy (March 23, 2007)

Updated Historical Foundation Mapping Documents Transmitted to FWLA from Duke Energy (June 4,2010)

Final Foundation Index Map, Geologic Mapping Procedure, and Supplemental Mapping Guidance Transmittal to FWLA from Duke Energy (November 23, 2010)



DUK-010 DUKE LNS COL

Revision 0 Page E76 of E160 White Address: Mail Code EC05Z 526 South Church Street

PR No. DUK-001-PR-01

Charlotte, NC 28201 704-382-4319

704-382-4545 fax

March 23, 2007

Mr. Robert Falk ENERCON Services 12850 Middlebrook Rd Suite 304 Germantown, MD 20874

Subject: Historical Mapping of the Excavation for Lee Nuclear Site Historical Construction Photos of Unit 1 Area

Dear Bob,

alala

pla

GM

6

Drawings showing the historical mapping of the excavation for Lee Nuclear Station listed below are being transmitted via three DVDs with this letter. Construction photos of the Unit 1 area listed below are also being transmitted via a CD-ROM.

	Three DVDs Contain:
	Folder CK 10-01 (Drwgs 20, 21)
CP 26	Folder CK 10-02 (Drwgs 22,23,24,25,26,27,28)
	Folder CK 10-03 (Drwgs 29,30,31,32,33,34)
	Folder CK 10-04 (Drwgs 35,36,37,38)
	Folder CK 10-05 (Drwgs 39,40,41)
	Folder CK 10-06 (Drwgs 42,43,44,45,46,47)
1017	Folder CK 10-07 (Drwgs 48,49,50,51,52,53,54)
	Folder CK 10-08 (Drwgs 55,56,57,58)
	Folder CK 10-09 (Drwgs 59,60,61)
	Folder CK 10-10 (Drwgs 62,63,64,65,66,67)
0 28	Folder CK 10-11 (Drwgs 68,69,70,71,72,73)
	Folder CK 10-12 (Drwgs 74,75,76)
	Folder CK 10-13 (Drwg 77)
	Folder CK 10-14 (Drwgs 78,79)

	CD-ROM Contains:
	Construction Photos
1	No. 436,467,476,485,501,503,504,518,530,544,557,641,653,670,
(12)	792,832,833,922,1012,1359,1360

PR No. DUK-001-PR-01 Revision 0 Page E77 of E160

If you have any questions, please call me at 704-382-4319.

Sincerely,

()

J al Smath

Dale M Smith Civil Engineering Lead

Enclosures: Three DVDs CD-ROM

Cc: Mike Gray, Lettis (with enclosure)
R. L. Morgan, Jr. (without enclosure)
J. S. Thrasher (without enclosure)
4000.01-09 (without enclosure)



PR No. DUK-001-PR-01 Revision 0 Duk PageyE78 of E160

EC09D/ 526 South Church Street Charlotte, NC 28201-1006

Mailing Address: P.O. Box 1006 – EC09D Charlotte, NC 28201-1006

704-382-7830

704-382-2038 fax

Jun. 4, 2010

Mr. Tom Slavonic Enercon Services 4490 Old William Penn Highway Murrysville, PA 15668

Subject: Updated Historical Foundation Mapping Documents

Dear Tom:

The attached CD contains updated scans of five historical field documents that were problematic for the foundation mapping work being performed by FWLA. This information supplements and replaces that transmitted by Duke Energy's letter of March 23, 2007 (Dale Smith to Bob Falk) for these five map panels. The five files are identified as shown below.

CP#29

(Map Panel Designation	Former File Name	New File Name	File Properties
1	CK10-07A	49.tif	49 CK10-07A,jpg	Created 6/3/2010 5:32 pm; Size 10,108,309 bytes
9	CK10-07D	52.tif	52 CK10-07D.jpg	Created 6/3/2010 5:33 pm; Size 7,049,104 bytes
	CK10-10B	62.tif	62 CK10-10B.jpg	Created 6/3/2010 5:34 pm; Size 10,205,854 bytes
	CK10-11F	69.tif	69 CK10-11F.jpg	Created 6/3/2010 5:35 pm; Size 4,143,323 bytes
	CK10-11D	73.tif	73 CK10-11D.jpg	Created 6/3/2010 5:36 pm; Size 6,244,638 bytes

If you have questions, please call me at 704-382-7830.

Sincerely,

John M. McConagh

Engineering Consultant

Enclosure: 1 CD as described

cc: Mike Gray, FWLA (w/ enclosure) R.L. Morgan (w/o enclosure) J.S. Thrasher (w/o enclosure) J.R. Cassidy (w/o enclosure) 4000.01-09 (w/ enclosure)



PR No. DUK-001-PR-01 Revision 0 DMage/E/79 of E160 EC09D/ 526 South Church Street Charlotte. NC 28201-1006

Mailing Address: P.O. Box 1006 – EC09D Charlotte, NC 28201-1006

704-382-7830

704-382-2038 fax

November 23, 2010

Mr. Tom Slavonic Enercon Services 4490 Old William Penn Highway Murrysville, PA 15668

Subject: Final Foundation Index Map, Geologic Mapping Procedure, and Supplemental Mapping Guidance

Dear Tom:

After our recent teleconference on qualification of Cherokee legacy geologic mapping documentation, Adam Wade pointed out that FWLA still needed to receive controlled distribution of several documents, including the Final Foundation Index Map, and the geologic mapping procedures, as well as input on those procedures from Dr. Hatcher. The attached CD contains these documents, as retrieved from several sources.

The files whose file name includes a Duke microfilm reel number were obtained from scans of microfilm records from Duke archives, and can be identified by the reel and frame number stenciled at the left of each page. The document whose name contains "MFS CK1108.00" was retrieved from Malcolm Schaeffer's Cherokee correspondence files that are now maintained as part of the Lee project documentation. The documents containing "LETCo" in the filename were retrieved from the Law Engineering Cherokee files at MACTEC.

Comparison of the Duke and Law versions of the Geologic Mapping procedure give high confidence that the content of the two is the same, and reflects the procedures used in the field.

The Duke archive microfilm record contains only the first page of Dr. Hatcher's letter, but it is the same as the first page of Mr. Schaeffer's complete (two-page) document. Comparing Mr. Schaeffer's CK1108.00 complete Hatcher document to the comparable document from Law, one can confirm that the content is the same.

The CK1108.00 version and the Law version of the Hatcher letter differ in some cosmetic ways such as pagination. I believe this can be explained by recalling that in 1977, such letters were produced on a typewriter, and a letter to two different companies would normally have two originals typed. It is not unreasonable to expect that a 1977 typist may have created different page breaks for the two letters, while maintaining the same content.

Mr. Tom Slavonic November 23, 2010 Page 2 of 2

The Final Foundation Index map is easily identified by its file name. The files are identified as shown in the listing below.

File Norma	File Properties			
File Name	Created			Size (Bytes)
1976-1130 Geologic Mapping Procedure, Pearce to Sams (From Duke Microfilm Reel 3184).pdf	11/22/2010	05:31	PM	808,352
1976-1130 Geologic Mapping Procedure, Pearce to Sams (From LETCo Cherokee Files).pdf	11/22/2010	05:32	РМ	1,975,820
1977-0422 Geo Mapping Letter, Hatcher to Sams and Pearce (From Duke MFS CK1108.00).pdf	11/22/2010	05:34	PM	517,068
1977-0422 Geo Mapping Letter, Hatcher to Sams and Pearce (From Duke Microfilm Reel 3184).pdf	11/22/2010	05:34	PM	85,621
1977-0422 Geo Mapping Letter, Hatcher to Sams and Pearce (From LETCo Cherokee Files).pdf	11/22/2010	05:35	PM	341,589
Final Foundation Index Map (AKA Binder2 2).pdf	10/09/2006	09:27	AM	30,201,242

If you have questions, please call me at 704-382-7830.

Sincerely,

John M. McConaghy Engineering Consultar

Enclosure: 1 CD as described

cc: Mike Gray, FWLA (w/ enclosure) Malcolm Schaeffer, HDR|DTA (w/ enclosure) R.L. Morgan (w/o enclosure) J.S. Thrasher (w/o enclosure) J.R. Cassidy (w/o enclosure) 4000.01-09 (w/ enclosure)

PR No. DUK-001-PR-01 **Revision 0** Page E81 of E160



PR No. DUK-001-PR-01 Revision 0 Page E82 of E160

Duke Power Company Project 81 Cherokee Nuclear Station Geologic Mapping Procedure (November 30, 1976) Transmitted to Law Engineering Testing Co. from Duke Power Company [Duke File Name:1976-1130 Geologic Mapping Procedure, Pearce to Sams (From Duke Microfilm Reel 3184).pdf]

PR No. DUK-001-PR-01 Revision 0 Page E83 of E160 A 2-H4 1213

VITAL NOTES

Hr. C. E. Sams Law Engineering Testing Co. P. O. Box 11297 Charlotte, N. C. 28209

RE: Charokes 1-3 Geologic Happing File No: CK-1108.00

Dear Hr. Sams:

Hovember 30, 1976

Attached is a copy of the procedure we propose to use for geologic mapping at Charokee for your review. Please plan to meet with us at the Electric Center on Honday, December 13, 1976, at 2:00 p.m. to discuss our overall mapping program at Cherokee and Law Engineering's rate in that program. We gen discuss any comments you might have on the procedure at that time.

Yours very truly,

L. C. Dall, Chief Engineer Civil/Environmental Division

8y: I. W. Pearce, Principal Engineer IWP/gc

Attachment

cc: C. Q. Reaves D. R. Privett M. F. Schaeffer





Geologic Mapping Procedure

Outline

 \square

SECTION I - GENERAL DESCRIPTION

- A. Purpose
- B. Scope of Happing and Sequence
- C. Definition of Responsible Parties
 - 1) Responsible Engineer
 - 2) Geologist
 - 3) Field Engineer
 - 4) Consultant
 - 5) Independent Geologic Consultant
- D. Assignment of Responsibilities
 - 1) Geologist
 - 2) Field Engineer
 - 3) Consultant
 - 4) Independent Geologic Consultant

E. Requirements for Mapping

- 1) Phase I Initial Mapping
- 2) Phase II Final Excavation Mapping

SECTION II - TECHNICAL ASPECTS OF MAPPING

SECTION III - INVESTIGATING AND DOCUMENTING GEOLOGIC FAULT FEATURES

PR No. DUK-001-PR-01 CK Revision () Page E85 of E160

ATTACHMENT

DUKE POWER COMPANY PROJECT 81 CHEROKEE NUCLEAR STATION GEOLOGIC MAPPING PROCEDURE

Section I - General Description

A, PURPOSE

N

0

J

C

J

8

 \sim

7

C

The purpose of the geologic mapping program for Cherokee Station is to provide a permanent record of the foundation conditions encountered in areas of safety-related structures as outlined in PSAR Section 2.5.1.2(9). This Section of the procedure outlines the relationship of responsibilities and activities between Design Engineering and Construction Departments, and the Consultants in the following areas:

Scope of Mapping and Sequence Definition of Parties Assignment of Responsibilities Requirements for Mapping

B. SCOPE OF MAPPING AND SEQUENCE

The geologic mapping required by this procedure shall be performed for certain designated areas including the following major plant structures:

- Power Block Area (Reactor Building, Auxiliary Bullding and Turbine Building Complex)
- (2) Nuclear Service Water Pond Dam foundation
- (3) NSW Pond Spillway Control Section foundation
- (4) NSW Pump Structure foundations

The complete program of geologic mapping shall be sequenced in two basic phases depending on the extent of excavation progress.

- Phase I Scale l'=20' Mapping of the top of rock before blasting is started in power block area only. Detailed mapping at l'=5' where necessary.
- Phase II Scale 1"=10' Mapping of final excavated surfaces. Detailed mapping at 1"=5' where necessary.

When the final foundation grade is above top of rock, no Phase I mapping will be necessary. Scale for final mapping in saprolite shall not be less

than 1"=201.

PR No. DUK-001-PR-01 Revision 0 Page E86 of E160

C. DEFINITION OF RESPONSIBLE PARTIES

۰.•

3

5

7

0

¥

8

 \sim

2

C

 <u>Responsible Engineer</u> - The Principal Engineer in charge of the responsible Civil Projects Section in the Civil/Environmental Division
 of Design Engineering Department or his designated representative.

