

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

ATOMIC SAFETY AND LICENSING BOARD

Before Administrative Judges:

Lawrence G. McDade, Chairman
Dr. Michael F. Kennedy
Dr. Richard E. Wardwell

In the Matter of	Docket Nos. 50-247-LR and 50-286-LR
ENTERGY NUCLEAR OPERATIONS, INC.	ASLBP No. 07-858-03-LR-BD01
(Indian Point Nuclear Generating Units 2 and 3)	July 9, 2013

ORDER
(Granting Entergy's Motion Clarification)

On June 25, 2013, Entergy Nuclear Operations, Inc. (Entergy) filed a motion for clarification regarding the timing of adjudicatory submissions related to Entergy communication NL-13-075, which is related to Contention NYS-35/36.¹ Contention NYS-35/36 “challenges the NRC Staff’s failure, in its December 2010 Final Supplemental Environmental Impact Statement (FSEIS), (1) to require completion of cost analyses for the SAMAs that appear to be cost-beneficial and (2) to require Entergy either to implement mitigation alternatives when the benefits of those alternatives substantially outweigh costs or, in the alternative, to explain with a rational basis why the NRC Staff would allow Entergy’s licenses to be renewed without the implementation of the cost-beneficial SAMAs.”²

On July 14, 2011, we granted summary disposition in favor of the State of New York (New York) on Contention NYS-35/36, and “in so doing, [held] that, under NRC Regulations, the

¹ See Applicant’s Motion for Clarification Regarding the Timing of Adjudicatory Submissions Related to Entergy Letter NL-13-075 (June 25, 2013) at 1 [hereinafter Applicant’s Motion].

² LBP-11-17, 74 NRC 11, 15 (2011).

APA, and NEPA, Entergy's licenses cannot be renewed unless and until the NRC Staff reviews Entergy's completed SAMA analyses and either incorporates the result of these reviews into the FSEIS or, in the alternative, modifies its FSEIS to provide a valid reason for recommending the renewal of the licenses before the analysis of potentially cost effective SAMAs is complete and for not requiring the implementation of cost-beneficial SAMAs."³

On May 6, 2013, Entergy submitted NL-13-075, which contains the "results of its completed engineering project cost estimates for 22 SAMAs previously identified as potentially cost-beneficial as well as an augmented explanation for not implementing certain SAMAs as part of license renewal."⁴ Entergy submitted NL-13-075 to support resolution of issues identified by the Board in our July 14, 2011 decision.

In its motion for clarification, Entergy submits that the trigger date for adjudicatory filings based on NL-13-075 is not the day of its submission (May 6, 2013), but instead the NRC Staff's issuance of a draft FSEIS supplement.⁵ New York agrees that a FSEIS supplement is the appropriate trigger, but requests that the NRC Staff's issuance of a *final* FSEIS supplement serve as the appropriate trigger.⁶ The NRC Staff suggests that adjudicatory submissions regarding NL-13-075 need not await the Staff's completion and documentation of its review and should be based upon this Order.⁷

The Board agrees with Entergy. Our July 14, 2011, Memorandum and Order makes it clear that the NEPA issue addressed in Contention NYS-35/36 cannot be resolved until the

³ Id. at 27.

⁴ Applicant's Motion at 4.

⁵ Id. at 6–8. Entergy suggests that future adjudicatory filings be submitted within 30 days of the NRC Staff's issuance of its written evaluation of NL-13-075, whatever form that may take. Id. at 7.

⁶ See State of New York's Answer to Entergy's Motion for Clarification Regarding the Timing of Adjudicatory Submissions Related to Entergy Letter NL-13-075 (July 5, 2013). New York requests 90 days to submit any new contentions based on the Staff's review of NL-13-075. Id. at 6.

⁷ See NRC Staff's Response to Entergy's Motion for Clarification Regarding the Timing of Adjudicatory Submissions Related to Entergy Letter NL-13-075 (July 5, 2013).

