FINAL SAFETY ANALYSIS REPORT

ON

THE HI-STORM FW MPC STORAGE SYSTEM

By

Holtec International Holtec Center 555 Lincoln Drive West Marlton, NJ 08053 (holtecinternational.com)

Holtec Project 5018 Holtec Report No. HI-2114830 Safety Category: Safety Significant

Copyright Notice and Notice of Proprietary Information

This document is a copyrighted intellectual property of Holtec International. All rights reserved. In addition, proprietary information is either noted as proprietary or highlighted in gray. Excerpting any part of this document, except for public domain citations included herein, by any person or entity except for the USNRC, a Holtec User Group (HUG) member company, or a foreign regulatory authority with jurisdiction over a HUG member's nuclear facility without written consent of Holtec International is unlawful.

ATTHOMFEC3 INFORMATEONA 1018024

DOCUMENT NUMBER: <u>HI-2114830</u>

PROJECT NUMBER: 5018

DOCUMENT ISSUANCE AND REVISION STATUS										
DOC	DOCUMENT NAME: FINAL SAFETY ANALYSIS REPORT ON THE HI-STORM FW MPC STORAGE SYSTEM DOCUMENT CATEGORY: GENERIC PROJECT SPECIFIC									
No.	Document Portion††	REVISION No. <u>0</u>			REVISION No. 1			REVISION No. 2		
		Author's Initials	Date Approved	VIR #	Author's Initials	Date Approved	VIR #	Author's Initials	Date Approved	VIR #
1.	CHAP1	TSM	8/19/2011	164667	VG	11/16/12	354887	VG	6/24/13	491575
2.	CHAP2	TSM	8/19/2011	149401	VG	11/16/12	506615	VG	6/24/13	198422
3.	CHAP3	CWB	8/19/2011	502383	N/A	N/A	N/A	JZ	6/24/13	814695
4.	CHAP4	AM	8/19/2011	680713	AHM	11/16/12	515325	AM	6/24/13	799264
5.	CHAP5	HF	8/19/2011	776910	HF	11/16/12	275409	ВК	6/24/13	874187
6.	CHAP6	SPA	8/19/2011	273070	SPA	11/16/12	40749	N/A	N/A	N/A
7.	CHAP7	TSM	8/19/2011	537963	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
8.	CHAP8	TSM	8/19/2011	909045	N/A	N/A	N/A	VG	6/24/13	231722
9.	CHAP9	JDG	8/19/2011	802950	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
10.	CHAP10	JDG	8/19/2011	910495	N/A	N/A	N/A	VG	6/24/13	166606
11.	CHAP11	HF	8/19/2011	430146	HF	11/16/12	77219	N/A	N/A	N/A
12.	CHAP12	TSM	8/19/2011	228519	N/A	N/A	N/A	VG	6/24/13	756440
13.	CHAP13	TSM	8/19/2011	207404	VG	11/16/12	191264	VG	6/24/13	787578
14.	CHAP14	TSM	8/19/2011	940536	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
15.	-									

†† Chapter or section number.

DOCUMENT NUMBER: <u>HI-2114830</u>

Form Last 8 OR M7/24/ FISAR -Non-Proprietary Revision 2, June 24, 2013

ATTHOMFECS INFORMATEORA 1018024

DOCUMENT CATEGORIZATION						
In accordance with the Holtec Quality Assurance Manual and associated Holtec Quality Procedures (HQPs), this document is categorized as a:						
Calculation Package ³ (Per HQP 3.2) Technical Report (Per HQP 3.2)(Such as a Licensing Report)						
Design Criterion Document (Per HQP 3.4) Design Specification (Per HQP 3.4)						
Other (Specify):						
DOCUMENT FORMATTING The formatting of the contents of this document is in accordance with the instructions of HQP 3.2 or 3.4 except as noted below: This is the standard format for a final safety analysis report per NUREG-1536						
DECLARATION OF PROPRIETARY STATUS						
Nonproprietary Holtec Proprietary Privileged Intellectual Property (PIP)						
Documents labeled Privileged Intellectual Property contain extremely valuable intellectual/commercial property of Holtec International. They cannot be released to external organizations or entities without explicit approval of a company corporate officer. The recipient of Holtec's proprietary or Top Secret document bears full and undivided responsibility to safeguard it against loss or duplication.						

Notes:

- 1. This document has been subjected to review, verification and approval process set forth in the Holtec Quality Assurance Procedures Manual. Password controlled signatures of Holtec personnel who participated in the preparation, review, and QA validation of this document are saved in the N-drive of the company's network. The Validation Identifier Record (VIR) number is a random number that is generated by the computer after the specific revision of this document has undergone the required review and approval process, and the appropriate Holtec personnel have recorded their password-controlled electronic concurrence to the document.
- 2. A revision to this document will be ordered by the Project Manager and carried out if any of its contents is materially affected during evolution of this project. The determination as to the need for revision will be made by the Project Manager with input from others, as deemed necessary by him.
- 3. Revisions to this document may be made by adding supplements to the document and replacing the "Table of Contents", this page and the "Revision Log".

Certificate of Compliance (1032) and Final Safety Analysis Report Matrix

HI-STORM FW Final Safety Analysis Report (FSAR) Revision	NRC Certificate of Compliance (CoC) 1032 Amendment No.
0	0
1	See Note 1 Below
2*	0

Note 1: Revision 1 of the HI-STORM FW FSAR contains the safety analyses of MPC-37 and MPC-89 in support of the FW system's original certification as well as LAR# 1 and RAI#1. This Revision 1 is part of UMAX submittal under docket # 72-1040 for configuration control.

* Revision 2 of the HI-STORM FW FSAR contains all ECO/72.48 changes. Revision 0 of the FW FSAR was the basis for creating Revision 2. If the chapter in R2 doesn't contain any ECO/72.48, then the revision is kept at R0 since LAR# 1 and RAI# 1 changes are not included in Revision 2.

HOLTEC INTERNATIONAL COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL REPORT HI-2114830

Г

|

Į.

I.

FSAR SECTION REVISION STATUS, LIST OF AFFECTED SECTIONS AND REVISION SUMMARY					
FSAR Report	No.: HI-2114	1830	FSAR Revision Number: 2		
FSAR Title:	Final St	afety Analysi	is Report on the HI-STORM FW System		
This FSAR is s under 10CFR P	submitted to take art 72.	the USNRC i	in support of Holtec International's application to secure a CoC		
FSAR review a level.	nd verificatio	on are control	lled at the chapter level and changes are annotated at the chapter		
A section in a fresh page. Unl change in the c revision numbe	chapter is id ess indicated ontent is ma r of the entire	entified by tw as a "comple de, then the o e chapter inclu	wo numerals separated by a decimal. Each section begins on a ete revision" in the summary description of change below, if any change is indicated by a "bar" in the right page margin and the luding applicable figures (annotated in the footer) is changed.		
A summary des this FSAR may	scription of c not be summ	hange is prov narized in the	vided below for each FSAR chapter. Minor editorial changes to description of change.		
		Chapter 1 ((including Glossary and Notation)		
Affected Section or Table No.	Current Revision No.		Summary Description of Change		
Sub section 1.0.1		Added Eng evaluations	ineering Change Order and a list of ECOs and Applicable 72.48		
Sub paragraph 1.2.1.4.1	2	Moved all M	Metamic HI information from Appendix 1.B and 1.C to sub 1.2.1.4.1.		
Section 1.5		Updated the latest licens	e drawing section with the latest drawing revisions and attached sing drawings.		
Chapter 2					
Section or Table No.	Current Revision No.		Summary Description of Change		
Tables 2.0.1,2.0.2 and 2.0.6	2	Updated the consistent v	e item numbers, part numbers and ITS QA Safety Category to be with the drawing changes. arifications		

ATTACHMENT 3 TO HOLTEC LETTER 5018024-

Chapter	3
---------	---

Section or Table No.	Current Revision No.	Summary Description of Change			
Section 3.4.3		Analysis for different lifting scenarios is updated.			
	2	Metamic- HT changes throughout the chapter to keep it consistent with Chapter 1 changes.			
		Chapter 4			
Section or Table No.	Current Revision No.	Summary Description of Change			
Section 4.4 and 4.5	2	Increased the gap value between the panel thickness and the notch width of the interesting panel. Added tables in Section 4.4 and Section 4.5 accordingly to address the increase in the gap value.			
		Metamic- HT editorial changes throughout the chapter to keep it consistent with Chapter 1 changes.			
		Chapter 5			
Section or Table No.	Current Revision No.	Summary Description of Change			
Section 5.0 and 5.4		Clarification for the BPRAs containing full length rods and thimble plug rodlets in the location without a full-length rod.			
Section 5.3	2	Provided clarifications that the zircaloy flow channels are included in the BWR assemblies' model.			
Tables 5.2.12 and 5.3.2		Few editorial corrections.			
		Chapter 6			
Section or Table No.	Current Revision No.	Summary Description of Change			
	0	No ECO changes added to this chapter since FW FSAR R0 was issued. Since FW FSAR R1 was part of UMAX submittal, Chapter revision number is at 0.			
	Chapter 7 Changes				
Section or Table No.	Current Revision No.	Summary Description of Change			
	0	No changes			

. .

Chapter 8 Changes

	Chapter o Changeo					
Section or Table No.	Current Revision No.	Summary Description of Change				
Sub section 8.14.1	2	Metamic- HT editorial changes throughout the chapter to keep it consistent with Chapter 1 changes.				
		Chapter 9 Changes				
Section or Table No.	Current Revision No.	Summary Description of Change				
	0	No changes.				
		Chapter 10 Changes				
Section or Table No.	Current Revision No.	Summary Description of Change				
Sub paragraph 10.1.6.2	2	Metamic- HT editorial changes throughout the chapter to keep it consistent with Chapter 1 changes.				
		Chapter 11 Changes				
Section or Table No.	Current Revision No.	Summary Description of Change				
	0	No ECO changes added to this chapter since FW FSAR R0 was issued. Since FW FSAR R1 was part of UMAX submittal, Chapter revision number is at 0.				
		Chapter 12 Changes				
Section or Table No.	Current Revision No.	Summary Description of Change				
Sub paragraph 12.2.12.2	2	Editorial clarification				
	Chapter 13 Changes					
Section or Table No.	Current Revision No.	Summary Description of Change				
Section 13.2	2	Editorial clarification				

Page S3 of S4

ATTACHMENT 3 TO HOLTEC LETTER 5018024						
Chapter 14 Changes						
Section or Table No.	Current Revision No.	Summary Description of Change				
	0	No change				

۷

TABLE OF CONTENTS

GLOS	SSARY OF TERMS xii
CHAF	PTER 1: GENERAL DESCRIPTION 1-1
1.0	GENERAL INFORMATION
1.1	INTRODUCTION TO THE HI-STORM FW SYSTEM 1-22
1.2	GENERAL DESCRIPTION OF HI-STORM FW SYSTEM.1-341.2.1 System Characteristics1-341.2.2 Operational Characteristics1-451.2.3 Cask Contents1-49
1.3	IDENTIFICATION OF AGENTS AND CONTRACTORS1-62
1.4	GENERIC CASK ARRAYS 1-66
1.5	DRAWINGS1-73
1.6	REFERENCES 1-74
	APPENDIX 1.A: ALLOY X DESCRIPTION APPENDIX 1.B: INTENTIONALLY DELETED APPENDIX 1.C: INTENTIONALLY DELETED
CHAI	PTER 2: PRINCIPAL DESIGN CRITERIA
2.0	INTRODUCTION2-12.0.1 MPC Design Criteria2-12.0.2 HI-STORM FW Overpack Design Criteria2-52.0.3 HI-TRAC VW Transfer Cask Design Criteria2-82.0.4 Principal Design Criteria for the ISFSI Pad2-10
2.1	SPENT FUEL TO BE STORED2-202.1.1 Determination of the Design Basis Fuel2-202.1.2 Undamaged SNF Specifications2-202.1.3 Damaged SNF and Fuel Debris Specifications2-202.1.4 Structural Parameters for Design Basis SNF2-212.1.5 Thermal Parameters for Design Basis SNF2-212.1.6 Radiological Parameters for Design Basis SNF2-212.1.7 Criticality Parameters for Design Basis SNF2-22
REP	HOLTEC INTERNATIONAL COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL ORT HI-2114830 Rev. 2 i

	2.1.8 Summary of Authorized Contents	2-22
2.2	 HI-STORM FW DESIGN LOADINGS 2.2.1 Loadings Applicable to Normal Conditions of Storage. 2.2.2 Loadings Applicable to Off-Normal Conditions 2.2.3 Environmental Phenomena and Accident Condition Design Criteria 2.2.4 Applicability of Governing Documents. 2.2.5 Service Limits 2.2.6 Loads. 2.2.7 Design Basis Loads. 2.2.8 Allowable Limits 	
2.3	 SAFETY PROTECTION SYSTEMS. 2.3.1 General. 2.3.2 Protection by Multiple Confinement Barriers and Systems	2-76 2-76 2-76 2-77 2-77 2-78 2-79 2-80
2.4	DECOMMISSIONING CONSIDERATIONS	
2.5	REGULATORY COMPLIANCE	
2.6	REFERENCES	2-88
СНА	PTER 3: STRUCTURAL EVALUATION	
3.0	OVERVIEW	
3.1	STRUCTURAL DESIGN 3.1.1 Discussion 3.1.2 Design Criteria and Applicable Loads 3.1.3 Stress Analysis Models	3-2 3-2 3-5 3-19
3.2	WEIGHTS AND CENTERS OF GRAVITY	
3.3	MECHANICAL PROPERTIES OF MATERIALS 3.3.1 Structural Materials 3.3.2 Nonstructural Materials	
3.4	GENERAL STANDARDS FOR CASKS	
REP	HOLTEC INTERNATIONAL COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL ORT HI-2114830 ii	Rev. 2

	3.4.3 Lifting Devices
	3.4.4 Heat
	3.4.5 Cold
	3.4.7 Service Life of HI-STORM FW and HI-TRAC VW
	3.4.8 MPC Service Life
	3.4.9 Design and Service Life
3.5	FUEL RODS
3.6	SUPPLEMENTAL DATA
	3.6.1 Calculation Packages
	3.6.2 Computer Programs
3.7	COMPLIANCE WITH STRUCTURAL REQUIREMENTS IN PART 72
3.8	REFERENCES
	APPENDIX 3.A: Response of HI-STORM FW and HI-TRAC VW to Tornado Wind Load and Large Missile Impacts
	APPENDIX 3.B: Missile Penetration Analysis for HI-STORM FW and HI-TRAC VW APPENDIX 3.C: Code Case N-284-2 Stability Calculations for MPC Shell
СНА	PTER 4: THERMAL EVALUATION
4.0	OVERVIEW
4.1	DISCUSSION
4.2	SUMMARY OF THERMAL PROPERTIES OF MATERIALS
4.3	SPECIFICATIONS FOR COMPONENTS
4.4	THERMAL EVALUATION FOR NORMAL CONDITIONS OF STORAGE
	4.4.1 Overview of the Thermal Model
	4.4.2 Effect of Neighboring Casks
	4.4.3 Test Model
	4.4.4 Maximum and Minimum Temperatures
	4.4.5 Maximum Internal Pressure
	4.4.7 Evaluation of System Performance for Normal Conditions of Storage
45	THERMAL EVALUATION OF SHORT TERM OPERATIONS 4-52
т.9	4.5.1 Thermally Limiting Evolutions During Short-Term Operations
	HOLTEC INTERNATIONAL COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL
RFF	PORT HI-2114830 Rev 2
IXL/I	iii

	4.5.2 HI-TRAC VW Thermal Model	4-53
	4.5.3 Maximum Time Limit During Wet Transfer Operations	4-55
	4.5.4 Analysis of Limiting Thermal States During Short-Term Operations	4-56
	4.5.5 Cask Cooldown and Reflood Analysis During Fuel Unloading Operations	4-58
	4.5.6 Maximum Internal Pressure	4-58
4.6	OFE-NORMAL AND ACCIDENT EVENTS	1 65
4.0	4.6.1 Off-Normal Events	4-0J
	4.6.2 Accident Events	4-05 A_66
	+.0.2 According Lyons and the second se	+-00
4.7	REGULATORY COMPLIANCE	4-80
	4.7.1 Normal Conditions of Storage	4-80
	4.7.2 Short Term Operations	4-80
	4.7.3 Off-Normal and Accident Conditions	4-81
4.8	REFERENCES	4-82
СНА	PTER 5: SHIFLDING EVALUATION	5-1
CIIII		
5.0	INTRODUCTION	5-1
5.1	DISCUSSION AND RESULTS	5-4
	5.1.1 Normal and Off-Normal Operations	5-8
	5.1.2 Accident Conditions	5-9
52	SOURCE SPECIFICATION	5-23
5.2	5.2.1 Gamma Source	5-23
	5.2.2 Neutron Source	
	5.2.3 Non-fuel Hardware	5-25
	5.2.4 Choice of Design Basis Assembly	5-27
	5.2.5 Decay Heat Load and Allowable Burnup and Cooling Times	5-27
	5.2.6 Fuel Assembly Neutron Sources	5-27
5 2	MODEL SPECIFICATIONS	5 40
5.5	5.3.1 Description of the Padial and Axial Shielding Configuration	5-40
	5.3.2 Regional Densities	5-40
		J-74
5.4	SHIELDING EVALUATION	5-61
	5.4.1 Streaming Through Radial Steel Fins	5-65
	5.4.2 Damaged Fuel Post-Accident Shielding Evaluation	5-65
	5.4.3 Site Boundary Evaluation	5-66
	5.4.5 Effect of Hereiteinting	5-67
	5.4.5 Effect of Uncertainties	3-69

HOLTEC INTERNATIONAL COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL

REPORT HI-2114830

iv

5.5	REGULATORY COMPLIANCE						
5.6	REFERENCES						
	APPENDIX 5.A: SAMPLE INPUT FILE FOR SAS2H						
СНА	PTER 6: CRITICALITY EVALUATION						
6.0	INTRODUCTION						
6.1	DISCUSSION AND RESULTS						
62	SPENT FUEL LOADING 6-12						
0.2	6.12 6.12						
6.3	MODEL SPECIFICATION						
	6.3.1 Description of Calculational Model						
	6.3.2 Cask Regional Densities						
	6.3.3 Eccentric Positioning of Assemblies in Fuel Storage Cells						
64	CRITICALITY CALCULATIONS 6-44						
0.1	6.4.1 Calculational Methodology 6-44						
	6.4.2 Fuel Loading or Other Contents Loading Optimization 6-44						
	6.4.3 Criticality Results						
	6.4.4 Damaged Fuel and Fuel Debris						
	6.4.5 Fuel Assemblies with Missing Rods						
	6.4.6 Sealed Rods Replacing BWR Water Rods						
	6.4.7 Non-Fuel Hardware in PWR Fuel Assemblies						
	6.4.8 Neutron Sources in Fuel Assemblies						
6.5	CRITICALITY RENCHMARK EXDERIMENTS 6.64						
0.5	CRITICALITI DENCHWARK EATERIMENTS						
6.6	REGULATORY COMPLIANCE						
6.7	REFERENCES						
	APPENDIX 6.ABENCHMARK CALCULATIONSAPPENDIX 6.BMISCELLANEOUS INFORMATION						
СНА	PTER 7: CONFINEMENT						
7.0	INTRODUCTION						
REP	HOLTEC INTERNATIONAL COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL PORT HI-2114830 Rev. 2 v						

7.1	CONFINEMENT BOUNDARY 7.1.1 Confinement Vessel 7.1.2 Confinement Penetrations 7.1.3 Seals and Welds 7.1.4 Closure	
7.2	REQUIREMENTS FOR NORMAL AND OFF-NORMAL CONDITIONS OF STORAGE	7-7
7.3	CONFINEMENT REQUIREMENTS FOR HYPOTHETICAL ACCIDENT CONDITIONS	
7.4	REFERENCES	
СНА	APTER 8: MATERIAL EVALUATION	8-1
8.1	INTRODUCTION	8-1
8.2	MATERIAL SELECTION	8-7 8-8 8-11 8-12
8.3	APPLICABLE CODES AND STANDARDS	8-16
8.4	 MATERIAL PROPERTIES	8-17 8-17 8-17 8-18 8-18
8.5	WELDING MATERIAL AND WELDING SPECIFICATION	8-21
8.6	BOLTS AND FASTENERS	8-24
8.7	 COATINGS 8.7.1 Environmental Conditions Applicable to Coating Selection and Evaluation Criteria 8.7.2 Acceptable Coatings 8.7.3 Coating Application 	8-25 m
8.8	GAMMA AND NEUTRON SHIELDING MATERIALS 8.8.1 Concrete 8.8.2 Steel HOLTEC INTERNATIONAL COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL	8-28 8-28 8-29
REP	PORT HI-2114830 vi	Rev. 2