СК

- (2) <u>Geologist</u> The individual designated by Chief Engineer of Civil/ Environmental Division to act as his field representative in any matters pertaining to geologic mapping.
- (3) <u>Field Engineer</u> The specific individual designated by the Construction Department Project Manager to be responsible for the coordination of all construction support or activities required for carrying out the geologic mapping program.
- (4) <u>Consultant</u> The person or persons assigned by Law Engineering and Testing Co. (LETCo) with sufficient training and experience to assist with field mapping work and review of drawings and reports when requested by the Geologist or Responsible Engineer.
- (5) <u>Independent Geologic Consultant</u> A third-party consultant (or consultants) with recognized expertise in the geology of the area engaged specifically for this work. This consultant will provide independent review of fault features and supporting data and conclusions where NRC notification is required for non-similar features as defined in Section III.

D. ASSIGNMENT OF RESPONSIBILITIES

All parties involved in the geologic mapping program will have general overall responsibilities to jointly support the requirement of the mapping program, to pursue their respective assignments in a timely and professional manner, and to assure that the resulting maps, data, reports, and documents are sufficient in content and accuracy to accomplish the purpose intended. The specific scope of responsibility for each of the parties for field mapping work is outlined below:

- (1) Geologist
 - a. Supervises and coordinates all field geologic activity involved in mapping.
 - b. Schedules mapping of prepared areas designated by the Field Engineer and coordinates required construction support with Field Engineer. Provides Field Engineer with estimate of time required for mapping in specific areas.

-2-

PR No. DUK-001-PR-01

- c. Determines the need for and extent of support necessary from Consultant (LETCO) and schedules personnel required for mapping.
- d. Schedules and supervises as necessary all office drafting associated with preparation of geologic maps.
- e. Coordinates review of field and office work, including preparation and review of reports, with Consultant (LETCO).
- f. Schedules and coordinates site visits of Independent Geologic Consultant when visits are required.
- g. Releases investigated areas to Field Engineer after completion of geologic mapping.
- h. When designated, represents Design Engineering during NRC site visits and inspections regarding geologic matters.
- (2) Field Engineer -

• 2

0

T

 \odot

J

 \mathfrak{D}

 \sim

>

 \mathbf{C}

- a. Schedules availability of areas to be mapped and assures that specific areas are cleaned and maintained until mapping is complete.
- b. Coordinates earthwork operations in areas requiring mapping with Geologist and advises when areas are ready for mapping.
- c. Schedules and coordinates labor, equipment, survey support, and other construction resources as necessary to assure that mapping can be completed as soon as possible.

(3) Consultant (LETCO) -

- a. Provides geologist personnel support for assistance in mapping when requested by the Geologist and/or the Responsible Engineer.
- b. Reviews all maps, drawings, data and reports relating to geology and foundation conditions and offers comments and suggestions as requested by the Geologist and/or the Responsible Engineer.
- c. Provides other specialized assistance in personnel or services if requested by the Geologist and/or the Responsible Engineer.
- (4) Independent Geologic Consultant
 - a. Shall provide inspection, review and opinions pertaining to data developed for special features in consultation with Duke and Consultant (LETCO) when requested by the Geologist and/or the Responsible Engineer.

-3-

b. May provide other services as may be necessary if requested by the Responsible Engineer.

PR No. DUK-001-PR-01

Revision 0

E. REQUIREMENTS FOR MAPPING

Phase I - Initial Mapping (Top of Rock)

During early construction on the site, the Geologist will monitor the overall earthmoving activities and will record in a Geologic Field Log and by use of photographs any significant observable geologic features in the areas to be mapped. At an appropriate time before rock excavation begins, the Field Engineer will notify the Geologist when an area will be ready for inspection and mapping. The Field Engineer will coordinate the necessary construction resources to prepare tha area for mapping.

Excavated areas will be mapped at elevations where earth and rock materials can no longer be removed with earthmoving equipment and blasting is required for further excavation. Preparation of an area for mapping will normally consist of a general clean-up of loose surface materials and gentle sloping of surfaces, where practicable. Limited portions of the area may have to be cleaned more thoroughly by air or water hoses, etc., to permit more detailed mapping, if features requiring detail study are found.

For areas scheduled to be mapped, the Geologist will schedule appropriate Duke Personnel and LETCO personnel, if required, to carry out the mapping in that area. In areas of exposed rock the Field Engineer will coordinate with survey crews to establish a 20 ft. grid reference system. The grid shall be plainly visible and shall be capable of being easily photographed. Iridescent type paint may be used for marking the grid and elevations shall be determined on certain grid points as requested by the Geologist to assist in map work. A 5 ft. grid may be required in some areas if determined necessary by the Geologist.

If the area to be mapped contains sloped surfaces, at greater than 30° angle from horizontal, or nearly vertical walls, the Geologist may request the Field Engineer to have the slope marked off in grids on the slope area. The Field Engineer shall also schedule and provide appropriate construction equipment or safety-ropes, etc., to allow taking of structural readings on steep slopes as requested by the Geologist. When all mapping of an

in

i.

-4-



area has been completed, the Geologist will release the area to the Field Engineer for subsequent excavation.

Phase II - Final Excavation Mapping

As the excavation of an area to be mapped nears final grade, the Field Engineer will advise the Geologist of the expected date when excavation and cleanup of the area will be complete and available for mapping. The Geologist will then determine the expected mapping completion date based on his estimate of required work for the area.

The area shall be mapped as soon as practicable after it is cleaned. Various special equipment and methods may have to be employed by the Field Engineer to maintain a mappable surface. Normally, visible 10 ft. grid reference points will be provided by the Field Engineer for Phase II mapping. The Geologist shall coordinate the mapping with the Field Engineer so that priority can be placed on any critical portions of an area.

When final mapping has been completed in the area, the Geologist will release that area to the Field Engineer for construction.

-5-

PR No. DUK-001-PR-01 Revision 0 Page E90 of E160

Section II - Technical Mapping Aspects

CK 1108.00

Geologic features shall be mapped at the top of rock, or Phase I mapping stage, at a scale of $1^{11}=20^{11}$ on base maps. Any detail mapping of features shall be at a scale of $1^{11}=5^{11}$. Photographs reproduced to an appropriate scale may be used as permanent record and for checking field mapping as determined necessary by the Geologist.

In the final Phase II foundation mapping stage, structural data will be taken concurrently with the mapping effort whenever deemed necessary by the field Geologist. Density of structural readings in the powerhouse excavation should be about 2 readings per 10 ft. grid square or as many as deemed necessary by the Geologist to get a representative sample of the geologic features. The data should include measurements of the following types of geologic occurrences, where applicable:

foliation - type, strike, dip, weathering
joints - spacing, continuity, separation, roughness fill material,
 strike, dip
shear planes
and faults - brecciation, offsets, strike, dip
contacts - rock types, weathering

As determined by the field Geologist, sufficient samples should be selected for study in thin section. The thin section data obtained should be keyed into the mapping and compared with thin section data obtained during previous studies in the area. For fault features, additional thin sections . and other data may be required.

An appropriate index system shall be established to reference samples, features, and data to physical locations in the mapped areas. The system shall be suitable for cross-referencing and grouping of similar and non-similar features.

In addition, any potential problem features that may have engineering significance and will affect structure design or construction due to joints, shear zones or weathered condition of rock should be reported to the Responsible Engineer.

-6-

C

Section III - Investigating and Documenting Geologic Fault Features

A. INTRODUCTION

Extensive studies of fault features characteristic of the region and of the project site area have been made at the Catawba Nuclear Station (Docket Nos. 50-413 and -414) during geologic mapping and at the Cherokee project site in support of the Preliminary Safety Analysis Report for project licensing. These studies (1) establish that numerous fault features occur and can be anticipated in any large excavation in the region.

PR No. DUK-001-PR-01

11

B. PURPOSE

The purpose of this section is to establish a procedure for investigating and documenting geological fault features. The fault investigation will utilize data from previous studies where applicable.

C. SCOPE

30

2

C

J

8

 \sim

2

C

This Investigation relates directly to those geologic fault features occurring in excavations required for safety related structure foundations.

D. PROCEDURE

- Geologic mapping will be conducted as stated in Cherokee PSAR Section
 2.5.1.2(9) and Section IE and Section II of this Procedure.
- 2. In the event a feature as described below is discovered the (field) Geologist will promptly notify the Responsible Engineer. Features which are reportable to Responsible Engineer may include brecciated zones containing offsets and any other offsets or displaced feature of tectonic origin.
- 3. The Responsible Engineer will hold any structure construction in an area containing a feature as described in 2 above until a determination

⁽¹⁾ Reference: Catawba Nuclear Station - PSAR, Chapter 2, Section 2.5, Appendices 2C and 2E - "Final Geological Report on Brecciated Zones" Cherokee Nuclear Station - PSAR, Chapter 2, Section 2.5, Appendices 2C and 2E

PR No. DUK-001-PR-01 CK Revision P Page 99008=1000

can be made 1) that the feature is similar to features previously studied by observation and that its relevant characteristics can be determined by correlation to previously studied features or (2) that the feature is not similar to any previously studied feature and requires new investigation.

٠,

5

5

4

C

T

00

P2

7

C

- 4. For similar features (described in item 3(1)), the Geologist will so notify the Responsible Engineer who will release work in the area as soon as the Geologist has indexed the feature, documented it by detailed mapping and photographs, and established the feature's similarity to a previously established feature. This similarity will be documented by comparing relevant characteristics to features observed and studied at Catawba Nuclear Station during mapping or in any of the numerous test pits opened at the Cherokee site during subsurface investigative studies. Where this similarity can be established and documented, mapping and project work will continue routinely. A tabular summary will be prepared which indexes each occurrence of a feature and makes specific comparison to a previously studied feature. Documentation will be subject to audit during field inspections by NRC.
- 5. For features where similarity cannot be established by comparison to features previously studied at the Cherokee or Catawba sites, the Responsible Engineer will continue to hold work in the area and notify the NRC Project Manager of the discovery. The geologic feature will then be left exposed for ten (10) days for NRC inspection.
- 5a. Duke with the assistance of Law Engineering Testing Company and/or other consultant will map the feature, develop data, and determine if the feature falls in the sequence of geologic events established and reported in the Cherokee PSAR.
- 5b. A third party, independent geologic consultant, will be engaged and will visit the site to examine the feature and examine the data developed by Duke and LETCO and/or other consultant. The Geologist will notify the Responsible Engineer (when geologic mapping, photography and field data gathering have been completed) that investigations have been completed and documented. The independent consultant will report his findings to the Responsible Engineer.

-8-

PR No. DUK-001-PR-01 **Revision** Q

٩

- 5c. If the independent geologic consultant concurs with Duke's conclusions, the Responsible Engineer will then release the area for project construction activity upon completion of item 5b.
- 5d. For non-similar features a report will be prepared which shall consist of the following:
- (1) Description of the feature including the investigation and description of data obtained
- (2) Geologic history
- (3) Summary and conclusions
- (4) Geologic maps and photographs
- (5) Report of findings by Geologic Consultant
- (6) This report and other data will be available for NRC review whenever requested.

PR No. DUK-001-PR-01 Revision 0 Page E94 of E160

Addendum to Mapping Procedures (April 22, 1977) Transmitted to Law Engineering Company and Duke Power Company from Clemson University [Duke File Name:1977-0422 Geo Mapping Letter, Hatcher to Sams and Pearce (From Duke Microfilm Reel 3184).pdf]

PR No. DUK-001-PR-01 Revision -Page E95 of E160

CK 1108.00

APRIL 22, 1977

Mr, I. W. Pearce Principal Engineer Civil-Environmental Division Duke Power Company P. O. Box 2178 Charlotte, NC 28242

and

N

\$

C

T

0

M

2

C

Mr. C. E. Sams Assistant Vice-President Law Engineering Testing Company P. O. Box 11297 Charlotte, NC 28209

Dear Sirs:

A meeting was held April 13, 1977, at Cherokee Nuclear Station for the purpose of examining bedrock features in the cleaned area in the Number 1 Turbine Building. Present at the meeting were Malcomb N. Schaeffer, Duke Power Company, Neil J. Gilbert, Law Engineering Testing Company and myself.

The meeting began with a preliminary briefing by Malcomb Schaeffer over lunch. We arrived at the site at about 1:00 PM and joined N. J. Gilbert on the cleaned area in Number 1 Turbine Building. We examined bedrock lithologies and structures and studied the $1^{"} = 20^{"}$ geologic maps prepared of the cleaned area.

After the examination of bedrock features on the site we returned to the construction site office to discuss the nature of the geologic mapping and more specifically the problems related to 1" = 5' scale mapping and what should be mapped.

The following recommendations were formulated as a result of these discussions:

- 1. Mapping of features at 1" = 5' scale.
 - (a) There should be a minimum of 2 feet offset on a fault. This is the threshold of visibility of offset at the 1" = 20' scale.

and/or

(b) There should be 50 feet traceable length on a fault. This is again related to a significant threshold of prominence of a structural feature on a 1" = 20' scale map.

and/or

(c) Cataclastic zones of significant thickness. A minimum thickness of 1 foot is arbitrarily chosen.

