

Michael J. Annacone 526 South Church Street Charlotte, NC 28202

Mail Code EC07H / P.O. Box 1006 Charlotte, NC 28201-1006

980-373-2544

704-382-6056 fax

Serial: RA-13-014 June 26, 2013

10 CFR 50.4 10 CFR 50.75

U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Attention: Document Control Desk Washington, D. C. 20555-001

Brunswick Steam Electric Plant, Units 1 and 2 Docket Numbers 50-325, and 50-324/Renewed License Numbers DPR-71, and DPR-62

Crystal River Unit 3 Nuclear Generating Plant Docket Number 50-302/License Number DPR-72

Shearon Harris Nuclear Power Plant, Unit 1
Docket Number 50-400/Renewed License Number NPF-63

H. B. Robinson Steam Electric Plant, Unit 2 Docket Number 50-261/Renewed License Number DPR-23

Subject: Duke Energy Progress, Inc., and Duke Energy, Florida, Inc.; Response to NRC

Request for Additional Information Regarding the 2013 Biennial Decommissioning

Financial Assurance Report

By letter dated May 29, 2013 (Agencywide Documents Access and Management System (ADAMS) Accession Number ML13135A206), the U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (USNRC) issued a Request for Additional Information (RAI) related to the Biennial Decommissioning Financial Assurance Report submitted by Duke Energy Progress, Inc., formerly known as Carolina Power & Light Company, doing business as Progress Energy Carolinas, Inc., and Duke Energy Florida, Inc., formerly known as Florida Power Corporation, doing business as Progress Energy Florida, Inc., for Brunswick Steam Electric Plant, Units 1 and 2, Crystal River Unit 3 Nuclear Generating Plant, Shearon Harris Nuclear Power Plant, Unit 1, and H. B. Robinson Steam Electric Plant, Unit 2 (ADAMS) Accession Number ML13091A025). Response to the NRC Staff's RAI is provided in the enclosure to this letter.

There are no regulatory commitments associated with this letter.

ADDI NIRR United States Nuclear Regulatory Commission RA-13-014 Page 2

Should you have questions regarding the responses to the NRC Staff's RAI, please contact Paul Guill at (704) 382-4753 (paul.guill@duke-energy.com).

Sincerely,

Michael J. Annacone,

Vice President - Organizational Effectiveness &

Regulatory Affairs

Enclosure

United States Nuclear Regulatory Commission RA-13-014 Page 3

CC (with enclosure):

V. M. McCree, Regional Administrator U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission – Region II Marquis One Tower 245 Peachtree Center Ave., NE Suite 1200 Atlanta, Georgia 30303-1257

W. M. Dean, Regional Administrator U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission – Region I 2100 Renaissance Boulevard, Suite 100 King of Prussia, PA 19406–2713

S. T. Hammann (DNMS/DB)
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission – Region I
2100 Renaissance Boulevard, Suite 100
King of Prussia, PA 19406–2713

C. Gratton, NRR Project Manager (BSEP and CRNGP, Unit 3)
U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
11555 Rockville Pike
Mail Stop 8 D15
Rockville, MD 20852-2738

A. T. Billoch-Colon, NRR Project Manager (HBRSEP, Unit 2 and SHNPP, Unit 1) U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission 11555 Rockville Pike Mail Stop 8 D15 Rockville, MD 20852-2738

M. P. Catts, Senior Resident Inspector Brunswick Steam Electric Plant, Unit Nos. 1 and 2

J. A. Hickey, Senior Resident Inspector H. B. Robinson Steam Electric Plant, Unit No. 2

J. D. Austin, Senior Resident Inspector Shearon Harris Nuclear Power Plant, Unit 1

ENCLOSURE

Duke Energy Progress, Inc. Duke Energy Florida, Inc.

Brunswick Steam Electric Plant, Units 1 and 2 Crystal River Unit 3 Nuclear Generating Plant Shearon Harris Nuclear Power Plant, Unit 1 H. B. Robinson Steam Electric Plant, Unit 2

RESPONSE TO NRC RAI REGARDING THE 2013
BIENNIAL DECOMMISSIONING FINANCIAL
ASSURANCE REPORT

RAI-1: Citation for real rate of returns

On March 28, 2013, Progress Energy reported various levels of assumed cost escalation rates and assumed earnings rates for the various co-owners and portions of the trust funds; however, Progress Energy did not provide the Public Service Commission of South Carolina the Order that was issued on September 23, 2011, that authorizes Progress Energy to use a real rate of return greater than 2 percent for the South Carolina portion of the qualified decommissioning trust fund. Progress Energy also did not provide the citation(s) for the Crystal River co-owners that allows for a greater than 2 percent real rate of return.

