## From:

Sent:
Eto Takanori (b)(6)

To:
Cc:
Subject:


> FOIA Resource

Stevens, Margo; OPA Resource
FOIA Request on your evaluation on JNRC Documents

Dear FOIA Officer,
I have strongly thanked for your Foia responses to us and cannot doubt it contributes establishing better nuclear energy policy world wide. Though I am not a U.S citizen, the lessons of Fukushima incidents and your stuffs knowledge and insight on this field should, lead to both U.S. and universal public goods.

This is a request for records under the Freedom of Information Act, 5 U .S.c. § 552 .

In accordance with the act, I hereby request copies of any e-mails, letters, faxes, memoranda, or other written or electronic communications on the documents below.

All the internal argument, assessment, evaluation, or opinions of USNRC Officials from February 1 to March 31, 2013 on

1 'First Draft of New Safety Standards for Nuclear Power Plants "summary' February, 2013 Tentative translation'

2 'First Draft of New Safety Standards for Nuclear Power Plants February, 2013 Tentative translation "
( They were exchanged with Japanese Regulatory officials and USNRC in February, 2013.)

Please waive any applicable fees. Release of the information is in the public interest because it will contribute significantly to public understanding of government operations and activities.

Because this request involves information about governmental activity, and the request is made by a person primarily engaged in disseminating information to the public, I ask that this request be processed on an expedited basis.

If it is your position that any portion of the requested records is exempt from disclosure, I request that you provide it with an index oft hose documents, as required under Vaughn v. Rosen, 484 F. 2 d 820 (D.C. Cir. 1973), cert. denied, 415 U.S. 977 (1972). As you are aware, a Vaughn index must describe each document claimed as exempt with sufficient specificity "to permit a reasoned judgment as to whether the material is actually exempt under FOIA." Founding Church of Scientology v. Bell, 603 F.2d 945, 959 (D.C. Cir. 1979). Moreover, the Vaughn index must "describe each document or portion thereof withheld, and for each withholding it must discuss the consequences of supplying the sought-after information." King v. U.S. Department of Justice, 830 F.2d 210, 223-24 (D.C. Cir. 1987 ). In the event that some portions of the requested records are properly exempt from disclosure, please disclose any reasonably segregable, non-exempt portions oft he requested records. See 5 U.S.c. $\$ 552$ (b). If it is your position that a document contains nonexempt segments and that those non-exempt segments are so dispersed throughout the documents as to make segregation impossible, please state what portion of the document is non-exempt and how the material is dispersed through the document. Mead Data Central v. U.S. Department of the Air Force, 455 F. $2 \mathrm{~d} 242,261$ (D.C. Cir. 1977). Claims of non-segregability must be made with the same detail as required for claims of exemption in a Vaughn index. If a request is denied in whole, please state specifically that it is not reasonable to segregate portions of the record for release.

Now that the Fukushima crisis case's crucial session is going to be held very near, in Tokyo district court, so I look forward to your reply within 10 business days, as the statute requires.

Also, the documents I requests should be huge volume, please disclose any meaningful part of records as separately as possible and exclude irresiponsible documents for this requests to assure reasonable access to the records.

Sincerely

Takanori Eto

sent may be from iphone.