Corollary to the above:

Combinations of greater offset with less traceable length and/or thicker

PR No. DUK-001-PR-01 Revision 0 Page E96 of E160

Addendum to Mapping Procedures (April 22, 1977) Transmitted to Law Engineering Company and Duke Power Company from Clemson University [Duke File Name:1977-0422 Geo Mapping Letter, Hatcher to Sams and Pearce (From Duke MFS CK1108.00).pdf]

PR No. DUK-001-PR-01 Revision 0 Page E97 of E160

Mr. I. W. Pearce Principal Engineer Civil-Environmental Division Duke Power Company P. O. Box 2178 Charlotte, NC 28242

and

Mr. C. E. Sams Assistant Vice-President Law Engineering Testing Company P. O. Box 11297 Charlotte, NC 28209

Dear Sirs:

A meeting was held April 13, 1977, at Cherokee Nuclear Station for the purpose of examining bedrock features in the cleaned area in the Number 1 Turbine Building. Present at the meeting were Malcomb N. Schaeffer, Duke Power Company, Neil J. Gilbert, Law Engineering Testing Company and myself.

The meeting began with a preliminary briefing by Malcomb Schaeffer over lunch. We arrived at the site at about 1:00 PM and joined N. J. Gilbert on the cleaned area in Number 1 Turbine Building. We examined bedrock lithologies and structures and studied the 1" = 20' geologic maps prepared of the cleaned area.

After the examination of bedrock features on the site we returned to the construction site office to discuss the nature of the geologic mapping and more specifically the problems related to 1'' = 5' scale mapping and what should be mapped.

The following recommendations were formulated as a result of these discussions:

- 1. Mapping of features at 1" = 5' scale.
 - (a) There should be a minimum of 2 feet offset on a fault. This is the threshold of visibility of offset at the 1" = 20' scale.

and/or

(b) There should be 50 feet traceable length on a fault. This is again related to a significant threshold of prominence of a structural feature on a 1" = 20' scale map.

and/or

(c) Cataclastic zones of significant thickness. A minimum thickness of l foot is arbitrarily chosen.

Corollary to the above:

Combinations of greater offset with less traceable length and/or thicker

PR No. DUK-001-PR-01 Revision 0 Page E98 of E160

Mr. I. W. Pearce, Mr. C. E. Sams April 22, 1977 Page -2-

cataclastic zones may in the Geologist's judgment warrant 1" = 5' scale mapping. Also, greater traceable length and lesser offset may likewise require 1" = 5' scale mapping.

 Repetitious sampling of features otherwise established as similar during the initial phases of the top of rock mapping program should not be undertaken. However, samples should be taken at the discretion of the Geologist.

We returned to the site at 5:25 P.M. after the above recommendations were formulated and examined a fault previously not seen by the Independent Geologic Consultant. The above recommendations were applied to this fault and appeared to work well.

The meeting was adjourned at 6:00 P.M.

Sincerely,

Polint D. Haten

Robert D. Hatcher, Jr. Professor of Geology Clemson University Clemson, SC 29631

RDH:sr

cc: Dr. Donald T. Secor, Jr.

PR No. DUK-001-PR-01 Revision 0 Page E99 of E160

Duke Power Company Project 81 Cherokee Nuclear Station Geologic Mapping Procedure (November 30, 1976) Transmitted to Law Engineering Testing Co. from Duke Power Company [Duke File Name:1976-1130 Geologic Mapping Procedure, Pearce to Sams (From LETCo Cherokee Files).pdf]

PR No. DUK-001-PR-01 **Revision 0** Page E100 of E160

DUKE POWER COMPANY

GENERAL OFFICES 422 SOUTH CHURCH STREET **CHARLOTTE, N. C. 28201**

TELEPHONE: AREA 704 374-4011

November 30, 1976

P. O. BOX 2178

COPY TO NEIL GILBERT

Mr. C. E. Sams Law Engineering Testing Co. P. 0. Box 11297 Charlotte, N. C. 28209

RE: Cherokee 1-3 Geologic Mapping File No: CK-1108.00

Dear Mr. Sams:

Attached is a copy of the procedure we propose to use for geologic mapping Wed Center on Monday, December 19, 1976, at 2:00 p.m. to discuss our overall mapping program at Cherokee and Law Engineering's role in that program. We can discuss any comments you might have on the procedure at that time.

Yours very truly,

L. C. Dail, Chief Engineer Civil/Environmental Division

1. W. Pearce, Principal Engineer By:

IWP/gc

Attachment

cc: C. Q. Reeves

D. R. Privett

M. F. Schaeffer

Geologic Mapping Procedure Outline

SECTION I - GENERAL DESCRIPTION

- A. Purpose
- B. Scope of Mapping and Sequence
- C. Definition of Responsible Parties
 - 1) Responsible Engineer
 - 2) Geologist MALCOLM SCHAEFFER
 - 3) Field Engineer
 - 4) Consultant
 - 5) Independent Geologic Consultant
- D. Assignment of Responsibilities
 - 1) Geologist
 - 2) Field Engineer
 - 3) Consultant
 - 4) Independent Geologic Consultant

E. Requirements for Mapping

- 1) Phase I Initial Mapping
- 2) Phase II Final Excavation Mapping

SECTION II - TECHNICAL ASPECTS OF MAPPING

SECTION III - INVESTIGATING AND DOCUMENTING GEOLOGIC FAULT FEATURES

DUKE POWER COMPANY PROJECT 81 CHEROKEE NUCLEAR STATION GEOLOGIC MAPPING PROCEDURE

Section I - General Description

A. PURPOSE

The purpose of the geologic mapping program for Cherokee Station is to provide a permanent record of the foundation conditions encountered in areas of safety-related structures as outlined in PSAR Section 2.5.1.2(9). This Section of the procedure outlines the relationship of responsibilities and activities between Design Engineering and Construction Departments, and the Consultants in the following areas:

Scope of Mapping and Sequence Definition of Parties Assignment of Responsibilities Requirements for Mapping

B. SCOPE OF MAPPING AND SEQUENCE

The geologic mapping required by this procedure shall be performed for certain designated areas including the following major plant structures:

- Power Block Area (Reactor Building, Auxiliary Building and Turbine Building Complex)
- (2) Nuclear Service Water Pond Dam foundation
- (3) NSW Pond Spillway Control Section foundation
- (4) NSW Pump Structure foundations

The complete program of geologic mapping shall be sequenced in two basic phases depending on the extent of excavation progress.

- Phase I Scale l'=20' Mapping of the top of rock before blasting is started in power block area only. Detailed mapping at l'=5' where necessary.
- Phase II Scale l'=10' Mapping of final excavated surfaces. Detailed mapping at l'=5' where necessary.

When the final foundation grade is above top of rock, no Phase I mapping will be necessary. Scale for final mapping in saprolite shall not be less than 1"=20'.

PR No. DUK-001-PR-01 Revision 0 Page E103 of E160

Bob Hatcher

C. DEFINITION OF RESPONSIBLE PARTIES

- <u>Responsible Engineer</u> The Principal Engineer in charge of the *LW.HARCE* responsible Civil Projects Section in the Civil/Environmental Division of Design Engineering Department or his designated representative.
- (2) <u>Geologist</u> The individual designated by Chief Engineer of Civil/ MALCOLM Environmental Division to act as his field representative in any matters pertaining to geologic mapping.
- (3) <u>Field Engineer</u> The specific individual designated by the Construction Department Project Manager to be responsible for the coordination of all construction support or activities required for carrying out the geologic mapping program.
- (4) <u>Consultant</u> The person or persons assigned by Law Engineering and Testing Co. (LETCo) with sufficient training and experience to assist with field mapping work and review of drawings and reports when requested by the Geologist or Responsible Engineer.
- (5) <u>Independent Geologic Consultant</u> A third-party consultant (or consultants) with recognized expertise in the geology of the area engaged specifically for this work. This consultant will provide independent review of fault features and supporting data and conclusions where NRC notification is required for non-similar features as defined in Section III.

D. ASSIGNMENT OF RESPONSIBILITIES

All parties involved in the geologic mapping program will have general overall responsibilities to jointly support the requirement of the mapping program, to pursue their respective assignments in a timely and professional manner, and to assure that the resulting maps, data, reports, and documents are sufficient in content and accuracy to accomplish the purpose intended. The specific scope of responsibility for each of the parties for field mapping work is outlined below:

- (1) Geologist -
 - a. Supervises and coordinates all field geologic activity involved in mapping.
 - b. Schedules mapping of prepared areas designated by the Field Engineer and coordinates required construction support with Field Engineer. Provides Field Engineer with estimate of time required for mapping in specific areas.

-2-

- c. Determines the need for and extent of support necessary from Consultant (LETCO) and schedules personnel required for mapping.
- d. Schedules and supervises as necessary all office drafting associated with preparation of geologic maps.
- coordinates review of field and office work, including preparation and review of reports, with Consultant (LETCO).
- f. Schedules and coordinates site visits of Independent Geologic Consultant when visits are required.
- g. Releases investigated areas to Field Engineer after completion of geologic mapping.
- When designated, represents Design Engineering during NRC site visits and inspections regarding geologic matters.
- (2) Field Engineer
 - a. Schedules availability of areas to be mapped and assures that specific areas are cleaned and maintained until mapping is complete.
 - b. Coordinates earthwork operations in areas requiring mapping with Geologist and advises when areas are ready for mapping.
 - c. Schedules and coordinates labor, equipment, survey support, and other construction resources as necessary to assure that mapping can be completed as soon as possible.

(3) Consultant (LETCO) -

- Provides geologist personnel support for assistance in mapping when requested by the Geologist and/or the Responsible Engineer.
- b. Reviews all maps, drawings, data and reports relating to geology and foundation conditions and offers comments and suggestions as requested by the Geologist and/or the Responsible Engineer.
- c. Provides other specialized assistance in personnel or services if requested by the Geologist and/or the Responsible Engineer.
- (4) Independent Geologic Consultant
 - a. Shall provide inspection, review and opinions pertaining to data developed for special features in consultation with Duke and Consultant (LETCO) when requested by the Geologist and/or the Responsible Engineer.

b. May provide other services as may be necessary if requested by the Responsible Engineer.

E. REQUIREMENTS FOR MAPPING

Phase I - Initial Mapping (Top of Rock)

During early construction on the site, the Geologist will monitor the overall earthmoving activities and will record in a Geologic Field Log and by use of photographs any significant observable geologic features in the areas to be mapped. At an appropriate time before rock excavation begins, the Field Engineer will notify the Geologist when an area will be ready for inspection and mapping. The Field Engineer will coordinate the necessary construction resources to prepare tha area for mapping.

Excavated areas will be mapped at elevations where earth and rock materials can no longer be removed with earthmoving equipment and blasting is required for further excavation. Preparation of an area for mapping will normally consist of a general clean-up of loose surface materials and gentle sloping of surfaces, where practicable. Limited portions of the area may have to be cleaned more thoroughly by air or water hoses, etc., to permit more detailed mapping, if features requiring detail study are found.

For areas scheduled to be mapped, the Geologist will schedule appropriate Duke Personnel and LETCO personnel, if required, to carry out the mapping in that area. In areas of exposed rock the Field Engineer will coordinate with survey crews to establish a 20 ft. grid reference system. The grid shall be plainly visible and shall be capable of being easily photographed. Iridescent type paint may be used for marking the grid and elevations shall be determined on certain grid points as requested by the Geologist to assist in map work. A 5 ft. grid may be required in some areas if determined necessary by the Geologist.

If the area to be mapped contains sloped surfaces, at greater than 30° angle from horizontal, or nearly vertical walls, the Geologist may request the Field Engineer to have the slope marked off in grids on the slope area. The Field Engineer shall also schedule and provide appropriate construction equipment or safety-ropes, etc., to allow taking of structural readings on steep slopes as requested by the Geologist. When all mapping of an

-4-

area has been completed, the Geologist will release the area to the Field Engineer for subsequent excavation.

Phase II - Final Excavation Mapping

As the excavation of an area to be mapped nears final grade, the Field Engineer will advise the Geologist of the expected date when excavation and cleanup of the area will be complete and available for mapping. The Geologist will then determine the expected mapping completion date based on his estimate of required work for the area.

The area shall be mapped as soon as practicable after it is cleaned. Various special equipment and methods may have to be employed by the Field Engineer to maintain a mappable surface. Normally, visible 10 ft. grid reference points will be provided by the Field Engineer for Phase II mapping. The Geologist shall coordinate the mapping with the Field Engineer so that priority can be placed on any critical portions of an area.

When final mapping has been completed in the area, the Geologist will release that area to the Field Engineer for construction.

