

May 3, 2013

Ms Cindy Bladey
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, DC 20555-0001

Dear Ms Bladey,

The comments that follow are regarding Docket ID NRC20130070.

We ask that the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) take no action that could lead to a restart of the San Onofre nuclear power plant before the Commission completes a comprehensive investigation and provides full opportunity for public participation and independent expert testimony.

Southern California Edison (SCE) is attempting to shortcut the license review process by calling on the NRC to make a fast-track restart decision. We and other environmental groups have joined with U.S. Senator Boxer and U.S. Representative Markey in urging the NRC not to follow such a course.

We were deeply disturbed when on April 10 the NRC staff disregarded numerous statements of concern and announced a "preliminary finding" that a San Onofre restart at 70% power posed no significant safety risk.

We join a wide range of concerned citizens and public officials in believing that a full and transparent review of the failed San Onofre generators is essential before the NRC considers any potential restart at either partial or full power of the failed generator.

We support Senator Boxer, Chair of the Environment and Public Works Committee, who stated on April 10, 2013: "The NRC staff proposal, which could pave the way for the restart of the San Onofre nuclear power plant before the investigations of the crippled plant are completed, is dangerous and premature."

Given the recent failure of tubes that carry radioactive water, speeding restart of San Onofre through a so-called "license amendment" that shortcuts procedures is totally inappropriate. SCE's request to weaken its license requirements was made despite evidence showing that there could be a significant hazard from the operation of the deficient steam generators.

Given the troubled history and current condition of the plant and the raised level of public concern, the public deserves a full review by the NRC of conditions at San Onofre before it considers a restart of either failed generator.

I support the call by the Sierra Club for the NRC to block any restart of the failed San Onofre generators at this time, and to conduct a comprehensive and open review process.

Thank you for your attention to these concerns.

Marisa Landsberg
717 26th St
Manhattan Beach, CA 90266-2301

May 3, 2013

Ms Cindy Bladey
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, DC 20555-0001

Dear Ms Bladey,

The comments that follow are regarding Docket ID NRC20130070.

We ask that the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) take no action that could lead to a restart of the San Onofre nuclear power plant before the Commission completes a comprehensive investigation and provides full opportunity for public participation and independent expert testimony.

Southern California Edison (SCE) is attempting to shortcut the license review process by calling on the NRC to make a fast-track restart decision. We and other environmental groups have joined with U.S. Senator Boxer and U.S. Representative Markey in urging the NRC not to follow such a course.

We were deeply disturbed when on April 10 the NRC staff disregarded numerous statements of concern and announced a "preliminary finding" that a San Onofre restart at 70% power posed no significant safety risk.

We join a wide range of concerned citizens and public officials in believing that a full and transparent review of the failed San Onofre generators is essential before the NRC considers any potential restart at either partial or full power of the failed generator.

We support Senator Boxer, Chair of the Environment and Public Works Committee, who stated on April 10, 2013: "The NRC staff proposal, which could pave the way for the restart of the San Onofre nuclear power plant before the investigations of the crippled plant are completed, is dangerous and premature."

Given the recent failure of tubes that carry radioactive water, speeding restart of San Onofre through a so-called "license amendment" that shortcuts procedures is totally inappropriate. SCE's request to weaken its license requirements was made despite evidence showing that there could be a significant hazard from the operation of the deficient steam generators.

Given the troubled history and current condition of the plant and the raised level of public concern, the public deserves a full review by the NRC of conditions at San Onofre before it considers a restart of either failed generator.

I support the call by the Sierra Club for the NRC to block any restart of the failed San Onofre generators at this time, and to conduct a comprehensive and open review process.

Thank you for your attention to these concerns.

Timothy Swanson
1222 Amapola Ave
Torrance, CA 90501-2501

May 3, 2013

Ms Cindy Bladey
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, DC 20555-0001

Dear Ms Bladey,

The comments that follow are regarding Docket ID NRC20130070.

We ask that the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) take no action that could lead to a restart of the San Onofre nuclear power plant before the Commission completes a comprehensive investigation and provides full opportunity for public participation and independent expert testimony.

Southern California Edison (SCE) is attempting to shortcut the license review process by calling on the NRC to make a fast-track restart decision. We and other environmental groups have joined with U.S. Senator Boxer and U.S. Representative Markey in urging the NRC not to follow such a course.

We were deeply disturbed when on April 10 the NRC staff disregarded numerous statements of concern and announced a "preliminary finding" that a San Onofre restart at 70% power posed no significant safety risk.

We join a wide range of concerned citizens and public officials in believing that a full and transparent review of the failed San Onofre generators is essential before the NRC considers any potential restart at either partial or full power of the failed generator.

We support Senator Boxer, Chair of the Environment and Public Works Committee, who stated on April 10, 2013: "The NRC staff proposal, which could pave the way for the restart of the San Onofre nuclear power plant before the investigations of the crippled plant are completed, is dangerous and premature."

Given the recent failure of tubes that carry radioactive water, speeding restart of San Onofre through a so-called "license amendment" that shortcuts procedures is totally inappropriate. SCE's request to weaken its license requirements was made despite evidence showing that there could be a significant hazard from the operation of the deficient steam generators.

Given the troubled history and current condition of the plant and the raised level of public concern, the public deserves a full review by the NRC of conditions at San Onofre before it considers a restart of either failed generator.

I support the call by the Sierra Club for the NRC to block any restart of the failed San Onofre generators at this time, and to conduct a comprehensive and open review process.

Thank you for your attention to these concerns.

Susan McLaughlin

May 3, 2013

Ms Cindy Bladey
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, DC 20555-0001

Dear Ms Bladey,

The comments that follow are regarding Docket ID NRC20130070.

We ask that the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) take no action that could lead to a restart of the San Onofre nuclear power plant before the Commission completes a comprehensive investigation and provides full opportunity for public participation and independent expert testimony.

Southern California Edison (SCE) is attempting to shortcut the license review process by calling on the NRC to make a fast-track restart decision. We and other environmental groups have joined with U.S. Senator Boxer and U.S. Representative Markey in urging the NRC not to follow such a course.

We were deeply disturbed when on April 10 the NRC staff disregarded numerous statements of concern and announced a "preliminary finding" that a San Onofre restart at 70% power posed no significant safety risk.

We join a wide range of concerned citizens and public officials in believing that a full and transparent review of the failed San Onofre generators is essential before the NRC considers any potential restart at either partial or full power of the failed generator.

We support Senator Boxer, Chair of the Environment and Public Works Committee, who stated on April 10, 2013: "The NRC staff proposal, which could pave the way for the restart of the San Onofre nuclear power plant before the investigations of the crippled plant are completed, is dangerous and premature."

Given the recent failure of tubes that carry radioactive water, speeding restart of San Onofre through a so-called "license amendment" that shortcuts procedures is totally inappropriate. SCE's request to weaken its license requirements was made despite evidence showing that there could be a significant hazard from the operation of the deficient steam generators.

Given the troubled history and current condition of the plant and the raised level of public concern, the public deserves a full review by the NRC of conditions at San Onofre before it considers a restart of either failed generator.

I support the call by the Sierra Club for the NRC to block any restart of the failed San Onofre generators at this time, and to conduct a comprehensive and open review process.

Thank you for your attention to these concerns.

Vasuki Nag
1 Solana
Irvine, CA 92612-4678

May 3, 2013

Ms Cindy Bladey
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, DC 20555-0001

Dear Ms Bladey,

The comments that follow are regarding Docket ID NRC20130070.

We ask that the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) take no action that could lead to a restart of the San Onofre nuclear power plant before the Commission completes a comprehensive investigation and provides full opportunity for public participation and independent expert testimony.

Southern California Edison (SCE) is attempting to shortcut the license review process by calling on the NRC to make a fast-track restart decision. We and other environmental groups have joined with U.S. Senator Boxer and U.S. Representative Markey in urging the NRC not to follow such a course.

We were deeply disturbed when on April 10 the NRC staff disregarded numerous statements of concern and announced a "preliminary finding" that a San Onofre restart at 70% power posed no significant safety risk.

We join a wide range of concerned citizens and public officials in believing that a full and transparent review of the failed San Onofre generators is essential before the NRC considers any potential restart at either partial or full power of the failed generator.

We support Senator Boxer, Chair of the Environment and Public Works Committee, who stated on April 10, 2013: "The NRC staff proposal, which could pave the way for the restart of the San Onofre nuclear power plant before the investigations of the crippled plant are completed, is dangerous and premature."

Given the recent failure of tubes that carry radioactive water, speeding restart of San Onofre through a so-called "license amendment" that shortcuts procedures is totally inappropriate. SCE's request to weaken its license requirements was made despite evidence showing that there could be a significant hazard from the operation of the deficient steam generators.

Given the troubled history and current condition of the plant and the raised level of public concern, the public deserves a full review by the NRC of conditions at San Onofre before it considers a restart of either failed generator.

I support the call by the Sierra Club for the NRC to block any restart of the failed San Onofre generators at this time, and to conduct a comprehensive and open review process.

Thank you for your attention to these concerns.

Brian Ebersole
PO Box 425
Claremont, CA 91711-0425

May 3, 2013

Ms Cindy Bladey
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, DC 20555-0001

Dear Ms Bladey,

The comments that follow are regarding Docket ID NRC20130070.

We ask that the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) take no action that could lead to a restart of the San Onofre nuclear power plant before the Commission completes a comprehensive investigation and provides full opportunity for public participation and independent expert testimony.

Southern California Edison (SCE) is attempting to shortcut the license review process by calling on the NRC to make a fast-track restart decision. We and other environmental groups have joined with U.S. Senator Boxer and U.S. Representative Markey in urging the NRC not to follow such a course.

We were deeply disturbed when on April 10 the NRC staff disregarded numerous statements of concern and announced a "preliminary finding" that a San Onofre restart at 70% power posed no significant safety risk.

We join a wide range of concerned citizens and public officials in believing that a full and transparent review of the failed San Onofre generators is essential before the NRC considers any potential restart at either partial or full power of the failed generator.

We support Senator Boxer, Chair of the Environment and Public Works Committee, who stated on April 10, 2013: "The NRC staff proposal, which could pave the way for the restart of the San Onofre nuclear power plant before the investigations of the crippled plant are completed, is dangerous and premature."

Given the recent failure of tubes that carry radioactive water, speeding restart of San Onofre through a so-called "license amendment" that shortcuts procedures is totally inappropriate. SCE's request to weaken its license requirements was made despite evidence showing that there could be a significant hazard from the operation of the deficient steam generators.

Given the troubled history and current condition of the plant and the raised level of public concern, the public deserves a full review by the NRC of conditions at San Onofre before it considers a restart of either failed generator.

I support the call by the Sierra Club for the NRC to block any restart of the failed San Onofre generators at this time, and to conduct a comprehensive and open review process.

Thank you for your attention to these concerns.

David Stuart
13833 Friar St
Van Nuys, CA 91401-2305

May 3, 2013

Ms Cindy Bladey
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, DC 20555-0001

Dear Ms Bladey,

The comments that follow are regarding Docket ID NRC20130070.

We ask that the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) take no action that could lead to a restart of the San Onofre nuclear power plant before the Commission completes a comprehensive investigation and provides full opportunity for public participation and independent expert testimony.

Southern California Edison (SCE) is attempting to shortcut the license review process by calling on the NRC to make a fast-track restart decision. We and other environmental groups have joined with U.S. Senator Boxer and U.S. Representative Markey in urging the NRC not to follow such a course.

We were deeply disturbed when on April 10 the NRC staff disregarded numerous statements of concern and announced a "preliminary finding" that a San Onofre restart at 70% power posed no significant safety risk.

We join a wide range of concerned citizens and public officials in believing that a full and transparent review of the failed San Onofre generators is essential before the NRC considers any potential restart at either partial or full power of the failed generator.

We support Senator Boxer, Chair of the Environment and Public Works Committee, who stated on April 10, 2013: "The NRC staff proposal, which could pave the way for the restart of the San Onofre nuclear power plant before the investigations of the crippled plant are completed, is dangerous and premature."

Given the recent failure of tubes that carry radioactive water, speeding restart of San Onofre through a so-called "license amendment" that shortcuts procedures is totally inappropriate. SCE's request to weaken its license requirements was made despite evidence showing that there could be a significant hazard from the operation of the deficient steam generators.

Given the troubled history and current condition of the plant and the raised level of public concern, the public deserves a full review by the NRC of conditions at San Onofre before it considers a restart of either failed generator.

I support the call by the Sierra Club for the NRC to block any restart of the failed San Onofre generators at this time, and to conduct a comprehensive and open review process.

Thank you for your attention to these concerns.

Hector Reyes
1627 Lyman Pl
Los Angeles, CA 90027-5434

May 3, 2013

Ms Cindy Bladey
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, DC 20555-0001

Dear Ms Bladey,

The comments that follow are regarding Docket ID NRC20130070.

We ask that the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) take no action that could lead to a restart of the San Onofre nuclear power plant before the Commission completes a comprehensive investigation and provides full opportunity for public participation and independent expert testimony.

Southern California Edison (SCE) is attempting to shortcut the license review process by calling on the NRC to make a fast-track restart decision. We and other environmental groups have joined with U.S. Senator Boxer and U.S. Representative Markey in urging the NRC not to follow such a course.

We were deeply disturbed when on April 10 the NRC staff disregarded numerous statements of concern and announced a "preliminary finding" that a San Onofre restart at 70% power posed no significant safety risk.

We join a wide range of concerned citizens and public officials in believing that a full and transparent review of the failed San Onofre generators is essential before the NRC considers any potential restart at either partial or full power of the failed generator.

We support Senator Boxer, Chair of the Environment and Public Works Committee, who stated on April 10, 2013: "The NRC staff proposal, which could pave the way for the restart of the San Onofre nuclear power plant before the investigations of the crippled plant are completed, is dangerous and premature."

Given the recent failure of tubes that carry radioactive water, speeding restart of San Onofre through a so-called "license amendment" that shortcuts procedures is totally inappropriate. SCE's request to weaken its license requirements was made despite evidence showing that there could be a significant hazard from the operation of the deficient steam generators.

Given the troubled history and current condition of the plant and the raised level of public concern, the public deserves a full review by the NRC of conditions at San Onofre before it considers a restart of either failed generator.

I support the call by the Sierra Club for the NRC to block any restart of the failed San Onofre generators at this time, and to conduct a comprehensive and open review process.

Thank you for your attention to these concerns.

Janet Vernon
22657 Nadine Cir
Torrance, CA 90505-2715

May 3, 2013

Ms Cindy Bladey
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, DC 20555-0001

Dear Ms Bladey,

The comments that follow are regarding Docket ID NRC20130070.

We ask that the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) take no action that could lead to a restart of the San Onofre nuclear power plant before the Commission completes a comprehensive investigation and provides full opportunity for public participation and independent expert testimony.

Southern California Edison (SCE) is attempting to shortcut the license review process by calling on the NRC to make a fast-track restart decision. We and other environmental groups have joined with U.S. Senator Boxer and U.S. Representative Markey in urging the NRC not to follow such a course.

We were deeply disturbed when on April 10 the NRC staff disregarded numerous statements of concern and announced a "preliminary finding" that a San Onofre restart at 70% power posed no significant safety risk.

We join a wide range of concerned citizens and public officials in believing that a full and transparent review of the failed San Onofre generators is essential before the NRC considers any potential restart at either partial or full power of the failed generator.

We support Senator Boxer, Chair of the Environment and Public Works Committee, who stated on April 10, 2013: "The NRC staff proposal, which could pave the way for the restart of the San Onofre nuclear power plant before the investigations of the crippled plant are completed, is dangerous and premature."

Given the recent failure of tubes that carry radioactive water, speeding restart of San Onofre through a so-called "license amendment" that shortcuts procedures is totally inappropriate. SCE's request to weaken its license requirements was made despite evidence showing that there could be a significant hazard from the operation of the deficient steam generators.

Given the troubled history and current condition of the plant and the raised level of public concern, the public deserves a full review by the NRC of conditions at San Onofre before it considers a restart of either failed generator.

I support the call by the Sierra Club for the NRC to block any restart of the failed San Onofre generators at this time, and to conduct a comprehensive and open review process.

Thank you for your attention to these concerns.

Stanleigh Jones
354 Blaisdell Dr
Claremont, CA 91711-3111

May 3, 2013

Ms Cindy Bladey
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, DC 20555-0001

Dear Ms Bladey,

The comments that follow are regarding Docket ID NRC20130070.

We ask that the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) take no action that could lead to a restart of the San Onofre nuclear power plant before the Commission completes a comprehensive investigation and provides full opportunity for public participation and independent expert testimony.

Southern California Edison (SCE) is attempting to shortcut the license review process by calling on the NRC to make a fast-track restart decision. We and other environmental groups have joined with U.S. Senator Boxer and U.S. Representative Markey in urging the NRC not to follow such a course.

We were deeply disturbed when on April 10 the NRC staff disregarded numerous statements of concern and announced a "preliminary finding" that a San Onofre restart at 70% power posed no significant safety risk.

We join a wide range of concerned citizens and public officials in believing that a full and transparent review of the failed San Onofre generators is essential before the NRC considers any potential restart at either partial or full power of the failed generator.

We support Senator Boxer, Chair of the Environment and Public Works Committee, who stated on April 10, 2013: "The NRC staff proposal, which could pave the way for the restart of the San Onofre nuclear power plant before the investigations of the crippled plant are completed, is dangerous and premature."

Given the recent failure of tubes that carry radioactive water, speeding restart of San Onofre through a so-called "license amendment" that shortcuts procedures is totally inappropriate. SCE's request to weaken its license requirements was made despite evidence showing that there could be a significant hazard from the operation of the deficient steam generators.

Given the troubled history and current condition of the plant and the raised level of public concern, the public deserves a full review by the NRC of conditions at San Onofre before it considers a restart of either failed generator.

I support the call by the Sierra Club for the NRC to block any restart of the failed San Onofre generators at this time, and to conduct a comprehensive and open review process.

Thank you for your attention to these concerns.

Paul Waller
23428 Canzonet St
Woodland Hills, CA 91367-6013

May 3, 2013

Ms Cindy Bladey
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, DC 20555-0001

Dear Ms Bladey,

The comments that follow are regarding Docket ID NRC20130070.

We ask that the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) take no action that could lead to a restart of the San Onofre nuclear power plant before the Commission completes a comprehensive investigation and provides full opportunity for public participation and independent expert testimony.

Southern California Edison (SCE) is attempting to shortcut the license review process by calling on the NRC to make a fast-track restart decision. We and other environmental groups have joined with U.S. Senator Boxer and U.S. Representative Markey in urging the NRC not to follow such a course.

We were deeply disturbed when on April 10 the NRC staff disregarded numerous statements of concern and announced a "preliminary finding" that a San Onofre restart at 70% power posed no significant safety risk.

We join a wide range of concerned citizens and public officials in believing that a full and transparent review of the failed San Onofre generators is essential before the NRC considers any potential restart at either partial or full power of the failed generator.

We support Senator Boxer, Chair of the Environment and Public Works Committee, who stated on April 10, 2013: "The NRC staff proposal, which could pave the way for the restart of the San Onofre nuclear power plant before the investigations of the crippled plant are completed, is dangerous and premature."

Given the recent failure of tubes that carry radioactive water, speeding restart of San Onofre through a so-called "license amendment" that shortcuts procedures is totally inappropriate. SCE's request to weaken its license requirements was made despite evidence showing that there could be a significant hazard from the operation of the deficient steam generators.

Given the troubled history and current condition of the plant and the raised level of public concern, the public deserves a full review by the NRC of conditions at San Onofre before it considers a restart of either failed generator.

I support the call by the Sierra Club for the NRC to block any restart of the failed San Onofre generators at this time, and to conduct a comprehensive and open review process.

Thank you for your attention to these concerns.

Drew Irby
26855 Via San Jose
Mission Viejo, CA 92691-1812

May 3, 2013

Ms Cindy Bladey
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, DC 20555-0001

Dear Ms Bladey,

The comments that follow are regarding Docket ID NRC20130070.

We ask that the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) take no action that could lead to a restart of the San Onofre nuclear power plant before the Commission completes a comprehensive investigation and provides full opportunity for public participation and independent expert testimony.

Southern California Edison (SCE) is attempting to shortcut the license review process by calling on the NRC to make a fast-track restart decision. We and other environmental groups have joined with U.S. Senator Boxer and U.S. Representative Markey in urging the NRC not to follow such a course.

We were deeply disturbed when on April 10 the NRC staff disregarded numerous statements of concern and announced a "preliminary finding" that a San Onofre restart at 70% power posed no significant safety risk.

We join a wide range of concerned citizens and public officials in believing that a full and transparent review of the failed San Onofre generators is essential before the NRC considers any potential restart at either partial or full power of the failed generator.

We support Senator Boxer, Chair of the Environment and Public Works Committee, who stated on April 10, 2013: "The NRC staff proposal, which could pave the way for the restart of the San Onofre nuclear power plant before the investigations of the crippled plant are completed, is dangerous and premature."

Given the recent failure of tubes that carry radioactive water, speeding restart of San Onofre through a so-called "license amendment" that shortcuts procedures is totally inappropriate. SCE's request to weaken its license requirements was made despite evidence showing that there could be a significant hazard from the operation of the deficient steam generators.

Given the troubled history and current condition of the plant and the raised level of public concern, the public deserves a full review by the NRC of conditions at San Onofre before it considers a restart of either failed generator.

I support the call by the Sierra Club for the NRC to block any restart of the failed San Onofre generators at this time, and to conduct a comprehensive and open review process.

Thank you for your attention to these concerns.

Michael Nielsen
18453 Santa Eugenia St
Fountain Valley, CA 92708-5601

May 3, 2013

Ms Cindy Bladey
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, DC 20555-0001

Dear Ms Bladey,

The comments that follow are regarding Docket ID NRC20130070.

We ask that the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) take no action that could lead to a restart of the San Onofre nuclear power plant before the Commission completes a comprehensive investigation and provides full opportunity for public participation and independent expert testimony.

Southern California Edison (SCE) is attempting to shortcut the license review process by calling on the NRC to make a fast-track restart decision. We and other environmental groups have joined with U.S. Senator Boxer and U.S. Representative Markey in urging the NRC not to follow such a course.

We were deeply disturbed when on April 10 the NRC staff disregarded numerous statements of concern and announced a "preliminary finding" that a San Onofre restart at 70% power posed no significant safety risk.

We join a wide range of concerned citizens and public officials in believing that a full and transparent review of the failed San Onofre generators is essential before the NRC considers any potential restart at either partial or full power of the failed generator.

We support Senator Boxer, Chair of the Environment and Public Works Committee, who stated on April 10, 2013: "The NRC staff proposal, which could pave the way for the restart of the San Onofre nuclear power plant before the investigations of the crippled plant are completed, is dangerous and premature."

Given the recent failure of tubes that carry radioactive water, speeding restart of San Onofre through a so-called "license amendment" that shortcuts procedures is totally inappropriate. SCE's request to weaken its license requirements was made despite evidence showing that there could be a significant hazard from the operation of the deficient steam generators.