NRC Staff reviews Entergy's completed SAMA analyses, and thus it is the Staff's issuance of its review of NL-13-075 that triggers the adjudicatory submission deadlines, not the issuance of NL-13-075 in and of itself. Thus, adjudicatory submissions based on NL-13-075 are due no later than 60 days after the Staff issues its draft FSEIS supplement or an equivalent document discussing its review of NL-13-075, or alternatively, no later than 60 days after the NRC Staff notifies the parties that it will not issue a written evaluation of NL-13-075 in any form.

It is so ORDERED.

FOR THE ATOMIC SAFETY
AND LICENSING BOARD

/RA/

Lawrence G. McDade, Chairman
ADMINISTRATIVE JUDGE

Rockville, Maryland
July 9, 2013

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

In the Matter of)
)
)
) Docket Nos. 50-247-LR
) and 50-286-LR
(Indian Point Nuclear Generating,)
Units 2 and 3))

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that copies of the foregoing **ORDER (Granting Entergy's Motion Clarification)** have been served upon the following persons by Electronic Information Exchange.

U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Office of Commission Appellate Adjudication
Mail Stop O-7H4M
Washington, DC 20555-0001
ocaamail@nrc.gov

U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Office of the Secretary of the Commission
Mail Stop O-16C1
Washington, DC 20555-0001
hearingdocket@nrc.gov

U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Atomic Safety and Licensing Board Panel
Mail Stop T-3F23
Washington, DC 20555-0001

Lawrence G. McDade, Chair
Administrative Judge
lawrence.mcdade@nrc.gov

Richard E. Wardwell
Administrative Judge
richard.wardwell@nrc.gov

Michael F. Kennedy
Administrative Judge
michael.kennedy@nrc.gov

Shelbie Lewman, Law Clerk
James Maltese, Law Clerk
Carter Thurman, Law Clerk
shelbie.lewman@nrc.gov
james.maltese@nrc.gov
carter.thurman@nrc.gov

Edward L. Williamson, Esq.
Beth N. Mizuno, Esq.
David E. Roth, Esq.
Sherwin E. Turk, Esq.
Brian Harris, Esq.
Mary B. Spencer, Esq.
Anita Ghosh, Esq.
John Tibbetts, Paralegal
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Office of the General Counsel
Mail Stop O-15D21
Washington, DC 20555-0001
sherwin.turk@nrc.gov;
edward.williamson@nrc.gov
beth.mizuno@nrc.gov; brian.harris@nrc.gov
david.roth@nrc.gov; mary.spencer@nrc.gov
anita.ghosh@nrc.gov; john.tibbetts@nrc.gov

OGC Mail Center
OGCMailCenter@nrc.gov

William C. Dennis, Esq.
Assistant General Counsel
Entergy Nuclear Operations, Inc.
440 Hamilton Avenue
White Plains, NY 10601
wdennis@entergy.com

William B. Glew, Jr.
Organization: Entergy
440 Hamilton Avenue, White Plains, NY 10601
wglew@entergy.com

ORDER (Granting Entergy's Motion Clarification)

Elise N. Zoli, Esq.
Goodwin Procter, LLP
Exchange Place, 53 State Street
Boston, MA 02109
ezoli@goodwinprocter.com

Thomas F. Wood, Esq.
Daniel Riesel, Esq.
Victoria Shiah Treanor, Esq.
Adam Stolorow, Esq.
Jwala Gandhi, Paralegal
Peng Deng, Paralegal
Counsel for Town of Cortlandt
Sive, Paget & Riesel, P.C.
460 Park Avenue
New York, NY 10022
driesel@sprlaw.com; vtreanor@sprlaw.com
astolorow@sprlaw.com; jgandhi@sprlaw.com
pdeng@sprlaw.com

