

## **Lewis, Antoinette**

---

**From:** Riverkeeper <info@Riverkeeper.org> on behalf of Jane Hayes <janehayes77@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, July 09, 2013 4:57 PM  
**To:** NRCExecSec Resource  
**Subject:** Close Indian Point until it can be proven safe

Jul 9, 2013

Annette Vietti-Cook

Dear Vietti-Cook,

Columbia University says the risk of an earthquake as large as 7.0 on the Richter scale is quite possible at Indian Point. Entergy admits it can't handle an earthquake of this magnitude and that at best the plant could withstand a magnitude 6.1 earthquake. This is highly significant because the energy released in a 7.0 level earthquake is roughly 30 times more powerful than a 6.1. In light of the devastating problems facing the nuclear power plants in Japan and the news surrounding the resurrected 2008 Columbia University Earth Observatory study, I respectfully call upon you to close Indian Point until it can be proven safe.

The NRC specifically denied New York State's demand that this issue be examined as part of the relicensing review. As of now, the NRC is basing its conclusion that Indian Point will withstand an earthquake on seismic studies done nearly forty years ago, when the plant was built. I am writing to urge an immediate, objective and independent analysis of this risk and its implications for plant operation, emergency response and evacuation planning.

Only 24 miles from Manhattan, Indian Point is a nuclear plant with one of the highest surrounding population densities in the United States, with 20 million people within a 50 mile radius. If an earthquake or other disaster led to a rapid release of radioactivity, there is no plan currently in place to effectively evacuate the surrounding population without placing people at risk.

Entergy is a very profitable company (it is projected to make \$17 billion over its proposed 20 year extended operating life). It can afford to shut down for a sufficient amount of time, for example 3 months like the nuclear reactors in Germany, so that a proper review can be conducted about Indian Point's vulnerabilities and its ability to withstand an earthquake. In addition, spent fuel needs to be moved out of the poorly-protected pools on sight and into safer dry-cask storage.

If acted upon now, these are measures that can help protect millions of lives.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Dr. Jane Hayes  
PO Box 266  
Staatsburg, NY 12580-0266  
(845) 889-4179

## **NRCExecSec Resource**

---

**From:** Riverkeeper <info@Riverkeeper.org> on behalf of Gabriel Davalos  
<gabriel.h.davalos@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Wednesday, June 26, 2013 10:20 AM  
**To:** NRCExecSec Resource  
**Subject:** Close Indian Point until it can be proven safe

Jun 26, 2013

Annette Vietti-Cook

Dear Vietti-Cook,

Columbia University says the risk of an earthquake as large as 7.0 on the Richter scale is quite possible at Indian Point. Entergy admits it can't handle an earthquake of this magnitude and that at best the plant could withstand a magnitude 6.1 earthquake. This is highly significant because the energy released in a 7.0 level earthquake is roughly 30 times more powerful than a 6.1. In light of the devastating problems facing the nuclear power plants in Japan and the news surrounding the resurrected 2008 Columbia University Earth Observatory study, I respectfully call upon you to close Indian Point until it can be proven safe.

The NRC specifically denied New York State's demand that this issue be examined as part of the relicensing review. As of now, the NRC is basing its conclusion that Indian Point will withstand an earthquake on seismic studies done nearly forty years ago, when the plant was built.

I am writing to urge an immediate, objective and independent analysis of this risk and its implications for plant operation, emergency response and evacuation planning.

Only 24 miles from Manhattan, Indian Point is a nuclear plant with one of the highest surrounding population densities in the United States, with 20 million people within a 50 mile radius. If an earthquake or other disaster led to a rapid release of radioactivity, there is no plan currently in place to effectively evacuate the surrounding population without placing people at risk.

Entergy is a very profitable company (it is projected to make \$17 billion over its proposed 20 year extended operating life). It can afford to shut down for a sufficient amount of time, for example 3 months like the nuclear reactors in Germany, so that a proper review can be conducted about Indian Point's vulnerabilities and its ability to withstand an earthquake. In addition, spent fuel needs to be moved out of the poorly-protected pools on sight and into safer dry-cask storage.