	8.8.3 Lead 8.8.4 Water	8-29 8-29
8.9	NEUTRON ABSORBING MATERIALS 8.9.1 Qualification and Properties of Metamic-HT 8.9.2 Consideration of Boron Depletion	8-30
8.10	CONCRETE AND REINFORCING STEEL	8-32
8.11	SEALS	8-33
8.12	 CHEMICAL AND GALVANIC REACTIONS	8-34 8-34 8-35 8-39 8-40 8-41 8-41 8-42
8.13	FUEL CLADDING INTEGRITY 8.13.1 Regulatory Guidance 8.13.2 Measures to Meet Regulatory Guidance	
8.14	EXAMINATION AND TESTING 8.14.1 Helium Leak Testing of Canister & Welds 8.14.2 Periodic Inspections	8-45 8-45 8-45
8.15	CONCLUSION	8-46
8.16	REFERENCES	8-47
	APPENDIX 8.A: DATASHEETS FOR COATINGS AND PAINT	
CHA	PTER 9: OPERATING PROCEDURES	9-1
9.0	INTRODUCTION	
9.1	TECHNICAL AND SAFETY BASIS FOR LOADING AND UNLOADING PROCEDURES	
9.2	PROCEDURE FOR LOADING THE HI-STORM FW SYSTEM FROM SNF IN THE SPENT FUEL POOL	9-7 9-7
REP	HOLTEC INTERNATIONAL COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL ORT HI-2114830 vii	Rev. 2

	9.2.2 Preparation of HI-TRAC VW and MPC)
	9.2.3 MPC Fuel Loading	l
	9.2.4 MPC Closure	l
	9.2.5 Preparation for Storage	7
	9.2.6 Placement of HI-STORM Into Storage9-17	7
9.3	ISFSI OPERATIONS)
9.4	PROCEDURE FOR UNLOADING THE HI-STORM FW FUEL IN THE	
	SPENT FUEL POOL	l
	9.4.1 Overview of HI-STORM FW System Unloading Operations	
	9.4.2 HI-STORM Recovery from Storage)
	9.4.3 Preparation for Unloading	; -
	9.4.4 MPC Unloading)
	9.4.5 Post-Unloading Operations)
9.5	P-48	3
CHA	PTER 10: ACCEPTANCE CRITERIA AND MAINTENANCE PROGRAM 10-1	l
10.0	INTRODUCTION	
10.1	ACCEPTANCE CRITERIA	<u>)</u>
	10.1.1 Fabrication and Nondestructive Examination (NDE))
	10.1.2 Structural and Pressure Tests 10-6	5
	10.1.3 Materials Testing 10-8	3
	10.1.4 Leakage Testing)
	10.1.5 Component Tests 10-10)
	10.1.6 Shielding Integrity10-10)
	10.1.7 Thermal Acceptance Tests	ŀ
	10.1.8 Cask Identification 10-15	;
10.2	MAINTENANCE PROGRAM10-29)
	10.2.1 Structural and Pressure Parts 10-29)
	10.2.2 Leakage Tests)
	10.2.3 Subsystem Maintenance10-30)
	10.2.4 Pressure Relief Devices)
	10.2.5 Shielding 10-30)
	10.2.6 Thermal 10-30)
10.3	REGULATORY COMPLIANCE 10-33	;
10.4	REFERENCES 10-34	ł

HOLTEC INTERNATIONAL COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL

CHA	PTER 11: RADIATION PROTECTION11-1
11.0	INTRODUCTION 11-1
11.1	ENSURING THAT OCCUPATIONAL RADIATION EXPOSURES ARE AS-LOW- AS-REASONABLY EXPECTED.11-111.1.1 Policy Considerations11-111.1.2 Radiation Exposure Criteria.11-211.1.3 Operational Considerations11-511.1.4 Auxiliary/Temporary Shielding11-6
11.2	RADIATION PROTECTION FEATURES IN THE SYSTEM DESIGN
11.3	ESTIMATED ON-SITE CUMULATIVE DOSE ASSESSMENT
11.4	ESTIMATED CONTROLLED AREA BOUNDARY DOSE ASSESSMENT
11.5	REFERENCES 11-22
CHA	PTER 12: ACCIDENT ANALYSIS 12-1
12.0	INTRODUCTION 12-1
12.1	OFF-NORMAL CONDITIONS12-212.1.1Off-Normal Pressures12-212.1.2Off-Normal Environmental Temperatures12-412.1.3Leakage of One Seal12-712.1.4Partial Blockage of Air Inlets12-712.1.5Malfunction of FHD System12-9
12.2	ACCIDENTS12-1212.2.1HI-TRAC VW Transfer Cask Handling Accident12-1212.2.2HI-STORM FW Overpack Handling Accident12-1212.2.3HI-STORM FW Overpack Non-Mechanistic Tip-Over12-1212.2.4Fire12-1412.2.5Partial Blockage of MPC Basket Flow Holes12-1712.2.6Tornado12-1712.2.7Flood12-20
REP	HOLTEC INTERNATIONAL COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL ORT HI-2114830 Rev. 2 ix

HI-STORM FW FSAR -Non-Proprietary

	12.2.8 Earthquake	
	12.2.9 100% Fuel Rod Rupture	
	12.2.11 Explosion	12-26
	12.2.12 Lightning	12-28
	12.2.13 100% Blockage of Air Inlets	
	12.2.14 Burlai Under Debris	12-31
12.3	OTHER EVENTS	12-37
	12.3.1 MPC Re-Flood	12-37
12.4	REFERENCES	12-40
CHA	PTER 13: OPERATING CONTROLS AND LIMITS	13-1
13.0	INTRODUCTION	13-1
13.1	PROPOSED OPERATING CONTROLS AND LIMITS	
	13.1.1 NUREG-1536 (Standard Review Plan) Acceptance Criteria	13-1
12.2	DEVELOPMENT OF OPEDATING CONTROLS AND LIMITS	17 4
13.2	13.2.1 Training Modules.	
	13.2.2 Dry Run Training	
	13.2.3 Functional and Operating Limits, Monitoring Instruments, and Limiti	ng
	Control Settings	
	13.2.4 Limiting Conditions for Operation	13-0
	13.2.6 Surveillance Requirements	
	13.2.7 Design Features	
	13.2.8 MPC	
	13.2.9 HI-STORM FW Overpack	
	13.2.10 HI-TRAC VW Transfer Cask	13-7
	and Cooling Time Limits	13-7
13.3	TECHNICAL SPECIFICATIONS	
13.4	REGULATORY EVALUATION	13-11
13.5	REFERENCES	13-11
	APPENDIX 13.A TECHNICAL SPECIFICATION BASES FOR THE HOL HI-STORM FW MPC STORAGE SYSTEM	TEC
REP	HOLTEC INTERNATIONAL COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL PORT HI-2114830	Rev. 2
	Х	

CHA	PTER 14: QUALITY ASSURANCE PROGRAM	
14.0	INTRODUCTION	
	14.0.1 Overview	
	14.0.2 Graded Approach to Quality Assurance	
14.1	REFERENCES	

HOLTEC INTERNATIONAL COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL

xi

REPORT HI-2114830

Rev. 2

HI-STORM FW FSAR -Non-Proprietary

Revision 2, June 24, 2013

GLOSSARY

ALARA is an acronym for As Low As Reasonably Achievable

Ancillary or Ancillary Equipment is the generic name of a device used to carry out short term operations.

Bottom Lid means the removable lid that fastens to the bottom of the HI-TRAC VW transfer cask body to create a gasketed barrier against in-leakage of pool water in the space around the MPC.

BWR is an acronym for Boiling Water Reactor.

CG is an acronym for center of gravity.

Commercial Spent Fuel or CSF refers to nuclear fuel used to produce energy in a commercial nuclear power plant.

Confinement Boundary is the outline formed by the all-welded cylindrical enclosure of the MPC shell, MPC baseplate, MPC lid, MPC port cover plates, and the MPC closure ring which provides redundant sealing.

Confinement System means the Multi-Purpose Canister (MPC) which encloses and confines the spent nuclear fuel during storage.

Controlled Area means that area immediately surrounding an ISFSI for which the owner/user exercises authority over its use and within which operations are performed.

Cooling Time (or post-irradiation cooling time) for a spent fuel assembly is the time between its final discharge from the reactor to the time it is loaded into the MPC.

Critical Characteristic means a feature of a component or assembly that is necessary for the proper safety function of the component or assembly. Critical characteristics of a material are those attributes that have been identified, in the associated material specification, as necessary to render the material's intended function.

DAS is the abbreviation for the <u>D</u>econtamination and <u>A</u>ssembly <u>S</u>tation. It means the location where the Transfer Cask is decontaminated and the MPC is processed (i.e., where all operations culminating in lid and closure ring welding are completed).

DBE means Design Basis Earthquake.

DCSS is an acronym for Dry Cask Storage System.

HOLTEC INTERNATIONAL COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL

REPORT HI-2114830

Rev. 2

xii

HI-STORM FW FSAR -Non-Proprietary Revision 2, June 24, 2013 **Damaged Fuel Assembly** is a fuel assembly with known or suspected cladding defects, as determined by review of records, greater than pinhole leaks or hairline cracks, empty fuel rod locations that are not replaced with dummy fuel rods, missing structural components such as grid spacers, whose structural integrity has been impaired such that geometric rearrangement of fuel or gross failure of the cladding is expected based on engineering evaluations, or those that cannot be handled by normal means. Fuel assemblies that cannot be handled by normal means due to fuel cladding damage are considered fuel debris.

Damaged Fuel Container (or Canister) or DFC means a specially designed enclosure for damaged fuel or fuel debris which permits flow of gaseous and liquid media while minimizing dispersal of gross particulates.

Design Basis Load (DBL) is a loading which bounds one or more events that are applicable to the storage system during its service life.

Design Heat Load is the computed heat rejection capacity of the HI-STORM system with a certified MPC loaded with CSF stored in uniform storage with the ambient at the normal temperature and the peak cladding temperature (PCT) limit at 400°C. The Design Heat Load is less than the thermal capacity of the system by a suitable margin that reflects the conservatism in the system thermal analysis.

Design Life is the minimum duration for which the component is engineered to perform its intended function set forth in this SAR, if operated and maintained in accordance with this SAR.

Design Report is a document prepared, reviewed and QA validated in accordance with the provisions of 10CFR72 Subpart G. The Design Report shall demonstrate compliance with the requirements set forth in the Design Specification. A Design Report is mandatory for systems, structures, and components designated as Important to Safety. The SAR serves as the Design Report for the HI-STORM FW System.

Design Specification is a document prepared in accordance with the quality assurance requirements of 10CFR72 Subpart G to provide a complete set of design criteria and functional requirements for a system, structure, or component, designated as Important to Safety, intended to be used in the operation, implementation, or decommissioning of the HI-STORM FW System. The SAR serves as the Design Specification for the HI-STORM FW System.

Enclosure Vessel (or MPC Enclosure Vessel) means the pressure vessel defined by the cylindrical shell, baseplate, port cover plates, lid, closure ring, and associated welds that provides confinement for the contents within the MPC. The Enclosure Vessel (EV) and the fuel basket together constitute the multi-purpose canister.

Equivalent (or Equal) Material is a material with critical characteristics (see definition above) that meet or exceed those specified for the designated material.

HOLTEC INTERNATIONAL COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL

REPORT HI-2114830

xiii

Rev. 2

Fracture Toughness is a property which is a measure of the ability of a material to limit crack propagation under a suddenly applied load.

FSAR is an acronym for Final Safety Analysis Report (10CFR72).

Fuel Basket means a honeycombed structural weldment with square openings which can accept a fuel assembly of the type for which it is designed.

Fuel Building is the generic term used to denote the building in which the fuel loading and where part of "short-term operations" will occur. The Fuel Building is a Part 50 controlled structure.

Fuel Debris is ruptured fuel rods, severed rods, loose fuel pellets, containers or structures that are supporting these loose fuel assembly parts, or fuel assemblies with known or suspected defects which cannot be handled by normal means due to fuel cladding damage.

Fuel Spacer or Shim is a metallic part interposed in the space between the fuel and the MPC cavity at either the top or the bottom (or both) ends of the fuel to minimize the axial displacement of the SNF within the MPC due to longitudinal inertia forces.

High Burnup Fuel, or HBF is a commercial spent fuel assembly with an average burnup greater than 45,000 MWD/MTU.

HI-TRAC VW transfer cask or HI-TRAC VW means the transfer cask used to house the MPC during MPC fuel loading, unloading, drying, sealing, and on-site transfer operations to a HI-STORM storage overpack or HI-STAR storage/transportation overpack. The HI-TRAC shields and protects the loaded MPC.

HI-STORM overpack or storage overpack means the cask that receives and contains the sealed multi-purpose canisters containing spent nuclear fuel for long term sotrage. It provides the gamma and neutron shielding, ventilation passages, missile protection, and protection against natural phenomena and accidents for the loaded MPC.

HI-STORM FW System consists of any loaded MPC model placed within the HI-STORM FW overpack.

Important to Safety (ITS) means a function or condition required to store spent nuclear fuel safely; to prevent damage to spent nuclear fuel during handling and storage, and to provide reasonable assurance that spent nuclear fuel can be received, handled, packaged, stored, and retrieved without undue risk to the health and safety of the public.

HOLTEC INTERNATIONAL COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL

REPORT HI-2114830

Rev. 2

xiv

HI-STORM FW ESAR -Non-Proprietary

Independent Spent Fuel Storage Installation (ISFSI) means a facility designed, constructed, and licensed for the interim storage of spent nuclear fuel and other radioactive materials associated with spent fuel storage in accordance with 10CFR72.

License Life means the duration for which the system is authorized by virtue of its certification by the U.S. NRC.

Long-term Storage means the time beginning after on-site handling is complete and the loaded overpack is at rest in its designated storage location on the ISFSI pad.

Lowest Service Temperature (LST) is the minimum metal temperature of a part for the specified service condition.

Maximum Reactivity means the highest possible k-effective including bias, uncertainties, and calculational statistics evaluated for the worst-case combination of fuel basket manufacturing tolerances.

METAMIC[®] is a trade name for an aluminum/boron carbide composite neutron absorber material qualified for use in the MPCs and in wet storage applications.

METAMIC-HT is the trade name for the metal matrix composite made by imbedding nanoparticles of aluminum oxide and fine boron carbide powder on the grain boundaries of aluminum resulting in improved structural strength properties at elevated temperatures.

METCONTM is a trade name for the HI-STORM overpack structure. The trademark is derived from the **metal-con**crete composition of the HI-STORM overpack.

MGDS is an acronym for Mined Geological Disposal System.

Minimum Enrichment is the minimum assembly average enrichment. Axial blankets are not considered in determining minimum enrichment.

Moderate Burnup Fuel, or MBF is a commercial spent fuel assembly with an average burnup less than or equal to 45,000 MWD/MTU.

Multi-Purpose Canister or MPC means the sealed canister consisting of a honeycombed fuel basket for spent nuclear fuel storage, contained in a cylindrical canister shell (the MPC Enclosure Vessel). There are different MPCs with different fuel basket geometries for storing PWR or BWR fuel, but all MPCs have identical exterior diameters. The MPC is the confinement boundary for storage conditions.

MPC Transfer means transfer of the MPC between the overpack and the transfer cask which begins when the MPC is lifted off the HI-TRAC bottom lid and ends when the MPC is supported from beneath by the overpack (or the reverse).

HOLTEC INTERNATIONAL COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL REPORT HI-2114830

Rev. 2

NDT is an acronym for Nil Ductility Transition Temperature, which is defined as the temperature at which the fracture stress in a material with a small flaw is equal to the yield stress in the same material if it had no flaws.

Neutron Absorber is a generic term to indicate any neutron absorber material qualified for use in the HI-STORM FW System.

Neutron Shielding means a material used to thermalize and capture neutrons emanating from the radioactive spent nuclear fuel.

Non-Fuel Hardware is defined as Burnable Poison Rod Assemblies (BPRAs), Thimble Plug Devices (TPDs), Control Rod Assemblies (CRAs), Axial Power Shaping Rods (APSRs), Wet Annular Burnable Absorbers (WABAs), Rod Cluster Control Assemblies (RCCAs), Control Element Assemblies (CEAs), Neutron Source Assemblies (NSAs), water displacement guide tube plugs, orifice rod assemblies, Instrument Tube Tie Rods (ITTRs), vibration suppressor inserts, and components of these devices such as individual rods.

Planar-Average Initial Enrichment is the average of the distributed fuel rod initial enrichments within a given axial plane of the assembly lattice.

Plain Concrete is concrete that is unreinforced.

Post-Core Decay Time (PCDT) is synonymous with cooling time.

PWR is an acronym for pressurized water reactor.

Reactivity is used synonymously with effective neutron multiplication factor or k-effective.

Regionalized Fuel Storage is a term used to describe an optimized fuel loading strategy wherein the storage locations are ascribed to distinct regions each with its own maximum allowable specific heat generation rate.

Removable Shielding Girdle is an ancillary designed to be installed to provide added shielding to the personnel working in the top region of the transfer cask.

SAR is an acronym for Safety Analysis Report.

Service Life means the duration for which the component is reasonably expected to perform its intended function, if operated and maintained in accordance with the provisions of this FSAR. Service Life may be much longer than the Design Life because of the conservatism inherent in the codes, standards, and procedures used to design, fabricate, operate, and maintain the component.

HOLTEC INTERNATIONAL COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL

xvi

REPORT HI-2114830

Rev. 2

HI-STORM FW ESAR -Non-Proprietary Revision 2, June 24, 2013

Short-term Operations means those normal operational evolutions necessary to support fuel loading or fuel unloading operations. These include, but are not limited to MPC cavity drying, helium backfill, MPC transfer, and onsite handling of a loaded HI-TRAC VW transfer cask or HI-STORM FW overpack.

Single Failure Proof means that the handling system is designed so that all directly loaded tension and compression members are engineered to satisfy the enhanced safety criteria of Paragraphs 5.1.6(1)(a) and (b) of NUREG-0612.

SNF is an acronym for spent nuclear fuel.

SSC is an acronym for Structures, Systems and Components.

STP is Standard Temperature and Pressure conditions.

TAL is an acronym for the <u>Threaded Anchor Location</u>. TALs are used in the HI-STORM FW and HI-TRAC VW casks as well as the MPCs.

Thermo-siphon is the term used to describe the buoyancy-driven natural convection circulation of helium within the MPC fuel basket.

Traveler means the set of sequential instructions used in a controlled manufacturing program to ensure that all required tests and examinations required upon the completion of each significant manufacturing activity are performed and documented for archival reference.

Undamaged Fuel Assembly is defined as a fuel assembly without known or suspected cladding defects greater than pinhole leaks and hairline cracks, and which can be handled by normal means. Fuel assemblies without fuel rods in fuel rod locations shall not be classified as Intact Fuel Assemblies unless dummy fuel rods are used to displace an amount of water greater than or equal to that displaced by the fuel rod(s).

Uniform Fuel Loading is a fuel loading strategy where any authorized fuel assembly may be stored in any fuel storage location, subject to other restrictions in the CoC, such as those applicable to non-fuel hardware, and damaged fuel containers.

ZPA is an acronym for zero period acceleration.

ZR means any zirconium-based fuel cladding material authorized for use in a commercial nuclear power plant reactor. Any reference to Zircaloy fuel cladding in this FSAR applies to any zirconium-based fuel cladding material.

HOLTEC INTERNATIONAL COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL

REPORT HI-2114830

Rev. 2

xvii

CHAPTER 1: GENERAL DESCRIPTION

1.0 GENERAL INFORMATION

This final safety analysis report (FSAR) describes the Holtec International HI-STORM FW System and contains the necessary information and analyses to support a United States Nuclear Regulatory Commission (USNRC) licensing review as a spent nuclear fuel (SNF) dry storage cask under the provisions of 10 CFR 72 [1.0.1]. This report, prepared pursuant to 10 CFR 72.230, describes the basis for NRC approval and issuance of a Certificate of Compliance (CoC) on the HI-STORM FW System under 10 CFR 72, Subpart L to safely store spent nuclear fuel (SNF) at an Independent Spent Fuel Storage Installation (ISFSI) under the general license authorized by 10 CFR 72, Subpart K.

This report has been prepared in the format and content suggested in NRC Regulatory Guide 3.61 [1.0.2] and NUREG-1536 Standard Review Plan for Dry Cask Storage Systems [1.0.3]. The only deviation in the format from the formatting instruction in Reg. Guide 3.61 is the insertion of a chapter (Chapter 8) on material compatibility pursuant to ISG-15 and renumbering of all subsequent chapters. Rev 1A of NUREG 1536, available only as a draft document at the time of the initial composition of this report (Rev 0), has also been consulted to insure conformance.

The purpose of this chapter is to provide a general description of the design features and storage capabilities of the HI-STORM FW System, drawings of the structures, systems, and components (SSCs), designation of their safety classification, and the qualifications of the certificate holder. This report is also suitable for incorporation into a site-specific Safety Analysis Report, which may be submitted by an applicant for a site-specific 10 CFR 72 license to store SNF at an ISFSI or a facility that is similar in objective and scope.

Table 1.0.1 provides the principal components of the HI-STORM FW System. An MPC (containing either PWR or BWR fuel) is placed inside the HI-STORM FW overpack for long term storage. The overpack provides shielding, allows for convective cooling, and protects the MPC. The HI-TRAC VW transfer cask is used for MPC transfer and also provides shielding and protection while the MPC is being prepared for storage.

Table 1.0.2 provides a matrix of the topics in NUREG-1536 and Regulatory Guide 3.61, the corresponding 10 CFR 72 requirements, and a reference to the applicable report section that addresses each topic.

The HI-STORM FW FSAR is in full compliance with the intent of all regulatory requirements listed in Section III of each chapter of NUREG-1536. However, an exhaustive review of the provisions in NUREG-1536, particularly Section IV (Acceptance Criteria) and Section V (Review Procedures) has identified certain minor deviations in the method of compliance. Table 1.0.3 lists these deviations, along with a discussion of the approach for compliance, and justification. The justification may be in the form of supporting analysis, established industry practice, or other NRC guidance documents. Each chapter in this FSAR provides a clear statement with respect to the extent of compliance to the NUREG-1536 provisions. (The extent of compliance with NUREG-1536 in this docket mirrors that in Docket No. 72-1014.)