As stated in 10 CFR 50.75(f)(1),

the information in [the DFS] report must include [...] the assumptions used regarding rates of escalation in decommissioning costs, rates of earnings on decommissioning funds, and rates of other factors used in funding projections ...

Provide the citation (e.g., an Order by the rate-regulatory authority) by the regulatory entity that allows for the assumptions used regarding rates of escalation in decommissioning costs, rate of earnings on decommissioning funds and rates of other factors assumed in your DFS report for all owners of Brunswick, Harris, Crystal River, and HBRSEP.

Response to RAI-1:

The Public Service Commission of South Carolina Order that authorizes Progress Energy to use a real rate of return greater than 2 percent for the South Carolina portion of the qualified decommissioning trust fund is Order Number 2011-661 dated September 23, 2011, in Docket Number 2011-224-E (http://dms.psc.sc.gov/pdf/orders/A7546AFC-9D0B-549D-AAD4FA003DA443B1.pdf). Other rates provided by Progress Energy are unchanged from previous submittals.

A number of the co-owners of Brunswick, Harris and Crystal River units assumed greater than a 2 percent real rate of return on part or all of their decommissioning funds. These co-owners are municipally owned or cooperative electric providers that set their own rates and assumptions regarding needs for decommissioning. The rates provided in the March 28, 2013, Biennial Decommissioning Financial Assurance Report were those provided by the co-owners and thus, in themselves, represent the citation of the "rate setting authority."

RAI-2: Amounts accumulated:

In its March 28, 2013, report, Progress Energy provided the amount of decommissioning funds accumulated at the end of the calendar year preceding the date of the report for items included in 10 CFR 50.75(b) and (c), but did not indicate if the amount stated was the before or after-tax balance.

The provisions of 10 CFR 50.75(f)(1) and (2) require the licensee to report the amount of funds accumulated to the end of the calendar year preceding the report.

Indicate if the amounts of decommissioning funds identified within the DFS report are the after-tax amount of funds accumulated through December 31, 2012. If not, provide the after-tax amounts of decommissioning funds accumulated through December 31, 2012, for Brunswick, Harris, Crystal River, and HBRSEP.

Response to RAI-2:

The amounts reported by Progress Energy in the March 28, 2013, Biennial Decommissioning Financial Assurance Report for Brunswick, Harris, Crystal River and HBRSEP are net of 2012 tax obligations.

The remaining co-owners of Brunswick, Harris, and Crystal River are tax exempt; therefore, the amounts reported by them in the March 28, 2013, Biennial Decommissioning Financial Assurance Report are net of taxes.

RAI-3: Site-Specific Study

Per 10 CFR 50.75(e)(1)(i) and (ii), the licensee must specifically describe the safe storage period in order to take credit for projected future earnings when it uses a site-specific estimate as the basis for using the prepayment or external sinking fund methods of financial assurance.

In the March 31, 2013, submittal, Progress Energy referenced the Crystal River site-specific study that was submitted on November 29, 2011, but did not provide the site-specific cost estimate in 2012 dollars.

Provide the site-specific cost estimate in 2012 dollars for Crystal River, that was previously submitted to the NRC on November 29, 2011 pursuant to 10 CFR 50.75(f)(3). The site specific cost estimate should include a summary schedule of annual expenses, projected earnings, and end-of-year fund balances, expressed in 2012 dollars.

Response to RAI-3:

Table 1 provides a summary of annual expenses, projected earnings, and end-of-year fund balances expressed in 2012 dollars, inflated from the previously-submitted November 29, 2011, Crystal River site-specific cost estimate. The inflation rate was computed by escalating the principal components of the cost estimate, a methodology suggested in Regulatory Guide 1.159, Assuring the Availability of Funds for Decommissioning Nuclear Reactors, using US Bureau of Labor and Statistics inflation indices for most components and observed inflation over time in revisions to NUREG-1307, Report on Waste Burial Charges, for burial.