If acted upon now, these are measures that can help protect millions of lives.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Mr. Gabriel Davalos  
504 Lorimer St  
Apt 1  
Brooklyn, NY 11211-3513  
(520) 591-5938

## **NRCExecSec Resource**

---

**From:** Riverkeeper <info@Riverkeeper.org> on behalf of e hegeman  
<ehegeman@jjay.cuny.edu>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, June 25, 2013 5:30 PM  
**To:** NRCExecSec Resource  
**Subject:** Close Indian Point until it can be proven safe

Jun 25, 2013

Annette Vietti-Cook

Dear Vietti-Cook,

Columbia University says the risk of an earthquake as large as 7.0 on the Richter scale is quite possible at Indian Point. Entergy admits it can't handle an earthquake of this magnitude and that at best the plant could withstand a magnitude 6.1 earthquake. This is highly significant because the energy released in a 7.0 level earthquake is roughly 30 times more powerful than a 6.1. In light of the devastating problems facing the nuclear power plants in Japan and the news surrounding the resurrected 2008 Columbia University Earth Observatory study, I respectfully call upon you to close Indian Point until it can be proven safe.

The NRC specifically denied New York State's demand that this issue be examined as part of the relicensing review. As of now, the NRC is basing its conclusion that Indian Point will withstand an earthquake on seismic studies done nearly forty years ago, when the plant was built. I am writing to urge an immediate, objective and independent analysis of this risk and its implications for plant operation, emergency response and evacuation planning.

Only 24 miles from Manhattan, Indian Point is a nuclear plant with one of the highest surrounding population densities in the United States, with 20 million people within a 50 mile radius. If an earthquake or other disaster led to a rapid release of radioactivity, there is no plan currently in place to effectively evacuate the surrounding population without placing people at risk.

Entergy is a very profitable company (it is projected to make \$17 billion over its proposed 20 year extended operating life). It can afford to shut down for a sufficient amount of time, for example 3 months like the nuclear reactors in Germany, so that a proper review can be conducted about Indian Point's vulnerabilities and its ability to withstand an earthquake. In addition, spent fuel needs to be moved out of the poorly-protected pools on sight and into safer dry-cask storage.

If acted upon now, these are measures that can help protect millions of lives.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Dr. e hegeman  
524 w 59th wt  
Ny, NY 10019  
(212) 237-8289

## Remsburg, Kristy

---

**From:** Riverkeeper <info@Riverkeeper.org> on behalf of Cecelia Byrnes <cbyrnes121@aol.com>  
**Sent:** Saturday, June 22, 2013 10:15 AM  
**To:** NRCExecSec Resource  
**Subject:** Close Indian Point until it can be proven safe

Jun 22, 2013

Annette Vietti-Cook

Dear Vietti-Cook,

Columbia University says the risk of an earthquake as large as 7.0 on the Richter scale is quite possible at Indian Point. Entergy admits it can't handle an earthquake of this magnitude and that at best the plant could withstand a magnitude 6.1 earthquake. This is highly significant because the energy released in a 7.0 level earthquake is roughly 30 times more powerful than a 6.1. In light of the devastating problems facing the nuclear power plants in Japan and the news surrounding the resurrected 2008 Columbia University Earth Observatory study, I respectfully call upon you to close Indian Point until it can be proven safe.

The NRC specifically denied New York State's demand that this issue be examined as part of the relicensing review. As of now, the NRC is basing its conclusion that Indian Point will withstand an earthquake on seismic studies done nearly forty years ago, when the plant was built.

I am writing to urge an immediate, objective and independent analysis of this risk and its implications for plant operation, emergency response and evacuation planning.

Only 24 miles from Manhattan, Indian Point is a nuclear plant with one of the highest surrounding population densities in the United States, with 20 million people within a 50 mile radius. If an earthquake or other disaster led to a rapid release of radioactivity, there is no plan currently in place to effectively evacuate the surrounding population without placing people at risk.

Entergy is a very profitable company (it is projected to make \$17 billion over its proposed 20 year extended operating life). It can afford to shut down for a sufficient amount of time, for example 3 months like the nuclear reactors in Germany, so that a proper review can be conducted about Indian Point's vulnerabilities and its ability to withstand an earthquake. In addition, spent fuel needs to be moved out of the poorly-protected pools on sight and into safer dry-cask storage.