HOLTEC INTERNATIONAL COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL REPORT HI-2114830

The Glossary contains a listing of the terminology and notation used in this FSAR.

The safety evaluations in this FSAR are intended to bound the conditions that exist in the vast majority of domestic power reactor sites and potential away-from-reactor storage sites in the contiguous United States. This includes the potential fuel assemblies which will be loaded into the system and the environmental conditions in which the system will be deployed. This FSAR also provides the basis for component fabrication and acceptance, and the requirements for safe operation and maintenance of the components, consistent with the design bases and safety analyses documented herein. In accordance with 10CFR72, Subpart K, site-specific implementation of the generically certified HI-STORM FW System requires that the licensee perform a site-specific evaluation, as defined in 10CFR72.212. The HI-STORM FW System FSAR identifies a number of conditions that are site-specific and are to be addressed in the licensee's 10CFR72.212 evaluation. These include:

- Siting of the ISFSI and design of the storage pad and security system. Site-specific demonstration of compliance with regulatory dose limits. Implementation of a site-specific ALARA program.
- An evaluation of site-specific hazards and design conditions that may exist at the ISFSI site or the transfer route between the plant's cask receiving bay and the ISFSI. These include, but are not limited to, explosion and fire hazards, flooding conditions, land slides, and lightning protection.
- Determination that the physical and nucleonic characteristics and the condition of the SNF assemblies to be stored meet the fuel acceptance requirements of the Certificate of Compliance.
- An evaluation of interface and design conditions that exist within the plant's Fuel Building in which canister fuel loading, canister closure, and canister transfer operations are to be conducted in accordance with the applicable 10CFR50 requirements and technical specifications for the plant.
- Detailed site-specific operating, maintenance, and inspection procedures prepared in accordance with the generic procedures and requirements provided in Chapters 9 and 10, and the Certificate of Compliance.
- Performance of pre-operational testing.
- Implementation of a safeguards and accountability program in accordance with 10CFR73. Preparation of a physical security plan in accordance with 10CFR73.55.
- Review of the reactor emergency plan, quality assurance (QA) program, training program, and radiation protection program.

In presenting the bounding generic analyses of this safety report, selected conditions are drawn from

1-2

HOLTEC INTERNATIONAL COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL REPORT HI-2114830

HI-STORM FW FSAR -Non-Proprietary Revision 2, June 24, 2013 Rev.2

authoritative sources such as Regulatory Guides and NUREGs, where available. For example, the wind and tornado characteristics are excerpted from Reg. Guide 1.76 [1.0.4].

For analyses that do not have a prescribed acceptance limit or bounding condition, illustrative calculations are carried out with a fuel type most commonly used at reactor sites. The Reference SNF for PWR and BWR fuel types are listed in Table 1.0.4. These Reference SNF assemblies are used when fixed limits for compliance are not established by regulations, such as dose rates.

Where the analysis must demonstrate compliance with a fixed limit, such as the reactivity limit of 0.95 in criticality analysis, the most limiting fuel type is used in the analysis. The Design Basis Fuel (Table 2.1.4) may differ depending on the analysis being performed (e.g., thermal, structural, etc...). Thus, broadly speaking, the analyses in this FSAR belong to two categories:

- a. Those that are performed to satisfy a specific set of hard limits in the regulations or the Standard Review Plan.
- b. Those that are representative in nature and intended to demonstrate the acceptability of the analysis models and capability of the system.

Within this report, all figures, tables and references cited are identified by the double decimal system m.n.i, where m is the chapter number, n is the section number, and i is the sequential number. Thus, for example, Figure 1.2.3 is the third figure in Section 1.2 of Chapter 1. Similarly, the following deci-numeric convention is used in the organization of chapters:

- a. A chapter is identified by a whole numeral, say m (i.e., m=3 means Chapter 3).
- b. A section is identified by one decimal separating two numerals. Thus, Section 3.1 is a section in Chapter 3.
- c. A subsection has three numerals separated by two decimals. Thus, Subsection 3.2.1 is a subsection in Section 3.2.
- d. A paragraph is denoted by four numerals separated by three decimals. Thus, Paragraph 3.2.1.1 is a paragraph in Subsection 3.2.1.
- e. A subparagraph has five numerals separated by four decimals. Thus, Subparagraph 3.2.1.1.1 is a part of Paragraph 3.2.1.1.

Tables and figures associated with a section are placed after the text narrative. Complete sections are replaced if any material in the section is changed. The specific changes are appropriately annotated. Drawing packages are controlled separately within the Holtec QA program and have individual revision numbers. If a drawing is revised in support of the current FSAR revision, that drawing is included in Section 1.5 at its latest revision level. Upon issuance of the CoC, drawings and text matter in this FSAR may be revised between formal updates under the 10CFR 72.48 process. All changes to the FSAR including the drawings are subject to a rigorous configuration control under the Company's QA program.

1.0.1 Engineering Change Orders

The changes authorized by the Holtec ECOs (with corresponding 10CFR72.48 evaluations, if applicable) listed in the following table are reflected in this Revision of the FSAR.

HOLTEC INTERNATIONAL COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL

Affected Item	ECO Number	72.48 Evaluation or Screening Number
MPC-89 Basket	101-1	975
	101-2	975
	101-4	1013
	101-5	N/A
MPC-37 Basket	102-1	975
	102-2	975
	102-4	1013
	102-6	N/A
MPC Enclosure Vessel	101-2, 102-2	975
	101-3,102-3	1006
	101-4,102-4	1013
	102-5	1017
HI-STORM FW Overpack	100-1	997
-	100-2	1006
	100-3	N/A
	100-4	N/A
HI-TRAC FW	103-1	998
	103-2	N/A
	103-3	1005
	103-4	1005
	103-5R0, 103-R51	N/A
	103-6	1018
General FSAR Changes	5018-7	N/A
_	5018-8	1001
	5018-9	1000
	5018-10	N/A
	5018-11	1006
	5018-12	975
	5018-13	998
· · · ·	5018-14	1013
	5018-15	1017
	5018-16	N/A
F	5018-18	N/A

LIST OF ECO'S AND APPLICABLE 10CFR72.48 EVALUATIONS

1-4

TABLE 1.0.1				
HI-STORM FW SYSTEM COMPONENTS				
Item Designation (Model Number)				
Overpack	HI-STORM FW			
PWR Multi-Purpose Canister	MPC-37			
BWR Multi-Purpose Canister	MPC-89			
Transfer Cask	HI-TRAC VW			

			TABLE 1.0.2		
	REGULATOR	Y COM	IPLIANCE CROSS R	EFERENCE MATRIX	
Regulatory Guide 3.61 Section and Content		Associated NUREG- 1536 Review Criteria		Applicable 10CFR72 or 10CFR20	HI- STORM FW
			Can anal Deserint	Requirement	FSAR
	Introduction		General Description	100 100 ER 72 24(b)	11
1.1	Introduction	1.111.1	& Operational Features	1001 (72.24(0)	1.1
1.2	General Description	1.111.1	General Description & Operational Features	10CFR72.24(b)	1.2
1.2.1	Cask Characteristics	1.111.1	General Description & Operational Features	10CFR72.24(b)	1.2.1
1.2.2	Operational Features	1.[]].1	General Description & Operational Features	10CFR72.24(b)	1.2.2
1.2.3	Cask Contents	1.111.3	DCSS Contents	10CFR72.2(a)(1) 10CFR72.236(a)	1.2.3
1.3	Identification of Agents & Contractors	1.111.4	Qualification of the Applicant	10CFR72.24(j) 10CFR72.28(a)	1.3
1.4	Generic Cask Arrays	1.111.1	General Description & Operational Features	10CFR72.24(c)(3)	1.4
1.5	Supplemental Data	1.III.2	Drawings	10CFR72.24(c)(3)	1.5
	NA	1.111.6	Consideration of Transport Requirements	10CFR72.230(b) 10CFR72.236(m)	1.1
	NA	1.111.5	Quality Assurance	10CFR72.24(n)	1.3
		2.	Principal Design Crite		2.1
2.1	Stored	2.111.2.	Specifications	10CFR72.2(a)(1) 10CFR72.236(a)	2.1
2.2	Design Criteria for Environmental	2.111.2. 2.111.3.	b External Conditions, b Structural,	10CFR72.122(b)	2.2
	Conditions and Natural Phenomena	2.111.3.	c Thermal	10CFR72.122(c)	2.2.3
1				10CFR72.122(b)(1)	2.2
				10CFR72.122(b)(2)	2.2.3
				10CFR72.122(h)(1)	2.0
2.2.1	Tornado and Wind Loading	2.111.2.	b External Conditions	10CFR72.122(b) (2)	2.2.3
2.2.2	Water Level (Flood)	2.III.2. 2.III.3.	b External Conditions b Structural	10CFR72.122(b)(2)	2.2.3

HOLTEC INTERNATIONAL COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL

REPORT HI-2114830

1-6

	TABLE 1.0.2		
REGULAT Regulatory Guide 3.61 Section and Content	ORY COMPLIANCE CROSS R Associated NUREG- 1536 Review Criteria	EFERENCE MATRIX Applicable 10CFR72 or 10CFR20	HI- STORM FW
2.2.3 Seismic	2.III.3.b Structural	Requirement 10CFR72.102(f) 10CFR72.122(b)(2)	FSAR 2.2.3
2.2.4 Snow and Ice	2.III.2.b External Conditions 2.III.3.b Structural	10CFR72.122(b)	2.2.1
2.2.5 Combined Load	2.III.3.b Structural	10CFR72.24(d) 10CFR72.122(b)(2)(ii)	2.2.7
NA .	2.III.1 Structures, Systems, and Components Important to Safety	10CFR72.122(a) 10CFR72.24(c)(3)	1.5
NA	2.111.2 Design Criteria for Safety Protection Systems	10CFR72.236(g) 10CFR72.24(c)(1) 10CFR72.24(c)(2) 10CFR72.24(c)(4) 10CFR72.120(a) 10CFR72.236(b)	2.0, 2.2
NA	2.III.3.c Thermal	10CFR72.128(a) (4)	2.3.2.2, 4.0
NA	2.111.3.f Operating Procedures	10CFR72.24(f) 10CFR72.128(a)(5)	11.0, 9.0
		10CFR72.236(h)	9.0
		10CFR72.24(1)(2)	1.2.1, 1.2.2
		10CFR72.236(1)	2.3.2.1
		10CFR72.24(e) 10CFR72.104(b)	12.0, 9.0
	2.III.3.g Acceptance Tests & Maintenance	10CFR72.122(1) 10CFR72.236(g) 10CFR72.122(f) 10CFR72.128(a)(1)	10.0
2.3 Safety Protection Systems			2.3
2.3.1 General			2.3
2.3.2 Protection by	2.111.3.b Structural	10CFR72.236(1)	2.3.2
Confinement Barrie	rs 2.111.3.c Thermal	10CFR72.236(f)	2.3.2.
and Systems	2.III.3.d Shielding/ Confinement/	10CFR72.126(a) 10CFR72.128(a)(2)	2.3.5
	Radiation Protection	10CFR72.128(a) (3)	2.3.2
		10CFR72.236(d)	2.3.2, 2.3.5
		10CFR72.236(e)	2.3.2

HOLTEC INTERNATIONAL COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL

1-7

REPORT HI-2114830

TABLE 1.0.2						
REGULATORY COMPLIANCE CROSS REFERENCE MATRIX						
Regulatory Guide 3.61 Section and Content		Associated NUREG- 1536 Review Criteria	Applicable 10CFR72 or 10CFR20	HI- STORM FW		
			Requirement	FSAR		
2.3.3 P E II	Protection by Equipment & nstrument Selection	2.111.3.d Shielding/ Confinement/ Radiation Protection	10CFR72.122(h) (4) 10CFR72.122(i) 10CFR72.128(a)(1)	2.3.5		
2.3.4 N S	Nuclear Criticality Safety	2.III.3.e Criticality	10CFR72.124(a) 10CFR72.236(c) 10CFR72.124(b)	2.3.4, 6.0		
2.3.5 R P	Radiological Protection	2.111.3.d Shielding/ Confinement/ Radiation Protection	10CFR72.24(d) 10CFR72.104(a) 10CFR72.236(d)	11.4.1		
			10CFR72.24(d) 10CFR72.106(b) 10CFR72.236(d)	11.4.2		
			10CFR/2.24(m)	2.3.2.1		
2.3.6 F	Fire and Explosion Protection	2.111.3.b Structural	10CFR72.122(c)	2.3.6, 2.2.3		
2.4 E	Decommissioning Considerations	2.111.3.h Decommissioning	10CFR72.24(f) 10CFR72.130 10CFR72.236(h)	2.4		
		14.III.1 Design	10CFR72.130	2.4		
		14.111.2 Cask Decontamination	10CFR72.236(i)	2.4		
		14.111.3 Financial Assurance & Record Keeping	10CFR72.30	(1)		
	·····	14.III.4 License Termination	10CFR72.54	(1)		
3. Struct	ural Evaluation			T		
3.1 S	Structural Design	3.III.1 SSC Important to Safety	10CFR72.24(c)(3) 10CFR72.24(c)(4)	3.1		
		3.III.6 Concrete Structures	10CFR72.24(c)	3.1		
3.2 V o	Weights and Centers of Gravity	3.V.1.b.2 Structural Design Features		3.2		
3.3 N P	Mechanical Properties of	3.V.1.c Structural Materials	10CFR72.24(c)(3)	3.3		
N	Aaterials	5. v.2.c Structural Materials				
	NA	3.III.2 Radiation, Shielding, Confinement, and Subcriticality	10CFR72.24(d) 10CFR72.124(a) 10CFR72.236(c) 10CFR72.236(d) 10CFR72.236(1)	3.4.4 3.4.7 3.4.10		

HOLTEC INTERNATIONAL COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL

1-8

TABLE 1.0.2									
REGULATORY COMPLIANCE CROSS REFERENCE MATRIX									
Regulatory Guide 3.61 Section and Content		Associated NUREG- 1536 Review Criteria		Applicable 10CFR72 or 10CFR20	HI- STORM FW				
				Requirement	FSAR				
	NA	3.111.3	Ready Retrieval	10CFR72.122(f) 10CFR72.122(h) 10CFR72.122(l)	3.4.4				
	NA	3.111.4	Design-Basis Earthquake	10CFR72.24(c) 10CFR72.102(f)	3.4.7				
	NA	3.111.5	20 Year Minimum Design Length	10CFR72.24(c) 10CFR72.236(g)	3.4.11 3.4.12				
3.4	General Standards for Casks				3.4				
3.4.1	Chemical and Galvanic Reactions	3.V.1.t	5.2 Structural Design Features		3.4.1				
3.4.2	Positive Closure				3.4.2				
3.4.3	Lifting Devices	3.V.1.i	i(4)(a) Trunnions		3.4.3				
3.4.4	Heat	3.V.1.0	d Structural Analysis	10CFR72.24(d) 10CFR72.122(b)	3.4.4				
3.4.5	Cold	3.V.1.c	Structural Analysis	10CFR72.24(d) 10CFR72.122(b)	3.4.5				
3.5	Fuel Rods			10CFR72.122(h)(1)	3.5				
			4. Thermal Evaluation	n					
4.1	Discussion	4.111	Regulatory Requirements	10CFR72.24(c)(3) 10CFR72.128(a)(4) 10CFR72.236(f) 10CFR72.236(h)	4.1				
4.2	Summary of Thermal Properties of Materials	4.V.4.t	Material Properties		4.2				
4.3	Specifications for Components	4.IV	Acceptance Criteria ISG-11, Revision 3	10CFR72.122(h)(1)	4.3				
4.4	Thermal Evaluation for Normal Conditions of Storage	4.IV	Acceptance Criteria ISG-11, Revision 3	10CFR72.24(d) 10CFR72.236(g)	4.4, 4.5				
	NA	4.IV	Acceptance Criteria for off-normal and accident conditions	10CFR72.24(d) 10CFR72.122(c)	4.6				
4.5	Supplemental Data	4.V.6	Supplemental Info.						
5. Shielding Evaluation									
5.1	Discussion and Results			10CFR72.104(a) 10CFR72.106(b)	5.1				

HOLTEC INTERNATIONAL COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL

REPORT HI-2114830

I.

1-9

	ana ang ang ang ang ang ang ang ang ang		TABLE 1.0.2		
REGULATOR Regulatory Guide 3.61 Section and Content		Y COMPLIANCE CROSS R Associated NUREG- 1536 Review Criteria		EFERENCE MATRIX Applicable 10CFR72 or 10CFR20	HI- STORM FW
5.2	Source Specification	5.V.2	Radiation Source	Requirement	FSAR 5.2
5.2.1	Gamma Source	5.V.2.a	Gamma Source		5.2.1
5.2.2	Neutron Source	5.V.2.b	Neutron Source		5.2.2
5.3	Model Specification	5.V.3	Shielding Model Specification		5.3
5.3.1	Description of the Radial and Axial Shielding Configurations	5.V.3.a	Configuration of the Shielding and Source	10CFR72.24(c)(3)	5.3.1
5.3.2	Shield Regional Densities	5.V.3.b	Material Properties	10CFR72.24(c)(3)	5.3.2
5.4	Shielding Evaluation	5.V.4	Shielding Analysis	10CFR72.24(d) 10CFR72.104(a) 10CFR72.106(b) 10CFR72.128(a)(2) 10CFR72.236(d)	5.4
5.5	Supplemental Data	5.V.5	Supplemental Info.		Appendix 5.A
		6	. Criticality Evaluation	n	
6.1	Discussion and Results				6.1
6.2	Spent Fuel Loading	6.V.2	Fuel Specification		6.1, 6.2
6.3	Model Specifications	6.V.3	Model Specification		6.3
6.3.1	Description of Calculational Model	6.V.3.a	Configuration	10CFR72.124(b) 10CFR72.24(c)(3)	6.3.1
6.3.2	Cask Regional Densities	6.V.3.b	Material Properties	10CFR72.24(c)(3) 10CFR72.124(b) 10CFR72.236(g)	6.3.2
6.4	Criticality Calculations	6.V.4	Criticality Analysis	10CFR72.124	6.4
6.4.1	Calculational or Experimental Method	6.V.4.a 6.V.4.b	Computer Programs Multiplication Factor	10CFR72.124	6.4.1
6.4.2	Fuel Loading or Other Contents Loading Optimization	6.V.3.a	Configuration		6.4.2, 6.3.3, 6.4.4 to 6.4.9
6.4.3	Criticality Results	6.IV	Acceptance Criteria	10CFR72.24(d) 10CFR72.124 10CFR72.236(c)	6.1

HOLTEC INTERNATIONAL COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL

REPORT HI-2114830

∉tn

TABLE 1.0.2							
REGULATOR Regulatory Guide 3.61 Section and Content		Y COMPLIANCE CROSS R Associated NUREG- 1536 Review Criteria		EFERENCE MATRIX Applicable 10CFR72 or 10CFR20	HI- STORM FW		
			·····	Requirement	FSAR		
6.5	Critical Benchmark Experiments	6.V.4.c	Benchmark Comparisons		6.5, Appendix 6.A, 6.4.3		
6.6	Supplemental Data	6.V.5	Supplemental Info.		Appendix 6.B		
			7. Confinement		·····		
7.1	Confinement Boundary	7.111.1	Description of Structures, Systems and Components Important to Safety ISG-18	10CFR72.24(c)(3) 10CFR72.24(1)	7.0, 7.1		
7.1.1	Confinement Vessel	7.111.2	Protection of Spent Fuel Cladding	10CFR72.122(h)(l)	7.1, 7.1.1		
7.1.2	Confinement Penetrations				7.1.2		
7.1.3	Seals and Welds				7.1.3		
7.1.4	Closure	7.111.3	Redundant Sealing	10CFR72.236(e)	7.1.1, 7.1.4		
7.2	Requirements for Normal Conditions of Storage	7.111.7	Evaluation of Confinement System ISG-18	10CFR72.24(d) 10CFR72.236(1)	7.1		
7.2.1	Release of Radioactive Material	7.111.6	Release of Nuclides to the Environment	10CFR72.24(1)(1)	7.1		
		7.111.4	Monitoring of Confinement System	10CFR72.122(h)(4) 10CFR72.128(a)(l)	7.1.4		
		7.111.5	Instrumentation	10CFR72.24(1) 10CFR72.122(i)	7.1.4		
		7.111.8	Annual Dose ISG-18	10CFR72.104(a)	7.1		
7.2.2	Pressurization of Confinement Vessel				7.1		
7.3	Confinement Requirements for Hypothetical Accident Conditions	7.111.7	Evaluation of Confinement System ISG-18	10CFR72.24(d) 10CFR72.122(b) 10CFR72.236(l)	7.1		
7.3.1	Fission Gas Products				7.1		
7.3.2	Release of Contents	·	ISG-18		7.1		
NA				10CFR72.106(b)	7.1		