Section II - Technical Mapping Aspects

Geologic features shall be mapped at the top of rock, or Phase I mapping stage, at a scale of 1"=20' on base maps. Any detail mapping of features shall be at a scale of 1"=5'. Photographs reproduced to an appropriate scale may be used as permanent record and for checking field mapping as determined necessary by the Geologist.

In the final Phase II foundation mapping stage, structural data will be taken concurrently with the mapping effort whenever deemed necessary by the field Geologist. Density of structural readings in the powerhouse excavation should be about 2 readings per 10 ft. grid square or as many as deemed necessary by the Geologist to get a representative sample of the geologic features. The data should include measurements of the following types of geologic occurrences, where applicable:

As determined by the field Geologist, sufficient samples should be selected for study in thin section. The thin section data obtained should be keyed into the mapping and compared with thin section data obtained during previous studies in the area. For fault features, additional thin sections and other data may be required.

An appropriate index system shall be established to reference samples, features, and data to physical locations in the mapped areas. The system shall be suitable for cross-referencing and grouping of similar and non-similar features.

In addition, any potential problem features that may have engineering significance and will affect structure design or construction due to joints, shear zones or weathered condition of rock should be reported to the Responsible Engineer.

Section III - Investigating and Documenting Geologic Fault Features

A. INTRODUCTION

Extensive studies of fault features characteristic of the region and of the project site area have been made at the Catawba Nuclear Station (Docket Nos. 50-413 and -414) during geologic mapping and at the Cherokee project site in support of the Preliminary Safety Analysis Report for project licensing. These studies ⁽¹⁾ establish that numerous fault features occur and can be anticipated in any large excavation in the region.

B. PURPOSE

The purpose of this section is to establish a procedure for investigating and documenting geological fault features. The fault investigation will utilize data from previous studies where applicable.

C. SCOPE

This Investigation relates directly to those geologic fault features occurring in excavations required for safety related structure foundations.

D. PROCEDURE

- Geologic mapping will be conducted as stated in Cherokee PSAR Section 2.5.1.2(9) and Section IE and Section II of this Procedure.
- 2. In the event a feature as described below is discovered the (field) Geologist will promptly notify the Responsible Engineer. Features which are reportable to Responsible Engineer may include brecciated zones containing offsets and any other offsets or displaced feature of tectonic origin.
- The Responsible Engineer will hold any structure construction in an area containing a feature as described in 2 above until a determination
PR No. DUK-001-PR-01 Revision 0 Page E109 of E160

can be made 1) that the feature is similar to features previously studied by observation and that its relevant characteristics can be determined by correlation to previously studied features or (2) that the feature is not similar to any previously studied feature and requires new investigation.

- 4. For similar features (described in item 3(1)), the Geologist will so notify the Responsible Engineer who will release work in the area as soon as the Geologist has indexed the feature, documented it by detailed mapping and photographs, and established the feature's similarity to a previously established feature. This similarity will be documented by comparing relevant characteristics to features observed and studied at Catawba Nuclear Station during mapping or in any of the numerous test pits opened at the Cherokee site during subsurface investigative studies. Where this similarity can be established and documented, mapping and project work will continue routinely. A tabular summary will be prepared which indexes each occurrence of a feature and makes specific comparison to a previously studied feature. Documentation will be subject to audit during field inspections by NRC.
- 5. For features where similarity cannot be established by comparison to features previously studied at the Cherokee or Catawba sites, the Responsible Engineer will continue to hold work in the area and notify the NRC Project Manager of the discovery. The geologic feature will then be left exposed for ten (10) days for NRC inspection.
- 5a. Duke with the assistance of Law Engineering Testing Company and/or other consultant will map the feature, develop data, and determine if the feature falls in the sequence of geologic events established and reported in the Cherokee PSAR.
- 5b. A third party, independent geologic consultant, will be engaged and will visit the site to examine the feature and examine the data developed by Duke and LETCo and/or other consultant. The Geologist will notify the Responsible Engineer (when geologic mapping, photography and field data gathering have been completed) that investigations have been completed and documented. The independent consultant will report his findings to the Responsible Engineer.

-8-

- 5c. If the independent geologic consultant concurs with Duke's conclusions, the Responsible Engineer will then release the area for project construction activity upon completion of item 5b.
- 5d. For non-similar features a report will be prepared which shall consist of the following:
- Description of the feature including the investigation and description of data obtained
- (2) Geologic history
- (3) Summary and conclusions
- (4) Geologic maps and photographs
- (5) Report of findings by Geologic Consultant
- (6) This report and other data will be available for NRC review whenever requested.

PR No. DUK-001-PR-01 Revision 0 Page E111 of E160

Addendum to Mapping Procedures (April 22, 1977) Transmitted to Law Engineering Company and Duke Power Company from Clemson University [Duke File Name:1977-0422 Geo Mapping Letter, Hatcher to Sams and Pearce (From LETCo Cherokee Files).pdf]

PR No. DUK-001-PR-01 Revision 0 Page E112 of E160

Mr. C. E. Sams Assistant Vice-President Law Engineering Testing Company P. O. Box 11297 Charlotte, NC 28209

and

Mr. I. W. Pearce Principal Engineer Civil-Environmental Division Duke Power Company P. O. Box 2178 Charlotte, NC 28242

Dear Sirs:

A meeting was held April 13, 1977, at Cherokee Nuclear Station for the purpose of examining bedrock features in the cleaned area in the Number 1 Turbine Building. Present at the meeting were Malcomb N. Schaeffer, Duke Power Company, Neil J. Gilbert, Law Engineering Company and myself.

The meeting began with a preliminary briefing by Malcomb Schaeffer over lunch. We arrived at the site at about 1:00 PM and joined N. J. Gilbert on the cleaned area in Number 1 Turbine Building. We examined bedrock lithologies and structures and studied the 1" = 20' geologic maps prepared of the cleaned area.

After the examination of bedrock features on the site we returned to the construction site office to discuss the nature of the geologic mapping and more specifically the problems related to 1" = 5' scale mapping and what should be mapped.

The following recommendations were formulated as a result of these discussions:

1. Mapping of features at 1" = 5' scale.

(a) There should be a minimum of 2 feet offset on a fault. This is the threshold of visibility of offset at the 1" = 20' scale.

and/or

(b) There should be 50 feet traceable length on a fault. This is again related to a significant threshold of prominence of a structural feature on a 1" = 20' scale map.

and/or

(c) Cataclastic zones of significant thickness. A minimum thickness of 1 foot is arbitrarily chosen.

PR No. DUK-001-PR-01 Revision 0 Page E113 of E160

Mr. C. E. Sams Mr. I. W. Pearce April 22, 1977 Page -2-

Corollary to the above:

Combinations of greater offset with less traceable length and/or thicker cataclastic zones may in the Geologist's judgment warrant 1" = 5' scale mapping. Also, greater traceable length and lesser offset may likewise require 1" = 5' scale mapping.

 Repetitious sampling of features otherwise established as similar during the initial phases of the top of rock mapping program should not be undertaken. However, samples should be taken at the discretion of the Geologist.

We returned to the site at 5:25 P.M. after the above recommendations were formulated and examined a fault previously not seen by the Independent Geologic Consultant. The above recommendations were applied to this fault and appeared to work well.

The meeting was adjourned at 6:00 P.M.

Sincerely,

Poluit D. Hatu

Robert D. Hatcher, Jr. Professor of Geology Clemson University Clemson, SC 29631

RDH:sr

cc: Dr. Donald T. Secor, Jr.

Data Verification Summary

Data Verification Title: <u>Cherokee Nuclear Station Service Building Final Foundation Field</u> <u>Maps (SB1 and SB2)</u> ID Number: <u>HRQ-FWLA-002</u> Date of Qualification Review Team Meeting: <u>November 12, 2010</u>

Quality Review Team:

Name	Sign (Initials)	Organization	Qualifications	
Jim Cassidy	JEl 1 Der 2010	Duke Energy	QA/QC Verification	
John McConaghy	JMM JONOVIO	Duke Energy	Engineering	
Juan Vizcaya	N	Enercon Services Inc.	Engineering	
Malcolm Schaeffer	MFS	HDR/DTA	Former Duke Power Project Geologist	
Michael Gray	MGG	FWLA	Project Principal Geologist	
Adam Wade	AMU	FWLA	Project Geologist	

Data / Evidence Considered During the Reviews:

The contents of the files outlined in attachment 2 were reviewed for applicability and completeness.

Critical Attributes Considered During the Reviews:

Files comprise the hand drawn field maps, documenting observed foundation level mapping conducted at the former Cherokee Nuclear Station (CNS) Service Building.

Basis for Qualification / Non-Qualification:

The data is qualified to use in WLS evaluations to document final foundation geology

beneath former CNS Service Building. The information documented in these files were

acquired, prepared, and verified using the applicable specifications and Duke QA procedures governing at the time of gathering and preparation. The CNS Service Building final

foundation field geologic maps may be used in corroboration of information.

These field maps will be used, in addition to the CNS Units 1 and 2 maps, as inputs to

develop a final foundation map record to support geologic evaluations as part of WLS COLA.

Is the data considered Nuclear Safety Related QA Qualified? <u>Yes</u> (Yes / No)

Recommendations for Additional Qualification Activities:

None

Dissenting conclusions or commer	its: If the team reaches concensu	s, enter "None	" here. Otherwise,
Reviewer Name and Organization:			
Dissenting Statement:	None		
Signature and Date:			
I hereby certify this Data Verifica	tion Package is complete:		
Quality Review Team Lead:	hel My My	(Sign)	Date: <u>12/02/2010</u>
I approve this Data Verification P	ackage for the usage ident	ified above:	
Approved By: Roman	R.L. Morgan, Jr.	<u>(Sign)</u>	Date: <u>12/02/2010</u>

(Duke Project Manager or designee)

Data Verification Planning Form

Data Verification Title: Cherokee Nuclear Station ID Number: <u>HRQ-FWLA-002</u> Service Building Final Foundation Maps (SB1 and **SB2**)

Scope of Historical Data Requiring Review:

Two hand drawn field geologic maps at 1"=10' scale of final excavated surface of the

Service Building for the Cherokee Nuclear Station (CNS). Mapping was performed during

CNS construction from 1977 to 1982. Attachment 1 summarizes the individual field maps

to be qualified. Attachment 2 contains .pdf copies of the hand drawn map panels.

Attachment 3 contains a copy of the record transmittal. Attachment 4 contains a copy of

the written mapping procedures and addendum for the final excavation mapping program.

Purpose / Applicability of Data:

These field maps will be used, in addition to the CNS Units 1 and 2 maps, as inputs to develop a final foundation map record to support geologic evaluations as part of WLS COLA.

Methods of Verification (X):

Peer Review _____ Data Corroboration X Confirmatory Testing

Rationale:

The field maps generated for this project were produced using approved standards				
and procedures by Duke Power Company, now	v Duke-Energy. They repr	esent an in-process		
product with status as of the time work on the	Cherokee project was stop	oped. A copy of the		
Duke Power mapping procedure and addendur	n is provided in Attachme	nt 4. Current-era		
work will compile information from these field maps to support licensing and				
construction of Lee Nuclear Station.				
Need for Data Qualification Affirmed By:	Michael Gray	Date:10/15/2010		
·	FWLA Project Manager (or	r designee)		

Required Organizations for Verification:

FWLA with support from Duke-Energy and Enercon.

Duke Approval of Scope and Methods Used: Ramona & RL Morgan, J Date: 12/02/2010 **Duke Project Manager (or designee)**

Attachments:

Attachment 1 Listing of Field Maps to be Qualified Attachment 2 CNS Service Building Final Foundation Field Maps SB1 and SB2 Attachment 3 Record Transmittals Attachment 4 Duke Power Company Mapping Procedures and Addendum

PR No. DUK-001-PR-01 **Revision 0** Page E117 of E160



Duk	e Energy Records Desig	CNS Records Designation	CNS Map Phase	
Folder Name	File Name	Date of Transmittal	Map Panel Designation	Phase II map 1"=10'
Sonvice Ruilding	122_20101012.JPEG	10/13/2010	SB1	Х
Service Building	123_20101012.JPEG	10/13/2010	SB2	Х

PR No. DUK-001-PR-01 **Revision 0** Page E119 of E160





PR No. DUK-001-PR-01 Revision 0 Page E120 of E160

937498

Vardes

122

3



PR No. DUK-001-PR-01 97450 X Revision 0 Page E121 of E160

9.460×

91+30×

91+80K

· 9/490X

- 92+00 X -

92+10×

92420×

72+304

P3

92140×

92+50×

23

ALL B

PR No. DUK-001-PR-01 Revision 0 Page E122 of E160



Record Transmittals:

Top of Rock Map Panels, Wall Map Panels, and Service Building Foundation Maps Transmitted to FWLA from Duke Energy (October 13, 2010)

Final Foundation Index Map, Geologic Mapping Procedure, and Supplemental Mapping Guidance Transmittal to FWLA from Duke Energy (November 23, 2010)



RECO IOLISLIO MGG

PR No. DUK-001-PR-01 Revision 0 Page Earl23 of E160 EC09D/ 526 South Church Street Charlotte, NC 28201-1006

Mailing Address: P.O. Box 1006 – EC09D Charlotte, NC 28201-1006

704-382-7830

704-382-2038 fax

October 13, 2010

Mr. Tom Slavonic Enercon Services 4490 Old William Penn Highway Murrysville, PA 15668

Subject: Top of Rock Map Panels, Wall Map Panels, and Service Building Foundation Maps

Dear Tom:

During our recent review of surviving Cherokee-era working documents at the Duke offices, Malcolm Schaeffer pointed out the Service Building foundation maps that had been missing during our current mapping project. Malcolm also identified complete sets of Top-of-Rock Map panels, and Wall Map panels. These items have been scanned again consistent with FWLA's needs for the mapping tasks, and the scans are contained on the attached CD. The files are identified as shown in the attached listing.