If acted upon now, these are measures that can help protect millions of lives.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Ms. Cecelia Byrnes  
1005 Calhoun Ave  
Bronx, NY 10465-1910

## **NRCExecSec Resource**

---

**From:** Riverkeeper <info@Riverkeeper.org> on behalf of Joseph Tranchina  
<joevtranchina@optonline.net>  
**Sent:** Thursday, June 20, 2013 11:59 AM  
**To:** NRCExecSec Resource  
**Subject:** Close Indian Point until it can be proven safe

Jun 20, 2013

Annette Vietti-Cook

Dear Vietti-Cook,

Columbia University says the risk of an earthquake as large as 7.0 on the Richter scale is quite possible at Indian Point. Entergy admits it can't handle an earthquake of this magnitude and that at best the plant could withstand a magnitude 6.1 earthquake. This is highly significant because the energy released in a 7.0 level earthquake is roughly 30 times more powerful than a 6.1. In light of the devastating problems facing the nuclear power plants in Japan and the news surrounding the resurrected 2008 Columbia University Earth Observatory study, I respectfully call upon you to close Indian Point until it can be proven safe.

The NRC specifically denied New York State's demand that this issue be examined as part of the relicensing review. As of now, the NRC is basing its conclusion that Indian Point will withstand an earthquake on seismic studies done nearly forty years ago, when the plant was built.

I am writing to urge an immediate, objective and independent analysis of this risk and its implications for plant operation, emergency response and evacuation planning.

Only 24 miles from Manhattan, Indian Point is a nuclear plant with one of the highest surrounding population densities in the United States, with 20 million people within a 50 mile radius. If an earthquake or other disaster led to a rapid release of radioactivity, there is no plan currently in place to effectively evacuate the surrounding population without placing people at risk.

Entergy is a very profitable company (it is projected to make \$17 billion over its proposed 20 year extended operating life). It can afford to shut down for a sufficient amount of time, for example 3 months like the nuclear reactors in Germany, so that a proper review can be conducted about Indian Point's vulnerabilities and its ability to withstand an earthquake. In addition, spent fuel needs to be moved out of the poorly-protected pools on sight and into safer dry-cask storage.

If acted upon now, these are measures that can help protect millions of lives.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Mr. Joseph Tranchina  
58 Indian Trl N  
Greenwood Lake, NY 10925-4246

## **NRCExecSec Resource**

---

**From:** Riverkeeper <info@Riverkeeper.org> on behalf of francis mastri <famastri@me.com>  
**Sent:** Thursday, June 20, 2013 10:59 AM  
**To:** NRCExecSec Resource  
**Subject:** Close Indian Point until it can be proven safe

Jun 20, 2013

Annette Vietti-Cook

Dear Vietti-Cook,

Columbia University says the risk of an earthquake as large as 7.0 on the Richter scale is quite possible at Indian Point. Entergy admits it can't handle an earthquake of this magnitude and that at best the plant could withstand a magnitude 6.1 earthquake. This is highly significant because the energy released in a 7.0 level earthquake is roughly 30 times more powerful than a 6.1. In light of the devastating problems facing the nuclear power plants in Japan and the news surrounding the resurrected 2008 Columbia University Earth Observatory study, I respectfully call upon you to close Indian Point until it can be proven safe.

The NRC specifically denied New York State's demand that this issue be examined as part of the relicensing review. As of now, the NRC is basing its conclusion that Indian Point will withstand an earthquake on seismic studies done nearly forty years ago, when the plant was built. I am writing to urge an immediate, objective and independent analysis of this risk and its implications for plant operation, emergency response and evacuation planning.

Only 24 miles from Manhattan, Indian Point is a nuclear plant with one of the highest surrounding population densities in the United States, with 20 million people within a 50 mile radius. If an earthquake or other disaster led to a rapid release of radioactivity, there is no plan currently in place to effectively evacuate the surrounding population without placing people at risk.

Entergy is a very profitable company (it is projected to make \$17 billion over its proposed 20 year extended operating life). It can afford to shut down for a sufficient amount of time, for example 3 months like the nuclear reactors in Germany, so that a proper review can be conducted about Indian Point's vulnerabilities and its ability to withstand an earthquake. In addition, spent fuel needs to be moved out of the poorly-protected pools on sight and into safer dry-cask storage.