HOLTEC INTERNATIONAL COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL

REPORT HI-2114830
		TABLE 1.0.2		
	REGULATO	RY COMPLIANCE CROSS R	EFERENCE MATRIX	ζ.
Re	egulatory Guide 3.61 fection and Content	Associated NUREG- 1536 Review Criteria	Applicable 10CFR72 or 10CFR20 Requirement	HI- STORM FW FSAR
7.4	Supplemental Data	7.V Supplemental Info.		
		8. Material Evaluation		
	NA	X.5.1 General Considerations (ISG-15)	10CFR72.24(c)(3) 10CFR72.236(m) 10CFR72.122(a) 10CFR72.104(a) 10CFR72.106(b) 10CFR72.124	8.1
		X.5.2 Materials Selection (ISG-15)	10CFR72.128(a)(2) 10CFR72.128(a)(2) 10CFR72.122(a) 10CFR72.104(a) 10CFR72.106(b) 10CFR72.124 10CFR72.128(a)(2) 10CFR72.122(a) 10CFR72.122(b) 10CFR72.122(c) 10CFR72.236(g) 10CFR72.236(l) 10CFR72.236(h)	8.2, 8.3, 8. 8.5, 8.6, 8. 8.9, 8.10, 8.11
		X.5.3 Chemical and Galvanic Reactions (ISG-15)	10CFR72.236(m) 10CFR72.122(a) 10CFR72.122(b) 10CFR72.122(c) 10CFR72.236(h) 10CFR72.122(h)(1) 10CFR72.236(m)	8.12
		X.5.4 Cladding Integrity (ISG-15) (ISG-11)	10CFR72.236(m) 10CFR72.122(a) 10CFR72.122(b) 10CFR72.122(c) 10CFR72.24(c)(3) 10CFR72.236(g) 10CFR72.236(h)	8.13
0.1		9. Operating Procedure	S	
8.1	Procedures for Loading the Cask	8.III.1 Develop Operating Procedures	10CFR72.40(a)(5)	9.0 et. see
		8.111.2 Operational Restrictions for ALARA	10CFR72.24(e) 10CFR72.104(b)	9.2

HOLTEC INTERNATIONAL COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL

REPORT HI-2114830

11

TABLE 1.0.2					
REGULATORY COMPLIANCE CROSS REFERENCE MATRIX					
Regulatory Guide 3.61 Section and Content	Associated NUREG- 1536 Review Criteria	Applicable 10CFR72 or 10CFR20	HI- STORM FW		
		Requirement	FSAR		
	8.111.3 Radioactive Effluent Control	10CFR72.24(1)(2)	9.2		
	8.111.4 Written Procedures	10CFR72.212(b)(9)	9.2		
	8.III.5 Establish Written Procedures and Tests	10CFR72.234(f)	9.2		
	8.III.6 Wet or Dry Loading and Unloading Compatibility	10CFR72.236(h)	9.2		
	8.111.7 Cask Design to Facilitate Decon	10CFR72.236(i)	9.2, 9.4		
8.2 Procedures for Unloading the Cask	8.111.1 Develop Operating Procedures	10CFR72.40(a)(5)	9.4		
	8.111.2 Operational Restrictions for ALARA	10CFR72.24(e) 10CFR72.104(b)	9.4		
	8.111.3 Radioactive Effluent Control	10CFR72.24(1)(2)	9.4		
	8.111.4 Written Procedures	10CFR72.212(b) (9)	9.0		
	8.111.5 Establish Written Procedures and Tests	10CFR72.234(f)	9.0		
	8.111.6 Wet or Dry Loading and Unloading Compatibility	10CFR72.236(h)	9.0		
	8.111.8 Ready Retrieval	10CFR72.122(1)	9.4		
8.3 Preparation of the Cask			9.3.2		
8.4 Supplemental Data			Tables 9.1.1		
NA	8.III.9 Design to Minimize Radwaste	10CFR72.24(f) 10CFR72.128(a)(5)	9.2, 9.4		
	8.111.10 SSCs Permit	10CFR72.122(f)	Table 9.1.6		
	Inspection,				
	Maintenance, and				
10 Accentance Criteria and Maintonance Program					
9.1 Acceptance Criteria	9.111.1.a Preoperational	10CFR72,24(p)	9.1.10.1		
	Testing & Initial Operations	······································	,		
	9.III.1.c SSCs Tested and	10CFR72.24(c)	10.1		
	Maintained to	10CFR72.122(a)			
	Appropriate Quality Standards				
	9.111.1.d Test Program	10CFR72.162	10.1		

HOLTEC INTERNATIONAL COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL

1-13

TABLE 1.0.2				
	REGULATOR	Y COMPLIANCE CROSS R	EFERENCE MATRIX	
Regulatory Guide 3.61 Section and Content		Associated NUREG- 1536 Review Criteria	Applicable 10CFR72 or 10CFR20	HI- STORM FW
	<u> </u>	0 III 1 a Annomista Testa	Requirement	FSAR 10.1
		9.111.1.e Appropriate Tests	10CFR72.230(1)	10.1
		Cracks, Pinholes, Voids and Defects	10011(72.250())	10.1
		9.111.1.g Provisions that Permit Commission Tests	10CFR72.232(b)	10.1 ⁽²⁾
9.2	Maintenance	9.111.1.b Maintenance	10CFR72.236(g)	10.2
	Program	9.111.1.c SSCs Tested and Maintained to Appropriate Quality Standards	10CFR72.122(f) 10CFR72.128(a)(1)	10.2
		9.111.1.h Records of Maintenance	10CFR72.212(b)(8)	10.2
	NA	9.111.2 Resolution of Issues Concerning Adequacy of Reliability	10CFR72.24(i)	(3)
		9.III.1.d Submit Pre-Op Test Results to NRC	10CFR72.82(e)	(4)
		9.111.1.i Casks Conspicuously and Durably Marked 9.111.3 Cask Identification	10CFR72.236(k)	10.1.7, 10.1.1.(12)
		11. Radiation Protectio	n	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
10.1	Ensuring that Occupational Exposures are as Low as Reasonably Achievable (ALARA)	10.III.4 ALARA	10CFR20.1101 10CFR72.24(e) 10CFR72.104(b) 10CFR72.126(a)	11.1
10.2	Radiation Protection Design Features	10.V.1.b Design Features	10CFR72.126(a)(6)	11.2
10.3	Estimated Onsite Collective Dose Assessment	10.111.2 Occupational Exposures	10CFR20.1201 10CFR20.1207 10CFR20.1208 10CFR20.1301	11.3
	N/A .	10.III.3 Public Exposure	10CFR72.104 10CFR72.106	11.4
		10.111.1 Effluents and Direct Radiation	10CFR72.104	
12. Accident Analyses				

HOLTEC INTERNATIONAL COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL

		TABLE 1.0.2		
Re	gulatory Guide 3.61	Associated NUREG- 1536 Review Criteria	Applicable 10CFR72 or 10CFR20 Requirement	HI- STORM FW FSAR
11.1 Opera	Off-Normal tions	11.111.2 Meet Dose Limits for Anticipated Events	10CFR72.24(d) 10CFR72.104(a) 10CFR72.236(d)	12.1
		11.III.4 Maintain Subcritical Condition	10CFR72.124(a) 10CFR72.236(c)	12.1
		11.111.7 Instrumentation and Control for Off- Normal Condition	10CFR72.122(i)	12.1
11.2	Accidents	11.111.1 SSCs Important to Safety Designed for Accidents	10CFR72.24(d)(2) 10CFR72.122b(2) 10CFR72.122b(3) 10CFR72.122(d) 10CFR72.122(g)	12.2
		11.111.5 Maintain Confinement for Accident	10CFR72.236(1)	12.2
		11.III.4 Maintain Subcritical Condition	10CFR72.124(a) 10CFR72.236(c)	12.2, 6.0
		11.111.3 Meet Dose Limits for Accidents	10CFR72.24(d)(2) 10CFR72.24(m) 10CFR72.106(b)	12.2, 5.1.2, 7.3
		11.III.6 Retrieval	10CFR72.122(1)	9.4
		11.III.7 Instrumentation and Control for Accident Conditions	10CFR72.122(i)	(5)
	NA	11.111.8 Confinement Monitoring	10CFR72.122h(4)	7.1.4
	······································	13. Operating Controls and	Limits	·
12.1	Proposed Operating		10CFR72.44(c)	13.0
	Controls and Limits	12.III.1.e Administrative Controls	10CFR72.44(c)(5)	13.0
12.2	Development of Operating Controls and Limits	12.III.1 General Requirement for Technical Specifications	10CFR72.24(g) 10CFR72.26 10CFR72.44(c) 10CFR72 Subpart E 10CFR72 Subpart F	13.0

HOLTEC INTERNATIONAL COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL REPORT HI-2114830

	TABLE 1.0.2			
Regulatory Guide 3.61 Section and Content		Associated NUREG- 1536 Review Criteria	Applicable 10CFR72 or 10CFR20 Requirement	HI- STORM FW FSAR
12.2.1	Functional and Operating Limits, Monitoring Instruments, and Limiting Control Settings	12.111.1.a Functional/ Operating Units, Monitoring Instruments and Limiting Controls	10CFR72.44(c)(1)	Appendix 13.A
12.2.2	Limiting Conditions for	12.111.1.b Limiting Controls	10CFR72.44(c)(2)	Appendix 13.A
	Operation	12.111.2.a Type of Spent Fuel	10CFR72.236(a)	Appendix 13.A
		12.III.2.b Enrichment		
		12.III.2.c Burnup		
		12.111.2.d Minimum Acceptance Cooling Time		
		12.111.2.f Maximum Spent Fuel Loading Limit		
		12.111.2g Weights and Dimensions 12.111.2.h Condition of Spent		
		12.111.2e Maximum Heat Dissipation	10CFR72.236(a)	Appendix 13.A
		12.III.2.i Inerting Atmosphere Requirements	10CFR72.236(a)	Appendix 13.A
12.2.3	Surveillance Specifications	12.111.1.c Surveillance Requirements	10CFR72.44(c)(3)	Chapter 13
12.2.4	Design Features	12.111.1.d Design Features	10CFR72.44(c)(4)	Chapter 13
12.2.4	Suggested Format for Operating Controls and Limits			Appendix 13.A
	NA	12.111.2 SSC Design Bases and Criteria	10CFR72.236(b)	2.0
	NA	12.111.2 Criticality Control	10CFR72.236(c)	2.3.4, 6.0
	NA	12.111.2 Shielding and	10CFR20	2.3.5, 7.0,
L		Confinement	10CFR72.236(d)	5.0, 10.0
	NA	12.III.2 Redundant Sealing	10CFR72.236(e)	7.1, 2.3.2
	NA	12.III.2 Passive Heat Removal	10CFR72.236(f)	2.3.2.2, 4.0

HOLTEC INTERNATIONAL COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL

REPORT HI-2114830

1-16

	TABLE 1.0.2			
REGULATOR	RY COMPLIANCE CROSS R	EFERENCE MATRIX		
Regulatory Guide 3.61 Section and Content	Associated NUREG- 1536 Review Criteria	Applicable 10CFR72 or 10CFR20 Requirement	HI- STORM FW FSAR	
NA	12.111.2 20 Year Storage and Maintenance	10CFR72.236(g)	1.2.1.5, 9.0, 3.4.10, 3.4.11	
NA	12.III.2 Decontamination	10CFR72.236(i)	9.0, 11.1	
NA	12.111.2 Wet or Dry Loading	10CFR72.236(h)	9.0	
NA	12.111.2 Confinement Effectiveness	10CFR72.236(j)	9.0	
NA	12.III.2 Evaluation for Confinement	10CFR72.236(1)	7.1, 7.2, 10.0	
	14. Quality Assurance	•		
13.1 Quality Assurance	13.III Regulatory Requirements 13.IV Acceptance Criteria	10CFR72.24(n) 10CFR72.140(d) 10CFR72, Subpart G	14.0	

<u>Notes</u>: $\frac{Notes}{(1)}$

The stated	d requirement is the re	sponsibility of t	he licensee (i.e.,	utility) as part of
the ISFSI	pad and is therefore r	not addressed in	this application.	

- ⁽²⁾ It is assumed that approval of the FSAR by the NRC is the basis for the Commission's acceptance of the tests defined in Chapter 10.
- ⁽³⁾ Not applicable to HI-STORM FW System. The functional adequacy of all important to safety components is demonstrated by analyses.
- ⁽⁴⁾ The stated requirement is the responsibility of licensee (i.e., utility) as part of the ISFSI and is therefore not addressed in this application.
- ⁽⁵⁾ The stated requirement is not applicable to the HI-STORM FW System. No monitoring is required for accident conditions.
- "—" There is no corresponding NUREG-1536 criteria, no applicable 10CFR72 or 10CFR20 regulatory requirement, or the item is not addressed in the FSAR.
- "NA" There is no Regulatory Guide 3.61 section that corresponds to the NUREG-1536, 10CFR72, or 10CFR20 requirement being addressed.

1-18

TABLE 1.0.3			
NUREG-1536 Guidance	Alternate Method to Meet NUREG-1536 Intent	Justification	
2.V.2.(b)(3)(f) "10CFR Part 72 identifies several other natural phenomena events (including seiche, tsunami, and hurricane) that should be addressed for spent fuel storage."	A site-specific safety analysis of the effects of seiche, tsunami, and hurricane on the HI- STORM FW system must be performed prior to use if these events are applicable to the site.	In accordance with NUREG-1536, 2.V.(b)(3)(f), if seiche, tsunami, and hurricane are not addressed in the FSAR and they prove to be applicable to the site, a safety analysis is required prior to approval for use of the DCSS under either a site-specific, or general license.	
3.V.1.d.i.(2)(a), page 3-11, "Drops with the axis generally vertical should be analyzed for both the conditions of a flush impact and an initial impact at a corner of the cask"	The HI-STORM system components are lifted and handled by lifting equipment that meet the applicable provisions in NUREG-0612 and ANSI 14.6 to preclude an uncontrolled lowering of the load.	The HI-STORM FW is a vertically deployed system. All lifting and handling operations occur in the vertical orientation and with symmetrically stressed handling devices. All lifting and handling devices are also required to meet the ANSI provisions to render the potential of a drop event in the part 72 jurisdiction non-credible. The vertical drop analysis is therefore not required.	
3.V.2.b.i.(1), Page 3-19, Para. 1, "All concrete used in storage cask system ISFSIs, and subject to NRC review, should be reinforced"	HI-STORM FW, like HI- STORM 100, uses plain concrete. The structural function is rendered by a double wall shell of carbon steel. The primary steel shell structure is designed to meet ASME Section III, Subsection NF stress limits for all normal service conditions.	Concrete is provided in the HI- STORM overpack primarily for the purpose of radiation shielding, the reinforcement in the concrete will only serve to create locations of micro-voids that will increase the emitted dose from the cask. Appendix 1.D of the HI-STORM 100 FSAR which provides technical and placement requirements on plain concrete is also invoked for HI- STORM FW concrete.	
4.V.5.c, Page 4-10, Para. 3 "free volume calculations should account for thermal expansion of the cask internal components and the fuel when subjected to accident temperatures.	All free volume calculations use nominal Confinement Boundary dimensions, but the volume occupied by the fuel assemblies is calculated using maximum weights and minimum densities.	Calculating the volume occupied by the fuel assemblies using maximum weights and minimum densities conservatively over predicts the volume occupied by the fuel and correspondingly under predicts the remaining free volume.	

HOLTEC INTERNATIONAL COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL

1-19

TABLE 1.0.3 ALTERNATIVES TO NUREG-1536			
NUREG-1536 Guidance	Alternate Method to Meet NUREG-1536 Intent	Justification	
7.V.4 "Confinement Analysis. Review the applicant's confinement analysis and the resulting annual dose at the controlled area boundary."	No confinement leakage analysis is performed and no effluent dose at the controlled area boundary is calculated.	The MPC uses redundant closures to assure that there is no release of radioactive materials under all credible conditions. Analyses presented in Chapters 3 and 11 demonstrate that the Confinement Boundary does not degrade under all normal, off-normal, and accident conditions. Multiple inspection methods are used to verify the integrity of the Confinement Boundary (e.g., non-destructive examinations and pressure testing). Pursuant to ISG-18, the Holtec MPC is constructed in a manner that precludes leakage from the Confinement Boundary. Therefore, no analysis of leakage from confinement is required.	
13.III, " the application must include, at a minimum, a description that satisfies the requirements of 10 CFR Part 72, Subpart G, 'Quality Assurance'"	Chapter 14 incorporates the NRC-approved Holtec International Quality Assurance Program Manual by reference.	The NRC has approved the Holtec Quality Assurance Program Manual under 10 CFR 71 (NRC QA Program Approval for Radioactive Material Packages No. 0784, Rev. 3). Pursuant to 10 CFR 72.140(d), Holtec will apply this QA program to all important-to- safety dry storage cask activities.	

TABLE 1	.0.4
REFERENCE SNF D	ESIGNATIONS
Fuel Type	Fuel ID
PWR	W 17x17
BWR	GE 10x10

1-21

.

1.1 INTRODUCTION TO THE HI-STORM FW SYSTEM

This section and the next section (Section 1.2) provide the necessary information on the HI-STORM FW System pursuant to 10CFR72 paragraphs 72.2(a)(1),(b); 72.122(a),(h)(1); 72.140(c)(2); 72.230(a),(b); and 72.236(a),(c),(h),(m).

HI-STORM (acronym for <u>Holtec International Storage Module</u>) FW System is a spent nuclear fuel storage system designed to be in full compliance with the requirements of 10CFR72. The model designation "FW" denotes this as a system which has been specifically engineered to withstand sustained <u>Flood</u> and <u>W</u>ind.

The HI-STORM FW System consists of a sealed metallic multi-purpose canister (MPC) contained within an overpack constructed from a combination of steel and concrete. The design features of the HI-STORM FW components are intended to simplify and reduce the on-site SNF loading and handling work effort, to minimize the burden of in-use monitoring, to provide utmost radiation protection to the plant personnel, and to minimize the site boundary dose.

The HI-STORM FW System can safely store either PWR or BWR fuel assemblies, in the MPC-37 or MPC-89, respectively. The MPC is identified by the maximum number of fuel assemblies it can contain in the fuel basket. The MPC external diameters are identical to allow the use of a single overpack design, however the height of the MPC, as well as the overpack and transfer cask, are variable based on the SNF to be loaded.

Figure 1.1.1 shows the HI-STORM FW System with two of its major constituents, the MPC and the storage overpack, in a cut-away view. The MPC, shown partially withdrawn from the storage overpack, is an integrally welded pressure vessel designed to meet the stress limits of the ASME Boiler and Pressure Vessel Code, Section III, Subsection NB [1.1.1]. The MPC defines the Confinement Boundary for the stored spent nuclear fuel assemblies. The HI-STORM FW storage overpack provides structural protection, cooling, and radiological shielding for the MPC.

The HI-STORM FW overpack is equipped with thru-wall penetrations at the bottom of the overpack and in its lid to permit natural circulation of air to cool the MPC and the contained SNF. The HI-STORM FW System is autonomous inasmuch as it provides SNF and radioactive material confinement, radiation shielding, criticality control and passive heat removal independent of any other facility, structures, or components at the site. The surveillance and maintenance required by the plant's staff is minimized by the HI-STORM FW System since it is completely passive and is composed of proven materials. The HI-STORM FW System can be used either singly or as an array at an ISFSI. The site for an ISFSI can be located either at a nuclear reactor facility or an away-froma-reactor (AFR) location.

The information presented in this report is intended to demonstrate the acceptability of the HI-STORM FW System for use under the general license provisions of Subpart K by meeting the criteria set forth in 10CFR72.236.

The HI-STORM FW overpack is designed to possess certain key elements of flexibility to achieve

1-22

HOLTEC INTERNATIONAL COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL REPORT HI-2114830

ALARA. For example:

- The HI-STORM FW overpack is stored at the ISFSI pad in a vertical orientation, which helps minimize the size of the ISFSI and leads to an effective natural convection cooling flow around the exterior and also in the interior of the MPC.
- The HI-STORM FW overpack handling operations do not require the cask to be downended at any time which eliminates the associated handling risks and facilitates compliance with radiation protection objectives.
- The HI-STORM FW overpack can be loaded with the MPC containing SNF using the HI-TRAC VW transfer cask and prepared for storage while inside the 10CFR50 [1.1.2] facility. From the 10CFR50 facility the loaded overpack is then moved to the ISFSI and stored in a vertical configuration. The overpack can also be directly loaded using the HI-TRAC VW transfer cask adjacent to the ISFSI storage pad. Some examples of MPC transfer between the FW overpack and the HI-TRAC VW transfer cask are illustrated in Figures 1.1.2 (transfer at the cask transfer facility) and 1.1.3 (transfer in the plant's egress (truck/rail) bay).

The HI-STORM FW overpack features an inlet and outlet duct configuration engineered to mitigate the sensitivity of wind direction on the thermal performance of the system. More specifically, the HI-STORM FW overpack features a radially symmetric outlet vent (located in its lid) pursuant to Holtec's Patent Number 7,330,526B2 and inlet ducts arranged at 45-degree intervals in the circumferential direction to approximate an axisymmetric opening configuration, to the extent possible.

A number of design measures are taken in the HI-STORM FW System to limit the fuel cladding temperature rise under a most adverse flood event (i.e., one that is just high enough to block the inlet duct):

- a. The overpack's inlet duct is narrow and does not allow a direct pathway through the overpack, therefore the MPC stands directly on the overpack's baseplate. This allows floodwater to come in immediate contact with the bottom of the MPC and assist the ventilation air flow in cooling the MPC.
- b. The overpack's inlet duct is tall and the MPC stands directly on the overpack's baseplate, which is welded to the overpack's inner and outer shells. Thus, if the flood water rises high enough to block air flow through the inlet ducts, substantial surface area of the lower region of the MPC will be submerged in the water. Although heat transfer from the exterior of the MPC through air circulation is limited in such a scenario, the reduction is offset by convective cooling through the floodwater itself.
- c. The MPCs are equipped with internal thermosiphon capability, which brings the heat emitted by the fuel back to the bottom region of the MPC as the circulating helium flows along the downcomer space around the fuel basket. This thermosiphon action places the heated helium in close thermal communication with the floodwater, further enhancing convective cooling via the floodwater.