Given the troubled history and current condition of the plant and the raised level of public concern, the public deserves a full review by the NRC of conditions at San Onofre before it considers a restart of either failed generator.

I support the call by the Sierra Club for the NRC to block any restart of the failed San Onofre generators at this time, and to conduct a comprehensive and open review process.

Thank you for your attention to these concerns.

Martha Tocco
2200 S Hobart Blvd
Los Angeles, CA 90018-2149

May 3, 2013

Ms Cindy Bladey
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, DC 20555-0001

Dear Ms Bladey,

The comments that follow are regarding Docket ID NRC20130070.

We ask that the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) take no action that could lead to a restart of the San Onofre nuclear power plant before the Commission completes a comprehensive investigation and provides full opportunity for public participation and independent expert testimony.

Southern California Edison (SCE) is attempting to shortcut the license review process by calling on the NRC to make a fast-track restart decision. We and other environmental groups have joined with U.S. Senator Boxer and U.S. Representative Markey in urging the NRC not to follow such a course.

We were deeply disturbed when on April 10 the NRC staff disregarded numerous statements of concern and announced a "preliminary finding" that a San Onofre restart at 70% power posed no significant safety risk.

We join a wide range of concerned citizens and public officials in believing that a full and transparent review of the failed San Onofre generators is essential before the NRC considers any potential restart at either partial or full power of the failed generator.

We support Senator Boxer, Chair of the Environment and Public Works Committee, who stated on April 10, 2013: "The NRC staff proposal, which could pave the way for the restart of the San Onofre nuclear power plant before the investigations of the crippled plant are completed, is dangerous and premature."

Given the recent failure of tubes that carry radioactive water, speeding restart of San Onofre through a so-called "license amendment" that shortcuts procedures is totally inappropriate. SCE's request to weaken its license requirements was made despite evidence showing that there could be a significant hazard from the operation of the deficient steam generators.

Given the troubled history and current condition of the plant and the raised level of public concern, the public deserves a full review by the NRC of conditions at San Onofre before it considers a restart of either failed generator.

I support the call by the Sierra Club for the NRC to block any restart of the failed San Onofre generators at this time, and to conduct a comprehensive and open review process.

Thank you for your attention to these concerns.

Alicia Delaney
13148 Whistler Ave
Granada Hills, CA 91344-1139

May 3, 2013

Ms Cindy Bladey
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, DC 20555-0001

Dear Ms Bladey,

The comments that follow are regarding Docket ID NRC20130070.

We ask that the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) take no action that could lead to a restart of the San Onofre nuclear power plant before the Commission completes a comprehensive investigation and provides full opportunity for public participation and independent expert testimony.

Southern California Edison (SCE) is attempting to shortcut the license review process by calling on the NRC to make a fast-track restart decision. We and other environmental groups have joined with U.S. Senator Boxer and U.S. Representative Markey in urging the NRC not to follow such a course.

We were deeply disturbed when on April 10 the NRC staff disregarded numerous statements of concern and announced a "preliminary finding" that a San Onofre restart at 70% power posed no significant safety risk.

We join a wide range of concerned citizens and public officials in believing that a full and transparent review of the failed San Onofre generators is essential before the NRC considers any potential restart at either partial or full power of the failed generator.

We support Senator Boxer, Chair of the Environment and Public Works Committee, who stated on April 10, 2013: "The NRC staff proposal, which could pave the way for the restart of the San Onofre nuclear power plant before the investigations of the crippled plant are completed, is dangerous and premature."

Given the recent failure of tubes that carry radioactive water, speeding restart of San Onofre through a so-called "license amendment" that shortcuts procedures is totally inappropriate. SCE's request to weaken its license requirements was made despite evidence showing that there could be a significant hazard from the operation of the deficient steam generators.

Given the troubled history and current condition of the plant and the raised level of public concern, the public deserves a full review by the NRC of conditions at San Onofre before it considers a restart of either failed generator.

I support the call by the Sierra Club for the NRC to block any restart of the failed San Onofre generators at this time, and to conduct a comprehensive and open review process.

Thank you for your attention to these concerns.

Alicia Delaney
13148 Whistler Ave
Granada Hills, CA 91344-1139

May 3, 2013

Ms Cindy Bladey
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, DC 20555-0001

Dear Ms Bladey,

The comments that follow are regarding Docket ID NRC20130070.

We ask that the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) take no action that could lead to a restart of the San Onofre nuclear power plant before the Commission completes a comprehensive investigation and provides full opportunity for public participation and independent expert testimony.

Southern California Edison (SCE) is attempting to shortcut the license review process by calling on the NRC to make a fast-track restart decision. We and other environmental groups have joined with U.S. Senator Boxer and U.S. Representative Markey in urging the NRC not to follow such a course.

We were deeply disturbed when on April 10 the NRC staff disregarded numerous statements of concern and announced a "preliminary finding" that a San Onofre restart at 70% power posed no significant safety risk.

We join a wide range of concerned citizens and public officials in believing that a full and transparent review of the failed San Onofre generators is essential before the NRC considers any potential restart at either partial or full power of the failed generator.

We support Senator Boxer, Chair of the Environment and Public Works Committee, who stated on April 10, 2013: "The NRC staff proposal, which could pave the way for the restart of the San Onofre nuclear power plant before the investigations of the crippled plant are completed, is dangerous and premature."

Given the recent failure of tubes that carry radioactive water, speeding restart of San Onofre through a so-called "license amendment" that shortcuts procedures is totally inappropriate. SCE's request to weaken its license requirements was made despite evidence showing that there could be a significant hazard from the operation of the deficient steam generators.

Given the troubled history and current condition of the plant and the raised level of public concern, the public deserves a full review by the NRC of conditions at San Onofre before it considers a restart of either failed generator.

I support the call by the Sierra Club for the NRC to block any restart of the failed San Onofre generators at this time, and to conduct a comprehensive and open review process.

Thank you for your attention to these concerns.

Cynthia Simms

May 3, 2013

Ms Cindy Bladey
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, DC 20555-0001

Dear Ms Bladey,

The comments that follow are regarding Docket ID NRC20130070.

We ask that the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) take no action that could lead to a restart of the San Onofre nuclear power plant before the Commission completes a comprehensive investigation and provides full opportunity for public participation and independent expert testimony.

Southern California Edison (SCE) is attempting to shortcut the license review process by calling on the NRC to make a fast-track restart decision. We and other environmental groups have joined with U.S. Senator Boxer and U.S. Representative Markey in urging the NRC not to follow such a course.

We were deeply disturbed when on April 10 the NRC staff disregarded numerous statements of concern and announced a "preliminary finding" that a San Onofre restart at 70% power posed no significant safety risk.

We join a wide range of concerned citizens and public officials in believing that a full and transparent review of the failed San Onofre generators is essential before the NRC considers any potential restart at either partial or full power of the failed generator.

We support Senator Boxer, Chair of the Environment and Public Works Committee, who stated on April 10, 2013: "The NRC staff proposal, which could pave the way for the restart of the San Onofre nuclear power plant before the investigations of the crippled plant are completed, is dangerous and premature."

Given the recent failure of tubes that carry radioactive water, speeding restart of San Onofre through a so-called "license amendment" that shortcuts procedures is totally inappropriate. SCE's request to weaken its license requirements was made despite evidence showing that there could be a significant hazard from the operation of the deficient steam generators.

Given the troubled history and current condition of the plant and the raised level of public concern, the public deserves a full review by the NRC of conditions at San Onofre before it considers a restart of either failed generator.

I support the call by the Sierra Club for the NRC to block any restart of the failed San Onofre generators at this time, and to conduct a comprehensive and open review process.

Thank you for your attention to these concerns.

Dolores Sloan
1813 9th St Apt 1
Santa Monica, CA 90404-4522

May 3, 2013

Ms Cindy Bladey
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, DC 20555-0001

Dear Ms Bladey,

The comments that follow are regarding Docket ID NRC20130070.

We ask that the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) take no action that could lead to a restart of the San Onofre nuclear power plant before the Commission completes a comprehensive investigation and provides full opportunity for public participation and independent expert testimony.

Southern California Edison (SCE) is attempting to shortcut the license review process by calling on the NRC to make a fast-track restart decision. We and other environmental groups have joined with U.S. Senator Boxer and U.S. Representative Markey in urging the NRC not to follow such a course.

We were deeply disturbed when on April 10 the NRC staff disregarded numerous statements of concern and announced a "preliminary finding" that a San Onofre restart at 70% power posed no significant safety risk.

We join a wide range of concerned citizens and public officials in believing that a full and transparent review of the failed San Onofre generators is essential before the NRC considers any potential restart at either partial or full power of the failed generator.

We support Senator Boxer, Chair of the Environment and Public Works Committee, who stated on April 10, 2013: "The NRC staff proposal, which could pave the way for the restart of the San Onofre nuclear power plant before the investigations of the crippled plant are completed, is dangerous and premature."

Given the recent failure of tubes that carry radioactive water, speeding restart of San Onofre through a so-called "license amendment" that shortcuts procedures is totally inappropriate. SCE's request to weaken its license requirements was made despite evidence showing that there could be a significant hazard from the operation of the deficient steam generators.

Given the troubled history and current condition of the plant and the raised level of public concern, the public deserves a full review by the NRC of conditions at San Onofre before it considers a restart of either failed generator.

I support the call by the Sierra Club for the NRC to block any restart of the failed San Onofre generators at this time, and to conduct a comprehensive and open review process.

Thank you for your attention to these concerns.

Karen Hafer
405 Avenida Granada Apt 300
San Clemente, CA 92672-5234

May 3, 2013

Ms Cindy Bladey
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, DC 20555-0001

Dear Ms Bladey,

The comments that follow are regarding Docket ID NRC20130070.

We ask that the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) take no action that could lead to a restart of the San Onofre nuclear power plant before the Commission completes a comprehensive investigation and provides full opportunity for public participation and independent expert testimony.

Southern California Edison (SCE) is attempting to shortcut the license review process by calling on the NRC to make a fast-track restart decision. We and other environmental groups have joined with U.S. Senator Boxer and U.S. Representative Markey in urging the NRC not to follow such a course.

We were deeply disturbed when on April 10 the NRC staff disregarded numerous statements of concern and announced a "preliminary finding" that a San Onofre restart at 70% power posed no significant safety risk.

We join a wide range of concerned citizens and public officials in believing that a full and transparent review of the failed San Onofre generators is essential before the NRC considers any potential restart at either partial or full power of the failed generator.

We support Senator Boxer, Chair of the Environment and Public Works Committee, who stated on April 10, 2013: "The NRC staff proposal, which could pave the way for the restart of the San Onofre nuclear power plant before the investigations of the crippled plant are completed, is dangerous and premature."

Given the recent failure of tubes that carry radioactive water, speeding restart of San Onofre through a so-called "license amendment" that shortcuts procedures is totally inappropriate. SCE's request to weaken its license requirements was made despite evidence showing that there could be a significant hazard from the operation of the deficient steam generators.

Given the troubled history and current condition of the plant and the raised level of public concern, the public deserves a full review by the NRC of conditions at San Onofre before it considers a restart of either failed generator.

I support the call by the Sierra Club for the NRC to block any restart of the failed San Onofre generators at this time, and to conduct a comprehensive and open review process.

Thank you for your attention to these concerns.

Jennifer Kim Zeller
753 Chapala Dr
Pacific Palisades, CA 90272-3708

May 3, 2013

Ms Cindy Bladey
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, DC 20555-0001

Dear Ms Bladey,

The comments that follow are regarding Docket ID NRC20130070.

We ask that the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) take no action that could lead to a restart of the San Onofre nuclear power plant before the Commission completes a comprehensive investigation and provides full opportunity for public participation and independent expert testimony.

Southern California Edison (SCE) is attempting to shortcut the license review process by calling on the NRC to make a fast-track restart decision. We and other environmental groups have joined with U.S. Senator Boxer and U.S. Representative Markey in urging the NRC not to follow such a course.

We were deeply disturbed when on April 10 the NRC staff disregarded numerous statements of concern and announced a "preliminary finding" that a San Onofre restart at 70% power posed no significant safety risk.

We join a wide range of concerned citizens and public officials in believing that a full and transparent review of the failed San Onofre generators is essential before the NRC considers any potential restart at either partial or full power of the failed generator.

We support Senator Boxer, Chair of the Environment and Public Works Committee, who stated on April 10, 2013: "The NRC staff proposal, which could pave the way for the restart of the San Onofre nuclear power plant before the investigations of the crippled plant are completed, is dangerous and premature."

Given the recent failure of tubes that carry radioactive water, speeding restart of San Onofre through a so-called "license amendment" that shortcuts procedures is totally inappropriate. SCE's request to weaken its license requirements was made despite evidence showing that there could be a significant hazard from the operation of the deficient steam generators.

Given the troubled history and current condition of the plant and the raised level of public concern, the public deserves a full review by the NRC of conditions at San Onofre before it considers a restart of either failed generator.

I support the call by the Sierra Club for the NRC to block any restart of the failed San Onofre generators at this time, and to conduct a comprehensive and open review process. I believe not to do so would be a major mistake and misguided policy -- public health must be the dominant concern.

Thank you for your attention to these concerns.

Jane Rice
10761 Hideaway Dr
Santa Ana, CA 92705-2389

May 3, 2013

Ms Cindy Bladey
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, DC 20555-0001

Dear Ms Bladey,

The comments that follow are regarding Docket ID NRC20130070.

We ask that the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) take no action that could lead to a restart of the San Onofre nuclear power plant before the Commission completes a comprehensive investigation and provides full opportunity for public participation and independent expert testimony.

Southern California Edison (SCE) is attempting to shortcut the license review process by calling on the NRC to make a fast-track restart decision. We and other environmental groups have joined with U.S. Senator Boxer and U.S. Representative Markey in urging the NRC not to follow such a course.

We were deeply disturbed when on April 10 the NRC staff disregarded numerous statements of concern and announced a "preliminary finding" that a San Onofre restart at 70% power posed no significant safety risk.

We join a wide range of concerned citizens and public officials in believing that a full and transparent review of the failed San Onofre generators is essential before the NRC considers any potential restart at either partial or full power of the failed generator.

We support Senator Boxer, Chair of the Environment and Public Works Committee, who stated on April 10, 2013: "The NRC staff proposal, which could pave the way for the restart of the San Onofre nuclear power plant before the investigations of the crippled plant are completed, is dangerous and premature."

Given the recent failure of tubes that carry radioactive water, speeding restart of San Onofre through a so-called "license amendment" that shortcuts procedures is totally inappropriate. SCE's request to weaken its license requirements was made despite evidence showing that there could be a significant hazard from the operation of the deficient steam generators.

Given the troubled history and current condition of the plant and the raised level of public concern, the public deserves a full review by the NRC of conditions at San Onofre before it considers a restart of either failed generator.

I support the call by the Sierra Club for the NRC to block any restart of the failed San Onofre generators at this time, and to conduct a comprehensive and open review process.

Thank you for your attention to these concerns.

Mary Beth Baloga
5822 Noble Ave
Van Nuys, CA 91411-3008

May 3, 2013

Ms Cindy Bladey
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, DC 20555-0001

Dear Ms Bladey,

The comments that follow are regarding Docket ID NRC20130070.

We ask that the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) take no action that could lead to a restart of the San Onofre nuclear power plant before the Commission completes a comprehensive investigation and provides full opportunity for public participation and independent expert testimony.

Southern California Edison (SCE) is attempting to shortcut the license review process by calling on the NRC to make a fast-track restart decision. We and other environmental groups have joined with U.S. Senator Boxer and U.S. Representative Markey in urging the NRC not to follow such a course.

We were deeply disturbed when on April 10 the NRC staff disregarded numerous statements of concern and announced a "preliminary finding" that a San Onofre restart at 70% power posed no significant safety risk.

We join a wide range of concerned citizens and public officials in believing that a full and transparent review of the failed San Onofre generators is essential before the NRC considers any potential restart at either partial or full power of the failed generator.

We support Senator Boxer, Chair of the Environment and Public Works Committee, who stated on April 10, 2013: "The NRC staff proposal, which could pave the way for the restart of the San Onofre nuclear power plant before the investigations of the crippled plant are completed, is dangerous and premature."

Given the recent failure of tubes that carry radioactive water, speeding restart of San Onofre through a so-called "license amendment" that shortcuts procedures is totally inappropriate. SCE's request to weaken its license requirements was made despite evidence showing that there could be a significant hazard from the operation of the deficient steam generators.

Given the troubled history and current condition of the plant and the raised level of public concern, the public deserves a full review by the NRC of conditions at San Onofre before it considers a restart of either failed generator.

I support the call by the Sierra Club for the NRC to block any restart of the failed San Onofre generators at this time, and to conduct a comprehensive and open review process.

Thank you for your attention to these concerns.

Jeff Loth
25710 Velan Dr
Valencia, CA 91355-2433

May 3, 2013

Ms Cindy Bladey
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, DC 20555-0001

Dear Ms Bladey,

The comments that follow are regarding Docket ID NRC20130070.

We ask that the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) take no action that could lead to a restart of the San Onofre nuclear power plant before the Commission completes a comprehensive investigation and provides full opportunity for public participation and independent expert testimony.

Southern California Edison (SCE) is attempting to shortcut the license review process by calling on the NRC to make a fast-track restart decision. We and other environmental groups have joined with U.S. Senator Boxer and U.S. Representative Markey in urging the NRC not to follow such a course.

We were deeply disturbed when on April 10 the NRC staff disregarded numerous statements of concern and announced a "preliminary finding" that a San Onofre restart at 70% power posed no significant safety risk.

We join a wide range of concerned citizens and public officials in believing that a full and transparent review of the failed San Onofre generators is essential before the NRC considers any potential restart at either partial or full power of the failed generator.

We support Senator Boxer, Chair of the Environment and Public Works Committee, who stated on April 10, 2013: "The NRC staff proposal, which could pave the way for the restart of the San Onofre nuclear power plant before the investigations of the crippled plant are completed, is dangerous and premature."

Given the recent failure of tubes that carry radioactive water, speeding restart of San Onofre through a so-called "license amendment" that shortcuts procedures is totally inappropriate. SCE's request to weaken its license requirements was made despite evidence showing that there could be a significant hazard from the operation of the deficient steam generators.

Given the troubled history and current condition of the plant and the raised level of public concern, the public deserves a full review by the NRC of conditions at San Onofre before it considers a restart of either failed generator.

I support the call by the Sierra Club for the NRC to block any restart of the failed San Onofre generators at this time, and to conduct a comprehensive and open review process.

Thank you for your attention to these concerns.

Rebecca Barker
5042 Wilshire Blvd Apt 24778
Los Angeles, CA 90036-4305

May 3, 2013

Ms Cindy Bladey
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, DC 20555-0001

Dear Ms Bladey,

The comments that follow are regarding Docket ID NRC20130070.

We ask that the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) take no action that could lead to a restart of the San Onofre nuclear power plant before the Commission completes a comprehensive investigation and provides full opportunity for public participation and independent expert testimony.

Southern California Edison (SCE) is attempting to shortcut the license review process by calling on the NRC to make a fast-track restart decision. We and other environmental groups have joined with U.S. Senator Boxer and U.S. Representative Markey in urging the NRC not to follow such a course.

We were deeply disturbed when on April 10 the NRC staff disregarded numerous statements of concern and announced a "preliminary finding" that a San Onofre restart at 70% power posed no significant safety risk.

We join a wide range of concerned citizens and public officials in believing that a full and transparent review of the failed San Onofre generators is essential before the NRC considers any potential restart at either partial or full power of the failed generator.

We support Senator Boxer, Chair of the Environment and Public Works Committee, who stated on April 10, 2013: "The NRC staff proposal, which could pave the way for the restart of the San Onofre nuclear power plant before the investigations of the crippled plant are completed, is dangerous and premature."

Given the recent failure of tubes that carry radioactive water, speeding restart of San Onofre through a so-called "license amendment" that shortcuts procedures is totally inappropriate. SCE's request to weaken its license requirements was made despite evidence showing that there could be a significant hazard from the operation of the deficient steam generators.

Given the troubled history and current condition of the plant and the raised level of public concern, the public deserves a full review by the NRC of conditions at San Onofre before it considers a restart of either failed generator.

I support the call by the Sierra Club for the NRC to block any restart of the failed San Onofre generators at this time, and to conduct a comprehensive and open review process.

Thank you for your attention to these concerns.

Efren Perez

Santa Ana, CA 92703

May 3, 2013

Ms Cindy Bladey
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, DC 20555-0001

Dear Ms Bladey,

The comments that follow are regarding Docket ID NRC20130070.

We ask that the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) take no action that could lead to a restart of the San Onofre nuclear power plant before the Commission completes a comprehensive investigation and provides full opportunity for public participation and independent expert testimony.

Southern California Edison (SCE) is attempting to shortcut the license review process by calling on the NRC to make a fast-track restart decision. We and other environmental groups have joined with U.S. Senator Boxer and U.S. Representative Markey in urging the NRC not to follow such a course.

We were deeply disturbed when on April 10 the NRC staff disregarded numerous statements of concern and announced a "preliminary finding" that a San Onofre restart at 70% power posed no significant safety risk.

We join a wide range of concerned citizens and public officials in believing that a full and transparent review of the failed San Onofre generators is essential before the NRC considers any potential restart at either partial or full power of the failed generator.

We support Senator Boxer, Chair of the Environment and Public Works Committee, who stated on April 10, 2013: "The NRC staff proposal, which could pave the way for the restart of the San Onofre nuclear power plant before the investigations of the crippled plant are completed, is dangerous and premature."

Given the recent failure of tubes that carry radioactive water, speeding restart of San Onofre through a so-called "license amendment" that shortcuts procedures is totally inappropriate. SCE's request to weaken its license requirements was made despite evidence showing that there could be a significant hazard from the operation of the deficient steam generators.

Given the troubled history and current condition of the plant and the raised level of public concern, the public deserves a full review by the NRC of conditions at San Onofre before it considers a restart of either failed generator.

I support the call by the Sierra Club for the NRC to block any restart of the failed San Onofre generators at this time, and to conduct a comprehensive and open review process.

Thank you for your attention to these concerns.

Julio Toruno
1747 Sonoma Dr
Altadena, CA 91001-3336

May 3, 2013

Ms Cindy Bladey
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, DC 20555-0001

Dear Ms Bladey,

The comments that follow are regarding Docket ID NRC20130070.

We ask that the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) take no action that could lead to a restart of the San Onofre nuclear power plant before the Commission completes a comprehensive investigation and provides full opportunity for public participation and independent expert testimony.

Southern California Edison (SCE) is attempting to shortcut the license review process by calling on the NRC to make a fast-track restart decision. We and other environmental groups have joined with U.S. Senator Boxer and U.S. Representative Markey in urging the NRC not to follow such a course.