If you have questions, please call me at 704-382-7830.

Sincerely,

John M. McConaghy, Jr.

Engineering Consultant

Enclosure: 1 CD as described

cc: Mike Gray, FWLA (w/ enclosure) Malcolm Schaeffer, HDR|DTA (w/ enclosure) R.L. Morgan (w/o enclosure) J.S. Thrasher (w/o enclosure) J.R. Cassidy (w/o enclosure) 4000.01-09 (w/ enclosure) Mr. Tom Slavonic October 13, 2010 Page 2 of 3

Listing of CD Folders and Files (sheet 1 of 2)

Volume in drive D is TopRock_WallMaps Volume Serial Number is 5973-956A

Directory of D:\

10/13/201011:31 AM<DIR>Additional Cherokee-Era Geologic Mapping Scans0 File(s)0 bytes

Directory of D:\Additional Cherokee-Era Geologic Mapping Scans

10/13/2010	11:31 AM	<dir></dir>	
10/13/2010	12:35 PM	<dir></dir>	••
10/13/2010	11:32 AM	<dir></dir>	Final Fdn Maps - CK-10 Series MLS Folder 13
10/12/2010	04:34 PM	<dir></dir>	Service Building
10/12/2010	04:49 PM	<dir></dir>	Top of Rock Maps - CK-20 Series MLS Folder 14
10/13/2010	11:28 AM	<dir></dir>	Wall Maps - U1 MLS Folder13, U2 MLS Folder 8
	0 File(s)	0 bytes

Directory of D:\Additional Cherokee-Era Geologic Mapping Scans\Final Fdn Maps - CK-10 Series MLS Folder 13

10/13/2010	11:32 AM	<dir></dir>	
10/13/2010	11:31 AM	<dir></dir>	
10/13/2010	11:32 AM		0 CK-10 Series Previously Transmitted
	1 File(s)	0 bytes

Directory of D:\Additional Cherokee-Era Geologic Mapping Scans\Service Building

10/12/2010	04:34 PM	<dir></dir>	
10/13/2010	11:31 AM	<dir></dir>	••
10/12/2010	01:37 PM	9,940,479	122 20101012.jpg
10/12/2010	01:39 PM	8,052,850	123 20101012.jpg
	2 File(s)	17,993,325	9 bytes

Directory of D:\Additional Cherokee-Era Geologic Mapping Scans\Top of Rock Maps - CK-20 Series MLS Folder 14

10/12/2010	04:49	PM	<dir></dir>	
10/13/2010	11:31	AM	<dir></dir>	••
10/12/2010	04:01	PM	9,759,896	ck20-01_20101012_01.jpg
10/12/2010	04:01	PM	10,078,809	ck20-01 20101012 02.jpg
10/12/2010	04:01	PM	7,392,250	ck20-01 20101012_03.jpg
10/12/2010	04:02	PM	5,777,591	ck20-01 20101012 04.jpg
10/12/2010	04:02	PM	6,444,427	ck20-01 20101012 05.jpg
10/12/2010	04:02	PM	3,044,212	ck20-01 20101012 06.jpg
10/12/2010	04:07	PM	10,434,397	ck20-02 20101012_01.jpg
10/12/2010	04:07	PM	8,846,726	ck20-02 20101012 02.jpg
10/12/2010	04:07	PM	5,825,793	ck20-02 20101012 03.jpg
10/12/2010	04:08	PM	7,966,907	ck20-02 20101012 04.jpg
10/12/2010	04:08	PM	8,680,759	ck20-02 20101012 05.jpg
10/12/2010	04:08	PM	8,930,141	ck20-02 20101012 06.jpg
10/12/2010	04:11	PM	10,252,712	ck20-03 20101012 01.jpg
10/12/2010	04:12	PM	6,575,732	ck20-03_20101012_02.jpg

Mr. Tom Slavonic October 13, 2010 Page 3 of 3

Listing of CD Folders and Files (sheet 2 of 2)

10/12/2010	04:12 PM	10,406,620 ck20-03 20101012_03.jpg
10/12/2010	04:12 PM	5,645,841 ck20-03 20101012_04.jpg
10/12/2010	04:13 PM	5,598,919 ck20-03 20101012_05.jpg
10/12/2010	04:13 PM	8,563,547 ck20-03 20101012 06.jpg
10/12/2010	04:15 PM	7,499,550 ck20-04 20101012 01.jpg
10/12/2010	04:16 PM	7,083,395 ck20-04 20101012 02.jpg
10/12/2010	04:16 PM	6,270,975 ck20-04 20101012_03.jpg
10/12/2010	04:16 PM	6,477,375 ck20-04 20101012_04.jpg
10/12/2010	04:18 PM	10,175,323 ck20-05 20101012 01.jpg
10/12/2010	04:19 PM	5,249,532 ck20-05 20101012_02.jpg
10/12/2010	04:19 PM	6,875,786 ck20-05 20101012_03.jpg
10/12/2010	04:19 PM	4,993,186 ck20-06 20101012 01.jpg
03/08/2007	12:03 PM	51,123,852 CK21-05A-002 Top of Rock Map Index.tif
	27 File(s)	245,974,253 bytes

Directory of D:\Additional Cherokee-Era Geologic Mapping Scans\Wall Maps - U1 MLS Folder13, U2 MLS Folder 8

10/13/2010	11:28	AM	<dir></dir>		
10/13/2010	11:31	AM	<dir></dir>		
10/12/2010	03:36	PM	7,080,008	U1_wall_maps_	_20101012_01.jpg
10/12/2010	03:36	PM	4,285,881	U1_wall_maps_	20101012_02.jpg
10/12/2010	03:36	PM	6,711,437	U1_wall_maps_	_20101012_03.jpg
10/12/2010	03:36	PM	5,910,759	U1 wall maps	_20101012_04.jpg
10/12/2010	03:36	PM	5,351,665	U1 wall maps	20101012_05.jpg
10/12/2010	03:37	PM	5,696,710	U1 wall maps	20101012_06.jpg
10/12/2010	03:37	PM	6,749,387	U1_wall_maps_	_20101012_07.jpg
10/12/2010	03:37	PM	2,149,403	U1_wall_maps_	20101012_08.jpg
10/12/2010	03:37	PM	2,005,658	U1 wall maps	20101012_09.jpg
10/12/2010	03:37	PM	5,404,262	Ul_wall_maps_	20101012_10.jpg
10/12/2010	03:38	PM	5,481,555	Ul_wall_maps_	_20101012_11.jpg
10/12/2010	03:38	PM	6,481,455	U1_wall_maps_	_20101012_12.jpg
10/12/2010	03:38	PM	6,406,683	U1_wall_maps_	20101012_13.jpg
10/12/2010	03:38	PM	5,417,767	Ul wall maps_	20101012_14.jpg
10/12/2010	03:39	PM	5,595,000	U1 wall maps	20101012_15.jpg
10/12/2010	03:39	PM	5,830,501	Ul_wall_maps_	20101012_16.jpg
10/12/2010	03:39	PM	5,900,371	U1_wall_maps_	_20101012_17.jpg
10/12/2010	03:39	PM	6,162,857	Ul_wall_maps_	_20101012_18.jpg
10/12/2010	02:37	PM	3,945,702	U1_wall_maps_	_20101012-1.jpg
10/12/2010	02:38	PM	2,790,311	U1_wall_maps_	_20101012-2.jpg
10/12/2010	02:39	PM	5,221,707	U1_wall_maps_	_20101012-3.jpg
10/12/2010	02:43	PM	6,149,398	Ul_wall_maps_	_20101012-4.jpg
10/13/2010	11:10	AM	5,421,496	U2_wall_maps_	_20101013_125_PartA.jpg
10/13/2010	11:09	AM	5,670,236	U2_wall_maps_	_20101013_125_PartB.jpg
10/13/2010	11:10	AM	5,480,150	U2_wall_maps_	_20101013_126.jpg
10/13/2010	11:10	AM	5,564,012	U2_wall_maps_	_20101013_127.jpg
10/13/2010	11:10	AM	7,773,212	U2_wall_maps_	_20101013_128.jpg
10/13/2010	11:10	AM	4,793,258	U2_wall_maps_	_20101013_129.jpg
10/13/2010	11:10	AM	2,908,704	U2_wall_maps_	_20101013_130.jpg
10/13/2010	11:11	AM	4,569,143	U2_wall_maps_	_20101013_131.jpg
	30 1	File(s)	158,908,680	8 bytes	

Total Files Listed: 60 File(s) 15 Dir(s)

 60 File(s)
 422,876,270 bytes

 15 Dir(s)
 0 bytes free



PR No. DUK-001-PR-01 Revision 0 DIMA Georet 26 of E160 EC09D/ 526 South Church Street Charlotte. NC 28201-1006

Mailing Address: P.O. Box 1006 – EC09D Charlotte, NC 28201-1006

704-382-7830

704-382-2038 fax

November 23, 2010

Mr. Tom Slavonic Enercon Services 4490 Old William Penn Highway Murrysville, PA 15668

Subject: Final Foundation Index Map, Geologic Mapping Procedure, and Supplemental Mapping Guidance

Dear Tom:

After our recent teleconference on qualification of Cherokee legacy geologic mapping documentation, Adam Wade pointed out that FWLA still needed to receive controlled distribution of several documents, including the Final Foundation Index Map, and the geologic mapping procedures, as well as input on those procedures from Dr. Hatcher. The attached CD contains these documents, as retrieved from several sources.

The files whose file name includes a Duke microfilm reel number were obtained from scans of microfilm records from Duke archives, and can be identified by the reel and frame number stenciled at the left of each page. The document whose name contains "MFS CK1108.00" was retrieved from Malcolm Schaeffer's Cherokee correspondence files that are now maintained as part of the Lee project documentation. The documents containing "LETCo" in the filename were retrieved from the Law Engineering Cherokee files at MACTEC.

Comparison of the Duke and Law versions of the Geologic Mapping procedure give high confidence that the content of the two is the same, and reflects the procedures used in the field.

The Duke archive microfilm record contains only the first page of Dr. Hatcher's letter, but it is the same as the first page of Mr. Schaeffer's complete (two-page) document. Comparing Mr. Schaeffer's CK1108.00 complete Hatcher document to the comparable document from Law, one can confirm that the content is the same.

The CK1108.00 version and the Law version of the Hatcher letter differ in some cosmetic ways such as pagination. I believe this can be explained by recalling that in 1977, such letters were produced on a typewriter, and a letter to two different companies would normally have two originals typed. It is not unreasonable to expect that a 1977 typist may have created different page breaks for the two letters, while maintaining the same content.

PR No. DUK-001-PR-01 Revision 0 Page E127 of E160

Mr. Tom Slavonic November 23, 2010 Page 2 of 2

The Final Foundation Index map is easily identified by its file name. The files are identified as shown in the listing below.

File Nerro	File Properties				
File Name	Created			Size (Bytes)	
1976-1130 Geologic Mapping Procedure, Pearce to Sams (From Duke Microfilm Reel 3184).pdf	11/22/2010	05:31	PM	808,352	
1976-1130 Geologic Mapping Procedure, Pearce to Sams (From LETCo Cherokee Files).pdf	11/22/2010	05:32	РМ	1,975,820	
1977-0422 Geo Mapping Letter, Hatcher to Sams and Pearce (From Duke MFS CK1108.00).pdf	11/22/2010	05:34	PM	517,068	
1977-0422 Geo Mapping Letter, Hatcher to Sams and Pearce (From Duke Microfilm Reel 3184).pdf	11/22/2010	05:34	PM	85,621	
1977-0422 Geo Mapping Letter, Hatcher to Sams and Pearce (From LETCo Cherokee Files).pdf	11/22/2010	05:35	РМ	341,589	
Final Foundation Index Map (AKA Binder2 2).pdf	10/09/2006	09:27	AM	30,201,242	

If you have questions, please call me at 704-382-7830.