The above design features of the HI-STORM FW System are subject to intellectual property protection rights (patent rights) under United States Patent and Trademark Office (USPTO) regulations.

Regardless of the storage cell count, the construction of the MPC is fundamentally the same; the basket is a honeycomb structure comprised of cellular elements. This is positioned within a circumscribing cylindrical canister shell. The egg-crate construction and cell-to-canister shell interface employed in the MPC basket impart the structural stiffness necessary to satisfy the limiting load conditions discussed in Chapter 2. Figures 1.1.4 and 1.1.5 provide cross-sectional views of the PWR and BWR fuel baskets, respectively. Figures 1.1.6 and 1.1.7 provide isometric perspective views of the PWR and BWR fuel baskets, respectively.

The HI-TRAC VW transfer cask is required for shielding and protection of the SNF during loading and closure of the MPC and during movement of the loaded MPC from the cask loading area of a nuclear plant spent fuel pool to the storage overpack. Figure 1.1.8 shows a cut away view of the transfer cask. The MPC is placed inside the HI-TRAC VW transfer cask and moved into the cask loading area of nuclear plant spent fuel pools for fuel loading (or unloading). The HI-TRAC VW/MPC assembly is designed to prevent (contaminated) pool water from entering the narrow annular space between the HI-TRAC VW and the MPC while the assembly is submerged. The HI-TRAC VW transfer cask also allows dry loading (or unloading) of SNF into the MPC in a hot cell.

To summarize, the HI-STORM FW System has been engineered to:

- maximize shielding and physical protection for the MPC;
- maximize resistance to flood and wind;
- minimize the extent of handling of the SNF;
- minimize dose to operators during loading and handling;
- require minimal ongoing surveillance and maintenance by plant staff;
- facilitate SNF transfer of the loaded MPC to a compatible transport overpack for transportation;
- permit rapid and unencumbered decommissioning of the ISFSI;

Finally, design criteria for a forced helium dehydration (FHD) system, as described in Appendix 2.B of the HI-STORM 100 FSAR [1.1.3] is compatible with HI-STORM-FW. Thus, the references to a FHD system in this FSAR imply that its design criteria must comply with the provisions in the latest revision of the HI-STORM 100 FSAR (Docket No. 72-1014).

All HI-STORM FW System components (overpack, transfer cask, and MPC) are designated ITS and their sub-components are categorized in accordance with NUREG/CR-6407 [1.1.4].

1 - 24

HOLTEC INTERNATIONAL COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL REPORT HI-2114830

The principal ancillaries used in the site implementation of the HI-STORM FW System are summarized in Section 1.2 and referenced in Chapter 9 in the context of loading operations. A listing of common ancillaries needed by the host site is provided in Table 9.2.1. The detailed design of these ancillaries is not specified in this FSAR. In some cases, there are multiple distinct ancillary designs available for a particular application (such as a forced helium dehydrator or a vacuum drying system for drying the MPC) and as such, not every ancillary will be needed by every site. Ancillary designs are typically specific to a site to meet ALARA and personnel safety objectives.



HOLTEC INTERNATIONAL COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL

REPORT HI-2114830



FIGURE 1.1.2: MPC TRANSFER AT THE CANISTER TRANSFER FACILITY (PIT)

HOLTEC INTERNATIONAL COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL REPORT HI-2114830

HI-STORM FW FSAR -Non-Proprietary Revision 2, June 24, 2013 1-27

Rev.2



FIGURE 1.1.3: MPC TRANSFER IN THE PLANT'S EGRESS BAY

HOLTEC INTERNATIONAL COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL

REPORT HI-2114830

1-28

Rev.2



HOLTEC INTERNATIONAL COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL REPORT HI-2114830

1-29



FIGURE 1.1.5: MPC-89 IN CROSS SECTION

HOLTEC INTERNATIONAL COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL REPORT HI-2114830



FIGURE 1.1.6: PWR FUEL BASKET (37 STORAGE CELLS) IN PERSPECTIVE VIEW

HOLTEC INTERNATIONAL COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL REPORT HI-2114830

1-31

Rev.2



FIGURE 1.1.7: BWR FUEL BASKET (89 STORAGE CELLS) IN PERSPECTIVE VIEW

HOLTEC INTERNATIONAL COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL

1-32

REPORT HI-2114830



HOLTEC INTERNATIONAL COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL

REPORT HI-2114830

1-33

Rev.2

1.2 GENERAL DESCRIPTION OF HI-STORM FW SYSTEM

1.2.1 System Characteristics

The HI-STORM FW System consists of interchangeable MPCs, which maintain the configuration of the fuel and is the confinement boundary between the stored spent nuclear fuel and the environment; and a storage overpack that provides structural protection and radiation shielding during long-term storage of the MPC. In addition, a transfer cask that provides the structural and radiation protection of an MPC during its loading, unloading, and transfer to the storage overpack is also subject to certification by the USNRC. Figure 1.1.1 provides a cross sectional view of the HI-STORM FW System with an MPC inserted into HI-STORM FW. Both casks (storage overpack and transfer cask) and the MPC are described below. The description includes information on the design details significant to their functional performance, fabrication techniques and safety features. All structures, systems, and components of the HI-STORM FW System, which are identified as Important-to-Safety (ITS), are specified on the licensing drawings provided in Section 1.5.

There are three types of components subject to certification in the HI-STORM FW docket (see Table 1.0.1).

- i. The multi-purpose canister (MPC)
- ii. The storage overpack (HI-STORM)
- iii. The transfer cask (HI-TRAC)

A listing of the common ancillaries not subject to certification but which may be needed by the host site to implement this system is provided in Table 9.2.1.

To ensure compatibility with the HI-STORM FW overpack, MPCs have identical external diameters. Due to the differing storage contents of each MPC, the loaded weight differs among MPCs (see Table 3.2.4 for loaded MPC weight data). Tables 1.2.1 and 1.2.2 contain the key system data and parameters for the MPCs.

The HI-STORM FW System shares certain common attributes with the HI-STORM 100 System, Docket No. 72-1014, namely:

- i. the honeycomb design of the MPC fuel basket;
- ii. the effective distribution of neutron and gamma shielding materials within the system;
- iii. the high heat dissipation capability;
- iv. the engineered features to promote convective heat transfer by passive means;
- v. a structurally robust steel-concrete-steel overpack construction.

The honeycomb design of the MPC fuel baskets renders the basket into a multi-flange egg-crate structure where all structural elements (i.e., cell walls) are arrayed in two orthogonal sets of plates. Consequently, the walls of the cells are either completely co-planar (i.e., no offset) or orthogonal with each other. There is complete edge-to-edge continuity between the contiguous cells to promote conduction of heat.

The composite shell construction in the overpack, steel-concrete-steel, allows ease of fabrication and eliminates the need for the sole reliance on the strength of concrete.

A description of each of the components is provided in this section, along with fabrication and safety feature information.

1.2.1.1 Multi-Purpose Canisters

The MPC enclosure vessels are cylindrical weldments with identical and fixed outside diameters. Each MPC is an assembly consisting of a honeycomb fuel basket (Figures 1.1.6 and 1.1.7), a baseplate, a canister shell, a lid, and a closure ring. The number of SNF storage locations in an MPC depends on the type of fuel assembly (PWR or BWR) to be stored in it.

Subsection 1.2.3 and Table 1.2.1 summarize the allowable contents for each MPC model listed in Table 1.0.1. Subsection 2.1.8 provides the detailed specifications for the contents authorized for storage in the HI-STORM FW System. Drawings for the MPCs are provided in Section 1.5.

The MPC enclosure vessel is a fully welded enclosure, which provides the confinement for the stored fuel and radioactive material. The MPC baseplate and shell are made of stainless steel (Alloy X, see Appendix 1.A). The lid is a two piece construction, with the top structural portion made of Alloy X. The confinement boundary is defined by the MPC baseplate, shell, lid, port covers, and closure ring.

The HI-STORM FW System MPCs shares external and internal features with the HI-STORM 100 MPCs certified in the §72-1014 docket, as summarized below.

i. MPC-37 and MPC-89 have an identical enclosure vessel which mimics the enclosure vessel design details used in the HI-STORM 100 counterparts including the shell thickness, the vent and drain port sizes, construction details of the top lid and closure ring, and closure weld details. The baseplate is made slightly thicker to ensure its bending rigidity is

HOLTEC INTERNATIONAL COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL

1-35

REPORT HI-2114830

comparable to its counterpart in the HI-STORM 100 system. The material of construction of the pressure retaining components is also identical (options of austenitic stainless steels, denoted as Alloy X, is explained in Appendix 1.A herein as derived from the HI-STORM 100 FSAR with appropriate ASME Code edition updates). There are no gasketed joints in the MPCs.

- ii. The top lid of the MPCs contains the same attachment provisions for lifting and handling the loaded canister as the HI-STORM 100 counterparts.
- iii. The drain pipe and sump in the bottom baseplate of the MPCs (from which the drain pipe extracts the water during the dewatering operation) are also similar to those in the HI-STORM 100 counterparts.
- iv. The fuel basket is assembled from a rectilinear gridwork of plates so that there are no bends or radii at the cell corners. This structural feature eliminates the source of severe bending stresses in the basket structure by eliminating the offset between the cell walls which transfer the inertia load of the stored SNF to the basket/MPC interface during the various postulated accident events (such as non-mechanistic tipover). This structural feature is shared with the HI-STORM 100 counterparts. Figures 1.1.6 and 1.1.7 show the PWR and BWR fuel baskets, respectively, in perspective view.
- v. Precision extruded and/or machined blocks of aluminum alloy with axial holes (basket shims) are installed in the peripheral space between the fuel basket and the enclosure vessel to provide conformal contact surfaces between the basket shims and the fuel basket and between the basket shims and the enclosure vessel shell. The axial holes in the basket shims serve as the passageway for the downward flow of the helium gas under the thermosiphon action. This thermosiphon action is common to all MPCs including those of the HI-STORM 100.
- vi. To facilitate an effective convective circulation inside the MPC, the operating pressure is set the same as that in the HI-STORM 100 counterparts.
- vii. Like the high capacity baskets in the HI-STORM 100 MPCs, the fuel baskets do not contain flux traps.

Because of the above commonalities, the HI-STORM FW System is loaded in the same manner as the HI-STORM 100 system, and will use similar ancillary equipment, (e.g., lift attachments, lift yokes, lid welding machine, weld removal machine, cask transporter, mating device, low profile transporter or zero profile transporter, drying system, the hydrostatic pressure test system).

Lifting lugs, attached to the inside surface of the MPC shell, are used to place the empty MPC into the HI-TRAC VW transfer cask. The lifting lugs also serve to axially locate the MPC lid prior to welding. These internal lifting lugs cannot be used to handle a loaded MPC. The MPC lid is installed prior to any handling of a loaded MPC and there is no access to the internal lifting lugs once the MPC lid is installed.

The MPC incorporates a redundant closure system. The MPC lid is edge-welded (welds are depicted in the licensing drawing in Section 1.5) to the MPC outer shell. The lid is equipped with vent and drain ports that are utilized to remove moisture from the MPC and backfill the MPC with a specified amount of inert gas (helium). The vent and drain ports are closed tight and covered with a port cover (plate) that is seal welded before the closure ring is installed. The closure ring is a circular ring edge-welded to the MPC shell and lid; it covers the MPC lid-to shell weld and the vent and drain port cover plates. The MPC lid provides sufficient rigidity to allow the entire MPC loaded with SNF to be lifted by the suitably sized threaded anchor locations (TALs) in the MPC lid.

As discussed later in this section, the height of the MPC cavity plays a direct role in setting the amount of shielding available in the transfer cask. To maximize shielding and achieve ALARA within the constraints of a nuclear plant (such as crane capacity), it is necessary to minimize the cavity height of the MPC to the length of the fuel to be stored in it. Accordingly, the height of the MPC cavity is customized for each fuel type listed in Section 2.1. Table 3.2.1 provides the data to set the MPC cavity length as a small adder to the nominal fuel length (with any applicable NFH) to account for manufacturing tolerance, irradiation growth and thermal expansion effects.

For fuel assemblies that are shorter than the MPC cavity length (such as those without a control element in PWR SNF) a fuel shim may be utilized (as appropriate) to reduce the axial gap between the fuel assembly and the MPC cavity to approximately 1.5-2.5 inches. A small axial clearance is provided to account for manufacturing tolerances and the irradiation and thermal growth of the fuel assemblies. The actual length of fuel shims (if required) will be determined on a site-specific and fuel assembly-specific basis.

All components of the MPC assembly that may come into contact with spent fuel pool water or the ambient environment are made from stainless steel alloy or aluminum/aluminum alloy materials. Prominent among the aluminum based materials used in the MPC is the Metamic–HT neutron absorber lattice that comprises the fuel basket. As discussed in Chapter 8, concerns regarding interaction of coated carbon steel materials and various MPC operating environments [1.2.1] are not applicable to the HI-STORM FW MPCs. All structural components in an MPC enclosure vessel shall be made of Alloy X, a designation whose origin, as explained in the HI-STORM 100 FSAR [1.1.3], lies in the U.S. DOE's repository program.

As explained in Appendix 1.A, Alloy X (as defined in this FSAR) may be one of the following materials.

- Type 316
- Type 316LN
- Type 304
- Type 304LN

Any stainless steel part in an MPC may be fabricated from any of the acceptable Alloy X materials listed above.

The Alloy X group approach is accomplished by qualifying the MPC for all mechanical, structural,

1 - 37

HOLTEC INTERNATIONAL COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL REPORT HI-2114830

radiological, and thermal conditions using material thermo-physical properties that are the least favorable for the entire group for the analysis in question. For example, when calculating the rate of heat rejection to the outside environment, the value of thermal conductivity used is the lowest for the candidate material group. Similarly, the stress analysis calculations use the lowest value of the ASME Code allowable stress intensity for the entire group. Stated differently, a material has been defined that is referred to as Alloy X, whose thermo-physical properties, from the MPC design perspective, are the least favorable of the above four candidate materials.

The evaluation of the candidate Alloy X materials to determine the least favorable properties is provided in Appendix 1.A. The Alloy X approach is conservative because no matter which material is ultimately utilized in the MPC construction, it guarantees that the performance of the MPC will exceed the analytical predictions contained in this document.

The principal materials used in the manufacturing of the MPC are listed in the licensing drawings (Section 1.5) and the acceptance criteria are provided in Chapter 10. A listing of the fabrication specifications utilized in the manufacturing of HI-STORM FW System components is provided in Table 1.2.7. The specifications, procedures for sizing, forming machining, welding, inspecting, cleaning, and packaging of the completed equipment implemented by the manufacturer on the shop floor are required to conform to the fabrication specification in the above referenced tables.

1.2.1.2 **HI-STORM FW Overpack**

HI-STORM FW is a vertical ventilated module engineered to be fully compatible with the HI-TRAC VW transfer cask and the MPCs listed in Table 1.0.1. The HI-STORM FW overpack consists of two major parts:

- a. A dual wall cylindrical container with a set of inlet ducts near its bottom extremity and an integrally welded baseplate.
- b. A removable top lid equipped with a radially symmetric exit vent system.

The HI-STORM FW overpack is a rugged, heavy-walled cylindrical vessel. Figure 1.1.1 provides a pictorial view of the HI-STORM FW overpack with the MPC-37 partially inserted. The main structural function of the storage overpack is provided by carbon steel, and the main shielding function is provided by plain concrete. The overpack plain concrete is enclosed by a steel weldment of cylindrical shells, a thick baseplate, and a top annular plate. A set of four equally spaced radial connectors join the inner and outer shells and define a fixed width annular space for placement of concrete. The overpack lid also has concrete to provide neutron and gamma shielding.

The storage overpack provides an internal cylindrical cavity of sufficient height and diameter for housing an MPC (Figure 1.1.1) with an annular space between the MPC enclosure vessel and the overpack for ventilation air flow. The upward flowing air in the annular space (drawn from the ambient by a purely passive action), extracts heat from the MPC surface by convective heat transfer. The rate of air flow is governed by the amount of heat in the MPC (i.e., the greater the heat load, the greater the air flow rate).

To maximize the cooling action of the ventilation air stream, the ventilation flow path is optimized

1-38

HOLTEC INTERNATIONAL COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL **REPORT HI-2114830**

to minimize hydraulic resistance. The HI-STORM FW features eight inlet ducts. Each duct is narrow and tall and of an internally refractive contour which minimizes radiation streaming while optimizing the hydraulic resistance of airflow passages. The inlet air duct design, referred to as the "Radiation Absorbent Duct," is subject to an ongoing action on a provisional Holtec International patent application by the USPTO (ca. March 2009) and is depicted in the licensing drawing in Section 1.5. The Radiation Absorbent Duct also permits the MPC to be placed directly on the baseplate of the overpack instead of on a pedestal that would raise it above the duct.

An array of radial tube-type gussets (MPC guides) welded to the inner shell and the baseplate are shaped to guide the MPC during MPC transfer and ensure it is centered within the overpack. The MPC guides have an insignificant effect on the overall hydraulic resistance of the ventilation air stream. Furthermore, the top array of MPC guides are longitudinally oriented members, sized and aligned to serve as impact attenuators which will crush against the solid MPC lid during an impactive collision, such as a non-mechanistic tip-over scenario.

The height of the storage cavity in the HI-STORM FW overpack is set equal to the height of the MPC plus a fixed amount to allow for thermal growth effects and to provide for adequate ventilation space (low hydraulic resistance) above the MPC (See Table 3.2.1).

The outlet duct is located in the overpack lid (Figure 1.1.1) pursuant to Holtec Patent No. 6,064,710. The outlet duct opening is narrow in height which reduces the radiation streaming path from the contents, however, aside from the minor interference from the support plates, the duct extends circumferentially 360° which significantly increases the flow area and in-turn minimizes hydraulic resistance.

The overpack lid, like the body, is also a steel weldment filled with plain concrete. The lid is equipped with a radial ring welded to its underside which provides additional shielding for the MPC/overpack annulus. The radial ring also serves to center the lid on the overpack body. A third, equally important function of the radial ring is to prevent the lid from sliding across the top surface of the overpack body during a non-mechanistic tip-over event.

Within the ducts, an array of duct photon attenuators (DPAs) may be installed (Holtec Patent No.6,519,307B1) to further decrease the amount of radiation scattered to the environment. These Duct Photo Attenuators (DPAs) are designed to scatter any radiation streaming through the ducts. Scattering the radiation in the ducts reduces the streaming through the overpack penetration resulting in a significant decrease in the local dose rates. The configuration of the DPAs is such that the increase in the resistance to flow in the air inlets and outlets is minimized. The DPAs are not credited in the safety analyses performed in this FSAR, nor are they depicted in the licensing drawings. DPAs can be used at a site if needed to lower site boundary dose rates with an appropriate site-specific engineering evaluation.

Each duct opening is equipped with a heavy duty insect barrier (screen). Routine inspection of the screens or temperature monitoring of the air exiting the outlet ducts is required to ensure that a blockage of the screens is detected and removed in a timely manner. The evaluation of the effects of partial and complete blockage of the air ducts is considered in Chapter 12 of this FSAR.

Four threaded anchor blocks at the top of the overpack are provided for lifting. The anchor blocks are integrally welded to the radial plates which join the overpack inner and outer steel shells. The four anchor blocks are located at 90° angular spacing around the circumference of the top of the overpack body.

Finally, the HI-STORM FW overpack features heat shields engineered to protect the overpack body concrete and the overpack lid concrete from excessive temperature rise due to radiant heat from the MPC. A thin cylindrical steel liner, concentric with the inner shell of the overpack, but slightly smaller in diameter, hangs from the top array of MPC guides. A separate thin steel liner is welded to the underside of the overpack lid. The heat shields are depicted in the licensing drawings in Section 1.5.

The plain concrete between the overpack inner and outer steel shells and the lid is specified to provide the necessary shielding properties (dry density) and compressive strength. The shielding concrete shall be in accordance with the requirements specified in Appendix 1.D of the HI-STORM 100 FSAR [1.1.3] and Table 1.2.5 herein. Commitment to follow the specification of plain concrete in the HI-STORM 100 FSAR in this docket ensures that a common set of concrete placement procedures will be used in both overpack types which will be important for configuration control at sites where both systems may be deployed.

The principal function of the concrete is to provide shielding against gamma and neutron radiation. However, the massive bulk of concrete imparts a large thermal inertia to the HI-STORM FW overpack, allowing it to moderate the rise in temperature of the system under hypothetical conditions when all ventilation passages are assumed to be blocked. During the postulated fire accident the high thermal inertia characteristics of the HI-STORM FW concrete control the temperature of the MPC. Although the annular concrete mass in the overpack shell is not a structural member, it does act as an elastic/plastic filler of the inter-shell space buttressing the steel shells.

Density and compressive strength are the key parameters that bear upon the performance of concrete in the HI-STORM FW System. For evaluating the physical properties of concrete for completing the analytical models, conservative formulations of Reference [1.2.2] are used.

Thermal analyses, presented in Chapter 4, show that the temperatures during normal storage conditions do not threaten the physical integrity of the HI-STORM FW overpack concrete.