TABLE 1 Funding Requirements for License Termination - SAFSTOR Scenario

	Annual escalation = 0% Annual earnings = 2%				
RAI request:	Annual expenses	Projected Earnings	End-of-year Fund Balances		
Year	License Termination Cost in 2012 Dollars - 1.91% inflation (in millions)	Annual Earnings on Decommissioning Trust Fund at 2% (in millions)	All Owners - Decommissioning Trust Fund Escalated at 2% (minus 100% of expenses) (in millions)		
2012			\$690.648		
2013		\$13.813	\$704.461		
2014		\$14.089	\$718.550		
2015		\$14.371	\$732.921		
2016	\$4.136	\$14.658	\$743.444		
2017	\$54.425	\$14.869	\$703.888		
2018	\$44.244	\$14.078	\$673.722		
2019	\$5.714	\$13.474	\$681.482		
2020	\$5.729	\$13.630	\$689.382		
2021	\$5.714	\$13.788	\$697.456		
2022	\$5.714	\$13.949	\$705.691		
2023	\$5.631	\$14.114	\$714.174		
2024	\$5.584	\$14.283	\$722.874		
2025	\$5.569	\$14.457	\$731.762		
2026	\$5.569	\$14.635	\$740.828		
2027	\$5.569	\$14.817	\$750.075		
2028	\$5.584	\$15.001	\$759.493		
2029	\$5.569	\$15.190	\$769.113		
2030	\$5.569	\$15.382	\$778.926		
2031	\$5.569	\$15.579	\$788.935		
2032	\$5.584	\$15.779	\$799.130		
2033	\$5.569	\$15.983	\$809.544		
2034	\$5.569	\$16.191	\$820.165		
2035	\$5.569	\$16.403	\$830.999		
2036	\$5.584	\$16.620	\$842.035		
2037	\$5.569	\$16.841	\$853.307		
2038	\$5.569	\$17.066	\$864.803		
2039	\$5.569	\$17.296	\$876.530		
2040	\$5.584	\$17.531	\$888.477		
2041	\$5.569	\$17.770	\$900.677		
2042	\$5.569	\$18.014	\$913.121		
2043	\$5.569	\$18.262	\$925.814		
2044	\$5.584	\$18.516	\$938.747		
2045	\$5.569	\$18.775	\$951.953		
2046	\$5.569	\$19.039	\$965.422		
2047	\$5.569	\$19.308	\$979.161		

TABLE 1 Funding Requirements for License Termination - SAFSTOR Scenario

	Annual escalation = 0%				
	Annual earnings = 2%				
RAI request:	Annual expenses	Projected Earnings	End-of-year Fund Balances		
Year	License Termination Cost in 2012 Dollars - 1.91% inflation (in millions)	Annual Earnings on Decommissioning Trust Fund at 2% (in millions)	All Owners - Decommissioning Trust Fund Escalated at 2% (minus 100% of expenses) (in millions)		
2048	\$5.584	\$19.583	\$993.161		
2049	\$5.569	\$19.863	\$1,007.455		
2050	\$5.569	\$20.149	\$1,022.035		
2051	\$5.569	\$20.441	\$1,036.906		
2052	\$5.584	\$20.738	\$1,052.060		
2053	\$5.569	\$21.041	\$1,067.532		
2054	\$5.569	\$21.351	\$1,083.313		
2055	\$5.569	\$21.666	\$1,099.410		
2056	\$5.584	\$21.988	\$1,115.815		
2057	\$5.568	\$22.316	\$1,132.563		
2058	\$5.538	\$22.651	\$1,149.676		
2059	\$5.538	\$22.994	\$1,167.132		
2060	\$5.552	\$23.343	\$1,184.923		
2061	\$5.538	\$23.698	\$1,203.083		
2062	\$5.538	\$24.062	\$1,221.607		
2063	\$5.538	\$24.432	\$1,240.501		
2064	\$5.552	\$24.810	\$1,259.759		
2065	\$5.538	\$25.195	\$1,279.417		
2066	\$5.538	\$25.588	\$1,299.467		
2067	\$5.538	\$25.989	\$1,319.919		
2068	\$5.552	\$26.398	\$1,340.765		
2069	\$5.538	\$26.815	\$1,362.043		
2070	\$5.538	\$27.241	\$1,383.746		
2071	\$7.697	\$27.675	\$1,403.723		
2072	\$45.525	\$28.074	\$1,386.273		
2073	\$100.652	\$27.725	\$1,313.346		
2074	\$110.011	\$26.267	\$1,229.602		
2075	\$73.588	\$24.592	\$1,180.606		
2076	\$37.621	\$23.612	\$1,166.597		
2077	\$0.123	\$23.332	\$1,189.805		
2078	\$0.075	\$23.796	\$1,213.526		
Total	\$768.121				