Kathryn M. Sutton, Esq.
Paul M. Bessette, Esq.
Martin J. O'Neill, Esq.
Raphael Kuyler, Esq.
Jonathan M. Rund, Esq.
Lena Michelle Long, Esq.
Laura Swett, Esq.
Lance Escher, Esq.
Mary Freeze, Legal Secretary
Antoinette Walker, Legal Secretary
Doris Calhoun, Legal Secretary
Morgan, Lewis & Bockius, LLP
1111 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW
Washington, DC 20004
ksutton@morganlewis.com
martin.oneill@morganlewis.com
rkuyler@morganlewis.com;
jrund@morganlewis.com
llong@morganlewis.com;
lszett@morganlewis.com
lescher@morganlewis.com
mfreeze@morganlewis.com
awalker@morganlewis.com
dcalhoun@morganlewis.com

Phillip Musegaas, Esq.
Deborah Brancato, Esq.
Ramona Cearley, Secretary
Riverkeeper, Inc.
20 Secor Road
Ossining, NY 10562
phillip@riverkeeper.org;
dbrancato@riverkeeper.org
rcearley@riverkeeper.org

Melissa-Jean Rotini, Esq.
Assistant County Attorney
Office of Robert F. Meehan,
Westchester County Attorney
148 Martine Avenue, 6th Floor
White Plains, NY 10601
mjr1@westchestergov.com

Clint Carpenter, Esq.
Bobby Burchfield, Esq.
Matthew Leland, Esq.
McDermott, Will and Emery LLP
600 13th Street, NW
Washington, DC 20005
ccarpenter@mwe.com; bburchfield@mwe.com
mleland@mwe.com

Matthew W. Swinehart, Esq.
Covington & Burling LLP
1201 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW
Washington, DC 20004
mswinehart@cov.com

Edward F. McTiernan, Esq.
New York State Department
of Environmental Conservation
Office of General Counsel
625 Broadway
14th Floor
Albany, NY 12233-1500
efmctier@gw.dec.state.ny.us

Docket Nos. 50-247-LR and 50-286-LR
ORDER (Granting Entergy's Motion Clarification)

Manna Jo Greene, Environmental Director
Steven C. Filler
Karla Raimundi
Hudson River Sloop Clearwater, Inc.
724 Wolcott Ave.
Beacon, NY 12508
mannajo@clearwater.org;
stephenfiller@gmail.com
karla@clearwater.org

Richard Webster, Esq.
Public Justice, P.C.
For Hudson River Sloop Clearwater, Inc.
1825 K Street, NW, Suite 200
Washington, D.C. 20006
rwebster@publicjustice.net

Michael J. Delaney, Esq.
Director, Energy Regulatory Affairs
NYC Department of Environmental Protection
59-17 Junction Boulevard
Flushing, NY 11373
mdelaney@dep.nyc.gov

John J. Sipos, Esq.
Charles Donaldson, Esq.
Assistant Attorneys General
Office of the Attorney General
of the State of New York
Elyse Houle, Legal Support
The Capitol, State Street
Albany, New York 12224
john.sipos@ag.ny.gov
charlie.donaldson@ag.ny.gov
elyse.houle@ag.ny.gov

Robert D. Snook, Esq.
Assistant Attorney General
Office of the Attorney General
State of Connecticut
55 Elm Street
P.O. Box 120
Hartford, CT 06141-0120
robert.snook@po.state.ct.us

Janice A. Dean, Esq.
Kathryn Liberatore, Esq.
Assistant Attorney General
Office of the Attorney General
of the State of New York
120 Broadway, 26th Floor
New York, New York 10271
janice.dean@ag.ny.gov
kathryn.liberatore@ag.ny.gov

Sean Murray, Mayor
Kevin Hay, Village Administrator
Village of Buchanan
Municipal Building
236 Tate Avenue
Buchanan, NY 10511-1298
smurray@villageofbuchanan.com
administrator@villageofbuchanan.com

[Original signed by Clara I. Sola]
Office of the Secretary of the Commission

Dated at Rockville, Maryland
this 9th day of July 2013