We were deeply disturbed when on April 10 the NRC staff disregarded numerous statements of concern and announced a "preliminary finding" that a San Onofre restart at 70% power posed no significant safety risk.

We join a wide range of concerned citizens and public officials in believing that a full and transparent review of the failed San Onofre generators is essential before the NRC considers any potential restart at either partial or full power of the failed generator.

We support Senator Boxer, Chair of the Environment and Public Works Committee, who stated on April 10, 2013: "The NRC staff proposal, which could pave the way for the restart of the San Onofre nuclear power plant before the investigations of the crippled plant are completed, is dangerous and premature."

Given the recent failure of tubes that carry radioactive water, speeding restart of San Onofre through a so-called "license amendment" that shortcuts procedures is totally inappropriate. SCE's request to weaken its license requirements was made despite evidence showing that there could be a significant hazard from the operation of the deficient steam generators.

Given the troubled history and current condition of the plant and the raised level of public concern, the public deserves a full review by the NRC of conditions at San Onofre before it considers a restart of either failed generator.

I support the call by the Sierra Club for the NRC to block any restart of the failed San Onofre generators at this time, and to conduct a comprehensive and open review process.

Thank you for your attention to these concerns.

Becky Erskine
1290 N Catalina Ave
Pasadena, CA 91104-2902

May 3, 2013

Ms Cindy Bladey
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, DC 20555-0001

Dear Ms Bladey,

The comments that follow are regarding Docket ID NRC20130070.

We ask that the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) take no action that could lead to a restart of the San Onofre nuclear power plant before the Commission completes a comprehensive investigation and provides full opportunity for public participation and independent expert testimony.

Southern California Edison (SCE) is attempting to shortcut the license review process by calling on the NRC to make a fast-track restart decision. We and other environmental groups have joined with U.S. Senator Boxer and U.S. Representative Markey in urging the NRC not to follow such a course.

We were deeply disturbed when on April 10 the NRC staff disregarded numerous statements of concern and announced a "preliminary finding" that a San Onofre restart at 70% power posed no significant safety risk.

We join a wide range of concerned citizens and public officials in believing that a full and transparent review of the failed San Onofre generators is essential before the NRC considers any potential restart at either partial or full power of the failed generator.

We support Senator Boxer, Chair of the Environment and Public Works Committee, who stated on April 10, 2013: "The NRC staff proposal, which could pave the way for the restart of the San Onofre nuclear power plant before the investigations of the crippled plant are completed, is dangerous and premature."

Given the recent failure of tubes that carry radioactive water, speeding restart of San Onofre through a so-called "license amendment" that shortcuts procedures is totally inappropriate. SCE's request to weaken its license requirements was made despite evidence showing that there could be a significant hazard from the operation of the deficient steam generators.

I live near the impending nuclear disaster called, San Onofre. I've visited the plant many times over the years. We, the residents, worry constantly about when the melt-down is going to happen. Given the troubled history and current condition of the plant and the raised level of public concern, the public deserves a full review by the NRC of conditions at San Onofre before it considers a restart of either failed generator.

I support the call by the Sierra Club for the NRC to block any restart of the failed San Onofre generators at this time, and to conduct a comprehensive and open review process.

Thank you for your attention to these concerns.

Willie Walker
146 S Main St
Orange, CA 92868-2861

May 3, 2013

Ms Cindy Bladey
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, DC 20555-0001

Dear Ms Bladey,

The comments that follow are regarding Docket ID NRC20130070.

We ask that the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) take no action that could lead to a restart of the San Onofre nuclear power plant before the Commission completes a comprehensive investigation and provides full opportunity for public participation and independent expert testimony.

Southern California Edison (SCE) is attempting to shortcut the license review process by calling on the NRC to make a fast-track restart decision. We and other environmental groups have joined with U.S. Senator Boxer and U.S. Representative Markey in urging the NRC not to follow such a course.

We were deeply disturbed when on April 10 the NRC staff disregarded numerous statements of concern and announced a "preliminary finding" that a San Onofre restart at 70% power posed no significant safety risk.

We join a wide range of concerned citizens and public officials in believing that a full and transparent review of the failed San Onofre generators is essential before the NRC considers any potential restart at either partial or full power of the failed generator.

We support Senator Boxer, Chair of the Environment and Public Works Committee, who stated on April 10, 2013: "The NRC staff proposal, which could pave the way for the restart of the San Onofre nuclear power plant before the investigations of the crippled plant are completed, is dangerous and premature."

Given the recent failure of tubes that carry radioactive water, speeding restart of San Onofre through a so-called "license amendment" that shortcuts procedures is totally inappropriate. SCE's request to weaken its license requirements was made despite evidence showing that there could be a significant hazard from the operation of the deficient steam generators.

Given the troubled history and current condition of the plant and the raised level of public concern, the public deserves a full review by the NRC of conditions at San Onofre before it considers a restart of either failed generator.

I support the call by the Sierra Club for the NRC to block any restart of the failed San Onofre generators at this time, and to conduct a comprehensive and open review process.

Thank you for your attention to these concerns.

Shamyn Whitehawk

CA

May 3, 2013

Ms Cindy Bladey
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, DC 20555-0001

Dear Ms Bladey,

The comments that follow are regarding Docket ID NRC20130070.

We ask that the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) take no action that could lead to a restart of the San Onofre nuclear power plant before the Commission completes a comprehensive investigation and provides full opportunity for public participation and independent expert testimony.

Southern California Edison (SCE) is attempting to shortcut the license review process by calling on the NRC to make a fast-track restart decision. We and other environmental groups have joined with U.S. Senator Boxer and U.S. Representative Markey in urging the NRC not to follow such a course.

We were deeply disturbed when on April 10 the NRC staff disregarded numerous statements of concern and announced a "preliminary finding" that a San Onofre restart at 70% power posed no significant safety risk.

We join a wide range of concerned citizens and public officials in believing that a full and transparent review of the failed San Onofre generators is essential before the NRC considers any potential restart at either partial or full power of the failed generator.

We support Senator Boxer, Chair of the Environment and Public Works Committee, who stated on April 10, 2013: "The NRC staff proposal, which could pave the way for the restart of the San Onofre nuclear power plant before the investigations of the crippled plant are completed, is dangerous and premature."

Given the recent failure of tubes that carry radioactive water, speeding restart of San Onofre through a so-called "license amendment" that shortcuts procedures is totally inappropriate. SCE's request to weaken its license requirements was made despite evidence showing that there could be a significant hazard from the operation of the deficient steam generators.

"Given the troubled history and current condition of the plant and the raised level of public concern, the public deserves a full review by the NRC of conditions at San Onofre before it considers a restart of either failed generator.

I support the call by the Sierra Club for the NRC to block any restart of the failed San Onofre generators at this time, and to conduct a comprehensive and open review process."

Thank you for your attention to these concerns.

Edward Dollard
1801 Hackett Ave
Long Beach, CA 90815-3428

May 3, 2013

Ms Cindy Bladey
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, DC 20555-0001

Dear Ms Bladey,

The comments that follow are regarding Docket ID NRC20130070.

We ask that the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) take no action that could lead to a restart of the San Onofre nuclear power plant before the Commission completes a comprehensive investigation and provides full opportunity for public participation and independent expert testimony.

Southern California Edison (SCE) is attempting to shortcut the license review process by calling on the NRC to make a fast-track restart decision. We and other environmental groups have joined with U.S. Senator Boxer and U.S. Representative Markey in urging the NRC not to follow such a course.

We were deeply disturbed when on April 10 the NRC staff disregarded numerous statements of concern and announced a "preliminary finding" that a San Onofre restart at 70% power posed no significant safety risk.

We join a wide range of concerned citizens and public officials in believing that a full and transparent review of the failed San Onofre generators is essential before the NRC considers any potential restart at either partial or full power of the failed generator.

We support Senator Boxer, Chair of the Environment and Public Works Committee, who stated on April 10, 2013: "The NRC staff proposal, which could pave the way for the restart of the San Onofre nuclear power plant before the investigations of the crippled plant are completed, is dangerous and premature."

Given the recent failure of tubes that carry radioactive water, speeding restart of San Onofre through a so-called "license amendment" that shortcuts procedures is totally inappropriate. SCE's request to weaken its license requirements was made despite evidence showing that there could be a significant hazard from the operation of the deficient steam generators.

Given the troubled history and current condition of the plant and the raised level of public concern, the public deserves a full review by the NRC of conditions at San Onofre before it considers a restart of either failed generator.

I support the call by the Sierra Club for the NRC to block any restart of the failed San Onofre generators at this time, and to conduct a comprehensive and open review process.

Thank you for your attention to these concerns.

Lori Thayer
26871 Anadale Dr
Laguna Hills, CA 92653-7564

May 3, 2013

Ms Cindy Bladey
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, DC 20555-0001

Dear Ms Bladey,

The comments that follow are regarding Docket ID NRC20130070.

We ask that the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) take no action that could lead to a restart of the San Onofre nuclear power plant before the Commission completes a comprehensive investigation and provides full opportunity for public participation and independent expert testimony.

Southern California Edison (SCE) is attempting to shortcut the license review process by calling on the NRC to make a fast-track restart decision. We and other environmental groups have joined with U.S. Senator Boxer and U.S. Representative Markey in urging the NRC not to follow such a course.

We were deeply disturbed when on April 10 the NRC staff disregarded numerous statements of concern and announced a "preliminary finding" that a San Onofre restart at 70% power posed no significant safety risk.

We join a wide range of concerned citizens and public officials in believing that a full and transparent review of the failed San Onofre generators is essential before the NRC considers any potential restart at either partial or full power of the failed generator.

We support Senator Boxer, Chair of the Environment and Public Works Committee, who stated on April 10, 2013: "The NRC staff proposal, which could pave the way for the restart of the San Onofre nuclear power plant before the investigations of the crippled plant are completed, is dangerous and premature."

Given the recent failure of tubes that carry radioactive water, speeding restart of San Onofre through a so-called "license amendment" that shortcuts procedures is totally inappropriate. SCE's request to weaken its license requirements was made despite evidence showing that there could be a significant hazard from the operation of the deficient steam generators.

Given the troubled history and current condition of the plant and the raised level of public concern, the public deserves a full review by the NRC of conditions at San Onofre before it considers a restart of either failed generator.

I support the call by the Sierra Club for the NRC to block any restart of the failed San Onofre generators at this time, and to conduct a comprehensive and open review process.

Thank you for your attention to these concerns.

Randi Mavestrand
PO Box 3086
Santa Monica, CA 90408-3086

May 3, 2013

Ms Cindy Bladey
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, DC 20555-0001

Dear Ms Bladey,

The comments that follow are regarding Docket ID NRC20130070.

We ask that the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) take no action that could lead to a restart of the San Onofre nuclear power plant before the Commission completes a comprehensive investigation and provides full opportunity for public participation and independent expert testimony.

Southern California Edison (SCE) is attempting to shortcut the license review process by calling on the NRC to make a fast-track restart decision. We and other environmental groups have joined with U.S. Senator Boxer and U.S. Representative Markey in urging the NRC not to follow such a course.

We were deeply disturbed when on April 10 the NRC staff disregarded numerous statements of concern and announced a "preliminary finding" that a San Onofre restart at 70% power posed no significant safety risk.

We join a wide range of concerned citizens and public officials in believing that a full and transparent review of the failed San Onofre generators is essential before the NRC considers any potential restart at either partial or full power of the failed generator.

We support Senator Boxer, Chair of the Environment and Public Works Committee, who stated on April 10, 2013: "The NRC staff proposal, which could pave the way for the restart of the San Onofre nuclear power plant before the investigations of the crippled plant are completed, is dangerous and premature."

Given the recent failure of tubes that carry radioactive water, speeding restart of San Onofre through a so-called "license amendment" that shortcuts procedures is totally inappropriate. SCE's request to weaken its license requirements was made despite evidence showing that there could be a significant hazard from the operation of the deficient steam generators.

Given the troubled history and current condition of the plant and the raised level of public concern, the public deserves a full review by the NRC of conditions at San Onofre before it considers a restart of either failed generator.

I support the call by the Sierra Club for the NRC to block any restart of the failed San Onofre generators at this time, and to conduct a comprehensive and open review process.

Thank you for your attention to these concerns.

Mary Marshall
5256 Pizzo Ranch Rd
CA 91011

May 3, 2013

Ms Cindy Bladey
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, DC 20555-0001

Dear Ms Bladey,

The comments that follow are regarding Docket ID NRC20130070.

We ask that the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) take no action that could lead to a restart of the San Onofre nuclear power plant before the Commission completes a comprehensive investigation and provides full opportunity for public participation and independent expert testimony.

Southern California Edison (SCE) is attempting to shortcut the license review process by calling on the NRC to make a fast-track restart decision. We and other environmental groups have joined with U.S. Senator Boxer and U.S. Representative Markey in urging the NRC not to follow such a course.

We were deeply disturbed when on April 10 the NRC staff disregarded numerous statements of concern and announced a "preliminary finding" that a San Onofre restart at 70% power posed no significant safety risk.

We join a wide range of concerned citizens and public officials in believing that a full and transparent review of the failed San Onofre generators is essential before the NRC considers any potential restart at either partial or full power of the failed generator.

We support Senator Boxer, Chair of the Environment and Public Works Committee, who stated on April 10, 2013: "The NRC staff proposal, which could pave the way for the restart of the San Onofre nuclear power plant before the investigations of the crippled plant are completed, is dangerous and premature."

Given the recent failure of tubes that carry radioactive water, speeding restart of San Onofre through a so-called "license amendment" that shortcuts procedures is totally inappropriate. SCE's request to weaken its license requirements was made despite evidence showing that there could be a significant hazard from the operation of the deficient steam generators.

Given the troubled history and current condition of the plant and the raised level of public concern, the public deserves a full review by the NRC of conditions at San Onofre before it considers a restart of either failed generator.

I support the call by the Sierra Club for the NRC to block any restart of the failed San Onofre generators at this time, and to conduct a comprehensive and open review process.

Please Shut down San Onofre for good!

Thank you for your attention to these concerns.

Leslie Ross
15332 Antioch St
Pacific Palisades, CA 90272-3628

May 3, 2013

Ms Cindy Bladey
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, DC 20555-0001

Dear Ms Bladey,

The comments that follow are regarding Docket ID NRC20130070.

We ask that the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) take no action that could lead to a restart of the San Onofre nuclear power plant before the Commission completes a comprehensive investigation and provides full opportunity for public participation and independent expert testimony.

Southern California Edison (SCE) is attempting to shortcut the license review process by calling on the NRC to make a fast-track restart decision. We and other environmental groups have joined with U.S. Senator Boxer and U.S. Representative Markey in urging the NRC not to follow such a course.

We were deeply disturbed when on April 10 the NRC staff disregarded numerous statements of concern and announced a "preliminary finding" that a San Onofre restart at 70% power posed no significant safety risk.

We join a wide range of concerned citizens and public officials in believing that a full and transparent review of the failed San Onofre generators is essential before the NRC considers any potential restart at either partial or full power of the failed generator.

We support Senator Boxer, Chair of the Environment and Public Works Committee, who stated on April 10, 2013: "The NRC staff proposal, which could pave the way for the restart of the San Onofre nuclear power plant before the investigations of the crippled plant are completed, is dangerous and premature."

Given the recent failure of tubes that carry radioactive water, speeding restart of San Onofre through a so-called "license amendment" that shortcuts procedures is totally inappropriate. SCE's request to weaken its license requirements was made despite evidence showing that there could be a significant hazard from the operation of the deficient steam generators.

Given the troubled history and current condition of the plant and the raised level of public concern, the public deserves a full review by the NRC of conditions at San Onofre before it considers a restart of either failed generator.

I support the call by the Sierra Club for the NRC to block any restart of the failed San Onofre generators at this time, and to conduct a comprehensive and open review process.

Thank you for your attention to these concerns.

Alexandra Johnson
2317 Fargo St
Los Angeles, CA 90039-3126

May 3, 2013

Ms Cindy Bladey
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, DC 20555-0001

Dear Ms Bladey,

The comments that follow are regarding Docket ID NRC20130070.

We ask that the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) take no action that could lead to a restart of the San Onofre nuclear power plant before the Commission completes a comprehensive investigation and provides full opportunity for public participation and independent expert testimony.

Southern California Edison (SCE) is attempting to shortcut the license review process by calling on the NRC to make a fast-track restart decision. We and other environmental groups have joined with U.S. Senator Boxer and U.S. Representative Markey in urging the NRC not to follow such a course.

We were deeply disturbed when on April 10 the NRC staff disregarded numerous statements of concern and announced a "preliminary finding" that a San Onofre restart at 70% power posed no significant safety risk.

We join a wide range of concerned citizens and public officials in believing that a full and transparent review of the failed San Onofre generators is essential before the NRC considers any potential restart at either partial or full power of the failed generator.

We support Senator Boxer, Chair of the Environment and Public Works Committee, who stated on April 10, 2013: "The NRC staff proposal, which could pave the way for the restart of the San Onofre nuclear power plant before the investigations of the crippled plant are completed, is dangerous and premature."

Given the recent failure of tubes that carry radioactive water, speeding restart of San Onofre through a so-called "license amendment" that shortcuts procedures is totally inappropriate. SCE's request to weaken its license requirements was made despite evidence showing that there could be a significant hazard from the operation of the deficient steam generators.

Given the troubled history and current condition of the plant and the raised level of public concern, the public deserves a full review by the NRC of conditions at San Onofre before it considers a restart of either failed generator.

I support the call by the Sierra Club for the NRC to block any restart of the failed San Onofre generators at this time, and to conduct a comprehensive and open review process.

Thank you for your attention to these concerns.

Angeles Chapter

Redondo Beach

May 3, 2013

Ms Cindy Bladey
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, DC 20555-0001

Dear Ms Bladey,

The comments that follow are regarding Docket ID NRC20130070.

We ask that the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) take no action that could lead to a restart of the San Onofre nuclear power plant before the Commission completes a comprehensive investigation and provides full opportunity for public participation and independent expert testimony.

Southern California Edison (SCE) is attempting to shortcut the license review process by calling on the NRC to make a fast-track restart decision. We and other environmental groups have joined with U.S. Senator Boxer and U.S. Representative Markey in urging the NRC not to follow such a course.

We were deeply disturbed when on April 10 the NRC staff disregarded numerous statements of concern and announced a "preliminary finding" that a San Onofre restart at 70% power posed no significant safety risk.

We join a wide range of concerned citizens and public officials in believing that a full and transparent review of the failed San Onofre generators is essential before the NRC considers any potential restart at either partial or full power of the failed generator.

We support Senator Boxer, Chair of the Environment and Public Works Committee, who stated on April 10, 2013: "The NRC staff proposal, which could pave the way for the restart of the San Onofre nuclear power plant before the investigations of the crippled plant are completed, is dangerous and premature."

Given the recent failure of tubes that carry radioactive water, speeding restart of San Onofre through a so-called "license amendment" that shortcuts procedures is totally inappropriate. SCE's request to weaken its license requirements was made despite evidence showing that there could be a significant hazard from the operation of the deficient steam generators.

Given the troubled history and current condition of the plant and the raised level of public concern, the public deserves a full review by the NRC of conditions at San Onofre before it considers a restart of either failed generator.

I support the call by the Sierra Club for the NRC to block any restart of the failed San Onofre generators at this time, and to conduct a comprehensive and open review process.

Thank you for your attention to these concerns.

Adam Trauger
5160 E Atherton St Apt 83
Long Beach, CA 90815-3947

May 3, 2013

Ms Cindy Bladey
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, DC 20555-0001

Dear Ms Bladey,

The comments that follow are regarding Docket ID NRC20130070.

We ask that the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) take no action that could lead to a restart of the San Onofre nuclear power plant before the Commission completes a comprehensive investigation and provides full opportunity for public participation and independent expert testimony.

Southern California Edison (SCE) is attempting to shortcut the license review process by calling on the NRC to make a fast-track restart decision. We and other environmental groups have joined with U.S. Senator Boxer and U.S. Representative Markey in urging the NRC not to follow such a course.

We were deeply disturbed when on April 10 the NRC staff disregarded numerous statements of concern and announced a "preliminary finding" that a San Onofre restart at 70% power posed no significant safety risk.

We join a wide range of concerned citizens and public officials in believing that a full and transparent review of the failed San Onofre generators is essential before the NRC considers any potential restart at either partial or full power of the failed generator.

We support Senator Boxer, Chair of the Environment and Public Works Committee, who stated on April 10, 2013: "The NRC staff proposal, which could pave the way for the restart of the San Onofre nuclear power plant before the investigations of the crippled plant are completed, is dangerous and premature."

Given the recent failure of tubes that carry radioactive water, speeding restart of San Onofre through a so-called "license amendment" that shortcuts procedures is totally inappropriate. SCE's request to weaken its license requirements was made despite evidence showing that there could be a significant hazard from the operation of the deficient steam generators.

Given the troubled history and current condition of the plant and the raised level of public concern, the public deserves a full review by the NRC of conditions at San Onofre before it considers a restart of either failed generator.

I support the call by the Sierra Club for the NRC to block any restart of the failed San Onofre generators at this time, and to conduct a comprehensive and open review process.

Thank you for your attention to these concerns.

Jake Gutman
1100 Via De La Paz
Pacific Palisades, CA 90272-2507

May 3, 2013

Ms Cindy Bladey
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, DC 20555-0001

Dear Ms Bladey,

The comments that follow are regarding Docket ID NRC20130070.

We ask that the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) take no action that could lead to a restart of the San Onofre nuclear power plant before the Commission completes a comprehensive investigation and provides full opportunity for public participation and independent expert testimony.

Southern California Edison (SCE) is attempting to shortcut the license review process by calling on the NRC to make a fast-track restart decision. We and other environmental groups have joined with U.S. Senator Boxer and U.S. Representative Markey in urging the NRC not to follow such a course.

We were deeply disturbed when on April 10 the NRC staff disregarded numerous statements of concern and announced a "preliminary finding" that a San Onofre restart at 70% power posed no significant safety risk.

We join a wide range of concerned citizens and public officials in believing that a full and transparent review of the failed San Onofre generators is essential before the NRC considers any potential restart at either partial or full power of the failed generator.

We support Senator Boxer, Chair of the Environment and Public Works Committee, who stated on April 10, 2013: "The NRC staff proposal, which could pave the way for the restart of the San Onofre nuclear power plant before the investigations of the crippled plant are completed, is dangerous and premature."

Given the recent failure of tubes that carry radioactive water, speeding restart of San Onofre through a so-called "license amendment" that shortcuts procedures is totally inappropriate. SCE's request to weaken its license requirements was made despite evidence showing that there could be a significant hazard from the operation of the deficient steam generators.

Given the troubled history and current condition of the plant and the raised level of public concern, the public deserves a full review by the NRC of conditions at San Onofre before it considers a restart of either failed generator.

I support the call by the Sierra Club for the NRC to block any restart of the failed San Onofre generators at this time, and to conduct a comprehensive and open review process.

Thank you for your attention to these concerns.