If acted upon now, these are measures that can help protect millions of lives.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Mr. francis mastri  
87 Jones St  
West Haven, CT 06516-5435

## **NRCExecSec Resource**

---

**From:** Riverkeeper <info@Riverkeeper.org> on behalf of Devin Henry <mrdsir@hotmail.com>  
**Sent:** Thursday, June 20, 2013 10:29 AM  
**To:** NRCExecSec Resource  
**Subject:** Close Indian Point until it can be proven safe

Jun 20, 2013

Annette Vietti-Cook

Dear Vietti-Cook,

Columbia University says the risk of an earthquake as large as 7.0 on the Richter scale is quite possible at Indian Point. Entergy admits it can't handle an earthquake of this magnitude and that at best the plant could withstand a magnitude 6.1 earthquake. This is highly significant because the energy released in a 7.0 level earthquake is roughly 30 times more powerful than a 6.1. In light of the devastating problems facing the nuclear power plants in Japan and the news surrounding the resurrected 2008 Columbia University Earth Observatory study, I respectfully call upon you to close Indian Point until it can be proven safe.

The NRC specifically denied New York State's demand that this issue be examined as part of the relicensing review. As of now, the NRC is basing its conclusion that Indian Point will withstand an earthquake on seismic studies done nearly forty years ago, when the plant was built. I am writing to urge an immediate, objective and independent analysis of this risk and its implications for plant operation, emergency response and evacuation planning.

Only 24 miles from Manhattan, Indian Point is a nuclear plant with one of the highest surrounding population densities in the United States, with 20 million people within a 50 mile radius. If an earthquake or other disaster led to a rapid release of radioactivity, there is no plan currently in place to effectively evacuate the surrounding population without placing people at risk.

Entergy is a very profitable company (it is projected to make \$17 billion over its proposed 20 year extended operating life). It can afford to shut down for a sufficient amount of time, for example 3 months like the nuclear reactors in Germany, so that a proper review can be conducted about Indian Point's vulnerabilities and its ability to withstand an earthquake. In addition, spent fuel needs to be moved out of the poorly-protected pools on sight and into safer dry-cask storage.

If acted upon now, these are measures that can help protect millions of lives.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Mr. Devin Henry  
PO Box 413  
Nichols, NY 13812-0413

## **NRCExecSec Resource**

---

**From:** Riverkeeper <info@Riverkeeper.org> on behalf of John Crockett  
<jac@alphamagic.org>  
**Sent:** Thursday, June 20, 2013 10:28 AM  
**To:** NRCExecSec Resource  
**Subject:** Close Indian Point until it can be proven safe

Jun 20, 2013

Annette Vietti-Cook

Dear Vietti-Cook,

Columbia University says the risk of an earthquake as large as 7.0 on the Richter scale is quite possible at Indian Point. Entergy admits it can't handle an earthquake of this magnitude and that at best the plant could withstand a magnitude 6.1 earthquake. This is highly significant because the energy released in a 7.0 level earthquake is roughly 30 times more powerful than a 6.1. In light of the devastating problems facing the nuclear power plants in Japan and the news surrounding the resurrected 2008 Columbia University Earth Observatory study, I respectfully call upon you to close Indian Point until it can be proven safe.

The NRC specifically denied New York State's demand that this issue be examined as part of the relicensing review. As of now, the NRC is basing its conclusion that Indian Point will withstand an earthquake on seismic studies done nearly forty years ago, when the plant was built.

I am writing to urge an immediate, objective and independent analysis of this risk and its implications for plant operation, emergency response and evacuation planning.

Only 24 miles from Manhattan, Indian Point is a nuclear plant with one of the highest surrounding population densities in the United States, with 20 million people within a 50 mile radius. If an earthquake or other disaster led to a rapid release of radioactivity, there is no plan currently in place to effectively evacuate the surrounding population without placing people at risk.

Entergy is a very profitable company (it is projected to make \$17 billion over its proposed 20 year extended operating life). It can afford to shut down for a sufficient amount of time, for example 3 months like the nuclear reactors in Germany, so that a proper review can be conducted about Indian Point's vulnerabilities and its ability to withstand an earthquake. In addition, spent fuel needs to be moved out of the poorly-protected pools on sight and into safer dry-cask storage.