The principal materials used in the manufacturing of the overpack are listed in the licensing drawings and the acceptance criteria are provided in Chapter 10. Tables 1.2.6 and 1.2.7 provide applicable code paragraphs for manufacturing the HI-STORM FW overpack.

1.2.1.3 HI-TRAC VW Transfer Cask

The HI-TRAC VW transfer cask (Figure 1.1.8) is engineered to be used to perform all short-term loading operations on the MPC beginning with fuel loading and ending with the emplacement of the MPC in the storage overpack. The HI-TRAC VW is also used for short term unloading operations beginning with the removal of the MPC from the storage overpack and ending with fuel unloading.

HI-TRAC VW is designed to meet the following specific performance objectives that are centered on ALARA and physical safety of the plant's operations staff.

- a. Provide maximum shielding to the plant personnel engaged in conducting short-term operations.
- b. Provide protection of the MPC against extreme environmental phenomena loads, such as tornado-borne missiles, during short-term operations.
- c. Serve as the container equipped with the appropriate lifting appurtenances in accordance with ANSI N14.6 [1.2.3] to lift, move, and handle the MPC, as required, to perform the short-term operations.
- d. Provide the means to restrain the MPC from sliding and protruding beyond the shielding envelope of the transfer cask under a (postulated) handling accident.
- e. Facilitate the transfer of a loaded MPC to or from the HI-STORM FW overpack (or another physically compatible storage or transfer cask) by vertical movement of the MPC without any risk of damage to the canister by friction.

The above performance demands on the HI-TRAC VW are met by its design configuration as summarized below and presented in the licensing drawings in Section 1.5.

HI-TRAC VW is principally made of carbon steel and lead. The cask consists of two major parts, namely (a) a multi-shell cylindrical cask body, and (b) a quick connect/disconnect bottom lid. The cylindrical cask body is made of three concentric shells joined to a solid annular top flange and a solid annular bottom flange by circumferential welds. The innermost and the middle shell are fixed in place by longitudinal ribs which serve as radial connectors between the two shells. The radial connectors provide a continuous path for radial heat transfer and render the dual shell configuration into a stiff beam under flexural loadings. The space between these two shells is occupied by lead, which provides the bulk of the transfer cask's gamma radiation shielding capability and accounts for a major portion of its weight.

Between the middle shell and the outermost shell is the weldment that is referred to as the "water jacket." The water jacket is filled with water and may contain ethylene glycol fortified water, if warranted by the environmental conditions at the time of use. The water jacket provides most of the neutron shielding capability to the cask. The water jacket is outfitted with pressure relief devices to prevent over-pressurization in the case of an off-normal or accident event that causes the water mass inside of it to boil.

The water in the water jacket serves as the neutron shield when required. When the cask is being removed from the pool and the MPC is full of water, the water jacket can be empty. This will minimize weight, if for example, crane capacities are limited, since the water within the MPC cavity is providing the neutron shielding during this time. However, the water jacket must be filled before the MPC is emptied of water. This keeps the load on the crane (i.e., weight of the loaded transfer cask) nearly constant between the lifts before and after MPC processing. Furthermore, the amount of shielding provided by the transfer cask is maximized at all times within crane capacity constraints. The water jacket concept is disclosed in a Holtec Patent [6,587,536 B1].

As the description of loading operations in Chapter 9 of this FSAR indicates, most of the human activities occur near the top of the transfer cask. Therefore, the geometry of the transfer cask is

HOLTEC INTERNATIONAL COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL

Revision 2, June 24, 2013

HI-STORM FW FSAR -Non-Proprietary

configured to maximize shielding by eliminating penetrations and discontinuities such as lifting trunnions. Instead, the HI-TRAC VW is lifted using a pair of lift blocks that are anchored into the top forging of the transfer cask using a set of high strength bolts. An optional device which prevents the MPC from sliding out of the transfer cask is attached to the lift blocks.

The bottom of the transfer cask is equipped with a thick lid. It is provided with a gasket seal against the machined face of the bottom flange creating a watertight (open top) container. A set of bolts that tap into the machined holes in the bottom lid provide the required physical strength to meet the structural imperatives of ANSI N14.6 and as well as bolt pull to maintain joint integrity. The bottom lid can be fastened and released from the cask body by accessing its bolts from above the transfer cask bottom flange, which is an essential design feature to permit MPC transfer operations described in Chapter 9.

To optimize the shielding in the body of HI-TRAC VW, two design strategies have been employed;

- 1. The height of the HI-TRAC's cavity is set to its optimal value (slightly greater than the MPC height as specified in Table 3.2.1), therefore allowing more shielding to be placed in the radial direction of the transfer cask.
- 2. The thickness of the lead in the transfer cask shall be customized for the host site. The thickness of the lead cylinder can be varied within the limits given in Table 3.2.2. The nominal radial thickness of the water jacket is fixed and therefore the outside diameter of the HI-TRAC will vary accordingly.

The above design approach permits the quantity of shielding around the body of the transfer cask to be maximized for a given length and weight of fuel in keeping with the practices of ALARA. At some host sites, a lead thickness greater than allowed by Table 3.2.2 may be desirable and may be feasible but will require a site-specific safety evaluation.

The use of the suffix VW in the HI-TRAC's designation is intended to convey this Variable Weight feature incorporated by changing the HI-TRAC height and lead thickness to best accord with the MPC height and plant's architecture. Table 3.2.6 provides the operating weight data for a HI-TRAC VW when handling the Reference PWR and BWR fuel in Table 1.0.4.

The principal materials used in the manufacturing of the transfer cask are listed in the licensing drawings and the acceptance criteria are provided in Chapter 10. Tables 1.2.6 and 1.2.7 provide applicable code paragraphs for manufacturing the HI-TRAC VW.

1.2.1.4 Shielding Materials

Steel and concrete are the principal shielding materials in the HI-STORM FW overpack. The steel and concrete shielding materials in the lid provide additional gamma attenuation to reduce both direct and skyshine radiation. The combination of these shielding materials ensures that the radiation and exposure objectives of 10CFR72.104 and 10CFR72.106 are met.

Steel, lead, and water are the principal shielding materials in the HI-TRAC transfer cask. The

combination of these three shielding materials ensures that the radiation and exposure objectives of 10CFR72.106 and ALARA are met. The extent and location of shielding in the transfer cask plays an important role in minimizing the personnel doses during loading, handling, and transfer.

The MPC fuel basket structure provides the initial attenuation of gamma and neutron radiation emitted by the radioactive contents. The MPC shell, baseplate, and thick lid provide additional gamma attenuation to reduce direct radiation.

1.2.1.4.1 <u>Neutron Absorber – Metamic HT</u>

Metamic-HT is the designated neutron absorber in the HI-STORM FW MPC baskets. It is also the structural material of the basket. The properties of Metamic-HT and key characteristics, necessary for ensuring nuclear reactivity control, thermal, and structural performance of the basket, are presented below.

Withheld in Accordance with 10 CFR 2.390

1.2.1.4.2 <u>Neutron Shielding</u>

Neutron shielding in the HI-STORM FW overpack is provided by the thick walls of concrete contained inside the steel vessel and the top lid. Concrete is a shielding material with a long proven history in the nuclear industry. The concrete composition has been specified to ensure its continued integrity under long term temperatures required for SNF storage.

The specification of the HI-STORM FW overpack neutron shielding material is predicated on functional performance criteria. These criteria are:

- Attenuation of neutron radiation to appropriate levels;
- Durability of the shielding material under normal conditions (i.e. under normal condition thermal, chemical, mechanical, and radiation environments);
- Stability of the homogeneous nature of the shielding material matrix;
- Stability of the shielding material in mechanical or thermal accident conditions to the desired performance levels; and
- Predictability of the manufacturing process under adequate procedural control to yield an inplace neutron shield of desired function and uniformity.

Other aspects of a shielding material, such as ease of handling and prior nuclear industry use, are also considered. Final specification of a shield material is a result of optimizing the material properties with respect to the above criteria, along with the design of the shield system, to achieve the desired shielding results.

HOLTEC INTERNATIONAL COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL REPORT HI-2114830

The HI-TRAC VW transfer cask is equipped with a water jacket providing radial neutron shielding. The water in the water jacket may be fortified with ethylene glycol to prevent freezing under low temperature operations [1.2.4].

During certain evolutions in the short term handling operations, the MPC may contain water which will supplement neutron shielding.

1.2.1.4.3 <u>Gamma Shielding Material</u>

Gamma shielding in the HI-STORM FW storage overpack is primarily provided by massive concrete sections contained in the robust steel vessel. The carbon steel in the overpack supplements the concrete gamma shielding. To reduce the radiation streaming through the overpack penetrations, duct photon attenuators may be installed (as discussed previously in section 1.2.1.2) to further decrease radiation streaming from the ducts.

In the HI-TRAC VW transfer cask, the primary gamma shielding is provided by lead. As in the storage overpack, carbon steel supplements the lead gamma shielding of the HI-TRAC VW transfer cask.

In the MPC, the gamma shielding is provided by its stainless steel enclosure vessel (including a thick lid); and its aluminum based fuel basket and aluminum alloy basket shims.

1.2.1.5 Lifting Devices

Lifting and handling of the loaded HI-STORM FW overpack is carried out in the vertical upright configuration using the threaded anchor blocks arranged circumferentially at 90° spacing around the overpack. These anchor blocks are used for overpack lifting as well as securing the overpack lid to the overpack body. The storage overpack may be lifted with a lifting device that engages the anchor blocks with threaded studs and connects to a crane or similar equipment. The overpack anchor blocks are integral to the overpack and designed in accordance with Regulatory Guide 3.61. All lifting appurtenances used with the HI-STORM FW overpack are designed in accordance with NUREG-0612 and ANSI N14.6, as applicable.

Like the storage overpack, the loaded transfer cask is also lifted using a specially engineered appurtenance denoted as the lift block in Table 9.1.2 and Figure 9.2.1. The top flange of the transfer cask is equipped with threaded holes that allow lifting of the loaded HI-TRAC in the vertical upright configuration. These threaded lifting holes are integral to the transfer cask and are designed in accordance with NUREG 0612. All lifting appurtenances used with the HI-TRAC VW are designed in accordance with NUREG-0612 and ANSI N14.6, as applicable.

The top of the MPC lid is equipped with eight threaded holes that allow lifting of the loaded MPC. These holes allow the loaded MPC to be raised and/or lowered through the HI-TRAC VW transfer cask using lifting attachments (functional equivalent of the lift blocks used with HI-TRAC VW). The threaded holes in the MPC lid are integral to the MPC and designed in accordance with NUREG 0612. All lifting appurtenances used with the MPC are designed in accordance with NUREG-0612

HOLTEC INTERNATIONAL COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL REPORT HI-2114830

1-44

and ANSI N14.6, as applicable.

1.2.1.6 Design Life

The design life of the HI-STORM FW System is 60 years. This is accomplished by using materials of construction with a long proven history in the nuclear industry and specifying materials known to withstand their operating environments with little to no degradation (see Chapter 8). A maintenance program, as specified in Chapter 10, is also implemented to ensure the service life of the HI-STORM FW System will exceed its design life of 60 years. The design considerations that assure the HI-STORM FW System performs as designed include the following:

HI-STORM FW Overpack and HI-TRAC VW Transfer Cask

- Exposure to Environmental Effects
- Material Degradation
- Maintenance and Inspection Provisions

<u>MPCs</u>

- Corrosion
- Structural Fatigue Effects
- Maintenance of Helium Atmosphere
- Allowable Fuel Cladding Temperatures
- Neutron Absorber Boron Depletion

The adequacy of the HI-STORM FW System materials for its design life is discussed in Chapter 8. Transportability considerations pursuant to 10CFR72.236(m) are discussed in Section 2.4.

1.2.2 Operational Characteristics

1.2.2.1 Design Features

The design features of the HI-STORM FW System, described in Subsection 1.2.1 in the foregoing, are intended to meet the following principal performance characteristics under all credible modes of operation:

- (a) Maintain subcriticality
- (b) Prevent unacceptable release of contained radioactive material
- (c) Minimize occupational and site boundary dose
- (d) Permit retrievability of contents (fuel must be retrievable from the MPC under normal and offnormal conditions in accordance with ISG-2 and the MPC must be recoverable after accident conditions in accordance with ISG-3)

Chapter 11 identifies the many design features built into the HI-STORM FW System to minimize dose and maximize personnel safety. Among the design features intrinsic to the system that facilitate

HOLTEC INTERNATIONAL COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL

meeting the above objectives are:

- i. The loaded HI-STORM FW overpack and loaded HI-TRAC VW transfer cask are always maintained in a vertical orientation during handling.
- ii. The height of the HI-STORM FW overpack and HI-TRAC VW transfer cask is minimized consistent with the length of the SNF. This eliminates the need for major structural modifications at the plant and/or eliminates operational steps that impact ALARA.
- iii. The extent of shielding in the transfer cask is maximized at each plant within the crane and architectural limitations of the plant by minimizing the height in accordance with the length of the SNF to permit additional shielding material in the walls of the transfer cask.
- iv. The increased number of inlet ducts and the circumferential outlet vents in HI-STORM FW overpack are configured to make the thermal performance less susceptible to wind.
- v. Tall and narrow inlet ducts in the HI-STORM FW overpack in conjunction with the thermosiphon action in the MPC design, render the HI-STORM FW System more resistant to a thermally adverse flood condition (Section 2.2).
- vi. The design of the HI-STORM FW affords the user the flexibility to utilize higher density concrete than the minimum prescribed value in Table 1.2.5 to further reduce the site boundary dose.

The HI-STORM FW overpack utilizes the same cross-connected dual steel shell configuration used in other HI-STORM models. The dual shell steel weldment with an integrally connected baseplate forms a well defined annulus wherein plain concrete of the desired density is installed. While both steel and concrete in the overpack body are effective in neutron and gamma shielding, the principal role of the radially conjoined steel shell is to provide the structural rigidity to support the mass of the shielding concrete. As calculations in Chapter 3 show, the dual steel shell structure can support the mass of concrete utilized to shield against the stored fuel is only limited by the density of the available aggregate. Users of HI-STORM 100 systems have used concrete of density approaching 200 lb/ft³ to realize large dose reductions at ISFSIs to support site specific considerations.

The above comment also applies to the HI-STORM FW overpack lid, which is a massive steel weldment made of plate and shell segments filled with shielding concrete. The steel in the lid, while contributing principally to gamma shielding, provides the needed structural capacity. Concrete performs as a missile barrier and is critical to minimizing skyshine. High density concrete can also used in the HI-STORM FW overpack lid if reducing skyshine is a design objective at a plant.

The site boundary dose from the HI-STORM FW System is minimized by using specially shaped ducts at the bottom of the overpack and in the lid. The ducts and the annular space between the

1 - 46

HOLTEC INTERNATIONAL COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL REPORT HI-2114830

stored MPC and the HI-STORM FW cavity serve to promote ventilation of air to reject the MPC's decay heat to the environment.

The criticality control features of the HI-STORM FW are designed to maintain the neutron multiplication factor k-effective (including uncertainties and calculational bias) at less than 0.95 under all normal, off-normal, and accident conditions of storage as analyzed in Chapter 6.

1.2.2.2 Sequence of Operations

A summary sequence of loading operations necessary to defuel a spent fuel pool using the HI-STORM FW System (shown with MPC Transfer in the plant's Egress Bay) is shown in a series of diagrams in Figure 1.2.3. The loading sequence underscores the inherent simplicity of the loading evolutions and its compliance with ALARA. A more detailed sequence of steps for loading and handling operations is provided in Chapter 9, aided by illustrative figures, to serve as the guidance document for preparing site-specific implementation procedures.

1.2.2.3 Identification of Subjects for Safety and Reliability Analysis

1.2.2.3.1 <u>Criticality Prevention</u>

Criticality is controlled by geometry and neutron absorbing materials in the fuel basket. The entire basket is made of Metamic-HT, a uniform dispersoid of boron carbide and nano-particles of alumina in an aluminum matrix, serves as the neutron absorber. This accrues four major safety and reliability advantages:

- (i) The larger B-10 areal density in the Metamic-HT allows higher enriched fuel (i.e., BWR fuel with planar average initial enrichments greater than 4.5 wt% U-235) without relying on gadolinium or burn-up credit.
- (ii) The neutron absorber cannot be removed from the basket or displaced within it.
- (iii) Axial movement of the fuel with respect to the basket has no reactivity consequence because the entire length of the basket contains the B-10 isotope.
- (iv) The larger B-10 areal density in the Metamic-HT reduces the reliance on soluble boron credit during loading/unloading of PWR fuel.

1.2.2.3.2 <u>Chemical Safety</u>

There are no chemical safety hazards associated with operations of the HI-STORM FW System. A detailed evaluation is provided in Section 3.4.

1.2.2.3.3 Operation Shutdown Modes

The HI-STORM FW System is totally passive and consequently, operation shutdown modes are unnecessary.

1.2.2.3.4 Instrumentation

HOLTEC INTERNATIONAL COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL
As stated earlier, the HI-STORM FW MPC, which is seal welded, non-destructively examined, and pressure tested, confines the radioactive contents. The HI-STORM FW is a completely passive system with appropriate margins of safety; therefore, it is not necessary to deploy any instrumentation to monitor the cask in the storage mode. At the option of the user, temperature elements may be utilized to monitor the air temperature of the HI-STORM FW overpack exit vents in lieu of routinely inspecting the vents for blockage.

1.2.2.3.5 <u>Maintenance Technique</u>

Because of its passive nature, the HI-STORM FW System requires minimal maintenance over its lifetime. No special maintenance program is required. Chapter 10 describes the maintenance program set forth for the HI-STORM FW System.

1.2.3 Cask Contents

This sub-section contains information on the cask contents pursuant to 10 CFR72, paragraphs 72.2(a)(1),(b) and 72.236(a),(c),(h),(m).

The HI-STORM FW System is designed to house both BWR and PWR spent nuclear fuel assemblies. Tables 1.2.1 and 1.2.2 provide key system data and parameters for the MPCs. A description of acceptable fuel assemblies for storage in the MPCs is provided in Section 2.1. This includes fuel assemblies classified as damaged fuel assemblies and fuel debris in accordance with the definitions of these terms in the Glossary. All fuel assemblies, non-fuel hardware, and neutron sources authorized for packaging in the MPCs must meet the fuel specifications provided in Section 2.1. All fuel assemblies classified as damaged fuel or fuel debris must be stored in damaged fuel containers (DFC).

As shown in Figure 1.2.1 (MPC-37) and Figure 1.2.2 (MPC-89), each storage location is assigned to one of three regions, denoted as Region 1, Region 2, and Region 3 with an associated cell identification number. For example, cell identified as 2-4 is Cell 4 in Region 2. A DFC can be stored in the outer peripheral locations of both MPC-37 and MPC-89 as shown in Figures 2.1.1 and 2.1.2, respectively. The permissible heat loads for each cell, region, and the total canister are given in Tables 1.2.3 and 1.2.4 for MPC-37 and MPC-89, respectively.

TABLE 1.2.1					
KEY SYSTEM DATA FOR HI-STORM FW SYSTEM					
ITEM	QUANTITY	NOTES			
Types of MPCs	2	1 for PWR 1 for BWR			
MPC storage capacity [*] :	MPC-37	Up to 37 undamaged ZR clad PWR fuel assemblies with or without non-fuel hardware. Up to 12 damaged fuel containers containing PWR damaged fuel and/or fuel debris may be stored in the locations denoted in Figure 2.1.1 with the remaining basket cells containing undamaged fuel assemblies, up to a total of 37.			
MPC storage capacity [†] :	MPC-89	Up to 89 undamaged ZR clad BWR fuel assemblies. Up to 16 damaged fuel containers containing BWR damaged fuel and/or fuel debris may be stored in locations denoted in Figure 2.1.2 with the remaining basket cells containing undamaged fuel assemblies, up to a total of 89.			

[†] See Chapter 2 for a complete description of authorized cask contents and fuel specifications.

TABLE 1.2.2						
KEY PARAMETERS FOR HI-STORM FW MULTI-PURPOSE CANISTERS						
Parameter	PWR	BWR				
Pre-disposal service life (years)	100	100				
Design temperature, max./min. (°F)	752 ⁺ /-40 ⁺⁺	752 [*] /-40 ^{**}				
Design internal pressure (psig) Normal conditions Off-normal conditions Accident Conditions	100 120 200	100 120 200				
Total heat load, max. (kW)	See Table 1.2.3	See Table 1.2.4				
Maximum permissible peak fuel cladding temperature:						
Long Term Normal ([°] F) Short Term Operations ([°] F) Off-normal and Accident ([°] F)	752 752 or 1058 ^{***} 1058	752 752 or 1058 ^{***} 1058				
Maximum permissible multiplication factor (k _{en}) including all uncertainties and biases	< 0.95	< 0.95				
B₄C content (by weight) (min.) in the Metamic-HT Neutron Absorber (storage cell walls)	10%	10%				
Withheld in Accordance with	Withheld in Accordance	Withheld in Accordance with				
10 CFR 2.390	with 10 CFR 2.390	10 CFR 2.390				
Withheld in Accordance with 10 CFR 2.390	Withheld in Accordance with 10 CFR 2.390	Withheld in Accordance with 10 CFR 2.390				
End closure(s)	Welded	Welded				
Fuel handling	Basket cell openings compatible with standard grapples	Basket cell openings compatible with standard grapples				
Heat dissipation	Passive	Passive				

[†] Maximum normal condition design temperatures for the MPC fuel basket. A complete listing of design temperatures for all components is provided in Table 2.2.3.