Sincerely,

John M. McConaghy Engineering Consultar

Enclosure: 1 CD as described

cc: Mike Gray, FWLA (w/ enclosure) Malcolm Schaeffer, HDR|DTA (w/ enclosure) R.L. Morgan (w/o enclosure) J.S. Thrasher (w/o enclosure) J.R. Cassidy (w/o enclosure) 4000.01-09 (w/ enclosure)

PR No. DUK-001-PR-01 **Revision 0** Page E128 of E160



PR No. DUK-001-PR-01 Revision 0 Page E129 of E160

Duke Power Company Project 81 Cherokee Nuclear Station Geologic Mapping Procedure (November 30, 1976) Transmitted to Law Engineering Testing Co. from Duke Power Company [Duke File Name:1976-1130 Geologic Mapping Procedure, Pearce to Sams (From Duke Microfilm Reel 3184).pdf]

PR No. DUK-001-PR-01 Revision 0 Page E130 of E160 H2-H4 1213

VITAL NOTES

Hr. C. E. Sams Law Engineering Testing Co. P. O. Box 11297 Charlotte, N. C. 28209

RE: Charokes 1-3 Geologic Happing File No: CK-1108.00

Dear Hr. Sams:

Hovember 30, 1976

Attached is a copy of the procedure we propose to use for geologic mapping at Charokee for your review. Please plan to meet with us at the Electric Center on Honday, December 13, 1976, at 2:00 p.m. to discuss our overall mapping program at Cherokee and Law Engineering's rais in that program. We pen discuss any comments you might have on the procedure at that time.

Yours very truly,

L. C. Dall, Chief Engineer Civil/Environmental Division

8y: I. W. Pearce, Principal Engineer IWP/gc

Attachment

cc: C. Q. Reaves D. R. Privett M. F. Schaeffer





Geologic Mapping Procedure

Outline

 \square

SECTION I - GENERAL DESCRIPTION

- A. Purpose
- B. Scope of Happing and Sequence
- C. Definition of Responsible Parties
 - 1) Responsible Engineer
 - 2) Geologist
 - 3) Field Engineer
 - 4) Consultant
 - 5) Independent Geologic Consultant
- D. Assignment of Responsibilities
 - 1) Geologist
 - 2) Field Engineer
 - 3) Consultant
 - 4) Independent Geologic Consultant

E. Requirements for Mapping

- 1) Phase I Initial Mapping
- 2) Phase II Final Excavation Mapping

SECTION II - TECHNICAL ASPECTS OF MAPPING

SECTION III - INVESTIGATING AND DOCUMENTING GEOLOGIC FAULT FEATURES

PR No. DUK-001-PR-01 CK Revision () Page E132 of E160

DUKE POWER COMPANY PROJECT 81 CHEROKEE NUCLEAR STATION GEOLOGIC MAPPING PROCEDURE

ATTACHMENT

Section I - General Description

A. PURPOSE

N

0

J

C

J

8

 \sim

7

C

The purpose of the geologic mapping program for Cherokee Station is to provide a permanent record of the foundation conditions encountered in areas of safety-related structures as outlined in PSAR Section 2.5.1.2(9). This Section of the procedure outlines the relationship of responsibilities and activities between Design Engineering and Construction Departments, and the Consultants in the following areas:

Scope of Mapping and Sequence Definition of Parties Assignment of Responsibilities Requirements for Mapping

B. SCOPE OF MAPPING AND SEQUENCE

The geologic mapping required by this procedure shall be performed for certain designated areas including the following major plant structures:

- Power Block Area (Reactor Building, Auxiliary Bullding and Turbine Building Complex)
- (2) Nuclear Service Water Pond Dam foundation
- (3) NSW Pond Spillway Control Section foundation
- (4) NSW Pump Structure foundations

The complete program of geologic mapping shall be sequenced in two basic phases depending on the extent of excavation progress.

- Phase I Scale l'=20' Mapping of the top of rock before blasting is started in power block area only. Detailed mapping at l'=5' where necessary.
- Phase II Scale 1"=10' Mapping of final excavated surfaces. Detailed mapping at 1"=5' where necessary.

When the final foundation grade is above top of rock, no Phase I mapping will be necessary. Scale for final mapping in saprolite shall not be less

than 1"=201.

PR No. DUK-001-PR-01 Revision 0 Page E138 of E160

C. DEFINITION OF RESPONSIBLE PARTIES

•

3

5

7

0

¥

8

 \sim

2

C

 <u>Responsible Engineer</u> - The Principal Engineer in charge of the responsible Civil Projects Section in the Civil/Environmental Division
 of Design Engineering Department or his designated representative.

СК

- (2) <u>Geologist</u> The individual designated by Chief Engineer of Civil/ Environmental Division to act as his field representative in any matters pertaining to geologic mapping.
- (3) <u>Field Engineer</u> The specific individual designated by the Construction Department Project Manager to be responsible for the coordination of all construction support or activities required for carrying out the geologic mapping program.
- (4) <u>Consultant</u> The person or persons assigned by Law Engineering and Testing Co. (LETCo) with sufficient training and experience to assist with field mapping work and review of drawings and reports when requested by the Geologist or Responsible Engineer.
- (5) <u>Independent Geologic Consultant</u> A third-party consultant (or consultants) with recognized expertise in the geology of the area engaged specifically for this work. This consultant will provide independent review of fault features and supporting data and conclusions where NRC notification is required for non-similar features as defined in Section III.

D. ASSIGNMENT OF RESPONSIBILITIES

All parties involved in the geologic mapping program will have general overall responsibilities to jointly support the requirement of the mapping program, to pursue their respective assignments in a timely and professional manner, and to assure that the resulting maps, data, reports, and documents are sufficient in content and accuracy to accomplish the purpose intended. The specific scope of responsibility for each of the parties for field mapping work is outlined below:

- (1) Geologist
 - a. Supervises and coordinates all field geologic activity involved in mapping.
 - b. Schedules mapping of prepared areas designated by the Field Engineer and coordinates required construction support with Field Engineer. Provides Field Engineer with estimate of time required for mapping in specific areas.

-2-

PR No. DUK-001-PR-01 CK 0.8.;00

- c. Determines the need for and extent of support necessary from Consultant (LETCO) and schedules personnel required for mapping.
- d. Schedules and supervises as necessary all office drafting associated with preparation of geologic maps.
- e. Coordinates review of field and office work, including preparation and review of reports, with Consultant (LETCO).
- f. Schedules and coordinates site visits of Independent Geologic Consultant when visits are required.
- g. Releases investigated areas to Field Engineer after completion of geologic mapping.
- h. When designated, represents Design Engineering during NRC site visits and inspections regarding geologic matters.
- (2) Field Engineer -

• 2

0

T

 \odot

J

 ∞

1

>

 \mathbf{C}

- a. Schedules availability of areas to be mapped and assures that specific areas are cleaned and maintained until mapping is complete.
- b. Coordinates earthwork operations in areas requiring mapping with Geologist and advises when areas are ready for mapping.
- c. Schedules and coordinates labor, equipment, survey support, and other construction resources as necessary to assure that mapping can be completed as soon as possible.

(3) Consultant (LETCO) -

- a. Provides geologist personnel support for assistance in mapping when requested by the Geologist and/or the Responsible Engineer.
- b. Reviews all maps, drawings, data and reports relating to geology and foundation conditions and offers comments and suggestions as requested by the Geologist and/or the Responsible Engineer.
- c. Provides other specialized assistance in personnel or services if requested by the Geologist and/or the Responsible Engineer.
- (4) Independent Geologic Consultant
 - a. Shall provide inspection, review and opinions pertaining to data developed for special features in consultation with Duke and Consultant (LETCO) when requested by the Geologist and/or the Responsible Engineer.

-3-

b. May provide other services as may be necessary if requested by the Responsible Engineer.

PR No. DUK-001-PR-01

Revision 0

E. REQUIREMENTS FOR MAPPING

Phase I - Initial Mapping (Top of Rock)

During early construction on the site, the Geologist will monitor the overall earthmoving activities and will record in a Geologic Field Log and by use of photographs any significant observable geologic features in the areas to be mapped. At an appropriate time before rock excavation begins, the Field Engineer will notify the Geologist when an area will be ready for inspection and mapping. The Field Engineer will coordinate the necessary construction resources to prepare tha area for mapping.

Excavated areas will be mapped at elevations where earth and rock materials can no longer be removed with earthmoving equipment and blasting is required for further excavation. Preparation of an area for mapping will normally consist of a general clean-up of loose surface materials and gentle sloping of surfaces, where practicable. Limited portions of the area may have to be cleaned more thoroughly by air or water hoses, etc., to permit more detailed mapping, if features requiring detail study are found.

For areas scheduled to be mapped, the Geologist will schedule appropriate Duke Personnel and LETCO personnel, if required, to carry out the mapping in that area. In areas of exposed rock the Field Engineer will coordinate with survey crews to establish a 20 ft. grid reference system. The grid shall be plainly visible and shall be capable of being easily photographed. Iridescent type paint may be used for marking the grid and elevations shall be determined on certain grid points as requested by the Geologist to assist in map work. A 5 ft. grid may be required in some areas if determined necessary by the Geologist.

If the area to be mapped contains sloped surfaces, at greater than 30° angle from horizontal, or nearly vertical walls, the Geologist may request the Field Engineer to have the slope marked off in grids on the slope area. The Field Engineer shall also schedule and provide appropriate construction equipment or safety-ropes, etc., to allow taking of structural readings on steep slopes as requested by the Geologist. When all mapping of an

i.

-4-



area has been completed, the Geologist will release the area to the Field Engineer for subsequent excavation.

Phase II - Final Excavation Mapping

As the excavation of an area to be mapped nears final grade, the Field Engineer will advise the Geologist of the expected date when excavation and cleanup of the area will be complete and available for mapping. The Geologist will then determine the expected mapping completion date based on his estimate of required work for the area.

The area shall be mapped as soon as practicable after it is cleaned. Various special equipment and methods may have to be employed by the Field Engineer to maintain a mappable surface. Normally, visible 10 ft. grid reference points will be provided by the Field Engineer for Phase II mapping. The Geologist shall coordinate the mapping with the Field Engineer so that priority can be placed on any critical portions of an area.

When final mapping has been completed in the area, the Geologist will release that area to the Field Engineer for construction.

PR No. DUK-001-PR-01 Revision 0 Page E137 of E160

Section II - Technical Mapping Aspects

0467

A

co

M

>

C

CK 1108.00

Geologic features shall be mapped at the top of rock, or Phase I mapping stage, at a scale of $1^{11}=20^{11}$ on base maps. Any detail mapping of features shall be at a scale of $1^{11}=5^{11}$. Photographs reproduced to an appropriate scale may be used as permanent record and for checking field mapping as determined necessary by the Geologist.

In the final Phase II foundation mapping stage, structural data will be taken concurrently with the mapping effort whenever deemed necessary by the field Geologist. Density of structural readings in the powerhouse excavation should be about 2 readings per 10 ft. grid square or as many as deemed necessary by the Geologist to get a representative sample of the geologic features. The data should include measurements of the following types of geologic occurrences, where applicable:

foliation - type, strike, dip, weathering
joints - spacing, continuity, separation, roughness fill material,
 strike, dip
shear planes
and faults - brecciation, offsets, strike, dip
contacts - rock types, weathering

As determined by the field Geologist, sufficient samples should be selected for study in thin section. The thin section data obtained should be keyed into the mapping and compared with thin section data obtained during previous studies in the area. For fault features, additional thin sections . and other data may be required.

An appropriate index system shall be established to reference samples, features, and data to physical locations in the mapped areas. The system shall be suitable for cross-referencing and grouping of similar and non-similar features.

In addition, any potential problem features that may have engineering significance and will affect structure design or construction due to joints, shear zones or weathered condition of rock should be reported to the Responsible Engineer.

-6-

Section III - Investigating and Documenting Geologic Fault Features

A. INTRODUCTION

Extensive studies of fault features characteristic of the region and of the project site area have been made at the Catawba Nuclear Station (Docket Nos. 50-413 and -414) during geologic mapping and at the Cherokee project site in support of the Preliminary Safety Analysis Report for project licensing. These studies ⁽¹⁾ establish that numerous fault features occur and can be anticipated in any large excavation in the region.

PR No. DUK-001-PR-01

B. PURPOSE

The purpose of this section is to establish a procedure for investigating and documenting geological fault features. The fault investigation will utilize data from previous studies where applicable.