If acted upon now, these are measures that can help protect millions of lives.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Mr. John Crockett  
24 Sol Dr  
Carmel, NY 10512-5039



## NRCExecSec Resource

---

**From:** Riverkeeper <info@Riverkeeper.org> on behalf of Alicia Rubi  
<aliciarubi@hotmail.com>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, June 18, 2013 6:51 PM  
**To:** NRCExecSec Resource  
**Subject:** Close Indian Point until it can be proven safe

Jun 18, 2013

Annette Vietti-Cook

Dear Vietti-Cook,

Columbia University says the risk of an earthquake as large as 7.0 on the Richter scale is quite possible at Indian Point. Entergy admits it can't handle an earthquake of this magnitude and that at best the plant could withstand a magnitude 6.1 earthquake. This is highly significant because the energy released in a 7.0 level earthquake is roughly 30 times more powerful than a 6.1. In light of the devastating problems facing the nuclear power plants in Japan and the news surrounding the resurrected 2008 Columbia University Earth Observatory study, I respectfully call upon you to close Indian Point until it can be proven safe.

The NRC specifically denied New York State's demand that this issue be examined as part of the relicensing review. As of now, the NRC is basing its conclusion that Indian Point will withstand an earthquake on seismic studies done nearly forty years ago, when the plant was built. I am writing to urge an immediate, objective and independent analysis of this risk and its implications for plant operation, emergency response and evacuation planning.

Only 24 miles from Manhattan, Indian Point is a nuclear plant with one of the highest surrounding population densities in the United States, with 20 million people within a 50 mile radius. If an earthquake or other disaster led to a rapid release of radioactivity, there is no plan currently in place to effectively evacuate the surrounding population without placing people at risk.

Entergy is a very profitable company (it is projected to make \$17 billion over its proposed 20 year extended operating life). It can afford to shut down for a sufficient amount of time, for example 3 months like the nuclear reactors in Germany, so that a proper review can be conducted about Indian Point's vulnerabilities and its ability to withstand an earthquake. In addition, spent fuel needs to be moved out of the poorly-protected pools on sight and into safer dry-cask storage.

If acted upon now, these are measures that can help protect millions of lives.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Alicia Rubi  
POBox  
NYC, NY 10463

## **NRCExecSec Resource**

---

**From:** Riverkeeper <info@Riverkeeper.org> on behalf of Larry Frentz <flh8300@excite.com>  
**Sent:** Thursday, June 13, 2013 4:32 PM  
**To:** NRCExecSec Resource  
**Subject:** Close Indian Point until it can be proven safe

Jun 13, 2013

Annette Vietti-Cook

Dear Vietti-Cook,

Columbia University says the risk of an earthquake as large as 7.0 on the Richter scale is quite possible at Indian Point. Entergy admits it can't handle an earthquake of this magnitude and that at best the plant could withstand a magnitude 6.1 earthquake. This is highly significant because the energy released in a 7.0 level earthquake is roughly 30 times more powerful than a 6.1. In light of the devastating problems facing the nuclear power plants in Japan and the news surrounding the resurrected 2008 Columbia University Earth Observatory study, I respectfully call upon you to close Indian Point until it can be proven safe.

The NRC specifically denied New York State's demand that this issue be examined as part of the relicensing review. As of now, the NRC is basing its conclusion that Indian Point will withstand an earthquake on seismic studies done nearly forty years ago, when the plant was built. I am writing to urge an immediate, objective and independent analysis of this risk and its implications for plant operation, emergency response and evacuation planning.

Only 24 miles from Manhattan, Indian Point is a nuclear plant with one of the highest surrounding population densities in the United States, with 20 million people within a 50 mile radius. If an earthquake or other disaster led to a rapid release of radioactivity, there is no plan currently in place to effectively evacuate the surrounding population without placing people at risk.

Entergy is a very profitable company (it is projected to make \$17 billion over its proposed 20 year extended operating life). It can afford to shut down for a sufficient amount of time, for example 3 months like the nuclear reactors in Germany, so that a proper review can be conducted about Indian Point's vulnerabilities and its ability to withstand an earthquake. In addition, spent fuel needs to be moved out of the poorly-protected pools on sight and into safer dry-cask storage.