^{†††} See Section 4.5 for discussion of the applicability of the 1058°F temperature limit during short-term operations, including MPC drying.

HOLTEC INTERNATIONAL COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL REPORT HI-2114830

^{††} Temperature based on off-normal minimum environmental temperatures specified in Section 2.2.2 and no fuel decay heat load.

,

TABLE 1.2.3 MPC-37 HEAT LOAD DATA (See Figure 1.2.1)							
Number of Regions: 3							
Number of Storage	Number of Storage Cells: 37						
Maximum Heat Loa	ad: 47.05						
Region No.	Decay Heat Limit per	Number of Cells	Decay Heat Limit per				
_	Cell, kW	per Region	Region, kW				
1	1 1.13 9 10.17						
2	1.78	12	21.36				
3	0.97	16	15.52				

Note: See Chapter 4 for decay heat limits per cell when loading high burnup fuel.

TABLE 1.2.4							
MPC-89 HEAT LOAD DATA (See Figure 1.2.2)							
Number of Regions: 3							
Number of Storag	Number of Storage Cells: 89						
Maximum Heat L	oad: 46.36 kW						
Region No.	Region No. Decay Heat Limit per Cell kW Number of Cells Decay Heat Limit per Region Region No. Cell kW per Region Region, kW						
1	1 0.44 9 3.96						
2	0.62	40	24.80				
3	0.44	40	17.60				

Note: See Chapter 4 for decay heat limits per cell when loading high burnup fuel and using vacuum drying of the MPC.

TABLE 1.2.5 CRITICALITY AND SHIELDING SIGNIFICANT SYSTEM DATA					
Item Property Value					
Metamic-HT Neutron Absorber	Nominal Thickness (mm)	10 (MPC-89) 15 (MPC-37)			
	Minimum B ₄ C Weight %	10 (MPC-89) 10 (MPC-37)			
Concrete in HI-STORM FW overpack body and lid	Installed Nominal Density (lb/ft ³)	150 (reference) 200 (maximum)			

	TABLE 1.2.6							
REF	REFERENCE ASME CODE PARAGRAPHS FOR HI-STORM FW OVERPACK and HI-TRAC VW							
	TRANSFER CASK, PRIMARY LOAD BEARING PARTS							
	Item	Code	Notes, Explanation and Applicability					
		Paragraph [*]						
1.	Definition of primary and	NF-1215	-					
	secondary members							
2.	Jurisdictional boundary	NF-1133	The "intervening elements" are termed					
			interfacing SSCs in this FSAR.					
3.	Certification of material	NF-2130	Materials for ITS components shall be certified					
		(b) and (c)	to the applicable Section II of the ASME Code					
			or equivalent ASTM Specification.					
4.	Heat treatment of material	NF-2170	-					
		and NF-2180						
5.	Storage of welding material	NF-2440,	-					
		NF-4411						
6.	Welding procedure specification	Section IX	Acceptance Criteria per Subsection NF					
7.	Welding material	Section II	-					
8.	Definition of Loading conditions	NF-3111						
9.	Allowable stress values	NF-3112.3	-					
10.	Rolling and sliding supports	NF-3124	-					
11.	Differential thermal expansion	NF-3127	-					
12.	Stress analysis	NF-3143	Provisions for stress analysis for Class 3 linear					
		NF-3380	structures is applicable for overpack top lid and					
		NF-3522	the overpack and transfer cask shells.					
		NF-3523						
13.	Cutting of plate stock	NF-4211	-					
		NF-4211.1						
14.	Forming	NF-4212	-					
15.	Forming tolerance	NF-4221	All cylindrical parts.					
16.	Fitting and Aligning Tack Welds	NF-4231	-					
		NF-4231.1						
17.	Alignment	NF-4232	-					
18.	Cleanliness of Weld Surfaces	NF-4412	Applies to structural and non-structural welds					
19.	Backing Strips, Peening	NF-4421	Applies to structural and non-structural welds					
		NF-4422						
20.	Pre-heating and Interpass	NF-4611	Applies to structural and non-structural welds					
	Temperature	NF-4612						
		NF-4613						
21.	Non-Destructive Examination	NF-5360	Invokes Section V, Applies to Code welds only					
22.	NDE Personnel Certification	NF-5522	Applies to Code welds only					
		NF-5523						
		NF-5530						

⁺ All references to the ASME Code refer to applicable sections of the 2007 edition.

	TABLE 1.2.7							
	SUMMARY REQUIREMENTS FOR MANUFACTURING							
}	OF HI-STORM FW SYSTEM COMPONENTS							
	Item	MPC	HI-STORM FW	HI-TRAC VW				
				Transfer Cask				
1.	Material Specification	NB-2000 and	ASME Section II	ASME Section II				
		ASME Section II						
2.	Pre-welding operations (viz.,	NB-4000	Holtec Standard	Holtec Standard				
	cutting, forming, and machining)		Procedures (HSPs)	Procedures (HSPs)				
3.	Weld wire	NB-2000 and	ASME Section II	ASME Section II				
		ASME Section II						
4.	Welding Procedure	ASME Section IX	ASME Section IX	ASME Section IX				
	specifications and reference code	and NB-4000	and ASME					
	for acceptance criteria		Section III,					
			Subsection NF					
5.	NDE Procedures and reference	ASME Section V,	ASME Section V,	ASME Section V,				
	code for acceptance criteria	Subsection NB	Subsection NF	Subsection NF				
6.	Qualification Protocol for	SNT-TC-1A	SNT-TC-1A	SNT-TC-1A				
	Inspection Personnel			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
7. Cleaning		ANSI N45.2.1	ANSI N45.2.1	ANSI N45.2.1				
		Section 2	Section 2	Section 2				
8.	Packaging & Shipping	ANSI N45.2.2	ANSI N45.2.2	ANSI N45.2.2				
9.	Mix or Plain Concrete	N/A	ACI 318 (2005)	N/A				
10.	Inspection and Acceptance	Section 1.5	Section 1.5	Section 1.5				
ļ		Drawings and	Drawings and	Drawings and				
		Chapter 10	Chapter 10	Chapter 10				
11.	Quality Procedures	Holtec Quality	Holtec Quality	Holtec Quality				
		Assurance	Assurance	Assurance				
		Procedures	Procedures	Procedures				
1		Manual	Manual	Manual				

TABLE 1.2.8

Withheld in Accordance with 10 CFR 2.390

HOLTEC INTERNATIONAL COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL REPORT HI-2114830

á I		3-1	3-2	3-3		_
	3-4	2-1	2-2	2-3	3-5	
3-6	2-4	1-1	1-2	1-3	2-5	3-7
3-8	2-6	1-4	1-5	1-6	2-7	3-9
3-10	2-8	1-7	1-8	1-9	2-9	3-11
	3-12	2-10	2-11	2-12	3-13	
		3-14	3-15	3-16		5

Legend

Region-Cell ID



HOLTEC INTERNATIONAL COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL REPORT HI-2114830

1-58

HI-STORM FW FSAR -Non-Proprietary Revision 2, June 24, 2013

		в			3-1	3-2	3-3				
			3-4	3-5	3-6	2-1	3-7	3-8	3-9	4	
		3-10	3-11	2-2	2-3	2-4	2-5	2-6	3-12	3-13	
		3-14	2-7	2-8	2-9	2-10	2-11	2-12	2-13	3-15	
	3-16	3-17	2-14	2-15	1-1	1-2	1-3	2-16	2-17	3-18	3-19
PATTOR - DAY LINE	3-20	2-18	2-19	2-20	1-4	1-5	1-6	2-21	2-22	2-23	3-21
	3-22	3-23	2-24	2-25	1-7	1-8	1-9	2-26	2-27	3-24	3-25
		3-26	2-28	2-29	2-30	2-31	2-32	2-33	2-34	3-27	
		3-28	3-29	2-35	2-36	2-37	2-38	2-39	3-30	3-31	20 20 20 20
	·		3-32	3-33	3-34	2-40	3-35	3-36	3-37		
		·		N.	3-38	3-39	3-40				

Legend

Region-Cell ID

Figure 1.2.2: MPC-89 Basket, Region and Cell Identification

HOLTEC INTERNATIONAL COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL

REPORT HI-2114830

HI-STORM FW FSAR -Non-Proprietary Revision 2, June 24, 2013



FIGURE 1.2.3: SUMMARY OF TYPICAL LOADING OPERATIONS

1-60

HOLTEC INTERNATIONAL COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL REPORT HI-2114830

HI-STORM FW FSAR -Non-Proprietary Revision 2, June 24, 2013



FIGURE 1.2.3 (CONTINUED): SUMMARY OF TYPICAL LOADING OPERATIONS

HOLTEC INTERNATIONAL COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL

REPORT HI-2114830

1-61

Rev.2

HI-STORM FW FSAR -Non-Proprietary Revision 2, June 24, 2013

1.3 IDENTIFICATION OF AGENTS AND CONTRACTORS

This section contains the necessary information to fulfill the requirements pertaining to the qualifications of the applicant pursuant to 10 CFR72.2(a)(1),(b) and 72.230(a). Holtec International, headquartered in Marlton, NJ, is the system designer and applicant for certification of the HI-STORM FW system.

Holtec International is an engineering technology company with a principal focus on the power industry. Holtec International Nuclear Power Division (NPD) specializes in spent fuel storage technologies. NPD has carried out turnkey wet storage capacity expansions (engineering, licensing, fabrication, removal of existing racks, performance of underwater modifications, volume reduction of the old racks and hardware, installation of new racks, and commissioning of the fuel pool for increased storage capacity) in numerous nuclear plants around the world. Over 80 plants in the U.S., Britain, Brazil, Korea, Mexico and Taiwan have utilized the Company's wet storage technology to extend their in-pool storage capacities.

NPD is also a turnkey provider of dry storage and transportation technologies to nuclear plants around the globe. The company is contracted by over 40 nuclear units in the U.S. to provide the company's vertical ventilated dry storage technology. Utilities in China, Korea, Spain, Ukraine, and Switzerland are also active users of Holtec International's dry storage and transport systems.

Four U.S. commercial plants, namely, Dresden Unit 1, Trojan, Indian Point Unit 1, and Humboldt Bay have thus far been completely defueled using Holtec International's technology. For many of its dry storage clients, Holtec International provides all phases of dry storage including: the required site-specific safety evaluations; ancillary designs; manufacturing of all capital equipment; preparation of site construction procedures; personnel training; dry runs; and fuel loading. The USNRC dockets in parts 71 and 72 currently maintained by the Company are listed in Table 1.3.1

Holtec International's corporate engineering consists of professional engineers and experts with extensive experience in every discipline germane to the fuel storage technologies, namely structural mechanics, heat transfer, computational fluid dynamics, and nuclear physics. Virtually all engineering analyses for Holtec's fuel storage projects (including HI-STORM FW) are carried out by the company's full-time staff. The Company is actively engaged in a continuous improvement program of the state-of-the-art in dry storage and transport of spent nuclear fuel. The active patents and patent applications in the areas of dry storage and transport of SNF held by the Company (ca. January 2009) are listed in Table 1.3.2. Many of these listed patents have been utilized in the design of the HI-STORM FW System.

Holtec International's quality assurance (QA) program was originally developed to meet NRC requirements delineated in 10CFR50, Appendix B, and was expanded to include provisions of 10CFR71, Subpart H, and 10CFR72, Subpart G, for structures, systems, and components designated as important to safety. The Holtec quality assurance program, which satisfies all 18 criteria in 10CFR72, Subpart G, that apply to the design, fabrication, construction, testing, operation, modification, and decommissioning of structures, systems, and components important to safety is

incorporated by reference into this FSAR. Holtec International's QA program has been certified by the USNRC (Certificate No. 71-0784).

The HI-STORM FW System will be fabricated by Holtec International Manufacturing Division (HMD) located in Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania. HMD is a long term N-Stamp holder and fabricator of nuclear components. In particular, HMD has been manufacturing HI-STORM and HI-STAR system components since the inception of Holtec International's dry storage and transportation program in the 1990s. HMD routinely manufactures ASME code components for use in the US and overseas nuclear plants. Both Holtec International's headquarters and the HMD subsidiary have been subject to triennial inspections by the USNRC. If another fabricator is to be used for the fabrication of any part of the HI-STORM FW System, the proposed fabricator will be evaluated and audited in accordance with Holtec International's QA program.

The Metamic-HT will be fabricated by Holtec International Nanotec Division (Nanotec) located in Lakeland, Florida. Nanotec has been manufacturing classic Metamic for several years for both dry and wet storage applications and in the last few years has been manufacturing and testing Metamic-HT. If another fabricator is to be used for the fabrication of Metamic-HT, the proposed fabricator will be evaluated and audited in accordance with Holtec International's QA program.

Holtec International's Nuclear Power Division (NPD) also carries out site services for dry storage deployments at nuclear power plants. Several nuclear plants, such as Trojan (completed) and Waterford (ongoing, ca. 2009) have deployed dry storage at their sites using a turn key contract with Holtec International.

TABLE 1.3.1							
USNRC DOCKETS ASSIGNED TO HOLTEC INTERNATIONAL							
System Name Docket Number							
HI-STORM 100 (Storage)	72-1014						
HI-STAR 100 (Storage)	72-1008						
HI-STAR 100 (Transportation)	71-9261						
HI-STAR 180 (Transportation)	71-9325						
HI-STAR 60 (Transportation)	71-9336						
Holtec Quality Assurance Program	71-0784						

TABLE 1.3.2						
DRY STORAGE AND TRANSPORT PATENTS						
ASSIGNED TO HOLTEC INTERNATIONAL						
Colloquial Name of the patent	USPTO Patent Number					
Honeycomb Fuel Basket	5,898,747					
HI-STORM 100S Overpack	6,064,710					
Duct Photon Attenuator	6,519,307B1					
HI-TRAC Operation	6,587,536B1					
Cask Mating Device	6,625,246B1					
(Hermetically Sealable Transfer Cask)	-,,					
Improved Ventilator Overpack	6,718,000B2					
Below Grade Transfer Facility	6,793,450B2					
HERMIT (Seismic Cask Stabilization Device)	6,848,223B2					
Cask Mating Device (operation)	6,853,697					
Davit Crane	6,957,942B2					
Duct-Fed Underground HI-STORM	7,068,748B2					
Forced Helium Dehydrator (design)	7,096,600B2					
Below Grade Cask Transfer Facility	7,139,358B2					
Forced Gas Flow Canister Dehydration	7,210,247B2					
(alternate embodiment)						
HI-TRAC Operation (Maximizing Radiation	7,330,525					
Shielding During Cask Transfer Procedures)						
HI-STORM 100U	7,330,526B2					

٠.

GENERIC CASK ARRAYS 1.4

The HI-STORM FW System is stored in a vertical configuration. The required center-to-center spacing between the modules (layout pitch) on the Independent Spent Fuel Storage Installation (ISFSI) pad is guided by operational considerations such as size, accessibility, security, dose, and functionality. Tables 1.4.1, 1.4.2, and 1.4.3 provide the typical layout pitch information for 2 x N (N can be any integer), 3 x N (N can be any integer), and rectangular arrays, respectively.

The following is a generic discussion on the HI-STORM FW ISFSI pad, its suggested arrangement, and supporting infrastructure. The final design of the ISFSI is the responsibility of the user of the HI-STORM FW System.

The HI-STORM FW ISFSI pad is typically 24" to 28" thick, reinforced concrete supported by engineered fill with depth and properties selected to satisfy a site-specific design. The casks are arrayed in the manner of a rectilinear grid such as that shown in Figures 1.4.1, 1.4.2, and 1.4.3. The pitch values in Table 1.4.1 may be varied to suit the user's specific needs. The spacing (X, Y, etc., in the figures) is chosen to satisfy two competing requirements. Typically, the ISFSI owner desires to minimize the spacing in order to produce self-shielding between the storage casks, however the spacing must also be sufficient to allow the transporter access to emplace and remove the overpacks. The HI-STORM FW spacing (pitch) shown in Table 1.4.1 are typical values that meet both competing requirements.

A Canister Transfer Facility (CTF) may be needed in the future (when the Fuel Building is no longer available) to remove the multi-purpose canister from the HI-STORM FW overpack and place it into a HI-STAR transport cask, suitable for offsite shipment. The MPC transfer should be performed in a controlled area. Therefore, the ISFSI facility should preferably be sized to accommodate the CTF; however the construction of the CTF can be performed during a later development phase.

The general area surrounding the HI-STORM FW ISFSI pad will be graded to be compatible with the current drainage features, with additional storm water catch basins and piping added and incorporated into the existing storm water collection system, as necessary. The general area surrounding the ISFSI pad is typically covered with crushed stone or gravel to provide a suitable surface for the transporter and to prevent weeds and other unsuitable foliage from sprouting.

The ISFSI should have an area designated as a HI-STORM FW fabrication pad. This area is used to prepare HI-STORM FW casks for concrete placement, assembly, touch-up painting, storage, and maintenance between the time of initial on-site delivery and actual MPC transfer. An adjacent garage and maintenance shop may also be required for housing the transfer cask and ancillaries, such as the transporter, lifting appurtenances, etc.

If the ISFSI pad is located outside the plant's protected area, a security post building to provide a weather enclosure for temporary security guard support staff may be needed during casks movement and facility access. The building would also provide a common termination point for security equipment wiring and the HI-STORM FW temperature monitoring data acquisition equipment, if used. A backup power diesel generator and associated transformers may be skid mounted on a pad

adjacent to the security post.

The discussion of the security and related systems below presumes that the ISFSI is located outside the plant's protected area. The security requirements are adjusted accordingly if the ISFSI is located inside the plant's protected area.

The requirements on the security system provided below are generic and illustrative of the state-ofthe-art practice, i.e., they are not meant to be mandatory provisions. The ISFSI owner bears the ultimate responsibility to comply with all security related regulations and mandates.

1.4.2 Security System and Other Ancillary Requirements

A security system for the ISFSI will be designed to include intrusion detection and camera systems, security fencing, lighting, isolation zones, monitoring systems, and electrical supply. The design must be integrated with the existing plant security system and its components. The system must meet the requirements of 10CFR72 and 10CFR73, and shall be integrated into the existing Plant's Physical Security Plan. The design of the security system shall also take into consideration the guidelines provided by NUREG-1619, NUREG-1497, and NRC Regulatory Guide 5.44.

Electrical design features must also be included for HI-STORM FW temperature monitoring, HI-STORM FW grounding, and the storage/maintenance building, as required. The HI-STORM FW temperature monitoring system (if used) will include thermal detectors mounted directly to the overpacks. These detectors will provide continuous monitoring and data acquisition equipment to collect, process, and transmit data to a central computer system to allow frequent review of data results and to indicate any temperature alerts. The storage building should have sufficient electrical power supply to support lights, outlets, and power equipment associated with maintenance of HI-STORM FW ancillary equipment, such as the transporter. In the event of loss of power to the site, a backup power supply is required.

1.4.2.1 Security System

The ISFSI security system design shall provide the layout for all components and associated power and signal wiring. The security interface building located adjacent to the ISFSI would provide a transition point to connect all of the wiring to the existing plant power and data acquisition systems.

The ISFSI security systems will consist of two separate systems supplementing each other: perimeter intrusion detection system (PIDS) and a closed circuit television (CCTV) system. The PIDS will provide an alarm signal to the existing security system whenever one of the perimeter zones has been accessed without authorization. The CCTV system will provide assessment of the alarming zone. Both of these systems have to work with each other in order to provide proper assessment. All signals generated by the security systems will be transmitted to the Central Alarm Station (CAS) through a robust communication means. The ISFSI security system design will be compatible with the plant's existing design.

The security systems design will include details for PIDS mounting, CCTV system mounting, zone arrangements, fiber optic hardware/cable connections for alarm and tamper, camera and microwave

1-67

HOLTEC INTERNATIONAL COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL

unit locations, and upgrades to the existing security system to accommodate the new ISFSI systems.

1.4.2.2 Lighting System

The design of the lighting system includes light fixture selection, quantity, mounting, and arrangement throughout ISFSI perimeter and the assessment of illumination levels in foot-candles.

The illumination levels required at the perimeter area and inside the plant's protected area will be maintained at the ISFSI in accordance with plant commitments and regulatory requirements. The design will also include infrared illuminators to be installed, as an option with the CCTV system cameras to provide minimum light level required for IR sensitive cameras.

1.4.2.3 Fence System

The design for ISFSI perimeter fence includes a double fence configuration. The inner fence will be the protected area perimeter and the outer fence will be a nuisance fence to establish the appropriate isolation zone. The typical fence arrangements, including man-gates; vehicle gates; and grounding details; will be based on the existing plant fence specifications and design standards.

1.4.2.4 Electrical System

The conceptual design for the electrical system would entail the following activities and use their results as inputs:

- design for security systems (PIDS and CCTV)
- design for perimeter lighting system (PLS)
- design for temperature monitoring system (TMS) (if used)
- design for storage/support building

The total ISFSI site load will determine what type and size of power source will be used in this application. The existing power distribution facilities must be reviewed to determine a capability of the potential power sources. To be able to add the new ISFSI load to an existing system an analysis will be completed including the evaluation of the existing loads on 4160VAC line, cable sizes, and the approximate cable length. The transformers (4160-480V and 480-208/120V) will be sized accordingly to accommodate a new distribution system. The conceptual design will also include all the aspects of sizing a backup power distribution system based on providing a dedicated diesel generator as a source.