C. SCOPE

30

2

C

J

8

 \sim

2

C

This Investigation relates directly to those geologic fault features occurring in excavations required for safety related structure foundations.

D. PROCEDURE

- Geologic mapping will be conducted as stated in Cherokee PSAR Section
 2.5.1.2(9) and Section IE and Section II of this Procedure.
- 2. In the event a feature as described below is discovered the (field) Geologist will promptly notify the Responsible Engineer. Features which are reportable to Responsible Engineer may include brecciated zones containing offsets and any other offsets or displaced feature of tectonic origin.
- 3. The Responsible Engineer will hold any structure construction in an area containing a feature as described in 2 above until a determination

⁽¹⁾ Reference: Catawba Nuclear Station - PSAR, Chapter 2, Section 2.5, Appendices 2C and 2E - "Final Geological Report on Brecciated Zones" Cherokee Nuclear Station - PSAR, Chapter 2, Section 2.5, Appendices 2C and 2E

PR No. DUK-001-PR-01 CK Revision 0 Page 1100 OF E100

¢

can be made 1) that the feature is similar to features previously studied by observation and that its relevant characteristics can be determined by correlation to previously studied features or (2) that the feature is not similar to any previously studied feature and requires new investigation.

٠,

м. ж.,

5

5

4

C

T

00

P2

7

C

- 4. For similar features (described in item 3(1)), the Geologist will so notify the Responsible Engineer who will release work in the area as soon as the Geologist has indexed the feature, documented it by detailed mapping and photographs, and established the feature's similarity to a previously established feature. This similarity will be documented by comparing relevant characteristics to features observed and studied at Catawba Nuclear Station during mapping or in any of the numerous test pits opened at the Cherokee site during subsurface investigative studies. Where this similarity can be established and documented, mapping and project work will continue routinely. A tabular summary will be prepared which indexes each occurrence of a feature and makes specific comparison to a previously studied feature. Documentation will be subject to audit during field inspections by NRC.
- 5. For features where similarity cannot be established by comparison to features previously studied at the Cherokee or Catawba sites, the Responsible Engineer will continue to hold work in the area and notify the NRC Project Manager of the discovery. The geologic feature will then be left exposed for ten (10) days for NRC inspection.
- 5a. Duke with the assistance of Law Engineering Testing Company and/or other consultant will map the feature, develop data, and determine if the feature falls in the sequence of geologic events established and reported in the Cherokee PSAR.
- 5b. A third party, independent geologic consultant, will be engaged and will visit the site to examine the feature and examine the data developed by Duke and LETCo and/or other consultant. The Geologist will notify the Responsible Engineer (when geologic mapping, photography and field data gathering have been completed) that investigations have been completed and documented. The independent consultant will report his findings to the Responsible Engineer.

-8-

PR No. DUK-001-PR-01 **Revision** Q 6 NPag**q** E14008E160 ()

٩

- 5c. If the independent geologic consultant concurs with Duke's conclusions, the Responsible Engineer will then release the area for project construction activity upon completion of item 5b.
- 5d. For non-similar features a report will be prepared which shall consist of the following:
- (1) Description of the feature including the investigation and description of data obtained
- (2) Geologic history
- (3) Summary and conclusions
- (4) Geologic maps and photographs
- (5) Report of findings by Geologic Consultant
- (6) This report and other data will be available for NRC review whenever requested.

PR No. DUK-001-PR-01 Revision 0 Page E141 of E160

Addendum to Mapping Procedures (April 22, 1977) Transmitted to Law Engineering Company and Duke Power Company from Clemson University [Duke File Name:1977-0422 Geo Mapping Letter, Hatcher to Sams and Pearce (From Duke Microfilm Reel 3184).pdf]

PR No. PUK-001-PR-01 Revision & Page E142 of E160

CK 1108.00

APRIL 22, 1977

Mr, I. W. Pearce Principal Engineer Civil-Environmental Division Duke Power Company P. O. Box 2178 Charlotte, NC 28242

and

N

\$

C

T

0

M

2

C

Mr. C. E. Sams Assistant Vice-President Law Engineering Testing Company P. O. Box 11297 Charlotte, NC 28209

Dear Sirs:

A meeting was held April 13, 1977, at Cherokee Nuclear Station for the purpose of examining bedrock features in the cleaned area in the Number 1 Turbine Building. Present at the meeting were Malcomb N. Schaeffer, Duke Power Company, Neil J. Gilbert, Law Engineering Testing Company and myself.

The meeting began with a preliminary briefing by Malcomb Schaeffer over lunch. We arrived at the site at about 1:00 PM and joined N. J. Gilbert on the cleaned area in Number 1 Turbine Building. We examined bedrock lithologies and structures and studied the $1^{"} = 20^{"}$ geologic maps prepared of the cleaned area.

After the examination of bedrock features on the site we returned to the construction site office to discuss the nature of the geologic mapping and more specifically the problems related to 1" = 5' scale mapping and what should be mapped.

The following recommendations were formulated as a result of these discussions:

- 1. Mapping of features at 1" = 5' scale.
 - (a) There should be a minimum of 2 feet offset on a fault. This is the threshold of visibility of offset at the 1" = 20' scale.

and/or

(b) There should be 50 feet traceable length on a fault. This is again related to a significant threshold of prominence of a structural feature on a 1" = 20' scale map.

and/or

(c) Cataclastic zones of significant thickness. A minimum thickness of 1 foot is arbitrarily chosen.

Corollary to the above:

Combinations of greater offset with less traceable length and/or thicker

PR No. DUK-001-PR-01 Revision 0 Page E143 of E160

Addendum to Mapping Procedures (April 22, 1977) Transmitted to Law Engineering Company and Duke Power Company from Clemson University [Duke File Name:1977-0422 Geo Mapping Letter, Hatcher to Sams and Pearce (From Duke MFS CK1108.00).pdf]

PR No. DUK-001-PR-01 Revision 0 Page E144 of E160

Mr. I. W. Pearce Principal Engineer Civil-Environmental Division Duke Power Company P. O. Box 2178 Charlotte, NC 28242

and

Mr. C. E. Sams Assistant Vice-President Law Engineering Testing Company P. O. Box 11297 Charlotte, NC 28209

Dear Sirs:

A meeting was held April 13, 1977, at Cherokee Nuclear Station for the purpose of examining bedrock features in the cleaned area in the Number 1 Turbine Building. Present at the meeting were Malcomb N. Schaeffer, Duke Power Company, Neil J. Gilbert, Law Engineering Testing Company and myself.

The meeting began with a preliminary briefing by Malcomb Schaeffer over lunch. We arrived at the site at about 1:00 PM and joined N. J. Gilbert on the cleaned area in Number 1 Turbine Building. We examined bedrock lithologies and structures and studied the 1" = 20' geologic maps prepared of the cleaned area.

After the examination of bedrock features on the site we returned to the construction site office to discuss the nature of the geologic mapping and more specifically the problems related to 1'' = 5' scale mapping and what should be mapped.

The following recommendations were formulated as a result of these discussions:

- 1. Mapping of features at 1" = 5' scale.
 - (a) There should be a minimum of 2 feet offset on a fault. This is the threshold of visibility of offset at the 1" = 20' scale.

and/or

(b) There should be 50 feet traceable length on a fault. This is again related to a significant threshold of prominence of a structural feature on a 1" = 20' scale map.

and/or

(c) Cataclastic zones of significant thickness. A minimum thickness of l foot is arbitrarily chosen.

Corollary to the above:

Combinations of greater offset with less traceable length and/or thicker
PR No. DUK-001-PR-01 Revision 0 Page E145 of E160

Mr. I. W. Pearce, Mr. C. E. Sams April 22, 1977 Page -2-

cataclastic zones may in the Geologist's judgment warrant 1" = 5' scale mapping. Also, greater traceable length and lesser offset may likewise require 1" = 5' scale mapping.

 Repetitious sampling of features otherwise established as similar during the initial phases of the top of rock mapping program should not be undertaken. However, samples should be taken at the discretion of the Geologist.

We returned to the site at 5:25 P.M. after the above recommendations were formulated and examined a fault previously not seen by the Independent Geologic Consultant. The above recommendations were applied to this fault and appeared to work well.

The meeting was adjourned at 6:00 P.M.

Sincerely,

Polint D. Haten

Robert D. Hatcher, Jr. Professor of Geology Clemson University Clemson, SC 29631

RDH:sr

cc: Dr. Donald T. Secor, Jr.

PR No. DUK-001-PR-01 Revision 0 Page E146 of E160

Duke Power Company Project 81 Cherokee Nuclear Station Geologic Mapping Procedure (November 30, 1976) Transmitted to Law Engineering Testing Co. from Duke Power Company [Duke File Name:1976-1130 Geologic Mapping Procedure, Pearce to Sams (From LETCo Cherokee Files).pdf]

PR No. DUK-001-PR-01 **Revision 0** Page E147 of E160

DUKE POWER COMPANY

GENERAL OFFICES 422 SOUTH CHURCH STREET **CHARLOTTE, N. C. 28201**

TELEPHONE: AREA 704 374-4011

November 30, 1976

P. O. BOX 2178

COPY TO NEIL GILBERT

Mr. C. E. Sams Law Engineering Testing Co. P. 0. Box 11297 Charlotte, N. C. 28209

RE: Cherokee 1-3 Geologic Mapping File No: CK-1108.00

Dear Mr. Sams:

Attached is a copy of the procedure we propose to use for geologic mapping Wed Center on Monday, December 19, 1976, at 2:00 p.m. to discuss our overall mapping program at Cherokee and Law Engineering's role in that program. We can discuss any comments you might have on the procedure at that time.

Yours very truly,

L. C. Dail, Chief Engineer Civil/Environmental Division

1. W. Pearce, Principal Engineer By:

IWP/gc

Attachment

cc: C. Q. Reeves

D. R. Privett

M. F. Schaeffer

Geologic Mapping Procedure Outline

SECTION I - GENERAL DESCRIPTION

- A. Purpose
- B. Scope of Mapping and Sequence
- C. Definition of Responsible Parties
 - 1) Responsible Engineer
 - 2) Geologist MALCOLM SCHAEFFER
 - 3) Field Engineer
 - 4) Consultant
 - 5) Independent Geologic Consultant
- D. Assignment of Responsibilities
 - 1) Geologist
 - 2) Field Engineer
 - 3) Consultant
 - 4) Independent Geologic Consultant

E. Requirements for Mapping

- 1) Phase I Initial Mapping
- 2) Phase II Final Excavation Mapping

SECTION II - TECHNICAL ASPECTS OF MAPPING

SECTION III - INVESTIGATING AND DOCUMENTING GEOLOGIC FAULT FEATURES

DUKE POWER COMPANY PROJECT 81 CHEROKEE NUCLEAR STATION GEOLOGIC MAPPING PROCEDURE

Section I - General Description

A. PURPOSE

The purpose of the geologic mapping program for Cherokee Station is to provide a permanent record of the foundation conditions encountered in areas of safety-related structures as outlined in PSAR Section 2.5.1.2(9). This Section of the procedure outlines the relationship of responsibilities and activities between Design Engineering and Construction Departments, and the Consultants in the following areas:

Scope of Mapping and Sequence Definition of Parties Assignment of Responsibilities Requirements for Mapping

B. SCOPE OF MAPPING AND SEQUENCE

The geologic mapping required by this procedure shall be performed for certain designated areas including the following major plant structures:

- Power Block Area (Reactor Building, Auxiliary Building and Turbine Building Complex)
- (2) Nuclear Service Water Pond Dam foundation
- (3) NSW Pond Spillway Control Section foundation
- (4) NSW Pump Structure foundations

The complete program of geologic mapping shall be sequenced in two basic phases depending on the extent of excavation progress.

- Phase I Scale l'=20' Mapping of the top of rock before blasting is started in power block area only. Detailed mapping at l'=5' where necessary.
- Phase II Scale l'=10' Mapping of final excavated surfaces. Detailed mapping at l'=5' where necessary.

When the final foundation grade is above top of rock, no Phase I mapping will be necessary. Scale for final mapping in saprolite shall not be less than 1"=20'.