If acted upon now, these are measures that can help protect millions of lives.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Mr. Larry Frentz  
9765 Ritter Rd  
Cattaraugus, NY 14719-9765

## **NRCExecSec Resource**

---

**From:** Riverkeeper <info@Riverkeeper.org> on behalf of mary allen <mallen-2@att.net>  
**Sent:** Saturday, June 08, 2013 7:53 PM  
**To:** NRCExecSec Resource  
**Subject:** Close Indian Point until it can be proven safe

Jun 8, 2013

Annette Vietti-Cook

Dear Vietti-Cook,

Columbia University says the risk of an earthquake as large as 7.0 on the Richter scale is quite possible at Indian Point. Entergy admits it can't handle an earthquake of this magnitude and that at best the plant could withstand a magnitude 6.1 earthquake. This is highly significant because the energy released in a 7.0 level earthquake is roughly 30 times more powerful than a 6.1. In light of the devastating problems facing the nuclear power plants in Japan and the news surrounding the resurrected 2008 Columbia University Earth Observatory study, I respectfully call upon you to close Indian Point until it can be proven safe.

The NRC specifically denied New York State's demand that this issue be examined as part of the relicensing review. As of now, the NRC is basing its conclusion that Indian Point will withstand an earthquake on seismic studies done nearly forty years ago, when the plant was built. I am writing to urge an immediate, objective and independent analysis of this risk and its implications for plant operation, emergency response and evacuation planning.

Only 24 miles from Manhattan, Indian Point is a nuclear plant with one of the highest surrounding population densities in the United States, with 20 million people within a 50 mile radius. If an earthquake or other disaster led to a rapid release of radioactivity, there is no plan currently in place to effectively evacuate the surrounding population without placing people at risk.

Entergy is a very profitable company (it is projected to make \$17 billion over its proposed 20 year extended operating life). It can afford to shut down for a sufficient amount of time, for example 3 months like the nuclear reactors in Germany, so that a proper review can be conducted about Indian Point's vulnerabilities and its ability to withstand an earthquake. In addition, spent fuel needs to be moved out of the poorly-protected pools on sight and into safer dry-cask storage.

If acted upon now, these are measures that can help protect millions of lives.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Mrs. mary allen  
23714 Carlisle Ave  
Hazel Park, MI 48030-1429  
(248) 547-7388

## Remsburg, Kristy

---

**From:** Riverkeeper <info@Riverkeeper.org> on behalf of Denise Rickles <deniserr@msn.com>  
**Sent:** Wednesday, June 05, 2013 7:42 PM  
**To:** NRCExecSec Resource  
**Subject:** Close Indian Point until it can be proven safe

Jun 5, 2013

Annette Vietti-Cook

Dear Vietti-Cook,

Columbia University says the risk of an earthquake as large as 7.0 on the Richter scale is quite possible at Indian Point. Entergy admits it can't handle an earthquake of this magnitude and that at best the plant could withstand a magnitude 6.1 earthquake. This is highly significant because the energy released in a 7.0 level earthquake is roughly 30 times more powerful than a 6.1. In light of the devastating problems facing the nuclear power plants in Japan and the news surrounding the resurrected 2008 Columbia University Earth Observatory study, I respectfully call upon you to close Indian Point until it can be proven safe.

The NRC specifically denied New York State's demand that this issue be examined as part of the relicensing review. As of now, the NRC is basing its conclusion that Indian Point will withstand an earthquake on seismic studies done nearly forty years ago, when the plant was built. I am writing to urge an immediate, objective and independent analysis of this risk and its implications for plant operation, emergency response and evacuation planning.

Only 24 miles from Manhattan, Indian Point is a nuclear plant with one of the highest surrounding population densities in the United States, with 20 million people within a 50 mile radius. If an earthquake or other disaster led to a rapid release of radioactivity, there is no plan currently in place to effectively evacuate the surrounding population without placing people at risk.

Entergy is a very profitable company (it is projected to make \$17 billion over its proposed 20 year extended operating life). It can afford to shut down for a sufficient amount of time, for example 3 months like the nuclear reactors in Germany, so that a proper review can be conducted about Indian Point's vulnerabilities and its ability to withstand an earthquake. In addition, spent fuel needs to be moved out of the poorly-protected pools on sight and into safer dry-cask storage.

If acted upon now, these are measures that can help protect millions of lives.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Ms. Denise Rickles  
66 Overlook Ter  
New York, NY 10040-3824