Craig Bovia
1548 N Topanga Canyon Blvd
Topanga, CA 90290-4388

May 3, 2013

Ms Cindy Bladey
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, DC 20555-0001

Dear Ms Bladey,

The comments that follow are regarding Docket ID NRC20130070.

We ask that the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) take no action that could lead to a restart of the San Onofre nuclear power plant before the Commission completes a comprehensive investigation and provides full opportunity for public participation and independent expert testimony.

Southern California Edison (SCE) is attempting to shortcut the license review process by calling on the NRC to make a fast-track restart decision. We and other environmental groups have joined with U.S. Senator Boxer and U.S. Representative Markey in urging the NRC not to follow such a course.

We were deeply disturbed when on April 10 the NRC staff disregarded numerous statements of concern and announced a "preliminary finding" that a San Onofre restart at 70% power posed no significant safety risk.

We join a wide range of concerned citizens and public officials in believing that a full and transparent review of the failed San Onofre generators is essential before the NRC considers any potential restart at either partial or full power of the failed generator.

We support Senator Boxer, Chair of the Environment and Public Works Committee, who stated on April 10, 2013: "The NRC staff proposal, which could pave the way for the restart of the San Onofre nuclear power plant before the investigations of the crippled plant are completed, is dangerous and premature."

Given the recent failure of tubes that carry radioactive water, speeding restart of San Onofre through a so-called "license amendment" that shortcuts procedures is totally inappropriate. SCE's request to weaken its license requirements was made despite evidence showing that there could be a significant hazard from the operation of the deficient steam generators.

Given the troubled history and current condition of the plant and the raised level of public concern, the public deserves a full review by the NRC of conditions at San Onofre before it considers a restart of either failed generator.

I support the call by the Sierra Club for the NRC to block any restart of the failed San Onofre generators at this time, and to conduct a comprehensive and open review process.

Thank you for your attention to these concerns.

John Hotchkiss
10563 Hillview Ave
Chatsworth, CA 91311-2124

May 3, 2013

Ms Cindy Bladey
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, DC 20555-0001

Dear Ms Bladey,

The comments that follow are regarding Docket ID NRC20130070.

We ask that the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) take no action that could lead to a restart of the San Onofre nuclear power plant before the Commission completes a comprehensive investigation and provides full opportunity for public participation and independent expert testimony.

Southern California Edison (SCE) is attempting to shortcut the license review process by calling on the NRC to make a fast-track restart decision. We and other environmental groups have joined with U.S. Senator Boxer and U.S. Representative Markey in urging the NRC not to follow such a course.

We were deeply disturbed when on April 10 the NRC staff disregarded numerous statements of concern and announced a "preliminary finding" that a San Onofre restart at 70% power posed no significant safety risk.

We join a wide range of concerned citizens and public officials in believing that a full and transparent review of the failed San Onofre generators is essential before the NRC considers any potential restart at either partial or full power of the failed generator.

We support Senator Boxer, Chair of the Environment and Public Works Committee, who stated on April 10, 2013: "The NRC staff proposal, which could pave the way for the restart of the San Onofre nuclear power plant before the investigations of the crippled plant are completed, is dangerous and premature."

Given the recent failure of tubes that carry radioactive water, speeding restart of San Onofre through a so-called "license amendment" that shortcuts procedures is totally inappropriate. SCE's request to weaken its license requirements was made despite evidence showing that there could be a significant hazard from the operation of the deficient steam generators.

Given the troubled history and current condition of the plant and the raised level of public concern, the public deserves a full review by the NRC of conditions at San Onofre before it considers a restart of either failed generator.

I support the call by the Sierra Club for the NRC to block any restart of the failed San Onofre generators at this time, and to conduct a comprehensive and open review process.

KEEP IT CLOSED!!!!!!

Thank you for your attention to these concerns.

Anji A

91205

May 3, 2013

Ms Cindy Bladey
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, DC 20555-0001

Dear Ms Bladey,

The comments that follow are regarding Docket ID NRC20130070.

We ask that the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) take no action that could lead to a restart of the San Onofre nuclear power plant before the Commission completes a comprehensive investigation and provides full opportunity for public participation and independent expert testimony.

Southern California Edison (SCE) is attempting to shortcut the license review process by calling on the NRC to make a fast-track restart decision. We and other environmental groups have joined with U.S. Senator Boxer and U.S. Representative Markey in urging the NRC not to follow such a course.

We were deeply disturbed when on April 10 the NRC staff disregarded numerous statements of concern and announced a "preliminary finding" that a San Onofre restart at 70% power posed no significant safety risk.

We join a wide range of concerned citizens and public officials in believing that a full and transparent review of the failed San Onofre generators is essential before the NRC considers any potential restart at either partial or full power of the failed generator.

We support Senator Boxer, Chair of the Environment and Public Works Committee, who stated on April 10, 2013: "The NRC staff proposal, which could pave the way for the restart of the San Onofre nuclear power plant before the investigations of the crippled plant are completed, is dangerous and premature."

Given the recent failure of tubes that carry radioactive water, speeding restart of San Onofre through a so-called "license amendment" that shortcuts procedures is totally inappropriate. SCE's request to weaken its license requirements was made despite evidence showing that there could be a significant hazard from the operation of the deficient steam generators.

Given the troubled history and current condition of the plant and the raised level of public concern, the public deserves a full review by the NRC of conditions at San Onofre before it considers a restart of either failed generator.

I support the call by the Sierra Club for the NRC to block any restart of the failed San Onofre generators at this time, and to conduct a comprehensive and open review process.

Thank you for your attention to these concerns.

Sigrid Ramos
14836 Hart St
Van Nuys, CA 91405-3019

May 3, 2013

Ms Cindy Bladey
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, DC 20555-0001

Dear Ms Bladey,

The comments that follow are regarding Docket ID NRC20130070.

We ask that the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) take no action that could lead to a restart of the San Onofre nuclear power plant before the Commission completes a comprehensive investigation and provides full opportunity for public participation and independent expert testimony.

Southern California Edison (SCE) is attempting to shortcut the license review process by calling on the NRC to make a fast-track restart decision. We and other environmental groups have joined with U.S. Senator Boxer and U.S. Representative Markey in urging the NRC not to follow such a course.

We were deeply disturbed when on April 10 the NRC staff disregarded numerous statements of concern and announced a "preliminary finding" that a San Onofre restart at 70% power posed no significant safety risk.

We join a wide range of concerned citizens and public officials in believing that a full and transparent review of the failed San Onofre generators is essential before the NRC considers any potential restart at either partial or full power of the failed generator.

We support Senator Boxer, Chair of the Environment and Public Works Committee, who stated on April 10, 2013: "The NRC staff proposal, which could pave the way for the restart of the San Onofre nuclear power plant before the investigations of the crippled plant are completed, is dangerous and premature."

Given the recent failure of tubes that carry radioactive water, speeding restart of San Onofre through a so-called "license amendment" that shortcuts procedures is totally inappropriate. SCE's request to weaken its license requirements was made despite evidence showing that there could be a significant hazard from the operation of the deficient steam generators.

This plant was closed for a very good reason. Now keep it closed! Given the troubled history and current condition of the plant and the raised level of public concern, the public deserves a full review by the NRC of conditions at San Onofre before it considers a restart of either failed generator.

I support the call by the Sierra Club for the NRC to block any restart of the failed San Onofre generators at this time, and to conduct a comprehensive and open review process.

Thank you for your attention to these concerns.

Richard McGonagle
201 N Myers St
Burbank, CA 91506-2316

May 3, 2013

Ms Cindy Bladey
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, DC 20555-0001

Dear Ms Bladey,

The comments that follow are regarding Docket ID NRC20130070.

We ask that the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) take no action that could lead to a restart of the San Onofre nuclear power plant before the Commission completes a comprehensive investigation and provides full opportunity for public participation and independent expert testimony.

Southern California Edison (SCE) is attempting to shortcut the license review process by calling on the NRC to make a fast-track restart decision. We and other environmental groups have joined with U.S. Senator Boxer and U.S. Representative Markey in urging the NRC not to follow such a course.

We were deeply disturbed when on April 10 the NRC staff disregarded numerous statements of concern and announced a "preliminary finding" that a San Onofre restart at 70% power posed no significant safety risk.

We join a wide range of concerned citizens and public officials in believing that a full and transparent review of the failed San Onofre generators is essential before the NRC considers any potential restart at either partial or full power of the failed generator.

We support Senator Boxer, Chair of the Environment and Public Works Committee, who stated on April 10, 2013: "The NRC staff proposal, which could pave the way for the restart of the San Onofre nuclear power plant before the investigations of the crippled plant are completed, is dangerous and premature."

Given the recent failure of tubes that carry radioactive water, speeding restart of San Onofre through a so-called "license amendment" that shortcuts procedures is totally inappropriate. SCE's request to weaken its license requirements was made despite evidence showing that there could be a significant hazard from the operation of the deficient steam generators.

Given the troubled history and current condition of the plant and the raised level of public concern, the public deserves a full review by the NRC of conditions at San Onofre before it considers a restart of either failed generator.

I support the call by the Sierra Club for the NRC to block any restart of the failed San Onofre generators at this time, and to conduct a comprehensive and open review process.

Thank you for your attention to these concerns.

Jeff Edeker
7826 Westlawn Ave
Los Angeles, CA 90045-1070

May 3, 2013

Ms Cindy Bladey
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, DC 20555-0001

Dear Ms Bladey,

The comments that follow are regarding Docket ID NRC20130070.

We ask that the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) take no action that could lead to a restart of the San Onofre nuclear power plant before the Commission completes a comprehensive investigation and provides full opportunity for public participation and independent expert testimony.

Southern California Edison (SCE) is attempting to shortcut the license review process by calling on the NRC to make a fast-track restart decision. We and other environmental groups have joined with U.S. Senator Boxer and U.S. Representative Markey in urging the NRC not to follow such a course.

We were deeply disturbed when on April 10 the NRC staff disregarded numerous statements of concern and announced a "preliminary finding" that a San Onofre restart at 70% power posed no significant safety risk.

We join a wide range of concerned citizens and public officials in believing that a full and transparent review of the failed San Onofre generators is essential before the NRC considers any potential restart at either partial or full power of the failed generator.

We support Senator Boxer, Chair of the Environment and Public Works Committee, who stated on April 10, 2013: "The NRC staff proposal, which could pave the way for the restart of the San Onofre nuclear power plant before the investigations of the crippled plant are completed, is dangerous and premature."

Given the recent failure of tubes that carry radioactive water, speeding restart of San Onofre through a so-called "license amendment" that shortcuts procedures is totally inappropriate. SCE's request to weaken its license requirements was made despite evidence showing that there could be a significant hazard from the operation of the deficient steam generators.

Given the troubled history and current condition of the plant and the raised level of public concern, the public deserves a full review by the NRC of conditions at San Onofre before it considers a restart of either failed generator.

I support the call by the Sierra Club for the NRC to block any restart of the failed San Onofre generators at this time, and to conduct a comprehensive and open review process.

Thank you for your attention to these concerns.

Barbara Williamson
335 Woodland Pl
Costa Mesa, CA 92627-2119

May 3, 2013

Ms Cindy Bladey
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, DC 20555-0001

Dear Ms Bladey,

The comments that follow are regarding Docket ID NRC20130070.

We ask that the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) take no action that could lead to a restart of the San Onofre nuclear power plant before the Commission completes a comprehensive investigation and provides full opportunity for public participation and independent expert testimony.

Southern California Edison (SCE) is attempting to shortcut the license review process by calling on the NRC to make a fast-track restart decision. We and other environmental groups have joined with U.S. Senator Boxer and U.S. Representative Markey in urging the NRC not to follow such a course.

We were deeply disturbed when on April 10 the NRC staff disregarded numerous statements of concern and announced a "preliminary finding" that a San Onofre restart at 70% power posed no significant safety risk.

We join a wide range of concerned citizens and public officials in believing that a full and transparent review of the failed San Onofre generators is essential before the NRC considers any potential restart at either partial or full power of the failed generator.

We support Senator Boxer, Chair of the Environment and Public Works Committee, who stated on April 10, 2013: "The NRC staff proposal, which could pave the way for the restart of the San Onofre nuclear power plant before the investigations of the crippled plant are completed, is dangerous and premature."

Given the recent failure of tubes that carry radioactive water, speeding restart of San Onofre through a so-called "license amendment" that shortcuts procedures is totally inappropriate. SCE's request to weaken its license requirements was made despite evidence showing that there could be a significant hazard from the operation of the deficient steam generators.

Given the troubled history and current condition of the plant and the raised level of public concern, the public deserves a full review by the NRC of conditions at San Onofre before it considers a restart of either failed generator.

I support the call by the Sierra Club for the NRC to block any restart of the failed San Onofre generators at this time, and to conduct a comprehensive and open review process.

Thank you for your attention to these concerns.

Michelle Shrode
1000 S Coast Dr
Costa Mesa, CA 92626-1767

May 3, 2013

Ms Cindy Bladey
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, DC 20555-0001

Dear Ms Bladey,

The comments that follow are regarding Docket ID NRC20130070.

We ask that the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) take no action that could lead to a restart of the San Onofre nuclear power plant before the Commission completes a comprehensive investigation and provides full opportunity for public participation and independent expert testimony.

Southern California Edison (SCE) is attempting to shortcut the license review process by calling on the NRC to make a fast-track restart decision. We and other environmental groups have joined with U.S. Senator Boxer and U.S. Representative Markey in urging the NRC not to follow such a course.

We were deeply disturbed when on April 10 the NRC staff disregarded numerous statements of concern and announced a "preliminary finding" that a San Onofre restart at 70% power posed no significant safety risk.

We join a wide range of concerned citizens and public officials in believing that a full and transparent review of the failed San Onofre generators is essential before the NRC considers any potential restart at either partial or full power of the failed generator.

We support Senator Boxer, Chair of the Environment and Public Works Committee, who stated on April 10, 2013: "The NRC staff proposal, which could pave the way for the restart of the San Onofre nuclear power plant before the investigations of the crippled plant are completed, is dangerous and premature."

Given the recent failure of tubes that carry radioactive water, speeding restart of San Onofre through a so-called "license amendment" that shortcuts procedures is totally inappropriate. SCE's request to weaken its license requirements was made despite evidence showing that there could be a significant hazard from the operation of the deficient steam generators.

Enough of your DISREGARD for humanity and all life.

Given the troubled history and current condition of the plant and the raised level of public concern, the public deserves a full review by the NRC of conditions at San Onofre before it considers a restart of either failed generator.

I support the call by the Sierra Club for the NRC to block any restart of the failed San Onofre generators at this time, and to conduct a comprehensive and open review process.

Thank you for your attention to these concerns.

Terri Kana
PO Box 291398
Los Angeles, CA 90029-9398

May 3, 2013

Ms Cindy Bladey
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, DC 20555-0001

Dear Ms Bladey,

The comments that follow are regarding Docket ID NRC20130070.

We ask that the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) take no action that could lead to a restart of the San Onofre nuclear power plant before the Commission completes a comprehensive investigation and provides full opportunity for public participation and independent expert testimony.

Southern California Edison (SCE) is attempting to shortcut the license review process by calling on the NRC to make a fast-track restart decision. We and other environmental groups have joined with U.S. Senator Boxer and U.S. Representative Markey in urging the NRC not to follow such a course.

We were deeply disturbed when on April 10 the NRC staff disregarded numerous statements of concern and announced a "preliminary finding" that a San Onofre restart at 70% power posed no significant safety risk.

We join a wide range of concerned citizens and public officials in believing that a full and transparent review of the failed San Onofre generators is essential before the NRC considers any potential restart at either partial or full power of the failed generator.

We support Senator Boxer, Chair of the Environment and Public Works Committee, who stated on April 10, 2013: "The NRC staff proposal, which could pave the way for the restart of the San Onofre nuclear power plant before the investigations of the crippled plant are completed, is dangerous and premature."

Given the recent failure of tubes that carry radioactive water, speeding restart of San Onofre through a so-called "license amendment" that shortcuts procedures is totally inappropriate. SCE's request to weaken its license requirements was made despite evidence showing that there could be a significant hazard from the operation of the deficient steam generators.

Given the troubled history and current condition of the plant and the raised level of public concern, the public deserves a full review by the NRC of conditions at San Onofre before it considers a restart of either failed generator.

I support the call by the Sierra Club for the NRC to block any restart of the failed San Onofre generators at this time, and to conduct a comprehensive and open review process.

Thank you for your attention to these concerns.

Thomas Dempsey
433 N Camden Dr Ste 730
Beverly Hills, CA 90210-4411

May 3, 2013

Ms Cindy Bladey
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, DC 20555-0001

Dear Ms Bladey,

The comments that follow are regarding Docket ID NRC20130070.

We ask that the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) take no action that could lead to a restart of the San Onofre nuclear power plant before the Commission completes a comprehensive investigation and provides full opportunity for public participation and independent expert testimony.

Southern California Edison (SCE) is attempting to shortcut the license review process by calling on the NRC to make a fast-track restart decision. We and other environmental groups have joined with U.S. Senator Boxer and U.S. Representative Markey in urging the NRC not to follow such a course.

We were deeply disturbed when on April 10 the NRC staff disregarded numerous statements of concern and announced a "preliminary finding" that a San Onofre restart at 70% power posed no significant safety risk.

We join a wide range of concerned citizens and public officials in believing that a full and transparent review of the failed San Onofre generators is essential before the NRC considers any potential restart at either partial or full power of the failed generator.

We support Senator Boxer, Chair of the Environment and Public Works Committee, who stated on April 10, 2013: "The NRC staff proposal, which could pave the way for the restart of the San Onofre nuclear power plant before the investigations of the crippled plant are completed, is dangerous and premature."

Given the recent failure of tubes that carry radioactive water, speeding restart of San Onofre through a so-called "license amendment" that shortcuts procedures is totally inappropriate. SCE's request to weaken its license requirements was made despite evidence showing that there could be a significant hazard from the operation of the deficient steam generators.

Given the troubled history and current condition of the plant and the raised level of public concern, the public deserves a full review by the NRC of conditions at San Onofre before it considers a restart of either failed generator.

I support the call by the Sierra Club for the NRC to block any restart of the failed San Onofre generators at this time, and to conduct a comprehensive and open review process.

Thank you for your attention to these concerns.

Ignacio Ruiz
3911 W 104th St Apt 9
Inglewood, CA 90303-4892

May 3, 2013

Ms Cindy Bladey
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, DC 20555-0001

Dear Ms Bladey,

The comments that follow are regarding Docket ID NRC20130070.

We ask that the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) take no action that could lead to a restart of the San Onofre nuclear power plant before the Commission completes a comprehensive investigation and provides full opportunity for public participation and independent expert testimony.

Southern California Edison (SCE) is attempting to shortcut the license review process by calling on the NRC to make a fast-track restart decision. We and other environmental groups have joined with U.S. Senator Boxer and U.S. Representative Markey in urging the NRC not to follow such a course.

We were deeply disturbed when on April 10 the NRC staff disregarded numerous statements of concern and announced a "preliminary finding" that a San Onofre restart at 70% power posed no significant safety risk.

We join a wide range of concerned citizens and public officials in believing that a full and transparent review of the failed San Onofre generators is essential before the NRC considers any potential restart at either partial or full power of the failed generator.

We support Senator Boxer, Chair of the Environment and Public Works Committee, who stated on April 10, 2013: "The NRC staff proposal, which could pave the way for the restart of the San Onofre nuclear power plant before the investigations of the crippled plant are completed, is dangerous and premature."

Given the recent failure of tubes that carry radioactive water, speeding restart of San Onofre through a so-called "license amendment" that shortcuts procedures is totally inappropriate. SCE's request to weaken its license requirements was made despite evidence showing that there could be a significant hazard from the operation of the deficient steam generators.

Given the troubled history and current condition of the plant and the raised level of public concern, the public deserves a full review by the NRC of conditions at San Onofre before it considers a restart of either failed generator.

I support the call by the Sierra Club for the NRC to block any restart of the failed San Onofre generators at this time, and to conduct a comprehensive and open review process.

Thank you for your attention to these concerns.

Linda Reavely
4924 Auckland Ave
North Hollywood, CA 91601-4805

May 3, 2013

Ms Cindy Bladey
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, DC 20555-0001

Dear Ms Bladey,

The comments that follow are regarding Docket ID NRC20130070.

We ask that the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) take no action that could lead to a restart of the San Onofre nuclear power plant before the Commission completes a comprehensive investigation and provides full opportunity for public participation and independent expert testimony.

Southern California Edison (SCE) is attempting to shortcut the license review process by calling on the NRC to make a fast-track restart decision. We and other environmental groups have joined with U.S. Senator Boxer and U.S. Representative Markey in urging the NRC not to follow such a course.

We were deeply disturbed when on April 10 the NRC staff disregarded numerous statements of concern and announced a "preliminary finding" that a San Onofre restart at 70% power posed no significant safety risk.

We join a wide range of concerned citizens and public officials in believing that a full and transparent review of the failed San Onofre generators is essential before the NRC considers any potential restart at either partial or full power of the failed generator.

We support Senator Boxer, Chair of the Environment and Public Works Committee, who stated on April 10, 2013: "The NRC staff proposal, which could pave the way for the restart of the San Onofre nuclear power plant before the investigations of the crippled plant are completed, is dangerous and premature."

Given the recent failure of tubes that carry radioactive water, speeding restart of San Onofre through a so-called "license amendment" that shortcuts procedures is totally inappropriate. SCE's request to weaken its license requirements was made despite evidence showing that there could be a significant hazard from the operation of the deficient steam generators.

Given the troubled history and current condition of the plant and the raised level of public concern, the public deserves a full review by the NRC of conditions at San Onofre before it considers a restart of either failed generator.

I support the call by the Sierra Club for the NRC to block any restart of the failed San Onofre generators at this time, and to conduct a comprehensive and open review process.

Thank you for your attention to these concerns.

Mary Ellen Brennan
1659 Ocean Front Walk Apt 102
Santa Monica, CA 90401-3165

May 3, 2013

Ms Cindy Bladey
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, DC 20555-0001

Dear Ms Bladey,

The comments that follow are regarding Docket ID NRC20130070.

We ask that the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) take no action that could lead to a restart of the San Onofre nuclear power plant before the Commission completes a comprehensive investigation and provides full opportunity for public participation and independent expert testimony.

Southern California Edison (SCE) is attempting to shortcut the license review process by calling on the NRC to make a fast-track restart decision. We and other environmental groups have joined with U.S. Senator Boxer and U.S. Representative Markey in urging the NRC not to follow such a course.

We were deeply disturbed when on April 10 the NRC staff disregarded numerous statements of concern and announced a "preliminary finding" that a San Onofre restart at 70% power posed no significant safety risk.

We join a wide range of concerned citizens and public officials in believing that a full and transparent review of the failed San Onofre generators is essential before the NRC considers any potential restart at either partial or full power of the failed generator.

