

Craver, Patti

From: Balsam, Briana *nmr*
Sent: Thursday, February 16, 2012 1:13 PM
To: Williamson, Alicia; Nash, Harriet; Logan, Dennis
Subject: RE: Pilgrim Section7

Alicia,

Thanks for looking into it. Dennis and I talked to Julie Crocker at NMFS earlier this week, and from her records, she things that NMFS never sent out the letter, though their website indicated that they did. So, it looks like we are going to treat it as if it was never concluded and finish out the consultation now. Thanks again for your help!

Briana

From: Williamson, Alicia *nmr*
Sent: Thursday, February 16, 2012 12:55 PM
To: Williamson, Alicia; Balsam, Briana; Nash, Harriet; Logan, Dennis
Subject: RE: Pilgrim Section7

Hi Briana

I took a look at the Pilgrim files I still have and could not locate the letter from NMFS.

Looking through some of the old files jogged my memory, though.

From what I recall a women named Julie (something) from the Gloucester

Office-NMFS was really slow to get us that letter. She did eventually send it but I want to say it was at the last minute.

I'm finding it hard to believe that the letter was sent on March 1, 2007 and we did not include it in the Final EIS.

There was plenty of time to get that letter into the Final, which didn't publish until July 2007, but mistakes do happen.

Nevertheless, I'm sorry I could not be more helpful.

Maybe Harriet will have better luck.

Thanx

Alicia

From: Williamson, Alicia
Sent: Monday, February 13, 2012 9:41 AM
To: Balsam, Briana; Nash, Harriet; Logan, Dennis
Subject: RE: Pilgrim Section7

Guys

I will take look and let you know if I come across the letter.

Alicia

From: Balsam, Briana
Sent: Monday, February 13, 2012 9:27 AM
To: Williamson, Alicia; Nash, Harriet; Logan, Dennis
Subject: RE: Pilgrim Section7

Alicia and Harriet,

What we have figured out so far is that NMFS's Public Consultation Tracking System website indicates that the Pilgrim consultation was closed out via letter on March 1, 2007. So, this letter would not have made it into the FSEIS in time. I was also unable to locate it in ADAMS.

Alicia—would you happen to have a record of this letter? It's possible that it just got mis-profiled and isn't coming up in searches, but I have tried every keyword I can think of and searched all documents for that date and haven't come up with anything!

Thanks,

Briana

From: Williamson, Alicia
Sent: Monday, February 13, 2012 9:24 AM
To: Nash, Harriet; Logan, Dennis
Cc: Balsam, Briana
Subject: RE: Pilgrim Section7

Harriet

I agree with you. I recall the Gloucester, MA office sending us a letter or something indicating the consultation was concluded.

The information would have been in the FEIS Appendix C, if the letter was received before publication. Everything is in ADAMS beyond my personal notes

I can look through what files I have left on Pilgrim but doubt if anything will turn up. It has been quite awhile since I worked or even thought about Pilgrim.

Alicia

From: Nash, Harriet *HR*
Sent: Monday, February 13, 2012 9:09 AM
To: Logan, Dennis
Cc: Balsam, Briana; Williamson, Alicia
Subject: RE: Pilgrim Section7

Hmm. That's strange. I do remember doing the consultation and don't think it was a big deal although there were quite a few species. Is the letter at least in the FEIS? I'm copying Alicia here because she was the PM and might have a better idea regarding NMFS and FWS correspondence....at least I think she'll have better access to things as well. Keep me posted. I do have a filing cabinet at NRC that can be accessed if necessary.

Harriet

From: Logan, Dennis *LR*
Sent: Thursday, February 09, 2012 10:25 AM
To: Nash, Harriet
Cc: Balsam, Briana
Subject: Pilgrim Section7

Harriet,

A question has come up on the Pilgrim Section 7 consultation. That was before Briana and I got involved. We can find nothing in ADAMS indicating that the informal consultation was concluded. We have the BA. I was wondering what you remembered about it. I talked to Masnik, but he said he really wasn't involved and didn't remember much.

Also, we are just finishing the informal consultation with Wolf Creek on Neosho madtom. The Corps finally got the hydrology modeling to FWS that showed how the madtom would be protected and how water use conflicts would be resolved. That was the first plant I visited with NRC.

Hope all is going well,
Dennis