PR No. DUK-001-PR-01 Revision 0 Page E150 of E160

Bob Hatcher

C. DEFINITION OF RESPONSIBLE PARTIES

- <u>Responsible Engineer</u> The Principal Engineer in charge of the *LW.HARCE* responsible Civil Projects Section in the Civil/Environmental Division of Design Engineering Department or his designated representative.
- (2) <u>Geologist</u> The individual designated by Chief Engineer of Civil/ MALCOLM Environmental Division to act as his field representative in any matters pertaining to geologic mapping.
- (3) <u>Field Engineer</u> The specific individual designated by the Construction Department Project Manager to be responsible for the coordination of all construction support or activities required for carrying out the geologic mapping program.
- (4) <u>Consultant</u> The person or persons assigned by Law Engineering and Testing Co. (LETCo) with sufficient training and experience to assist with field mapping work and review of drawings and reports when requested by the Geologist or Responsible Engineer.
- (5) <u>Independent Geologic Consultant</u> A third-party consultant (or consultants) with recognized expertise in the geology of the area engaged specifically for this work. This consultant will provide independent review of fault features and supporting data and conclusions where NRC notification is required for non-similar features as defined in Section III.

D. ASSIGNMENT OF RESPONSIBILITIES

All parties involved in the geologic mapping program will have general overall responsibilities to jointly support the requirement of the mapping program, to pursue their respective assignments in a timely and professional manner, and to assure that the resulting maps, data, reports, and documents are sufficient in content and accuracy to accomplish the purpose intended. The specific scope of responsibility for each of the parties for field mapping work is outlined below:

- (1) Geologist -
 - a. Supervises and coordinates all field geologic activity involved in mapping.
 - b. Schedules mapping of prepared areas designated by the Field Engineer and coordinates required construction support with Field Engineer. Provides Field Engineer with estimate of time required for mapping in specific areas.

-2-

- c. Determines the need for and extent of support necessary from Consultant (LETCO) and schedules personnel required for mapping.
- d. Schedules and supervises as necessary all office drafting associated with preparation of geologic maps.
- coordinates review of field and office work, including preparation and review of reports, with Consultant (LETCO).
- f. Schedules and coordinates site visits of Independent Geologic Consultant when visits are required.
- g. Releases investigated areas to Field Engineer after completion of geologic mapping.
- When designated, represents Design Engineering during NRC site visits and inspections regarding geologic matters.
- (2) Field Engineer
 - a. Schedules availability of areas to be mapped and assures that specific areas are cleaned and maintained until mapping is complete.
 - b. Coordinates earthwork operations in areas requiring mapping with Geologist and advises when areas are ready for mapping.
 - c. Schedules and coordinates labor, equipment, survey support, and other construction resources as necessary to assure that mapping can be completed as soon as possible.

(3) Consultant (LETCO) -

- Provides geologist personnel support for assistance in mapping when requested by the Geologist and/or the Responsible Engineer.
- b. Reviews all maps, drawings, data and reports relating to geology and foundation conditions and offers comments and suggestions as requested by the Geologist and/or the Responsible Engineer.
- c. Provides other specialized assistance in personnel or services if requested by the Geologist and/or the Responsible Engineer.
- (4) Independent Geologic Consultant
 - a. Shall provide inspection, review and opinions pertaining to data developed for special features in consultation with Duke and Consultant (LETCO) when requested by the Geologist and/or the Responsible Engineer.

b. May provide other services as may be necessary if requested by the Responsible Engineer.

E. REQUIREMENTS FOR MAPPING

Phase I - Initial Mapping (Top of Rock)

During early construction on the site, the Geologist will monitor the overall earthmoving activities and will record in a Geologic Field Log and by use of photographs any significant observable geologic features in the areas to be mapped. At an appropriate time before rock excavation begins, the Field Engineer will notify the Geologist when an area will be ready for inspection and mapping. The Field Engineer will coordinate the necessary construction resources to prepare tha area for mapping.

Excavated areas will be mapped at elevations where earth and rock materials can no longer be removed with earthmoving equipment and blasting is required for further excavation. Preparation of an area for mapping will normally consist of a general clean-up of loose surface materials and gentle sloping of surfaces, where practicable. Limited portions of the area may have to be cleaned more thoroughly by air or water hoses, etc., to permit more detailed mapping, if features requiring detail study are found.

For areas scheduled to be mapped, the Geologist will schedule appropriate Duke Personnel and LETCO personnel, if required, to carry out the mapping in that area. In areas of exposed rock the Field Engineer will coordinate with survey crews to establish a 20 ft. grid reference system. The grid shall be plainly visible and shall be capable of being easily photographed. Iridescent type paint may be used for marking the grid and elevations shall be determined on certain grid points as requested by the Geologist to assist in map work. A 5 ft. grid may be required in some areas if determined necessary by the Geologist.

If the area to be mapped contains sloped surfaces, at greater than 30° angle from horizontal, or nearly vertical walls, the Geologist may request the Field Engineer to have the slope marked off in grids on the slope area. The Field Engineer shall also schedule and provide appropriate construction equipment or safety-ropes, etc., to allow taking of structural readings on steep slopes as requested by the Geologist. When all mapping of an

-4-

area has been completed, the Geologist will release the area to the Field Engineer for subsequent excavation.

Phase II - Final Excavation Mapping

As the excavation of an area to be mapped nears final grade, the Field Engineer will advise the Geologist of the expected date when excavation and cleanup of the area will be complete and available for mapping. The Geologist will then determine the expected mapping completion date based on his estimate of required work for the area.

The area shall be mapped as soon as practicable after it is cleaned. Various special equipment and methods may have to be employed by the Field Engineer to maintain a mappable surface. Normally, visible 10 ft. grid reference points will be provided by the Field Engineer for Phase II mapping. The Geologist shall coordinate the mapping with the Field Engineer so that priority can be placed on any critical portions of an area.

When final mapping has been completed in the area, the Geologist will release that area to the Field Engineer for construction.

Section II - Technical Mapping Aspects

Geologic features shall be mapped at the top of rock, or Phase I mapping stage, at a scale of 1"=20' on base maps. Any detail mapping of features shall be at a scale of 1"=5'. Photographs reproduced to an appropriate scale may be used as permanent record and for checking field mapping as determined necessary by the Geologist.

In the final Phase II foundation mapping stage, structural data will be taken concurrently with the mapping effort whenever deemed necessary by the field Geologist. Density of structural readings in the powerhouse excavation should be about 2 readings per 10 ft. grid square or as many as deemed necessary by the Geologist to get a representative sample of the geologic features. The data should include measurements of the following types of geologic occurrences, where applicable:

As determined by the field Geologist, sufficient samples should be selected for study in thin section. The thin section data obtained should be keyed into the mapping and compared with thin section data obtained during previous studies in the area. For fault features, additional thin sections and other data may be required.

An appropriate index system shall be established to reference samples, features, and data to physical locations in the mapped areas. The system shall be suitable for cross-referencing and grouping of similar and non-similar features.

In addition, any potential problem features that may have engineering significance and will affect structure design or construction due to joints, shear zones or weathered condition of rock should be reported to the Responsible Engineer.

Section III - Investigating and Documenting Geologic Fault Features

A. INTRODUCTION

Extensive studies of fault features characteristic of the region and of the project site area have been made at the Catawba Nuclear Station (Docket Nos. 50-413 and -414) during geologic mapping and at the Cherokee project site in support of the Preliminary Safety Analysis Report for project licensing. These studies ⁽¹⁾ establish that numerous fault features occur and can be anticipated in any large excavation in the region.

B. PURPOSE

The purpose of this section is to establish a procedure for investigating and documenting geological fault features. The fault investigation will utilize data from previous studies where applicable.

C. SCOPE

This Investigation relates directly to those geologic fault features occurring in excavations required for safety related structure foundations.

D. PROCEDURE

- Geologic mapping will be conducted as stated in Cherokee PSAR Section 2.5.1.2(9) and Section IE and Section II of this Procedure.
- 2. In the event a feature as described below is discovered the (field) Geologist will promptly notify the Responsible Engineer. Features which are reportable to Responsible Engineer may include brecciated zones containing offsets and any other offsets or displaced feature of tectonic origin.
- The Responsible Engineer will hold any structure construction in an area containing a feature as described in 2 above until a determination

PR No. DUK-001-PR-01 Revision 0 Page E156 of E160

can be made 1) that the feature is similar to features previously studied by observation and that its relevant characteristics can be determined by correlation to previously studied features or (2) that the feature is not similar to any previously studied feature and requires new investigation.

- 4. For similar features (described in item 3(1)), the Geologist will so notify the Responsible Engineer who will release work in the area as soon as the Geologist has indexed the feature, documented it by detailed mapping and photographs, and established the feature's similarity to a previously established feature. This similarity will be documented by comparing relevant characteristics to features observed and studied at Catawba Nuclear Station during mapping or in any of the numerous test pits opened at the Cherokee site during subsurface investigative studies. Where this similarity can be established and documented, mapping and project work will continue routinely. A tabular summary will be prepared which indexes each occurrence of a feature and makes specific comparison to a previously studied feature. Documentation will be subject to audit during field inspections by NRC.
- 5. For features where similarity cannot be established by comparison to features previously studied at the Cherokee or Catawba sites, the Responsible Engineer will continue to hold work in the area and notify the NRC Project Manager of the discovery. The geologic feature will then be left exposed for ten (10) days for NRC inspection.
- 5a. Duke with the assistance of Law Engineering Testing Company and/or other consultant will map the feature, develop data, and determine if the feature falls in the sequence of geologic events established and reported in the Cherokee PSAR.
- 5b. A third party, independent geologic consultant, will be engaged and will visit the site to examine the feature and examine the data developed by Duke and LETCo and/or other consultant. The Geologist will notify the Responsible Engineer (when geologic mapping, photography and field data gathering have been completed) that investigations have been completed and documented. The independent consultant will report his findings to the Responsible Engineer.

-8-

- 5c. If the independent geologic consultant concurs with Duke's conclusions, the Responsible Engineer will then release the area for project construction activity upon completion of item 5b.
- 5d. For non-similar features a report will be prepared which shall consist of the following:
- Description of the feature including the investigation and description of data obtained
- (2) Geologic history
- (3) Summary and conclusions
- (4) Geologic maps and photographs
- (5) Report of findings by Geologic Consultant
- (6) This report and other data will be available for NRC review whenever requested.

PR No. DUK-001-PR-01 Revision 0 Page E158 of E160

Addendum to Mapping Procedures (April 22, 1977) Transmitted to Law Engineering Company and Duke Power Company from Clemson University [Duke File Name:1977-0422 Geo Mapping Letter, Hatcher to Sams and Pearce (From LETCo Cherokee Files).pdf]

PR No. DUK-001-PR-01 Revision 0 Page E159 of E160

Mr. C. E. Sams Assistant Vice-President Law Engineering Testing Company P. O. Box 11297 Charlotte, NC 28209

and

Mr. I. W. Pearce Principal Engineer Civil-Environmental Division Duke Power Company P. O. Box 2178 Charlotte, NC 28242

Dear Sirs:

A meeting was held April 13, 1977, at Cherokee Nuclear Station for the purpose of examining bedrock features in the cleaned area in the Number 1 Turbine Building. Present at the meeting were Malcomb N. Schaeffer, Duke Power Company, Neil J. Gilbert, Law Engineering Company and myself.

The meeting began with a preliminary briefing by Malcomb Schaeffer over lunch. We arrived at the site at about 1:00 PM and joined N. J. Gilbert on the cleaned area in Number 1 Turbine Building. We examined bedrock lithologies and structures and studied the 1" = 20' geologic maps prepared of the cleaned area.

After the examination of bedrock features on the site we returned to the construction site office to discuss the nature of the geologic mapping and more specifically the problems related to 1" = 5' scale mapping and what should be mapped.

The following recommendations were formulated as a result of these discussions:

1. Mapping of features at 1" = 5' scale.

(a) There should be a minimum of 2 feet offset on a fault. This is the threshold of visibility of offset at the 1" = 20' scale.

and/or

(b) There should be 50 feet traceable length on a fault. This is again related to a significant threshold of prominence of a structural feature on a 1" = 20' scale map.

and/or

(c) Cataclastic zones of significant thickness. A minimum thickness of 1 foot is arbitrarily chosen.

PR No. DUK-001-PR-01 Revision 0 Page E160 of E160

Mr. C. E. Sams Mr. I. W. Pearce April 22, 1977 Page -2-

Corollary to the above:

Combinations of greater offset with less traceable length and/or thicker cataclastic zones may in the Geologist's judgment warrant 1" = 5' scale mapping. Also, greater traceable length and lesser offset may likewise require 1" = 5' scale mapping.

 Repetitious sampling of features otherwise established as similar during the initial phases of the top of rock mapping program should not be undertaken. However, samples should be taken at the discretion of the Geologist.

We returned to the site at 5:25 P.M. after the above recommendations were formulated and examined a fault previously not seen by the Independent Geologic Consultant. The above recommendations were applied to this fault and appeared to work well.

The meeting was adjourned at 6:00 P.M.

Sincerely,

Poluit D. Hatu

Robert D. Hatcher, Jr. Professor of Geology Clemson University Clemson, SC 29631

RDH:sr

cc: Dr. Donald T. Secor, Jr.