We support Senator Boxer, Chair of the Environment and Public Works Committee, who stated on April 10, 2013: "The NRC staff proposal, which could pave the way for the restart of the San Onofre nuclear power plant before the investigations of the crippled plant are completed, is dangerous and premature."

Given the recent failure of tubes that carry radioactive water, speeding restart of San Onofre through a so-called "license amendment" that shortcuts procedures is totally inappropriate. SCE's request to weaken its license requirements was made despite evidence showing that there could be a significant hazard from the operation of the deficient steam generators.

Given the troubled history and current condition of the plant and the raised level of public concern, the public deserves a full review by the NRC of conditions at San Onofre before it considers a restart of either failed generator.

I support the call by the Sierra Club for the NRC to block any restart of the failed San Onofre generators at this time, and to conduct a comprehensive and open review process.

Thank you for your attention to these concerns.

J. V. Foti
714 Pacific Ave
Long Beach, CA 90813-4234

May 3, 2013

Ms Cindy Bladey
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, DC 20555-0001

Dear Ms Bladey,

The comments that follow are regarding Docket ID NRC20130070.

We ask that the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) take no action that could lead to a restart of the San Onofre nuclear power plant before the Commission completes a comprehensive investigation and provides full opportunity for public participation and independent expert testimony.

Southern California Edison (SCE) is attempting to shortcut the license review process by calling on the NRC to make a fast-track restart decision. We and other environmental groups have joined with U.S. Senator Boxer and U.S. Representative Markey in urging the NRC not to follow such a course.

We were deeply disturbed when on April 10 the NRC staff disregarded numerous statements of concern and announced a "preliminary finding" that a San Onofre restart at 70% power posed no significant safety risk.

We join a wide range of concerned citizens and public officials in believing that a full and transparent review of the failed San Onofre generators is essential before the NRC considers any potential restart at either partial or full power of the failed generator.

We support Senator Boxer, Chair of the Environment and Public Works Committee, who stated on April 10, 2013: "The NRC staff proposal, which could pave the way for the restart of the San Onofre nuclear power plant before the investigations of the crippled plant are completed, is dangerous and premature."

Given the recent failure of tubes that carry radioactive water, speeding restart of San Onofre through a so-called "license amendment" that shortcuts procedures is totally inappropriate. SCE's request to weaken its license requirements was made despite evidence showing that there could be a significant hazard from the operation of the deficient steam generators.

Given the troubled history and current condition of the plant and the raised level of public concern, the public deserves a full review by the NRC of conditions at San Onofre before it considers a restart of either failed generator.

I support the call by the Sierra Club for the NRC to block any restart of the failed San Onofre generators at this time, and to conduct a comprehensive and open review process.

Thank you for your attention to these concerns.

sheila Weiss

CA 90275

May 3, 2013

Ms Cindy Bladey
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, DC 20555-0001

Dear Ms Bladey,

The comments that follow are regarding Docket ID NRC20130070.

We ask that the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) take no action that could lead to a restart of the San Onofre nuclear power plant before the Commission completes a comprehensive investigation and provides full opportunity for public participation and independent expert testimony.

Southern California Edison (SCE) is attempting to shortcut the license review process by calling on the NRC to make a fast-track restart decision. We and other environmental groups have joined with U.S. Senator Boxer and U.S. Representative Markey in urging the NRC not to follow such a course.

We were deeply disturbed when on April 10 the NRC staff disregarded numerous statements of concern and announced a "preliminary finding" that a San Onofre restart at 70% power posed no significant safety risk.

We join a wide range of concerned citizens and public officials in believing that a full and transparent review of the failed San Onofre generators is essential before the NRC considers any potential restart at either partial or full power of the failed generator.

We support Senator Boxer, Chair of the Environment and Public Works Committee, who stated on April 10, 2013: "The NRC staff proposal, which could pave the way for the restart of the San Onofre nuclear power plant before the investigations of the crippled plant are completed, is dangerous and premature."

Given the recent failure of tubes that carry radioactive water, speeding restart of San Onofre through a so-called "license amendment" that shortcuts procedures is totally inappropriate. SCE's request to weaken its license requirements was made despite evidence showing that there could be a significant hazard from the operation of the deficient steam generators.

Given the troubled history and current condition of the plant and the raised level of public concern, the public deserves a full review by the NRC of conditions at San Onofre before it considers a restart of either failed generator.

I support the call by the Sierra Club for the NRC to block any restart of the failed San Onofre generators at this time, and to conduct a comprehensive and open review process.

Thank you for your attention to these concerns.

Shery Mccright-Renteria
20341 Allport Ln
Huntington Beach, CA 92646-5101

May 3, 2013

Ms Cindy Bladey
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, DC 20555-0001

Dear Ms Bladey,

The comments that follow are regarding Docket ID NRC20130070.

We ask that the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) take no action that could lead to a restart of the San Onofre nuclear power plant before the Commission completes a comprehensive investigation and provides full opportunity for public participation and independent expert testimony.

Southern California Edison (SCE) is attempting to shortcut the license review process by calling on the NRC to make a fast-track restart decision. We and other environmental groups have joined with U.S. Senator Boxer and U.S. Representative Markey in urging the NRC not to follow such a course.

We were deeply disturbed when on April 10 the NRC staff disregarded numerous statements of concern and announced a "preliminary finding" that a San Onofre restart at 70% power posed no significant safety risk.

We join a wide range of concerned citizens and public officials in believing that a full and transparent review of the failed San Onofre generators is essential before the NRC considers any potential restart at either partial or full power of the failed generator.

We support Senator Boxer, Chair of the Environment and Public Works Committee, who stated on April 10, 2013: "The NRC staff proposal, which could pave the way for the restart of the San Onofre nuclear power plant before the investigations of the crippled plant are completed, is dangerous and premature."

Given the recent failure of tubes that carry radioactive water, speeding restart of San Onofre through a so-called "license amendment" that shortcuts procedures is totally inappropriate. SCE's request to weaken its license requirements was made despite evidence showing that there could be a significant hazard from the operation of the deficient steam generators.

Given the troubled history and current condition of the plant and the raised level of public concern, the public deserves a full review by the NRC of conditions at San Onofre before it considers a restart of either failed generator.

I support the call by the Sierra Club for the NRC to block any restart of the failed San Onofre generators at this time, and to conduct a comprehensive and open review process.

Please listen to the public's safety concerns about starting failed generators at San Onofre.
Penni and Tim Dauwalder

Thank you for your attention to these concerns.

Penni and Timothy Dauwalder
1568 Seneca Pl
Claremont, CA 91711-3338

May 3, 2013

Ms Cindy Bladey
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, DC 20555-0001

Dear Ms Bladey,

The comments that follow are regarding Docket ID NRC20130070.

We ask that the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) take no action that could lead to a restart of the San Onofre nuclear power plant before the Commission completes a comprehensive investigation and provides full opportunity for public participation and independent expert testimony.

Southern California Edison (SCE) is attempting to shortcut the license review process by calling on the NRC to make a fast-track restart decision. We and other environmental groups have joined with U.S. Senator Boxer and U.S. Representative Markey in urging the NRC not to follow such a course.

We were deeply disturbed when on April 10 the NRC staff disregarded numerous statements of concern and announced a "preliminary finding" that a San Onofre restart at 70% power posed no significant safety risk.

We join a wide range of concerned citizens and public officials in believing that a full and transparent review of the failed San Onofre generators is essential before the NRC considers any potential restart at either partial or full power of the failed generator.

We support Senator Boxer, Chair of the Environment and Public Works Committee, who stated on April 10, 2013: "The NRC staff proposal, which could pave the way for the restart of the San Onofre nuclear power plant before the investigations of the crippled plant are completed, is dangerous and premature."

Given the recent failure of tubes that carry radioactive water, speeding restart of San Onofre through a so-called "license amendment" that shortcuts procedures is totally inappropriate. SCE's request to weaken its license requirements was made despite evidence showing that there could be a significant hazard from the operation of the deficient steam generators.

Given the troubled history and current condition of the plant and the raised level of public concern, the public deserves a full review by the NRC of conditions at San Onofre before it considers a restart of either failed generator.

I support the call by the Sierra Club for the NRC to block any restart of the failed San Onofre generators at this time, and to conduct a comprehensive and open review process.

Thank you for your attention to these concerns.

Arthur Eddy
2179 W 21st St
Los Angeles, CA 90018-1321

May 3, 2013

Ms Cindy Bladey
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, DC 20555-0001

Dear Ms Bladey,

The comments that follow are regarding Docket ID NRC20130070.

We ask that the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) take no action that could lead to a restart of the San Onofre nuclear power plant before the Commission completes a comprehensive investigation and provides full opportunity for public participation and independent expert testimony.

Southern California Edison (SCE) is attempting to shortcut the license review process by calling on the NRC to make a fast-track restart decision. We and other environmental groups have joined with U.S. Senator Boxer and U.S. Representative Markey in urging the NRC not to follow such a course.

We were deeply disturbed when on April 10 the NRC staff disregarded numerous statements of concern and announced a "preliminary finding" that a San Onofre restart at 70% power posed no significant safety risk.

We join a wide range of concerned citizens and public officials in believing that a full and transparent review of the failed San Onofre generators is essential before the NRC considers any potential restart at either partial or full power of the failed generator.

We support Senator Boxer, Chair of the Environment and Public Works Committee, who stated on April 10, 2013: "The NRC staff proposal, which could pave the way for the restart of the San Onofre nuclear power plant before the investigations of the crippled plant are completed, is dangerous and premature."

Given the recent failure of tubes that carry radioactive water, speeding restart of San Onofre through a so-called "license amendment" that shortcuts procedures is totally inappropriate. SCE's request to weaken its license requirements was made despite evidence showing that there could be a significant hazard from the operation of the deficient steam generators.

Given the troubled history and current condition of the plant and the raised level of public concern, the public deserves a full review by the NRC of conditions at San Onofre before it considers a restart of either failed generator.

I support the call by the Sierra Club for the NRC to block any restart of the failed San Onofre generators at this time, and to conduct a comprehensive and open review process.

Thank you for your attention to these concerns.

Jeffrey Haines
951 3rd Ct NE
Issaquah, WA 98029-5407

May 3, 2013

Ms Cindy Bladey
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, DC 20555-0001

Dear Ms Bladey,

The comments that follow are regarding Docket ID NRC20130070.

We ask that the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) take no action that could lead to a restart of the San Onofre nuclear power plant before the Commission completes a comprehensive investigation and provides full opportunity for public participation and independent expert testimony.

Southern California Edison (SCE) is attempting to shortcut the license review process by calling on the NRC to make a fast-track restart decision. We and other environmental groups have joined with U.S. Senator Boxer and U.S. Representative Markey in urging the NRC not to follow such a course.

We were deeply disturbed when on April 10 the NRC staff disregarded numerous statements of concern and announced a "preliminary finding" that a San Onofre restart at 70% power posed no significant safety risk.

We join a wide range of concerned citizens and public officials in believing that a full and transparent review of the failed San Onofre generators is essential before the NRC considers any potential restart at either partial or full power of the failed generator.

We support Senator Boxer, Chair of the Environment and Public Works Committee, who stated on April 10, 2013: "The NRC staff proposal, which could pave the way for the restart of the San Onofre nuclear power plant before the investigations of the crippled plant are completed, is dangerous and premature."

Given the recent failure of tubes that carry radioactive water, speeding restart of San Onofre through a so-called "license amendment" that shortcuts procedures is totally inappropriate. SCE's request to weaken its license requirements was made despite evidence showing that there could be a significant hazard from the operation of the deficient steam generators.

Given the troubled history and current condition of the plant and the raised level of public concern, the public deserves a full review by the NRC of conditions at San Onofre before it considers a restart of either failed generator.

I support the call by the Sierra Club for the NRC to block any restart of the failed San Onofre generators at this time, and to conduct a comprehensive and open review process.

Thank you for your attention to these concerns.

R. Marti

May 3, 2013

Ms Cindy Bladey
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, DC 20555-0001

Dear Ms Bladey,

The comments that follow are regarding Docket ID NRC20130070.

We ask that the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) take no action that could lead to a restart of the San Onofre nuclear power plant before the Commission completes a comprehensive investigation and provides full opportunity for public participation and independent expert testimony.

Southern California Edison (SCE) is attempting to shortcut the license review process by calling on the NRC to make a fast-track restart decision. We and other environmental groups have joined with U.S. Senator Boxer and U.S. Representative Markey in urging the NRC not to follow such a course.

We were deeply disturbed when on April 10 the NRC staff disregarded numerous statements of concern and announced a "preliminary finding" that a San Onofre restart at 70% power posed no significant safety risk.

We join a wide range of concerned citizens and public officials in believing that a full and transparent review of the failed San Onofre generators is essential before the NRC considers any potential restart at either partial or full power of the failed generator.

We support Senator Boxer, Chair of the Environment and Public Works Committee, who stated on April 10, 2013: "The NRC staff proposal, which could pave the way for the restart of the San Onofre nuclear power plant before the investigations of the crippled plant are completed, is dangerous and premature."

Given the recent failure of tubes that carry radioactive water, speeding restart of San Onofre through a so-called "license amendment" that shortcuts procedures is totally inappropriate. SCE's request to weaken its license requirements was made despite evidence showing that there could be a significant hazard from the operation of the deficient steam generators.

Given the troubled history and current condition of the plant and the raised level of public concern, the public deserves a full review by the NRC of conditions at San Onofre before it considers a restart of either failed generator.

I support the call by the Sierra Club for the NRC to block any restart of the failed San Onofre generators at this time, and to conduct a comprehensive and open review process.

Thank you for your attention to these concerns.

dave alexander3@raytheon.com
10459 Artesia Blvd Apt 92c
Bellflower, CA 90706-7933

May 3, 2013

Ms Cindy Bladey
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, DC 20555-0001

Dear Ms Bladey,

The comments that follow are regarding Docket ID NRC20130070.

We ask that the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) take no action that could lead to a restart of the San Onofre nuclear power plant before the Commission completes a comprehensive investigation and provides full opportunity for public participation and independent expert testimony.

Southern California Edison (SCE) is attempting to shortcut the license review process by calling on the NRC to make a fast-track restart decision. We and other environmental groups have joined with U.S. Senator Boxer and U.S. Representative Markey in urging the NRC not to follow such a course.

We were deeply disturbed when on April 10 the NRC staff disregarded numerous statements of concern and announced a "preliminary finding" that a San Onofre restart at 70% power posed no significant safety risk.

We join a wide range of concerned citizens and public officials in believing that a full and transparent review of the failed San Onofre generators is essential before the NRC considers any potential restart at either partial or full power of the failed generator.

We support Senator Boxer, Chair of the Environment and Public Works Committee, who stated on April 10, 2013: "The NRC staff proposal, which could pave the way for the restart of the San Onofre nuclear power plant before the investigations of the crippled plant are completed, is dangerous and premature."

Given the recent failure of tubes that carry radioactive water, speeding restart of San Onofre through a so-called "license amendment" that shortcuts procedures is totally inappropriate. SCE's request to weaken its license requirements was made despite evidence showing that there could be a significant hazard from the operation of the deficient steam generators.

Given the troubled history and current condition of the plant and the raised level of public concern, the public deserves a full review by the NRC of conditions at San Onofre before it considers a restart of either failed generator.

I support the call by the Sierra Club for the NRC to block any restart of the failed San Onofre generators at this time, and to conduct a comprehensive and open review process.

Thank you for your attention to these concerns.

Maricela Acevedo
301 N San Dimas Canyon Rd Apt 17
San Dimas, CA 91773-2731

May 3, 2013

Ms Cindy Bladey
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, DC 20555-0001

Dear Ms Bladey,

The comments that follow are regarding Docket ID NRC20130070.

We ask that the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) take no action that could lead to a restart of the San Onofre nuclear power plant before the Commission completes a comprehensive investigation and provides full opportunity for public participation and independent expert testimony.

Southern California Edison (SCE) is attempting to shortcut the license review process by calling on the NRC to make a fast-track restart decision. We and other environmental groups have joined with U.S. Senator Boxer and U.S. Representative Markey in urging the NRC not to follow such a course.

We were deeply disturbed when on April 10 the NRC staff disregarded numerous statements of concern and announced a "preliminary finding" that a San Onofre restart at 70% power posed no significant safety risk.

We join a wide range of concerned citizens and public officials in believing that a full and transparent review of the failed San Onofre generators is essential before the NRC considers any potential restart at either partial or full power of the failed generator.

We support Senator Boxer, Chair of the Environment and Public Works Committee, who stated on April 10, 2013: "The NRC staff proposal, which could pave the way for the restart of the San Onofre nuclear power plant before the investigations of the crippled plant are completed, is dangerous and premature."

Given the recent failure of tubes that carry radioactive water, speeding restart of San Onofre through a so-called "license amendment" that shortcuts procedures is totally inappropriate. SCE's request to weaken its license requirements was made despite evidence showing that there could be a significant hazard from the operation of the deficient steam generators.

Given the troubled history and current condition of the plant and the raised level of public concern, the public deserves a full review by the NRC of conditions at San Onofre before it considers a restart of either failed generator.

I support the call by the Sierra Club for the NRC to block any restart of the failed San Onofre generators at this time, and to conduct a comprehensive and open review process.

Thank you for your attention to these concerns.

Steve Weinhouse
10777 Sherman Way
Sun Valley, CA 91352-5155

May 3, 2013

Ms Cindy Bladey
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, DC 20555-0001

Dear Ms Bladey,

The comments that follow are regarding Docket ID NRC20130070.

We ask that the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) take no action that could lead to a restart of the San Onofre nuclear power plant before the Commission completes a comprehensive investigation and provides full opportunity for public participation and independent expert testimony.

Southern California Edison (SCE) is attempting to shortcut the license review process by calling on the NRC to make a fast-track restart decision. We and other environmental groups have joined with U.S. Senator Boxer and U.S. Representative Markey in urging the NRC not to follow such a course.

We were deeply disturbed when on April 10 the NRC staff disregarded numerous statements of concern and announced a "preliminary finding" that a San Onofre restart at 70% power posed no significant safety risk.

We join a wide range of concerned citizens and public officials in believing that a full and transparent review of the failed San Onofre generators is essential before the NRC considers any potential restart at either partial or full power of the failed generator.

We support Senator Boxer, Chair of the Environment and Public Works Committee, who stated on April 10, 2013: "The NRC staff proposal, which could pave the way for the restart of the San Onofre nuclear power plant before the investigations of the crippled plant are completed, is dangerous and premature."

Given the recent failure of tubes that carry radioactive water, speeding restart of San Onofre through a so-called "license amendment" that shortcuts procedures is totally inappropriate. SCE's request to weaken its license requirements was made despite evidence showing that there could be a significant hazard from the operation of the deficient steam generators.

Given the troubled history and current condition of the plant and the raised level of public concern, the public deserves a full review by the NRC of conditions at San Onofre before it considers a restart of either failed generator.

I support the call by the Sierra Club for the NRC to block any restart of the failed San Onofre generators at this time, and to conduct a comprehensive and open review process.

Thank you for your attention to these concerns.

Tony Lorito
1274 Havenhurst Dr Apt 2
West Hollywood, CA 90046-4927

May 3, 2013

Ms Cindy Bladey
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, DC 20555-0001

Dear Ms Bladey,

The comments that follow are regarding Docket ID NRC20130070.

We ask that the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) take no action that could lead to a restart of the San Onofre nuclear power plant before the Commission completes a comprehensive investigation and provides full opportunity for public participation and independent expert testimony.

Southern California Edison (SCE) is attempting to shortcut the license review process by calling on the NRC to make a fast-track restart decision. We and other environmental groups have joined with U.S. Senator Boxer and U.S. Representative Markey in urging the NRC not to follow such a course.

We were deeply disturbed when on April 10 the NRC staff disregarded numerous statements of concern and announced a "preliminary finding" that a San Onofre restart at 70% power posed no significant safety risk.

We join a wide range of concerned citizens and public officials in believing that a full and transparent review of the failed San Onofre generators is essential before the NRC considers any potential restart at either partial or full power of the failed generator.

We support Senator Boxer, Chair of the Environment and Public Works Committee, who stated on April 10, 2013: "The NRC staff proposal, which could pave the way for the restart of the San Onofre nuclear power plant before the investigations of the crippled plant are completed, is dangerous and premature."

Given the recent failure of tubes that carry radioactive water, speeding restart of San Onofre through a so-called "license amendment" that shortcuts procedures is totally inappropriate. SCE's request to weaken its license requirements was made despite evidence showing that there could be a significant hazard from the operation of the deficient steam generators.

Given the troubled history and current condition of the plant and the raised level of public concern, the public deserves a full review by the NRC of conditions at San Onofre before it considers a restart of either failed generator.

I support the call by the Sierra Club for the NRC to block any restart of the failed San Onofre generators at this time, and to conduct a comprehensive and open review process.

Thank you for your attention to these concerns.

sandy esque

May 3, 2013

Ms Cindy Bladey
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, DC 20555-0001

Dear Ms Bladey,

The comments that follow are regarding Docket ID NRC20130070.

We ask that the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) take no action that could lead to a restart of the San Onofre nuclear power plant before the Commission completes a comprehensive investigation and provides full opportunity for public participation and independent expert testimony.

Southern California Edison (SCE) is attempting to shortcut the license review process by calling on the NRC to make a fast-track restart decision. We and other environmental groups have joined with U.S. Senator Boxer and U.S. Representative Markey in urging the NRC not to follow such a course.

We were deeply disturbed when on April 10 the NRC staff disregarded numerous statements of concern and announced a "preliminary finding" that a San Onofre restart at 70% power posed no significant safety risk.

We join a wide range of concerned citizens and public officials in believing that a full and transparent review of the failed San Onofre generators is essential before the NRC considers any potential restart at either partial or full power of the failed generator.

We support Senator Boxer, Chair of the Environment and Public Works Committee, who stated on April 10, 2013: "The NRC staff proposal, which could pave the way for the restart of the San Onofre nuclear power plant before the investigations of the crippled plant are completed, is dangerous and premature."

Given the recent failure of tubes that carry radioactive water, speeding restart of San Onofre through a so-called "license amendment" that shortcuts procedures is totally inappropriate. SCE's request to weaken its license requirements was made despite evidence showing that there could be a significant hazard from the operation of the deficient steam generators.

Given the troubled history and current condition of the plant and the raised level of public concern, the public deserves a full review by the NRC of conditions at San Onofre before it considers a restart of either failed generator.

I support the call by the Sierra Club for the NRC to block any restart of the failed San Onofre generators at this time, and to conduct a comprehensive and open review process.

Thank you for your attention to these concerns.

Mitra Schmidt
14 Georgetown
Irvine, CA 92612-2644

May 3, 2013

Ms Cindy Bladey
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, DC 20555-0001

Dear Ms Bladey,

The comments that follow are regarding Docket ID NRC20130070.

We ask that the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) take no action that could lead to a restart of the San Onofre nuclear power plant before the Commission completes a comprehensive investigation and provides full opportunity for public participation and independent expert testimony.

Southern California Edison (SCE) is attempting to shortcut the license review process by calling on the NRC to make a fast-track restart decision. We and other environmental groups have joined with U.S. Senator Boxer and U.S. Representative Markey in urging the NRC not to follow such a course.

We were deeply disturbed when on April 10 the NRC staff disregarded numerous statements of concern and announced a "preliminary finding" that a San Onofre restart at 70% power posed no significant safety risk.

We join a wide range of concerned citizens and public officials in believing that a full and transparent review of the failed San Onofre generators is essential before the NRC considers any potential restart at either partial or full power of the failed generator.

We support Senator Boxer, Chair of the Environment and Public Works Committee, who stated on April 10, 2013: "The NRC staff proposal, which could pave the way for the restart of the San Onofre nuclear power plant before the investigations of the crippled plant are completed, is dangerous and premature."

Given the recent failure of tubes that carry radioactive water, speeding restart of San Onofre through a so-called "license amendment" that shortcuts procedures is totally inappropriate. SCE's request to weaken its license requirements was made despite evidence showing that there could be a significant hazard from the operation of the deficient steam generators.

Given the troubled history and current condition of the plant and the raised level of public concern, the public deserves a full review by the NRC of conditions at San Onofre before it considers a restart of either failed generator.

I support the call by the Sierra Club for the NRC to block any restart of the failed San Onofre generators at this time, and to conduct a comprehensive and open review process.

Thank you for your attention to these concerns.

Angeles Chapter

May 3, 2013

Ms Cindy Bladey
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, DC 20555-0001

Dear Ms Bladey,

The comments that follow are regarding Docket ID NRC20130070.

We ask that the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) take no action that could lead to a restart of the San Onofre nuclear power plant before the Commission completes a comprehensive investigation and provides full opportunity for public participation and independent expert testimony.

Southern California Edison (SCE) is attempting to shortcut the license review process by calling on the NRC to make a fast-track restart decision. We and other environmental groups have joined with U.S. Senator Boxer and U.S. Representative Markey in urging the NRC not to follow such a course.

We were deeply disturbed when on April 10 the NRC staff disregarded numerous statements of concern and announced a "preliminary finding" that a San Onofre restart at 70% power posed no significant safety risk.

We join a wide range of concerned citizens and public officials in believing that a full and transparent review of the failed San Onofre generators is essential before the NRC considers any potential restart at either partial or full power of the failed generator.

We support Senator Boxer, Chair of the Environment and Public Works Committee, who stated on April 10, 2013: "The NRC staff proposal, which could pave the way for the restart of the San Onofre nuclear power plant before the investigations of the crippled plant are completed, is dangerous and premature."

Given the recent failure of tubes that carry radioactive water, speeding restart of San Onofre through a so-called "license amendment" that shortcuts procedures is totally inappropriate. SCE's request to weaken its license requirements was made despite evidence showing that there could be a significant hazard from the operation of the deficient steam generators.

Given the troubled history and current condition of the plant and the raised level of public concern, the public deserves a full review by the NRC of conditions at San Onofre before it considers a restart of either failed generator.

I support the call by the Sierra Club for the NRC to block any restart of the failed San Onofre generators at this time, and to conduct a comprehensive and open review process.

Thank you for your attention to these concerns.

Christina Babst
728 N Doheny Dr
West Hollywood, CA 90069-5525

May 3, 2013

Ms Cindy Bladey
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, DC 20555-0001

Dear Ms Bladey,

The comments that follow are regarding Docket ID NRC20130070.

We ask that the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) take no action that could lead to a restart of the San Onofre nuclear power plant before the Commission completes a comprehensive investigation and provides full opportunity for public participation and independent expert testimony.

Southern California Edison (SCE) is attempting to shortcut the license review process by calling on the NRC to make a fast-track restart decision. We and other environmental groups have joined with U.S. Senator Boxer and U.S. Representative Markey in urging the NRC not to follow such a course.

We were deeply disturbed when on April 10 the NRC staff disregarded numerous statements of concern and announced a "preliminary finding" that a San Onofre restart at 70% power posed no significant safety risk.

We join a wide range of concerned citizens and public officials in believing that a full and transparent review of the failed San Onofre generators is essential before the NRC considers any potential restart at either partial or full power of the failed generator.

We support Senator Boxer, Chair of the Environment and Public Works Committee, who stated on April 10, 2013: "The NRC staff proposal, which could pave the way for the restart of the San Onofre nuclear power plant before the investigations of the crippled plant are completed, is dangerous and premature."

Given the recent failure of tubes that carry radioactive water, speeding restart of San Onofre through a so-called "license amendment" that shortcuts procedures is totally inappropriate. SCE's request to weaken its license requirements was made despite evidence showing that there could be a significant hazard from the operation of the deficient steam generators.

Given the troubled history and current condition of the plant and the raised level of public concern, the public deserves a full review by the NRC of conditions at San Onofre before it considers a restart of either failed generator.

I support the call by the Sierra Club for the NRC to block any restart of the failed San Onofre generators at this time, and to conduct a comprehensive and open review process.

Thank you for your attention to these concerns.

Mary Jo Bradshaw
4373 Falcon Ave
Long Beach, CA 90807-2502

May 3, 2013

Ms Cindy Bladey
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, DC 20555-0001

Dear Ms Bladey,

The comments that follow are regarding Docket ID NRC20130070.

We ask that the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) take no action that could lead to a restart of the San Onofre nuclear power plant before the Commission completes a comprehensive investigation and provides full opportunity for public participation and independent expert testimony.

Southern California Edison (SCE) is attempting to shortcut the license review process by calling on the NRC to make a fast-track restart decision. We and other environmental groups have joined with U.S. Senator Boxer and U.S. Representative Markey in urging the NRC not to follow such a course.

We were deeply disturbed when on April 10 the NRC staff disregarded numerous statements of concern and announced a "preliminary finding" that a San Onofre restart at 70% power posed no significant safety risk.

We join a wide range of concerned citizens and public officials in believing that a full and transparent review of the failed San Onofre generators is essential before the NRC considers any potential restart at either partial or full power of the failed generator.

We support Senator Boxer, Chair of the Environment and Public Works Committee, who stated on April 10, 2013: "The NRC staff proposal, which could pave the way for the restart of the San Onofre nuclear power plant before the investigations of the crippled plant are completed, is dangerous and premature."

Given the recent failure of tubes that carry radioactive water, speeding restart of San Onofre through a so-called "license amendment" that shortcuts procedures is totally inappropriate. SCE's request to weaken its license requirements was made despite evidence showing that there could be a significant hazard from the operation of the deficient steam generators.

Given the troubled history and current condition of the plant and the raised level of public concern, the public deserves a full review by the NRC of conditions at San Onofre before it considers a restart of either failed generator.

I support the call by the Sierra Club for the NRC to block any restart of the failed San Onofre generators at this time, and to conduct a comprehensive and open review process.

Thank you for your attention to these concerns.

Donna Leslie-dennis

CA

May 3, 2013

Ms Cindy Bladey
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, DC 20555-0001

Dear Ms Bladey,

The comments that follow are regarding Docket ID NRC20130070.

We ask that the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) take no action that could lead to a restart of the San Onofre nuclear power plant before the Commission completes a comprehensive investigation and provides full opportunity for public participation and independent expert testimony.

Southern California Edison (SCE) is attempting to shortcut the license review process by calling on the NRC to make a fast-track restart decision. We and other environmental groups have joined with U.S. Senator Boxer and U.S. Representative Markey in urging the NRC not to follow such a course.

We were deeply disturbed when on April 10 the NRC staff disregarded numerous statements of concern and announced a "preliminary finding" that a San Onofre restart at 70% power posed no significant safety risk.

We join a wide range of concerned citizens and public officials in believing that a full and transparent review of the failed San Onofre generators is essential before the NRC considers any potential restart at either partial or full power of the failed generator.

We support Senator Boxer, Chair of the Environment and Public Works Committee, who stated on April 10, 2013: "The NRC staff proposal, which could pave the way for the restart of the San Onofre nuclear power plant before the investigations of the crippled plant are completed, is dangerous and premature."

Given the recent failure of tubes that carry radioactive water, speeding restart of San Onofre through a so-called "license amendment" that shortcuts procedures is totally inappropriate. SCE's request to weaken its license requirements was made despite evidence showing that there could be a significant hazard from the operation of the deficient steam generators.

Given the troubled history and current condition of the plant and the raised level of public concern, the public deserves a full review by the NRC of conditions at San Onofre before it considers a restart of either failed generator.

I support the call by the Sierra Club for the NRC to block any restart of the failed San Onofre generators at this time, and to conduct a comprehensive and open review process.

Thank you for your attention to these concerns.

Julie Levine
20569 Cheney Dr
Topanga, CA 90290-3715

May 3, 2013

Ms Cindy Bladey
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, DC 20555-0001

Dear Ms Bladey,

The comments that follow are regarding Docket ID NRC20130070.

We ask that the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) take no action that could lead to a restart of the San Onofre nuclear power plant before the Commission completes a comprehensive investigation and provides full opportunity for public participation and independent expert testimony.

Southern California Edison (SCE) is attempting to shortcut the license review process by calling on the NRC to make a fast-track restart decision. We and other environmental groups have joined with U.S. Senator Boxer and U.S. Representative Markey in urging the NRC not to follow such a course.

We were deeply disturbed when on April 10 the NRC staff disregarded numerous statements of concern and announced a "preliminary finding" that a San Onofre restart at 70% power posed no significant safety risk.

We join a wide range of concerned citizens and public officials in believing that a full and transparent review of the failed San Onofre generators is essential before the NRC considers any potential restart at either partial or full power of the failed generator.

We support Senator Boxer, Chair of the Environment and Public Works Committee, who stated on April 10, 2013: "The NRC staff proposal, which could pave the way for the restart of the San Onofre nuclear power plant before the investigations of the crippled plant are completed, is dangerous and premature."

Given the recent failure of tubes that carry radioactive water, speeding restart of San Onofre through a so-called "license amendment" that shortcuts procedures is totally inappropriate. SCE's request to weaken its license requirements was made despite evidence showing that there could be a significant hazard from the operation of the deficient steam generators.

Given the troubled history and current condition of the plant and the raised level of public concern, the public deserves a full review by the NRC of conditions at San Onofre before it considers a restart of either failed generator.

I support the call by the Sierra Club for the NRC to block any restart of the failed San Onofre generators at this time, and to conduct a comprehensive and open review process.

Thank you for your attention to these concerns.

Mary Ann Glicksman
1303 9th St
Santa Monica, CA 90401-1803

May 3, 2013

Ms Cindy Bladey
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, DC 20555-0001

Dear Ms Bladey,

The comments that follow are regarding Docket ID NRC20130070.

We ask that the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) take no action that could lead to a restart of the San Onofre nuclear power plant before the Commission completes a comprehensive investigation and provides full opportunity for public participation and independent expert testimony.

Southern California Edison (SCE) is attempting to shortcut the license review process by calling on the NRC to make a fast-track restart decision. We and other environmental groups have joined with U.S. Senator Boxer and U.S. Representative Markey in urging the NRC not to follow such a course.

We were deeply disturbed when on April 10 the NRC staff disregarded numerous statements of concern and announced a "preliminary finding" that a San Onofre restart at 70% power posed no significant safety risk.

We join a wide range of concerned citizens and public officials in believing that a full and transparent review of the failed San Onofre generators is essential before the NRC considers any potential restart at either partial or full power of the failed generator.

We support Senator Boxer, Chair of the Environment and Public Works Committee, who stated on April 10, 2013: "The NRC staff proposal, which could pave the way for the restart of the San Onofre nuclear power plant before the investigations of the crippled plant are completed, is dangerous and premature."

Given the recent failure of tubes that carry radioactive water, speeding restart of San Onofre through a so-called "license amendment" that shortcuts procedures is totally inappropriate. SCE's request to weaken its license requirements was made despite evidence showing that there could be a significant hazard from the operation of the deficient steam generators.

Given the troubled history and current condition of the plant and the raised level of public concern, the public deserves a full review by the NRC of conditions at San Onofre before it considers a restart of either failed generator.

I support the call by the Sierra Club for the NRC to block any restart of the failed San Onofre generators at this time, and to conduct a comprehensive and open review process.

Thank you for your attention to these concerns.

Daniel Olvera
420 N St Andrews Pl
Los Angeles, CA 90004-3109

May 3, 2013

Ms Cindy Bladey
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, DC 20555-0001

Dear Ms Bladey,

The comments that follow are regarding Docket ID NRC20130070.

We ask that the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) take no action that could lead to a restart of the San Onofre nuclear power plant before the Commission completes a comprehensive investigation and provides full opportunity for public participation and independent expert testimony.

Southern California Edison (SCE) is attempting to shortcut the license review process by calling on the NRC to make a fast-track restart decision. We and other environmental groups have joined with U.S. Senator Boxer and U.S. Representative Markey in urging the NRC not to follow such a course.

We were deeply disturbed when on April 10 the NRC staff disregarded numerous statements of concern and announced a "preliminary finding" that a San Onofre restart at 70% power posed no significant safety risk.

We join a wide range of concerned citizens and public officials in believing that a full and transparent review of the failed San Onofre generators is essential before the NRC considers any potential restart at either partial or full power of the failed generator.

We support Senator Boxer, Chair of the Environment and Public Works Committee, who stated on April 10, 2013: "The NRC staff proposal, which could pave the way for the restart of the San Onofre nuclear power plant before the investigations of the crippled plant are completed, is dangerous and premature."

Given the recent failure of tubes that carry radioactive water, speeding restart of San Onofre through a so-called "license amendment" that shortcuts procedures is totally inappropriate. SCE's request to weaken its license requirements was made despite evidence showing that there could be a significant hazard from the operation of the deficient steam generators.

Given the troubled history and current condition of the plant and the raised level of public concern, the public deserves a full review by the NRC of conditions at San Onofre before it considers a restart of either failed generator.

I support the call by the Sierra Club for the NRC to block any restart of the failed San Onofre generators at this time, and to conduct a comprehensive and open review process.

Thank you for your attention to these concerns.

Suzanne Darweesh
2104 Hilltop Ct
Fullerton, CA 92831-1311

May 3, 2013

Ms Cindy Bladey
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, DC 20555-0001

Dear Ms Bladey,

The comments that follow are regarding Docket ID NRC20130070.

We ask that the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) take no action that could lead to a restart of the San Onofre nuclear power plant before the Commission completes a comprehensive investigation and provides full opportunity for public participation and independent expert testimony.

Southern California Edison (SCE) is attempting to shortcut the license review process by calling on the NRC to make a fast-track restart decision. We and other environmental groups have joined with U.S. Senator Boxer and U.S. Representative Markey in urging the NRC not to follow such a course.

We were deeply disturbed when on April 10 the NRC staff disregarded numerous statements of concern and announced a "preliminary finding" that a San Onofre restart at 70% power posed no significant safety risk.

We join a wide range of concerned citizens and public officials in believing that a full and transparent review of the failed San Onofre generators is essential before the NRC considers any potential restart at either partial or full power of the failed generator.

We support Senator Boxer, Chair of the Environment and Public Works Committee, who stated on April 10, 2013: "The NRC staff proposal, which could pave the way for the restart of the San Onofre nuclear power plant before the investigations of the crippled plant are completed, is dangerous and premature."

Given the recent failure of tubes that carry radioactive water, speeding restart of San Onofre through a so-called "license amendment" that shortcuts procedures is totally inappropriate. SCE's request to weaken its license requirements was made despite evidence showing that there could be a significant hazard from the operation of the deficient steam generators.

Given the troubled history and current condition of the plant and the raised level of public concern, the public deserves a full review by the NRC of conditions at San Onofre before it considers a restart of either failed generator.

I support the call by the Sierra Club for the NRC to block any restart of the failed San Onofre generators at this time, and to conduct a comprehensive and open review process.

Thank you for your attention to these concerns.

Jolino Beserra
2121 Apex Ave
Los Angeles, CA 90039-3118

May 3, 2013

Ms Cindy Bladey
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, DC 20555-0001

Dear Ms Bladey,

The comments that follow are regarding Docket ID NRC20130070.

We ask that the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) take no action that could lead to a restart of the San Onofre nuclear power plant before the Commission completes a comprehensive investigation and provides full opportunity for public participation and independent expert testimony.

Southern California Edison (SCE) is attempting to shortcut the license review process by calling on the NRC to make a fast-track restart decision. We and other environmental groups have joined with U.S. Senator Boxer and U.S. Representative Markey in urging the NRC not to follow such a course.

We were deeply disturbed when on April 10 the NRC staff disregarded numerous statements of concern and announced a "preliminary finding" that a San Onofre restart at 70% power posed no significant safety risk.

We join a wide range of concerned citizens and public officials in believing that a full and transparent review of the failed San Onofre generators is essential before the NRC considers any potential restart at either partial or full power of the failed generator.

We support Senator Boxer, Chair of the Environment and Public Works Committee, who stated on April 10, 2013: "The NRC staff proposal, which could pave the way for the restart of the San Onofre nuclear power plant before the investigations of the crippled plant are completed, is dangerous and premature."

Given the recent failure of tubes that carry radioactive water, speeding restart of San Onofre through a so-called "license amendment" that shortcuts procedures is totally inappropriate. SCE's request to weaken its license requirements was made despite evidence showing that there could be a significant hazard from the operation of the deficient steam generators.

Given the troubled history and current condition of the plant and the raised level of public concern, the public deserves a full review by the NRC of conditions at San Onofre before it considers a restart of either failed generator.

I support the call by the Sierra Club for the NRC to block any restart of the failed San Onofre generators at this time, and to conduct a comprehensive and open review process.

Thank you for your attention to these concerns.

Kelly Fischer
2625 Riverside Ter
Los Angeles, CA 90039-4012

May 3, 2013

Ms Cindy Bladey
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, DC 20555-0001

Dear Ms Bladey,

The comments that follow are regarding Docket ID NRC20130070.

We ask that the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) take no action that could lead to a restart of the San Onofre nuclear power plant before the Commission completes a comprehensive investigation and provides full opportunity for public participation and independent expert testimony.

Southern California Edison (SCE) is attempting to shortcut the license review process by calling on the NRC to make a fast-track restart decision. We and other environmental groups have joined with U.S. Senator Boxer and U.S. Representative Markey in urging the NRC not to follow such a course.

We were deeply disturbed when on April 10 the NRC staff disregarded numerous statements of concern and announced a "preliminary finding" that a San Onofre restart at 70% power posed no significant safety risk.

We join a wide range of concerned citizens and public officials in believing that a full and transparent review of the failed San Onofre generators is essential before the NRC considers any potential restart at either partial or full power of the failed generator.

We support Senator Boxer, Chair of the Environment and Public Works Committee, who stated on April 10, 2013: "The NRC staff proposal, which could pave the way for the restart of the San Onofre nuclear power plant before the investigations of the crippled plant are completed, is dangerous and premature."

Given the recent failure of tubes that carry radioactive water, speeding restart of San Onofre through a so-called "license amendment" that shortcuts procedures is totally inappropriate. SCE's request to weaken its license requirements was made despite evidence showing that there could be a significant hazard from the operation of the deficient steam generators.

Given the troubled history and current condition of the plant and the raised level of public concern, the public deserves a full review by the NRC of conditions at San Onofre before it considers a restart of either failed generator.

I support the call by the Sierra Club for the NRC to block any restart of the failed San Onofre generators at this time, and to conduct a comprehensive and open review process.

Thank you for your attention to these concerns.

Mary Rojeski
2603 3rd St
Santa Monica, CA 90405-4128

May 3, 2013

Ms Cindy Bladey
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, DC 20555-0001

Dear Ms Bladey,

The comments that follow are regarding Docket ID NRC20130070.

We ask that the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) take no action that could lead to a restart of the San Onofre nuclear power plant before the Commission completes a comprehensive investigation and provides full opportunity for public participation and independent expert testimony.

Southern California Edison (SCE) is attempting to shortcut the license review process by calling on the NRC to make a fast-track restart decision. We and other environmental groups have joined with U.S. Senator Boxer and U.S. Representative Markey in urging the NRC not to follow such a course.

We were deeply disturbed when on April 10 the NRC staff disregarded numerous statements of concern and announced a "preliminary finding" that a San Onofre restart at 70% power posed no significant safety risk.

We join a wide range of concerned citizens and public officials in believing that a full and transparent review of the failed San Onofre generators is essential before the NRC considers any potential restart at either partial or full power of the failed generator.

We support Senator Boxer, Chair of the Environment and Public Works Committee, who stated on April 10, 2013: "The NRC staff proposal, which could pave the way for the restart of the San Onofre nuclear power plant before the investigations of the crippled plant are completed, is dangerous and premature."

Given the recent failure of tubes that carry radioactive water, speeding restart of San Onofre through a so-called "license amendment" that shortcuts procedures is totally inappropriate. SCE's request to weaken its license requirements was made despite evidence showing that there could be a significant hazard from the operation of the deficient steam generators.

Given the troubled history and current condition of the plant and the raised level of public concern, the public deserves a full review by the NRC of conditions at San Onofre before it considers a restart of either failed generator.

I support the call by the Sierra Club for the NRC to block any restart of the failed San Onofre generators at this time, and to conduct a comprehensive and open review process.

Thank you for your attention to these concerns.

Gna Champion
14104 Panay Way Apt 157
Marina Del Rey, CA 90292-6109

May 3, 2013

Ms Cindy Bladey
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, DC 20555-0001

Dear Ms Bladey,

The comments that follow are regarding Docket ID NRC20130070.

We ask that the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) take no action that could lead to a restart of the San Onofre nuclear power plant before the Commission completes a comprehensive investigation and provides full opportunity for public participation and independent expert testimony.

Southern California Edison (SCE) is attempting to shortcut the license review process by calling on the NRC to make a fast-track restart decision. We and other environmental groups have joined with U.S. Senator Boxer and U.S. Representative Markey in urging the NRC not to follow such a course.

We were deeply disturbed when on April 10 the NRC staff disregarded numerous statements of concern and announced a "preliminary finding" that a San Onofre restart at 70% power posed no significant safety risk.

We join a wide range of concerned citizens and public officials in believing that a full and transparent review of the failed San Onofre generators is essential before the NRC considers any potential restart at either partial or full power of the failed generator.

We support Senator Boxer, Chair of the Environment and Public Works Committee, who stated on April 10, 2013: "The NRC staff proposal, which could pave the way for the restart of the San Onofre nuclear power plant before the investigations of the crippled plant are completed, is dangerous and premature."

Given the recent failure of tubes that carry radioactive water, speeding restart of San Onofre through a so-called "license amendment" that shortcuts procedures is totally inappropriate. SCE's request to weaken its license requirements was made despite evidence showing that there could be a significant hazard from the operation of the deficient steam generators.

Given the troubled history and current condition of the plant and the raised level of public concern, the public deserves a full review by the NRC of conditions at San Onofre before it considers a restart of either failed generator.

I support the call by the Sierra Club for the NRC to block any restart of the failed San Onofre generators at this time, and to conduct a comprehensive and open review process.

Thank you for your attention to these concerns.

David Luboff

91501

May 3, 2013

Ms Cindy Bladey
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, DC 20555-0001

Dear Ms Bladey,

The comments that follow are regarding Docket ID NRC20130070.

We ask that the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) take no action that could lead to a restart of the San Onofre nuclear power plant before the Commission completes a comprehensive investigation and provides full opportunity for public participation and independent expert testimony.

Southern California Edison (SCE) is attempting to shortcut the license review process by calling on the NRC to make a fast-track restart decision. We and other environmental groups have joined with U.S. Senator Boxer and U.S. Representative Markey in urging the NRC not to follow such a course.

We were deeply disturbed when on April 10 the NRC staff disregarded numerous statements of concern and announced a "preliminary finding" that a San Onofre restart at 70% power posed no significant safety risk.

We join a wide range of concerned citizens and public officials in believing that a full and transparent review of the failed San Onofre generators is essential before the NRC considers any potential restart at either partial or full power of the failed generator.

We support Senator Boxer, Chair of the Environment and Public Works Committee, who stated on April 10, 2013: "The NRC staff proposal, which could pave the way for the restart of the San Onofre nuclear power plant before the investigations of the crippled plant are completed, is dangerous and premature."

Given the recent failure of tubes that carry radioactive water, speeding restart of San Onofre through a so-called "license amendment" that shortcuts procedures is totally inappropriate. SCE's request to weaken its license requirements was made despite evidence showing that there could be a significant hazard from the operation of the deficient steam generators.

Given the troubled history and current condition of the plant and the raised level of public concern, the public deserves a full review by the NRC of conditions at San Onofre before it considers a restart of either failed generator.

I support the call by the Sierra Club for the NRC to block any restart of the failed San Onofre generators at this time, and to conduct a comprehensive and open review process.

Thank you for your attention to these concerns.

Lisa Kelly
16936 Vose St
Van Nuys, CA 91406-3611

May 3, 2013

Ms Cindy Bladey
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, DC 20555-0001

Dear Ms Bladey,

The comments that follow are regarding Docket ID NRC20130070.

We ask that the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) take no action that could lead to a restart of the San Onofre nuclear power plant before the Commission completes a comprehensive investigation and provides full opportunity for public participation and independent expert testimony.

Southern California Edison (SCE) is attempting to shortcut the license review process by calling on the NRC to make a fast-track restart decision. We and other environmental groups have joined with U.S. Senator Boxer and U.S. Representative Markey in urging the NRC not to follow such a course.

We were deeply disturbed when on April 10 the NRC staff disregarded numerous statements of concern and announced a "preliminary finding" that a San Onofre restart at 70% power posed no significant safety risk.

We join a wide range of concerned citizens and public officials in believing that a full and transparent review of the failed San Onofre generators is essential before the NRC considers any potential restart at either partial or full power of the failed generator.

We support Senator Boxer, Chair of the Environment and Public Works Committee, who stated on April 10, 2013: "The NRC staff proposal, which could pave the way for the restart of the San Onofre nuclear power plant before the investigations of the crippled plant are completed, is dangerous and premature."

Given the recent failure of tubes that carry radioactive water, speeding restart of San Onofre through a so-called "license amendment" that shortcuts procedures is totally inappropriate. SCE's request to weaken its license requirements was made despite evidence showing that there could be a significant hazard from the operation of the deficient steam generators.

Given the troubled history and current condition of the plant and the raised level of public concern, the public deserves a full review by the NRC of conditions at San Onofre before it considers a restart of either failed generator.

I support the call by the Sierra Club for the NRC to block any restart of the failed San Onofre generators at this time, and to conduct a comprehensive and open review process.

Thank you for your attention to these concerns.

Joshua Sapkin
928 N Malden Ave
Fullerton, CA 92832-1237

May 3, 2013

Ms Cindy Bladey
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, DC 20555-0001

Dear Ms Bladey,

The comments that follow are regarding Docket ID NRC20130070.

We ask that the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) take no action that could lead to a restart of the San Onofre nuclear power plant before the Commission completes a comprehensive investigation and provides full opportunity for public participation and independent expert testimony.

Southern California Edison (SCE) is attempting to shortcut the license review process by calling on the NRC to make a fast-track restart decision. We and other environmental groups have joined with U.S. Senator Boxer and U.S. Representative Markey in urging the NRC not to follow such a course.

We were deeply disturbed when on April 10 the NRC staff disregarded numerous statements of concern and announced a "preliminary finding" that a San Onofre restart at 70% power posed no significant safety risk.

We join a wide range of concerned citizens and public officials in believing that a full and transparent review of the failed San Onofre generators is essential before the NRC considers any potential restart at either partial or full power of the failed generator.

We support Senator Boxer, Chair of the Environment and Public Works Committee, who stated on April 10, 2013: "The NRC staff proposal, which could pave the way for the restart of the San Onofre nuclear power plant before the investigations of the crippled plant are completed, is dangerous and premature."

Given the recent failure of tubes that carry radioactive water, speeding restart of San Onofre through a so-called "license amendment" that shortcuts procedures is totally inappropriate. SCE's request to weaken its license requirements was made despite evidence showing that there could be a significant hazard from the operation of the deficient steam generators.

Given the troubled history and current condition of the plant and the raised level of public concern, the public deserves a full review by the NRC of conditions at San Onofre before it considers a restart of either failed generator.

I support the call by the Sierra Club for the NRC to block any restart of the failed San Onofre generators at this time, and to conduct a comprehensive and open review process.

Thank you for your attention to these concerns.

J. Maricondo
25081 Calle Playa Unit E
Laguna Niguel, CA 92677-7325

May 3, 2013

Ms Cindy Bladey
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, DC 20555-0001

Dear Ms Bladey,

The comments that follow are regarding Docket ID NRC20130070.

We ask that the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) take no action that could lead to a restart of the San Onofre nuclear power plant before the Commission completes a comprehensive investigation and provides full opportunity for public participation and independent expert testimony.

Southern California Edison (SCE) is attempting to shortcut the license review process by calling on the NRC to make a fast-track restart decision. We and other environmental groups have joined with U.S. Senator Boxer and U.S. Representative Markey in urging the NRC not to follow such a course.

We were deeply disturbed when on April 10 the NRC staff disregarded numerous statements of concern and announced a "preliminary finding" that a San Onofre restart at 70% power posed no significant safety risk.

We join a wide range of concerned citizens and public officials in believing that a full and transparent review of the failed San Onofre generators is essential before the NRC considers any potential restart at either partial or full power of the failed generator.

We support Senator Boxer, Chair of the Environment and Public Works Committee, who stated on April 10, 2013: "The NRC staff proposal, which could pave the way for the restart of the San Onofre nuclear power plant before the investigations of the crippled plant are completed, is dangerous and premature."

Given the recent failure of tubes that carry radioactive water, speeding restart of San Onofre through a so-called "license amendment" that shortcuts procedures is totally inappropriate. SCE's request to weaken its license requirements was made despite evidence showing that there could be a significant hazard from the operation of the deficient steam generators.

Given the troubled history and current condition of the plant and the raised level of public concern, the public deserves a full review by the NRC of conditions at San Onofre before it considers a restart of either failed generator.

I support the call by the Sierra Club for the NRC to block any restart of the failed San Onofre generators at this time, and to conduct a comprehensive and open review process.

Thank you for your attention to these concerns.

Michael Sandler
1739 Federal Ave Apt 303
Los Angeles, CA 90025-4148

May 3, 2013

Ms Cindy Bladey
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, DC 20555-0001

Dear Ms Bladey,

The comments that follow are regarding Docket ID NRC20130070.

We ask that the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) take no action that could lead to a restart of the San Onofre nuclear power plant before the Commission completes a comprehensive investigation and provides full opportunity for public participation and independent expert testimony.

Southern California Edison (SCE) is attempting to shortcut the license review process by calling on the NRC to make a fast-track restart decision. We and other environmental groups have joined with U.S. Senator Boxer and U.S. Representative Markey in urging the NRC not to follow such a course.

We were deeply disturbed when on April 10 the NRC staff disregarded numerous statements of concern and announced a "preliminary finding" that a San Onofre restart at 70% power posed no significant safety risk.

We join a wide range of concerned citizens and public officials in believing that a full and transparent review of the failed San Onofre generators is essential before the NRC considers any potential restart at either partial or full power of the failed generator.

We support Senator Boxer, Chair of the Environment and Public Works Committee, who stated on April 10, 2013: "The NRC staff proposal, which could pave the way for the restart of the San Onofre nuclear power plant before the investigations of the crippled plant are completed, is dangerous and premature."

Given the recent failure of tubes that carry radioactive water, speeding restart of San Onofre through a so-called "license amendment" that shortcuts procedures is totally inappropriate. SCE's request to weaken its license requirements was made despite evidence showing that there could be a significant hazard from the operation of the deficient steam generators.

Given the troubled history and current condition of the plant and the raised level of public concern, the public deserves a full review by the NRC of conditions at San Onofre before it considers a restart of either failed generator.

I support the call by the Sierra Club for the NRC to block any restart of the failed San Onofre generators at this time, and to conduct a comprehensive and open review process.

Thank you for your attention to these concerns.

Susan Eberhardt

San Juan Capistrano, CA 92675

May 3, 2013

Ms Cindy Bladey
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, DC 20555-0001

Dear Ms Bladey,

The comments that follow are regarding Docket ID NRC20130070.

We ask that the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) take no action that could lead to a restart of the San Onofre nuclear power plant before the Commission completes a comprehensive investigation and provides full opportunity for public participation and independent expert testimony.

Southern California Edison (SCE) is attempting to shortcut the license review process by calling on the NRC to make a fast-track restart decision. We and other environmental groups have joined with U.S. Senator Boxer and U.S. Representative Markey in urging the NRC not to follow such a course.

We were deeply disturbed when on April 10 the NRC staff disregarded numerous statements of concern and announced a "preliminary finding" that a San Onofre restart at 70% power posed no significant safety risk.

We join a wide range of concerned citizens and public officials in believing that a full and transparent review of the failed San Onofre generators is essential before the NRC considers any potential restart at either partial or full power of the failed generator.

We support Senator Boxer, Chair of the Environment and Public Works Committee, who stated on April 10, 2013: "The NRC staff proposal, which could pave the way for the restart of the San Onofre nuclear power plant before the investigations of the crippled plant are completed, is dangerous and premature."

Given the recent failure of tubes that carry radioactive water, speeding restart of San Onofre through a so-called "license amendment" that shortcuts procedures is totally inappropriate. SCE's request to weaken its license requirements was made despite evidence showing that there could be a significant hazard from the operation of the deficient steam generators.

Given the troubled history and current condition of the plant and the raised level of public concern, the public deserves a full review by the NRC of conditions at San Onofre before it considers a restart of either failed generator.

I support the call by the Sierra Club for the NRC to block any restart of the failed San Onofre generators at this time, and to conduct a comprehensive and open review process.

Thank you for your attention to these concerns.

Esther Essinger
19251 Hombree Ln
Murrieta, CA 92562-8500

May 3, 2013

Ms Cindy Bladey
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, DC 20555-0001

Dear Ms Bladey,

The comments that follow are regarding Docket ID NRC20130070.

We ask that the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) take no action that could lead to a restart of the San Onofre nuclear power plant before the Commission completes a comprehensive investigation and provides full opportunity for public participation and independent expert testimony.

Southern California Edison (SCE) is attempting to shortcut the license review process by calling on the NRC to make a fast-track restart decision. We and other environmental groups have joined with U.S. Senator Boxer and U.S. Representative Markey in urging the NRC not to follow such a course.

We were deeply disturbed when on April 10 the NRC staff disregarded numerous statements of concern and announced a "preliminary finding" that a San Onofre restart at 70% power posed no significant safety risk.

We join a wide range of concerned citizens and public officials in believing that a full and transparent review of the failed San Onofre generators is essential before the NRC considers any potential restart at either partial or full power of the failed generator.

We support Senator Boxer, Chair of the Environment and Public Works Committee, who stated on April 10, 2013: "The NRC staff proposal, which could pave the way for the restart of the San Onofre nuclear power plant before the investigations of the crippled plant are completed, is dangerous and premature."

Given the recent failure of tubes that carry radioactive water, speeding restart of San Onofre through a so-called "license amendment" that shortcuts procedures is totally inappropriate. SCE's request to weaken its license requirements was made despite evidence showing that there could be a significant hazard from the operation of the deficient steam generators.

Given the troubled history and current condition of the plant and the raised level of public concern, the public deserves a full review by the NRC of conditions at San Onofre before it considers a restart of either failed generator.

I support the call by the Sierra Club for the NRC to block any restart of the failed San Onofre generators at this time, and to conduct a comprehensive and open review process.

Thank you for your attention to these concerns.

Suzanne Donnelly
1198 N Huntington Blvd
Pomona, CA 91768-2438

May 3, 2013

Ms Cindy Bladey
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, DC 20555-0001

Dear Ms Bladey,

The comments that follow are regarding Docket ID NRC20130070.

We ask that the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) take no action that could lead to a restart of the San Onofre nuclear power plant before the Commission completes a comprehensive investigation and provides full opportunity for public participation and independent expert testimony.

Southern California Edison (SCE) is attempting to shortcut the license review process by calling on the NRC to make a fast-track restart decision. We and other environmental groups have joined with U.S. Senator Boxer and U.S. Representative Markey in urging the NRC not to follow such a course.

We were deeply disturbed when on April 10 the NRC staff disregarded numerous statements of concern and announced a "preliminary finding" that a San Onofre restart at 70% power posed no significant safety risk.

We join a wide range of concerned citizens and public officials in believing that a full and transparent review of the failed San Onofre generators is essential before the NRC considers any potential restart at either partial or full power of the failed generator.

We support Senator Boxer, Chair of the Environment and Public Works Committee, who stated on April 10, 2013: "The NRC staff proposal, which could pave the way for the restart of the San Onofre nuclear power plant before the investigations of the crippled plant are completed, is dangerous and premature."

Given the recent failure of tubes that carry radioactive water, speeding restart of San Onofre through a so-called "license amendment" that shortcuts procedures is totally inappropriate. SCE's request to weaken its license requirements was made despite evidence showing that there could be a significant hazard from the operation of the deficient steam generators.

Given the troubled history and current condition of the plant and the raised level of public concern, the public deserves a full review by the NRC of conditions at San Onofre before it considers a restart of either failed generator.

I support the call by the Sierra Club for the NRC to block any restart of the failed San Onofre generators at this time, and to conduct a comprehensive and open review process.

Those of us who live near San Onofre nuclear plant know we don't need the plant and don't want it. It is a danger to us and our environment. We have watched as nuclear disasters have occurred throughout the world and as our local sea life has died off as a result of the plant. Please shut it down!

Thank you for your attention in this matter.

Sincerely

Michaela Pond
Pondsanclemente@aol.com

Thank you for your attention to these concerns.

Michaela Pond
1400 Santanella Ter
Corona Del Mar, CA 92625-1743

May 3, 2013

Ms Cindy Bladey
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, DC 20555-0001

Dear Ms Bladey,

The comments that follow are regarding Docket ID NRC20130070.

We ask that the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) take no action that could lead to a restart of the San Onofre nuclear power plant before the Commission completes a comprehensive investigation and provides full opportunity for public participation and independent expert testimony.

Southern California Edison (SCE) is attempting to shortcut the license review process by calling on the NRC to make a fast-track restart decision. We and other environmental groups have joined with U.S. Senator Boxer and U.S. Representative Markey in urging the NRC not to follow such a course.

We were deeply disturbed when on April 10 the NRC staff disregarded numerous statements of concern and announced a "preliminary finding" that a San Onofre restart at 70% power posed no significant safety risk.

We join a wide range of concerned citizens and public officials in believing that a full and transparent review of the failed San Onofre generators is essential before the NRC considers any potential restart at either partial or full power of the failed generator.

We support Senator Boxer, Chair of the Environment and Public Works Committee, who stated on April 10, 2013: "The NRC staff proposal, which could pave the way for the restart of the San Onofre nuclear power plant before the investigations of the crippled plant are completed, is dangerous and premature."

Given the recent failure of tubes that carry radioactive water, speeding restart of San Onofre through a so-called "license amendment" that shortcuts procedures is totally inappropriate. SCE's request to weaken its license requirements was made despite evidence showing that there could be a significant hazard from the operation of the deficient steam generators.

Given the troubled history and current condition of the plant and the raised level of public concern, the public deserves a full review by the NRC of conditions at San Onofre before it considers a restart of either failed generator.

I support the call by the Sierra Club for the NRC to block any restart of the failed San Onofre generators at this time, and to conduct a comprehensive and open review process.

Thank you for your attention to these concerns.

Jacqueline Dunigan
21748 Ulmus Dr
Woodland Hills, CA 91364-5255

May 3, 2013

Ms Cindy Bladey
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, DC 20555-0001

Dear Ms Bladey,

The comments that follow are regarding Docket ID NRC20130070.

We ask that the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) take no action that could lead to a restart of the San Onofre nuclear power plant before the Commission completes a comprehensive investigation and provides full opportunity for public participation and independent expert testimony.

Southern California Edison (SCE) is attempting to shortcut the license review process by calling on the NRC to make a fast-track restart decision. We and other environmental groups have joined with U.S. Senator Boxer and U.S. Representative Markey in urging the NRC not to follow such a course.

We were deeply disturbed when on April 10 the NRC staff disregarded numerous statements of concern and announced a "preliminary finding" that a San Onofre restart at 70% power posed no significant safety risk.

We join a wide range of concerned citizens and public officials in believing that a full and transparent review of the failed San Onofre generators is essential before the NRC considers any potential restart at either partial or full power of the failed generator.

We support Senator Boxer, Chair of the Environment and Public Works Committee, who stated on April 10, 2013: "The NRC staff proposal, which could pave the way for the restart of the San Onofre nuclear power plant before the investigations of the crippled plant are completed, is dangerous and premature."

Given the recent failure of tubes that carry radioactive water, speeding restart of San Onofre through a so-called "license amendment" that shortcuts procedures is totally inappropriate. SCE's request to weaken its license requirements was made despite evidence showing that there could be a significant hazard from the operation of the deficient steam generators.

Given the troubled history and current condition of the plant and the raised level of public concern, the public deserves a full review by the NRC of conditions at San Onofre before it considers a restart of either failed generator.

I support the call by the Sierra Club for the NRC to block any restart of the failed San Onofre generators at this time, and to conduct a comprehensive and open review process.

Thank you for your attention to these concerns.

Nicole Mirante
1649 N Dillon St
Los Angeles, CA 90026-1203

May 3, 2013

Ms Cindy Bladey
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, DC 20555-0001

Dear Ms Bladey,

The comments that follow are regarding Docket ID NRC20130070.

We ask that the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) take no action that could lead to a restart of the San Onofre nuclear power plant before the Commission completes a comprehensive investigation and provides full opportunity for public participation and independent expert testimony.

Southern California Edison (SCE) is attempting to shortcut the license review process by calling on the NRC to make a fast-track restart decision. We and other environmental groups have joined with U.S. Senator Boxer and U.S. Representative Markey in urging the NRC not to follow such a course.

We were deeply disturbed when on April 10 the NRC staff disregarded numerous statements of concern and announced a "preliminary finding" that a San Onofre restart at 70% power posed no significant safety risk.

We join a wide range of concerned citizens and public officials in believing that a full and transparent review of the failed San Onofre generators is essential before the NRC considers any potential restart at either partial or full power of the failed generator.

We support Senator Boxer, Chair of the Environment and Public Works Committee, who stated on April 10, 2013: "The NRC staff proposal, which could pave the way for the restart of the San Onofre nuclear power plant before the investigations of the crippled plant are completed, is dangerous and premature."

Given the recent failure of tubes that carry radioactive water, speeding restart of San Onofre through a so-called "license amendment" that shortcuts procedures is totally inappropriate. SCE's request to weaken its license requirements was made despite evidence showing that there could be a significant hazard from the operation of the deficient steam generators.

Given the troubled history and current condition of the plant and the raised level of public concern, the public deserves a full review by the NRC of conditions at San Onofre before it considers a restart of either failed generator.

I support the call by the Sierra Club for the NRC to block any restart of the failed San Onofre generators at this time, and to conduct a comprehensive and open review process.

Thank you for your attention to these concerns.

Dustin Crook
9547 Shamrock Ave
Fountain Valley, CA 92708-1548

May 3, 2013

Ms Cindy Bladey
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, DC 20555-0001

Dear Ms Bladey,

The comments that follow are regarding Docket ID NRC20130070.

We ask that the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) take no action that could lead to a restart of the San Onofre nuclear power plant before the Commission completes a comprehensive investigation and provides full opportunity for public participation and independent expert testimony.

Southern California Edison (SCE) is attempting to shortcut the license review process by calling on the NRC to make a fast-track restart decision. We and other environmental groups have joined with U.S. Senator Boxer and U.S. Representative Markey in urging the NRC not to follow such a course.

We were deeply disturbed when on April 10 the NRC staff disregarded numerous statements of concern and announced a "preliminary finding" that a San Onofre restart at 70% power posed no significant safety risk.

We join a wide range of concerned citizens and public officials in believing that a full and transparent review of the failed San Onofre generators is essential before the NRC considers any potential restart at either partial or full power of the failed generator.

We support Senator Boxer, Chair of the Environment and Public Works Committee, who stated on April 10, 2013: "The NRC staff proposal, which could pave the way for the restart of the San Onofre nuclear power plant before the investigations of the crippled plant are completed, is dangerous and premature."

Given the recent failure of tubes that carry radioactive water, speeding restart of San Onofre through a so-called "license amendment" that shortcuts procedures is totally inappropriate. SCE's request to weaken its license requirements was made despite evidence showing that there could be a significant hazard from the operation of the deficient steam generators.

Given the troubled history and current condition of the plant and the raised level of public concern, the public deserves a full review by the NRC of conditions at San Onofre before it considers a restart of either failed generator.

I support the call by the Sierra Club for the NRC to block any restart of the failed San Onofre generators at this time, and to conduct a comprehensive and open review process.

Thank you for your attention to these concerns.

Donna Tonyan
1642 Buckingham Rd
Los Angeles, CA 90019-5903

May 4, 2013

Ms Cindy Bladey
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, DC 20555-0001

Dear Ms Bladey,

The comments that follow are regarding Docket ID NRC20130070.

We ask that the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) take no action that could lead to a restart of the San Onofre nuclear power plant before the Commission completes a comprehensive investigation and provides full opportunity for public participation and independent expert testimony.

Southern California Edison (SCE) is attempting to shortcut the license review process by calling on the NRC to make a fast-track restart decision. We and other environmental groups have joined with U.S. Senator Boxer and U.S. Representative Markey in urging the NRC not to follow such a course.

We were deeply disturbed when on April 10 the NRC staff disregarded numerous statements of concern and announced a "preliminary finding" that a San Onofre restart at 70% power posed no significant safety risk.

We join a wide range of concerned citizens and public officials in believing that a full and transparent review of the failed San Onofre generators is essential before the NRC considers any potential restart at either partial or full power of the failed generator.

We support Senator Boxer, Chair of the Environment and Public Works Committee, who stated on April 10, 2013: "The NRC staff proposal, which could pave the way for the restart of the San Onofre nuclear power plant before the investigations of the crippled plant are completed, is dangerous and premature."

Given the recent failure of tubes that carry radioactive water, speeding restart of San Onofre through a so-called "license amendment" that shortcuts procedures is totally inappropriate. SCE's request to weaken its license requirements was made despite evidence showing that there could be a significant hazard from the operation of the deficient steam generators.

Given the troubled history and current condition of the plant and the raised level of public concern, the public deserves a full review by the NRC of conditions at San Onofre before it considers a restart of either failed generator.

I support the call by the Sierra Club for the NRC to block any restart of the failed San Onofre generators at this time, and to conduct a comprehensive and open review process.

Thank you for your attention to these concerns.

Melissa dodd
3480 Sawtelle Blvd
Los Angeles, CA 90066-2100

May 3, 2013

Ms Cindy Bladey
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, DC 20555-0001

Dear Ms Bladey,

The comments that follow are regarding Docket ID NRC20130070.

We ask that the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) take no action that could lead to a restart of the San Onofre nuclear power plant before the Commission completes a comprehensive investigation and provides full opportunity for public participation and independent expert testimony.

Southern California Edison (SCE) is attempting to shortcut the license review process by calling on the NRC to make a fast-track restart decision. We and other environmental groups have joined with U.S. Senator Boxer and U.S. Representative Markey in urging the NRC not to follow such a course.

We were deeply disturbed when on April 10 the NRC staff disregarded numerous statements of concern and announced a "preliminary finding" that a San Onofre restart at 70% power posed no significant safety risk.

We join a wide range of concerned citizens and public officials in believing that a full and transparent review of the failed San Onofre generators is essential before the NRC considers any potential restart at either partial or full power of the failed generator.

We support Senator Boxer, Chair of the Environment and Public Works Committee, who stated on April 10, 2013: "The NRC staff proposal, which could pave the way for the restart of the San Onofre nuclear power plant before the investigations of the crippled plant are completed, is dangerous and premature."

Given the recent failure of tubes that carry radioactive water, speeding restart of San Onofre through a so-called "license amendment" that shortcuts procedures is totally inappropriate. SCE's request to weaken its license requirements was made despite evidence showing that there could be a significant hazard from the operation of the deficient steam generators.

Given the troubled history and current condition of the plant and the raised level of public concern, the public deserves a full review by the NRC of conditions at San Onofre before it considers a restart of either failed generator.

I support the call by the Sierra Club for the NRC to block any restart of the failed San Onofre generators at this time, and to conduct a comprehensive and open review process.

Thank you for your attention to these concerns.

Robert Elder
4022 Braeburn Way
Los Angeles, CA 90027-1308

May 3, 2013

Ms Cindy Bladey
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, DC 20555-0001

Dear Ms Bladey,

The comments that follow are regarding Docket ID NRC20130070.

We ask that the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) take no action that could lead to a restart of the San Onofre nuclear power plant before the Commission completes a comprehensive investigation and provides full opportunity for public participation and independent expert testimony.

Southern California Edison (SCE) is attempting to shortcut the license review process by calling on the NRC to make a fast-track restart decision. We and other environmental groups have joined with U.S. Senator Boxer and U.S. Representative Markey in urging the NRC not to follow such a course.

We were deeply disturbed when on April 10 the NRC staff disregarded numerous statements of concern and announced a "preliminary finding" that a San Onofre restart at 70% power posed no significant safety risk.

We join a wide range of concerned citizens and public officials in believing that a full and transparent review of the failed San Onofre generators is essential before the NRC considers any potential restart at either partial or full power of the failed generator.

We support Senator Boxer, Chair of the Environment and Public Works Committee, who stated on April 10, 2013: "The NRC staff proposal, which could pave the way for the restart of the San Onofre nuclear power plant before the investigations of the crippled plant are completed, is dangerous and premature."

Given the recent failure of tubes that carry radioactive water, speeding restart of San Onofre through a so-called "license amendment" that shortcuts procedures is totally inappropriate. SCE's request to weaken its license requirements was made despite evidence showing that there could be a significant hazard from the operation of the deficient steam generators.

Given the troubled history and current condition of the plant and the raised level of public concern, the public deserves a full review by the NRC of conditions at San Onofre before it considers a restart of either failed generator.

I support the call by the Sierra Club for the NRC to block any restart of the failed San Onofre generators at this time, and to conduct a comprehensive and open review process.

Thank you for your attention to these concerns.

Thomas Drescher
443 N Harper Ave
Los Angeles, CA 90048-2220

May 3, 2013

Ms Cindy Bladey
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, DC 20555-0001

Dear Ms Bladey,

The comments that follow are regarding Docket ID NRC20130070.

We ask that the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) take no action that could lead to a restart of the San Onofre nuclear power plant before the Commission completes a comprehensive investigation and provides full opportunity for public participation and independent expert testimony.

Southern California Edison (SCE) is attempting to shortcut the license review process by calling on the NRC to make a fast-track restart decision. We and other environmental groups have joined with U.S. Senator Boxer and U.S. Representative Markey in urging the NRC not to follow such a course.

We were deeply disturbed when on April 10 the NRC staff disregarded numerous statements of concern and announced a "preliminary finding" that a San Onofre restart at 70% power posed no significant safety risk.

We join a wide range of concerned citizens and public officials in believing that a full and transparent review of the failed San Onofre generators is essential before the NRC considers any potential restart at either partial or full power of the failed generator.

We support Senator Boxer, Chair of the Environment and Public Works Committee, who stated on April 10, 2013: "The NRC staff proposal, which could pave the way for the restart of the San Onofre nuclear power plant before the investigations of the crippled plant are completed, is dangerous and premature."

Given the recent failure of tubes that carry radioactive water, speeding restart of San Onofre through a so-called "license amendment" that shortcuts procedures is totally inappropriate. SCE's request to weaken its license requirements was made despite evidence showing that there could be a significant hazard from the operation of the deficient steam generators.

Given the troubled history and current condition of the plant and the raised level of public concern, the public deserves a full review by the NRC of conditions at San Onofre before it considers a restart of either failed generator.

I support the call by the Sierra Club for the NRC to block any restart of the failed San Onofre generators at this time, and to conduct a comprehensive and open review process.

Thank you for your attention to these concerns.

Joeanna Rash
525 E Seaside Way Unit 910
Long Beach, CA 90802-8008

May 3, 2013

Ms Cindy Bladey
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, DC 20555-0001

Dear Ms Bladey,

The comments that follow are regarding Docket ID NRC20130070.

We ask that the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) take no action that could lead to a restart of the San Onofre nuclear power plant before the Commission completes a comprehensive investigation and provides full opportunity for public participation and independent expert testimony.

Southern California Edison (SCE) is attempting to shortcut the license review process by calling on the NRC to make a fast-track restart decision. We and other environmental groups have joined with U.S. Senator Boxer and U.S. Representative Markey in urging the NRC not to follow such a course.

We were deeply disturbed when on April 10 the NRC staff disregarded numerous statements of concern and announced a "preliminary finding" that a San Onofre restart at 70% power posed no significant safety risk.

We join a wide range of concerned citizens and public officials in believing that a full and transparent review of the failed San Onofre generators is essential before the NRC considers any potential restart at either partial or full power of the failed generator.

We support Senator Boxer, Chair of the Environment and Public Works Committee, who stated on April 10, 2013: "The NRC staff proposal, which could pave the way for the restart of the San Onofre nuclear power plant before the investigations of the crippled plant are completed, is dangerous and premature."

Given the recent failure of tubes that carry radioactive water, speeding restart of San Onofre through a so-called "license amendment" that shortcuts procedures is totally inappropriate. SCE's request to weaken its license requirements was made despite evidence showing that there could be a significant hazard from the operation of the deficient steam generators.

Given the troubled history and current condition of the plant and the raised level of public concern, the public deserves a full review by the NRC of conditions at San Onofre before it considers a restart of either failed generator.

I support the call by the Sierra Club for the NRC to block any restart of the failed San Onofre generators at this time, and to conduct a comprehensive and open review process.

Thank you for your attention to these concerns.

Nick Huntington
5836 Columbus Ave
Van Nuys, CA 91411-3022

May 3, 2013

Ms Cindy Bladey
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, DC 20555-0001

Dear Ms Bladey,

The comments that follow are regarding Docket ID NRC20130070.

We ask that the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) take no action that could lead to a restart of the San Onofre nuclear power plant before the Commission completes a comprehensive investigation and provides full opportunity for public participation and independent expert testimony.

Southern California Edison (SCE) is attempting to shortcut the license review process by calling on the NRC to make a fast-track restart decision. We and other environmental groups have joined with U.S. Senator Boxer and U.S. Representative Markey in urging the NRC not to follow such a course.

We were deeply disturbed when on April 10 the NRC staff disregarded numerous statements of concern and announced a "preliminary finding" that a San Onofre restart at 70% power posed no significant safety risk.

We join a wide range of concerned citizens and public officials in believing that a full and transparent review of the failed San Onofre generators is essential before the NRC considers any potential restart at either partial or full power of the failed generator.

We support Senator Boxer, Chair of the Environment and Public Works Committee, who stated on April 10, 2013: "The NRC staff proposal, which could pave the way for the restart of the San Onofre nuclear power plant before the investigations of the crippled plant are completed, is dangerous and premature."

Given the recent failure of tubes that carry radioactive water, speeding restart of San Onofre through a so-called "license amendment" that shortcuts procedures is totally inappropriate. SCE's request to weaken its license requirements was made despite evidence showing that there could be a significant hazard from the operation of the deficient steam generators.

Given the troubled history and current condition of the plant and the raised level of public concern, the public deserves a full review by the NRC of conditions at San Onofre before it considers a restart of either failed generator.

I support the call by the Sierra Club for the NRC to block any restart of the failed San Onofre generators at this time, and to conduct a comprehensive and open review process.

Thank you for your attention to these concerns.

Robert Aronson
1215 Appleton Way
Venice, CA 90291-2914

May 3, 2013

Ms Cindy Bladey
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, DC 20555-0001

Dear Ms Bladey,

The comments that follow are regarding Docket ID NRC20130070.

We ask that the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) take no action that could lead to a restart of the San Onofre nuclear power plant before the Commission completes a comprehensive investigation and provides full opportunity for public participation and independent expert testimony.

Southern California Edison (SCE) is attempting to shortcut the license review process by calling on the NRC to make a fast-track restart decision. We and other environmental groups have joined with U.S. Senator Boxer and U.S. Representative Markey in urging the NRC not to follow such a course.

We were deeply disturbed when on April 10 the NRC staff disregarded numerous statements of concern and announced a "preliminary finding" that a San Onofre restart at 70% power posed no significant safety risk.

We join a wide range of concerned citizens and public officials in believing that a full and transparent review of the failed San Onofre generators is essential before the NRC considers any potential restart at either partial or full power of the failed generator.

We support Senator Boxer, Chair of the Environment and Public Works Committee, who stated on April 10, 2013: "The NRC staff proposal, which could pave the way for the restart of the San Onofre nuclear power plant before the investigations of the crippled plant are completed, is dangerous and premature."

Given the recent failure of tubes that carry radioactive water, speeding restart of San Onofre through a so-called "license amendment" that shortcuts procedures is totally inappropriate. SCE's request to weaken its license requirements was made despite evidence showing that there could be a significant hazard from the operation of the deficient steam generators.

Given the troubled history and current condition of the plant and the raised level of public concern, the public deserves a full review by the NRC of conditions at San Onofre before it considers a restart of either failed generator.

I support the call by the Sierra Club for the NRC to block any restart of the failed San Onofre generators at this time, and to conduct a comprehensive and open review process.

Thank you for your attention to these concerns.

Dan Cohen
1751 Buckingham Rd
Los Angeles, CA 90019-5906

May 3, 2013

Ms Cindy Bladey
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, DC 20555-0001

Dear Ms Bladey,

The comments that follow are regarding Docket ID NRC20130070.

We ask that the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) take no action that could lead to a restart of the San Onofre nuclear power plant before the Commission completes a comprehensive investigation and provides full opportunity for public participation and independent expert testimony.

Southern California Edison (SCE) is attempting to shortcut the license review process by calling on the NRC to make a fast-track restart decision. We and other environmental groups have joined with U.S. Senator Boxer and U.S. Representative Markey in urging the NRC not to follow such a course.

We were deeply disturbed when on April 10 the NRC staff disregarded numerous statements of concern and announced a "preliminary finding" that a San Onofre restart at 70% power posed no significant safety risk.

We join a wide range of concerned citizens and public officials in believing that a full and transparent review of the failed San Onofre generators is essential before the NRC considers any potential restart at either partial or full power of the failed generator.

We support Senator Boxer, Chair of the Environment and Public Works Committee, who stated on April 10, 2013: "The NRC staff proposal, which could pave the way for the restart of the San Onofre nuclear power plant before the investigations of the crippled plant are completed, is dangerous and premature."

Given the recent failure of tubes that carry radioactive water, speeding restart of San Onofre through a so-called "license amendment" that shortcuts procedures is totally inappropriate. SCE's request to weaken its license requirements was made despite evidence showing that there could be a significant hazard from the operation of the deficient steam generators.

Given the troubled history and current condition of the plant and the raised level of public concern, the public deserves a full review by the NRC of conditions at San Onofre before it considers a restart of either failed generator.

I support the call by the Sierra Club for the NRC to block any restart of the failed San Onofre generators at this time, and to conduct a comprehensive and open review process.

Thank you for your attention to these concerns.

Michael Bierman
3743 Arbolada Rd
Los Angeles, CA 90027-2401

May 3, 2013

Ms Cindy Bladey
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, DC 20555-0001

Dear Ms Bladey,

The comments that follow are regarding Docket ID NRC20130070.

We ask that the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) take no action that could lead to a restart of the San Onofre nuclear power plant before the Commission completes a comprehensive investigation and provides full opportunity for public participation and independent expert testimony.

Southern California Edison (SCE) is attempting to shortcut the license review process by calling on the NRC to make a fast-track restart decision. We and other environmental groups have joined with U.S. Senator Boxer and U.S. Representative Markey in urging the NRC not to follow such a course.

We were deeply disturbed when on April 10 the NRC staff disregarded numerous statements of concern and announced a "preliminary finding" that a San Onofre restart at 70% power posed no significant safety risk.

We join a wide range of concerned citizens and public officials in believing that a full and transparent review of the failed San Onofre generators is essential before the NRC considers any potential restart at either partial or full power of the failed generator.

We support Senator Boxer, Chair of the Environment and Public Works Committee, who stated on April 10, 2013: "The NRC staff proposal, which could pave the way for the restart of the San Onofre nuclear power plant before the investigations of the crippled plant are completed, is dangerous and premature."

Given the recent failure of tubes that carry radioactive water, speeding restart of San Onofre through a so-called "license amendment" that shortcuts procedures is totally inappropriate. SCE's request to weaken its license requirements was made despite evidence showing that there could be a significant hazard from the operation of the deficient steam generators.

Given the troubled history and current condition of the plant and the raised level of public concern, the public deserves a full review by the NRC of conditions at San Onofre before it considers a restart of either failed generator.

I support the call by the Sierra Club for the NRC to block any restart of the failed San Onofre generators at this time, and to conduct a comprehensive and open review process.

Thank you for your attention to these concerns.

John Bishop
2050 Rodney Dr Apt 8
Los Angeles, CA 90027-2687

May 3, 2013

Ms Cindy Bladey
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, DC 20555-0001

Dear Ms Bladey,

The comments that follow are regarding Docket ID NRC20130070.

We ask that the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) take no action that could lead to a restart of the San Onofre nuclear power plant before the Commission completes a comprehensive investigation and provides full opportunity for public participation and independent expert testimony.

Southern California Edison (SCE) is attempting to shortcut the license review process by calling on the NRC to make a fast-track restart decision. We and other environmental groups have joined with U.S. Senator Boxer and U.S. Representative Markey in urging the NRC not to follow such a course.

We were deeply disturbed when on April 10 the NRC staff disregarded numerous statements of concern and announced a "preliminary finding" that a San Onofre restart at 70% power posed no significant safety risk.

We join a wide range of concerned citizens and public officials in believing that a full and transparent review of the failed San Onofre generators is essential before the NRC considers any potential restart at either partial or full power of the failed generator.

We support Senator Boxer, Chair of the Environment and Public Works Committee, who stated on April 10, 2013: "The NRC staff proposal, which could pave the way for the restart of the San Onofre nuclear power plant before the investigations of the crippled plant are completed, is dangerous and premature."

Given the recent failure of tubes that carry radioactive water, speeding restart of San Onofre through a so-called "license amendment" that shortcuts procedures is totally inappropriate. SCE's request to weaken its license requirements was made despite evidence showing that there could be a significant hazard from the operation of the deficient steam generators.

Given the troubled history and current condition of the plant and the raised level of public concern, the public deserves a full review by the NRC of conditions at San Onofre before it considers a restart of either failed generator.

I support the call by the Sierra Club for the NRC to block any restart of the failed San Onofre generators at this time, and to conduct a comprehensive and open review process.

Thank you for your attention to these concerns.

Ivan Light
819 Marymount Ln
Claremont, CA 91711-1513

May 3, 2013

Ms Cindy Bladey
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, DC 20555-0001

Dear Ms Bladey,

The comments that follow are regarding Docket ID NRC20130070.

We ask that the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) take no action that could lead to a restart of the San Onofre nuclear power plant before the Commission completes a comprehensive investigation and provides full opportunity for public participation and independent expert testimony.

Southern California Edison (SCE) is attempting to shortcut the license review process by calling on the NRC to make a fast-track restart decision. We and other environmental groups have joined with U.S. Senator Boxer and U.S. Representative Markey in urging the NRC not to follow such a course.

We were deeply disturbed when on April 10 the NRC staff disregarded numerous statements of concern and announced a "preliminary finding" that a San Onofre restart at 70% power posed no significant safety risk.

We join a wide range of concerned citizens and public officials in believing that a full and transparent review of the failed San Onofre generators is essential before the NRC considers any potential restart at either partial or full power of the failed generator.

We support Senator Boxer, Chair of the Environment and Public Works Committee, who stated on April 10, 2013: "The NRC staff proposal, which could pave the way for the restart of the San Onofre nuclear power plant before the investigations of the crippled plant are completed, is dangerous and premature."

Given the recent failure of tubes that carry radioactive water, speeding restart of San Onofre through a so-called "license amendment" that shortcuts procedures is totally inappropriate. SCE's request to weaken its license requirements was made despite evidence showing that there could be a significant hazard from the operation of the deficient steam generators.

Given the troubled history and current condition of the plant and the raised level of public concern, the public deserves a full review by the NRC of conditions at San Onofre before it considers a restart of either failed generator.

I support the call by the Sierra Club for the NRC to block any restart of the failed San Onofre generators at this time, and to conduct a comprehensive and open review process.

Thank you for your attention to these concerns.

Susanne Mortensen
1722 Westcliff Dr
Newport Beach, CA 92660-5530

May 3, 2013

Ms Cindy Bladey
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, DC 20555-0001

Dear Ms Bladey,

The comments that follow are regarding Docket ID NRC20130070.

We ask that the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) take no action that could lead to a restart of the San Onofre nuclear power plant before the Commission completes a comprehensive investigation and provides full opportunity for public participation and independent expert testimony.

Southern California Edison (SCE) is attempting to shortcut the license review process by calling on the NRC to make a fast-track restart decision. We and other environmental groups have joined with U.S. Senator Boxer and U.S. Representative Markey in urging the NRC not to follow such a course.

We were deeply disturbed when on April 10 the NRC staff disregarded numerous statements of concern and announced a "preliminary finding" that a San Onofre restart at 70% power posed no significant safety risk.

We join a wide range of concerned citizens and public officials in believing that a full and transparent review of the failed San Onofre generators is essential before the NRC considers any potential restart at either partial or full power of the failed generator.

We support Senator Boxer, Chair of the Environment and Public Works Committee, who stated on April 10, 2013: "The NRC staff proposal, which could pave the way for the restart of the San Onofre nuclear power plant before the investigations of the crippled plant are completed, is dangerous and premature."

Given the recent failure of tubes that carry radioactive water, speeding restart of San Onofre through a so-called "license amendment" that shortcuts procedures is totally inappropriate. SCE's request to weaken its license requirements was made despite evidence showing that there could be a significant hazard from the operation of the deficient steam generators.

Given the troubled history and current condition of the plant and the raised level of public concern, the public deserves a full review by the NRC of conditions at San Onofre before it considers a restart of either failed generator.

I support the call by the Sierra Club for the NRC to block any restart of the failed San Onofre generators at this time, and to conduct a comprehensive and open review process.

Thank you for your attention to these concerns.

Lindsay Daitch
263 S Muirfield Rd
Los Angeles, CA 90004-3730

May 3, 2013

Ms Cindy Bladey
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, DC 20555-0001

Dear Ms Bladey,

The comments that follow are regarding Docket ID NRC20130070.

We ask that the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) take no action that could lead to a restart of the San Onofre nuclear power plant before the Commission completes a comprehensive investigation and provides full opportunity for public participation and independent expert testimony.

Southern California Edison (SCE) is attempting to shortcut the license review process by calling on the NRC to make a fast-track restart decision. We and other environmental groups have joined with U.S. Senator Boxer and U.S. Representative Markey in urging the NRC not to follow such a course.

We were deeply disturbed when on April 10 the NRC staff disregarded numerous statements of concern and announced a "preliminary finding" that a San Onofre restart at 70% power posed no significant safety risk.

We join a wide range of concerned citizens and public officials in believing that a full and transparent review of the failed San Onofre generators is essential before the NRC considers any potential restart at either partial or full power of the failed generator.

We support Senator Boxer, Chair of the Environment and Public Works Committee, who stated on April 10, 2013: "The NRC staff proposal, which could pave the way for the restart of the San Onofre nuclear power plant before the investigations of the crippled plant are completed, is dangerous and premature."

Given the recent failure of tubes that carry radioactive water, speeding restart of San Onofre through a so-called "license amendment" that shortcuts procedures is totally inappropriate. SCE's request to weaken its license requirements was made despite evidence showing that there could be a significant hazard from the operation of the deficient steam generators.

Given the troubled history and current condition of the plant and the raised level of public concern, the public deserves a full review by the NRC of conditions at San Onofre before it considers a restart of either failed generator.

I support the call by the Sierra Club for the NRC to block any restart of the failed San Onofre generators at this time, and to conduct a comprehensive and open review process.

Thank you for your attention to these concerns.

Christine Tanaka
1912 Benecia Ave
Los Angeles, CA 90025-5150