1.4.2.5 Cask Grounding System

The design of the grounding system should be based on NEC requirements and engineering and plant practices. The new grounding system, if required, will surround the ISFSI perimeter and provide a ground path for all ISFSI related equipment and structures including storage casks, microwave equipment and mounting poles, camera and towers, security lighting, perimeter fences, and the security building at the ISFSI site. The grounding system will be connected to the primary source transformer ground.

TABLE 1.4.1							
TYPICAL (AND MINIMUM) LAYOUT PITCH AND SPACING DIMENSIONS FOR HI- STORM FW ARRAYS							
ItemLayout inLayout inFigure 1.4.1Figure 1.4.2Figure 1.4.3							
XI 16 ft (15 ft) 16 ft (15 ft) 16 ft (15 ft)							
YI	16 ft (15 ft)	16 ft (15 ft)	16 ft (15 ft)				
Y2	12 ft	12 ft	N/A				
Y3	12 ft	12 ft	N/A				



FIGURE 1.4.1: 2xN HI-STORM FW ARRAYS

HOLTEC INTERNATIONAL COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL REPORT HI-2114830

HI-STORM FW FSAR -Non-Proprietary Revision 2, June 24, 2013



FIGURE 1.4.2: 3xN HI-STORM FW ARRAYS



FIGURE 1.4.3: RECTANGULAR HI-STORM FW ARRAY

1.5 DRAWINGS

The following HI-STORM FW System drawings are provided on subsequent pages in this section to fulfill the requirements in 10 CFR 72.2(a)(1).(b) and 72.230(a):

Drawing No.	Title	Revision
6494	HI-STORM FW BODY	6
6508	HI-STORM LID ASSEMBLY	5
6514	HI-TRAC VW – MPC-37	5
6799	HI-TRAC VW – MPC-89	6
6505	MPC-37 ENCLOSURE VESSEL	6
6506	MPC-37 FUEL BASKET	8
6512	MPC-89 ENCLOSURE VESSEL	7
6507	MPC-89 FUEL BASKET	8

Withheld in Accordance with 10 CFR 2.390

1.6 REFERENCES

[1.0.1]	10 CFR Part 72, "Licensing Requirements for the Independent Storage of Spent Fuel,
	High-level Radioactive Waste, and Reactor-Related Greater than Class C Waste",
	Title 10 of the Code of Federal Regulations- Energy, Office of the Federal Register,
	Washington, D.C.

- [1.0.2] Regulatory Guide 3.61 (Task CE306-4) "Standard Format for a Topical Safety Analysis Report for a Spent Fuel Storage Cask", USNRC, February 1989.
- [1.0.3] NUREG-1536, "Standard Review Plan for Dry Cask Storage Systems", U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, January 1997.
- [1.0.4] Regulatory Guide 1.76 "Design-Basis Tornado and Tornado Missiles for Nuclear Power Plant", U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, March 2007.
- [1.1.1] ASME Boiler & Pressure Vessel Code, Section III, Subsection NB, American Society of Mechanical Engineers, New York, 2007.
- [1.1.2] 10CFR Part 50, "Domestic Licensing of Production and Utilization Facilities", Title 10 of the Code of Federal Regulations, Office of the Federal Register, Washington, D.C.
- [1.1.3] USNRC Docket 72-1014, "Final Safety Analysis Report for the HI-STORM 100 System", Holtec Report No. HI-2002444, latest revision.
- [1.1.4] NUREG/CR-6407, "Classification of Transportation Packaging and Dry Spent Fuel Storage System Components According to Importance to Safety", U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, February 1996.
- [1.2.1] U.S. NRC Information Notice 96-34, "Hydrogen Gas Ignition During Closure Welding of a VSC-24 Multi-Assembly Sealed Basket".
- [1.2.2] American Concrete Institute, "Building Code Requirements for Structural Plain Concrete (ACI 318.1-89) (Revised 1992) and Commentary - ACI 318.1R-89 (Revised 1992)".
- [1.2.3] ANSI N14.6-1993, "American National Standard for Radioactive Materials Special Lifting Devices for Shipping Containers Weighing 10,000 Pounds (4,500 Kg) or More", American National Standards Institute, Inc., Washington D.C., June 1993.
- [1.2.4] Companion Guide to the ASME Boiler & Pressure Vessel Code, K.R. Rao (editor), Chapter 56, "Management of Spent Nuclear Fuel", Third Edition, ASME (2009).
- [1.2.5] HI-STAR 180 Transportation Package, USNRC Docket No. 71-9325.

HOLTEC INTERNATIONAL COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL REPORT HI-2114830

- [1.2.6] Metamic-HT Qualification Sourcebook", Holtec Report No. HI-2084122, (2010) (Holtec Proprietary).
- [1.2.7] Metamic-HT Manufacturing Manual", Nanotec Metals Division, Holtec International, (2009) (Holtec Proprietary).
- [1.2.8] Metamic-HT Purchasing Specification", Holtec Document ID PS-11 (Holtec Proprietary).
- [1.2.9] Sampling Procedures and Tables for Inspection by Attributes", Military Standard MIL-STD-105E, (10/5/1989).
- [1.2.10] USNRC Docket No. 72-1004 SER on NUHOMS 61BT (2002).
- [1.2.11] Safety Evaluation by the Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation Related to Holtec International Report HI-2022871 Regarding Use of Metamic in Fuel Pool Applications," Facility Operating License Nos. DPR-51 and NPF-6, Entergy Operations, Inc., docket No. 50-313 and 50-368, USNRC, June 2003.
- [1.2.12] Dynamic Mechanical Response and Microstructural Evolution of High Strength Aluminum-Scandium (AI-Sc) Alloy, by W.S. Lee and T.H. Chen, Materials Transactions, Vol. 47, No. 2(2006), pp 355-363, Japan Institute for metals.
- [1.2.13] Turner, S.E., "Reactivity Effects of Streaming Between Discrete Boron Carbide Particles in Neutron Absorber Panels for Storage or Transport of Spent Nuclear Fuel," Nuclear Science and Engineering, Vol. 151, Nov. 2005, pp. 344-347.
- [1.2.14] Natrella, M.G., "Experimental Statistics", National Bureau of Standards Handbook 91, National Bureau of Standards, Washington, DC, 1963.

APPENDIX 1.A: ALLOY X DESCRIPTION

1.A.1 Introduction

Alloy X is used within this licensing application to designate a group of stainless steel alloys. Alloy X can be any one of the following alloys:

- Type 316
- Type 316LN
- Type 304
- Type 304LN

Qualification of structures made of Alloy X is accomplished by using the least favorable mechanical and thermal properties of the entire group for all MPC mechanical, structural, neutronic, radiological, and thermal conditions. The Alloy X approach is conservative because no matter which material is ultimately utilized, the Alloy X approach guarantees that the performance of the MPC will meet or exceed the analytical predictions.

This appendix defines the least favorable material properties of Alloy X.

1.A.2 Common Material Properties

Several material properties do not vary significantly from one Alloy X constituent to the next. These common material properties are as follows:

- density
- specific heat
- Young's Modulus (Modulus of Elasticity)
- Poisson's Ratio

The values utilized for this licensing application are provided in their appropriate chapters.

1.A.3 Least Favorable Material Properties

The following material properties vary between the Alloy X constituents:

- Design Stress Intensity (S_m)
- Tensile (Ultimate) Strength (S_u)
- Yield Strength (S_y)
- Coefficient of Thermal Expansion (α)
- Coefficient of Thermal Conductivity (k)

Each of these material properties are provided in the ASME Code Section II [1.A.1]. Tables 1.A.1 through 1.A.5 provide the ASME Code values for each constituent of Alloy X along with the least

HOLTEC INTERNATIONAL COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL REPORT HI-2114830

favorable value utilized in this licensing application. The ASME Code only provides values to -20° F. The lower bound service temperature of the MPC is -40° F. Most of the above-mentioned properties become increasingly favorable as the temperature drops. Conservatively, the values at the lowest design temperature for the HI-STORM FW System have been assumed to be equal to the lowest value stated in the ASME Code. The lone exception is the thermal conductivity. The thermal conductivity decreases with the decreasing temperature. The thermal conductivity value for -40° F is linearly extrapolated from the 70° F value using the difference from 70° F to 100° F.

The Alloy X material properties are the minimum values of the group for the design stress intensity, tensile strength, yield strength, and coefficient of thermal conductivity. Using minimum values of design stress intensity is conservative because lower design stress intensities lead to lower allowables that are based on design stress intensity. Similarly, using minimum values of tensile strength and yield strength is conservative because lower values of tensile strength and yield strength is conservative because lower values of tensile strength and yield strength lead to lower allowables that are based on tensile strength and yield strength. When compared to calculated values, these lower allowables result in factors of safety that are conservative for any of the constituent materials of Alloy X. The maximum and minimum values are used for the coefficient of thermal expansion of Alloy X. The maximum and minimum coefficients of thermal expansion are used as appropriate in this submittal.

1.A.4 References

[1.A.1] ASME Boiler & Pressure Vessel Code, Section II, Materials (2007).

1.A-2

TABLE 1.A.1					
DESIGN STRESS INTENSITY (S _m) vs. TEMPERATURE FOR THE ALLOY-X MATERIALS					
Temp. (°F)	Type 304	Type 304LN	Type 316	Type 316LN	Alloy X (minimum of constituent values)
-40	20.0	20.0	20.0	20.0	20.0
100	20.0	20.0	20.0	20.0	20.0
200	20.0	20.0	20.0	20.0	20.0
300	20.0	20.0	20.0	20.0	20.0
400	18.6	18.6	19.3	18.9	18.6
500	17.5	17.5	18.0	17.5	17.5
600	16.6	16.6	17.0	16.5	16.5
650	16.2	16.2	16.6	16.0	16.0
700	15.8	15.8	16.3	15.6	15.6
750	15.5	15.5	16.1	15.2	15.2
800	15.2	15.2	15.9	14.8	14.8

Notes:

- 1. Source: Table 2A on pages 308, 312, 316, and 320 of [1.A.1].
- 2. Units of design stress intensity values are ksi.

TABLE I.A.2					
TENSILE STRENGTH (S_u) vs. TEMPERATURE OF ALLOY-X MATERIALS					
Temp. (⁰F)	Type 304	Type 304LN	Type 316	Type 316LN	Alloy X (minimum of constituent values)
-40	75.0 (70.0)	75.0 (70.0)	75.0 (70.0)	75.0 (70.0)	75.0 (70.0)
100	75.0 (70.0)	75.0 (70.0)	75.0 (70.0)	75.0 (70.0)	75.0 (70.0)
200	71.0 (66.3)	71.0 (66.3)	75.0 (70.0)	75.0 (70.0)	71.0 (66.3)
300	66.2 (61.8)	66.2 (61.8)	72.9 (68.0)	70.7 (66.0)	66.2 (61.8)
400	64.0 (59.7)	64.0 (59.7)	71.9 (67.1)	67.1 (62.6)	64.0 (59.7)
500	63.4 (59.2)	63.4 (59.2)	71.8 (67.0)	64.6 (60.3)	63.4 (59.2)
600	63.4 (59.2)	63.4 (59.2)	71.8 (67.0)	63.3 (59.0)	63.3 (59.0)
650	63.4 (59.2)	63.4 (59.2)	71.8 (67.0)	62.8 (58.6)	62.8 (58.6)
700	63.4 (59.2)	63.4 (59.2)	71.8 (67.0)	62.4 (58.3)	62.4 (58.3)
750	63.3 (59.0)	63.3 (59.0)	71.5 (66.7)	62.1 (57.9)	62.1 (57.9)
800	62.8 (58.6)	62.8 (58.6)	70.8 (66.1)	61.7 (57.6)	61.7 (57.6)

Notes:

- 1. Source: Table U on pages 514, 516, 518, 520, and 522 of [1.A.1].
- 2. Units of tensile strength are ksi.
- 3. The ultimate stress of Alloy X is dependent on the product form of the material (i.e., forging vs. plate). Values in parentheses are based on SA-336 forged materials (type F304, F304LN, F316, and F316LN), which are used solely for the one-piece construction MPC lids. All other values correspond to SA-240 plate material.

1.A-4

ΤΑΡΙΕΊΑ?					
TABLE LA.3					
YIEL	D STRESSES (S	S _y) vs. TEMPER	ATURE OF AL	LOY-X MATER	RIALS
Temp. (°F)	Type 304	Type 304LN	Type 316	Type 316LN	Alloy X (minimum of constituent values)
-40	30.0	30.0	30.0	30.0	30.0
100	30.0	30.0	30.0	30.0	30.0
200	25.0	25.0	25.9	25.5	25.0
300	22.4	22.4	23.4	22.9	22.4
400	20.7	20.7	21.4	21.0	20.7
500	19.4	19.4	20.0	19.5	19.4
600	18.4	18.4	18.9	18.3	18.3
650	18.0	18.0	18.5	17.8	17.8
700	17.6	17.6	18.2	17.3	17.3
750	17.2	17.2	17.9	16.9	16.9
800	16.9	16.9	17.7	16.5	16.5

Notes:

1. Source: Table Y-1 on pages 634, 638, 646, and 650 of [1.A.1].

2. Units of yield stress are ksi.

TABLE I.A.4				
COEFFICIENT OF THERMAL EXPANSION vs. TEMPERATURE OF ALLOY-X MATERIALS				
Temp. (°F)	Type 304, 304LN, 316, 316LN			
-40				
100	8.6			
150	8.8			
200	8.9			
250	9.1			
300	9.2			
350	9.4			
400	9.5			
450	9.6			
500	9.7			
550	9.8			
600	9.8			
650	9.9			
700	10.0			
750	10.0			
800	10.1			
850	10.2			
900	10.2			
950	10.3			
1000	10.3			
1050	10.4			
1100	10.4			

Notes:

- 1. Source: Group 3 alloys from Table TE-1 on pages 749 and 751 of [1.A.1].
- 2. Units of mean coefficient of thermal expansion are in./in./ $^{\circ}$ F x 10⁻⁶.

HOLTEC INTERNATIONAL COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL REPORT HI-2114830

1.A-6

TABLE 1.A.5				
THERMAL CONDUCTIVITY vs. TEMPERATURE OF ALLOY-X MATERIALS				
Temp. (°F)	Type 304 and Type 304LN	Type 316 and Type 316LN	Alloy X (minimum of constituent values)	
-40				
70	8.6	8.2	8.2	
100	8.7	8.3	8.3	
150	9.0	8.6	8.6	
200	9.3	8.8	8.8	
250	9.6	9.1	9.1	
300	9.8	9.3	9.3	
350	10.1	9.5	9.5	
400	10.4	9.8	9.8	
450	10.6	10.0	10.0	
500	10.9	10.2	10.2	
550	11.1	10.5	10.5	
600	11.3	10.7	10.7	
650	11.6	10.9	10.9	
700	11.8	11.2	11.2	
750	12.0	11.4	11.4	
800	12.3	11.6	11.6	
850	12.5	11.9	11.9	
900	12.7	12.1	12.1	
950	12.9	12.3	12.3	
1000	13.1	12.5	12.5	
1050	13.4	12.8	12.8	
1100	13.6	13.0	13.0	

Notes:

1. Source: Material groups J and K in Table TCD on page 765, 766, and 775 of [1.A.1].

2. Units of thermal conductivity are Btu/hr-ft-°F.

HOLTEC INTERNATIONAL COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL REPORT HI-2114830

1.A-7 HI-STORM FW FSAR -Non-Proprietary Revision 2, June 24, 2013

Appendix 1.B (intentionally deleted)

ļ
Appendix 1.C (intentionally deleted)

HOLTEC INTERNATIONAL COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL REPORT HI-2114830 l

1.C-1

CHAPTER 2[†]: PRINCIPAL DESIGN CRITERIA

2.0 INTRODUCTION

The design characteristics of the HI-STORM FW System are presented in Chapter 1, Section 1.2. This chapter contains a compilation of loadings and design criteria applicable to the HI-STORM FW System. The loadings and conditions prescribed herein for the MPC, particularly those pertaining to mechanical accidents, are consistent with those required for 10CFR72 compliance. This chapter sets forth the loading conditions and relevant acceptance criteria; it does not provide results of any analyses. The analyses and results carried out to demonstrate compliance with the structural design criteria are presented in the subsequent chapters of this FSAR.

This chapter is in full compliance with NUREG-1536, with the exceptions and clarifications provided in Table 1.0.3. Table 1.0.3 summarizes the NUREG-1536 review guidance, the justification for the exception or clarification, and the Holtec approach to meet the intent of the NUREG-1536 guidance.

The design criteria for the MPCs, HI-STORM FW overpack, and HI-TRAC VW transfer cask are summarized in Subsections 2.0.1, 2.0.2, and 2.0.3, respectively, and described in the sections that follow.

2.0.1 MPC Design Criteria

<u>General</u>

The MPC is engineered for a 60 year design life, while satisfying the requirements of 10CFR72. The adequacy of the MPC to meet the above design life is discussed in Section 3.4. The design characteristics of the MPC are described in Section 1.2.

Structural

The MPC is classified as important-to-safety. The MPC structural components include the fuel basket and the enclosure vessel. The fuel basket is designed and fabricated to meet a more stringent displacement limit under mechanical loadings than those implicit in the stress limits of the ASME code (see Section 2.2). The MPC enclosure vessel is designed and fabricated as a Class 1 pressure vessel in accordance with Section III, Subsection NB of the ASME Code, with

2-1

This chapter has been prepared in the format and section organization set forth in Regulatory Guide 3.61. The material content of this chapter also fulfills the requirements of NUREG-1536.
 Pagination and numbering of sections, figures, and tables are consistent with the convention set down in Chapter 1, Section 1.0, herein. All terms-of-art used in this chapter are consistent with the terminology of the Glossary.

certain necessary alternatives, as discussed in Section 2.2. The principal exception to the above Code pertains to the MPC lid, vent and drain port cover plates, and closure ring welds to the MPC lid and shell, as discussed in Section 2.2. In addition, Threaded Anchor Locations (TALs) in the MPC lid are designed in accordance with the requirements of NUREG-0612 for critical lifts to facilitate handling of the loaded MPC.

The MPC closure welds are partial penetration welds that are structurally qualified by analysis in Chapter 3. The MPC lid and closure ring welds are inspected by performing a liquid penetrant examination in accordance with the drawings contained in Section 1.5. The integrity of the MPC lid-to-shell weld is further verified by performing a progressive liquid penetrant examination of the weld layers, and a Code pressure test.

The structural analysis of the MPC, in conjunction with the redundant closures and nondestructive examination, pressure testing, and helium leak testing provides assurance of canister closure integrity in lieu of the specific weld joint configuration requirements of Section III, Subsection NB.

Compliance with the ASME Code, with respect to the design and fabrication of the MPC, and the associated justification are discussed in Section 2.2. The MPC design is analyzed for all design basis normal, off-normal, and postulated accident conditions, as defined in Section 2.2. The required characteristics of the fuel assemblies to be stored in the MPC are limited in accordance with Section 2.1.

<u>Thermal</u>

The thermal design and operation of the MPC in the HI-STORM FW System meets the intent of the review guidance contained in ISG-11, Revision 3 [2.0.1]. Specifically, the ISG-11 provisions that are explicitly invoked and satisfied are:

- i. The thermal acceptance criteria for all commercial spent fuel (CSF) authorized by the USNRC for operation in a commercial reactor are unified into one set of requirements.
- ii. The maximum value of the calculated temperature for all CSF under long-term normal conditions of storage must remain below 400°C (752°F). For short-term operations, including canister drying, helium backfill, and on-site cask transport operations, the fuel cladding temperature must not exceed 400°C (752°F) for high burnup fuel (HBF) and 570°C (1058°F) for moderate burnup fuel.
- iii. The maximum fuel cladding temperature as a result of an off-normal or accident event must not exceed 570°C (1058°F).
- iv. For HBF, operating restrictions are imposed to limit the maximum temperature excursion during short-term operations to 65°C (117°F) and the number of excursions to less than 10.

HOLTEC INTERNATIONAL COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL REPORT HI-2114830

To achieve compliance with the above criteria, certain design and operational changes are necessary, as summarized below.

- i. The peak fuel cladding temperature limit (PCT) for long term storage operations and short term operations is generally set at 400°C (752°F). However, for MPCs containing <u>all</u> moderate burnup fuel, the fuel cladding temperature limit for short-term operations is set at 570°C (1058°F) because the nominal fuel cladding stress is shown to be less than 90 MPa [2.0.2]. Appropriate analyses have been performed as discussed in Chapter 4 and operating restrictions have been added to ensure these limits are met.
- ii. A method of drying, such as forced helium dehydration (FHD) is used if the above temperature limits for short-term operations cannot be met.
- iii. The off-normal and accident condition PCT limit remains unchanged at 570 °C (1058°F).

The MPC cavity is dried, either with FHD or vacuum drying, and then it is backfilled with high purity helium to promote heat transfer and prevent cladding degradation.

The normal condition design temperatures for the stainless steel components in the MPC are provided in Table 2.2.3.

Each MPC model allows for regionalized storage where the basket is segregated into three regions as shown in Figures 1.2.1 and 1.2.2. Decay heat limits for regionalized loading are presented in Tables 1.2.3 and 1.2.4 for MPC-37 and MPC-89, respectively. Specific requirements, such as approved locations for DFCs and non-fuel hardware are given in Section 2.1.

Shielding

The dose limits for an ISFSI using the HI-STORM FW System are delineated in 10CFR72.104 and 72.106. Compliance with these regulations for any particular array of casks at an ISFSI is necessarily site-specific and must be demonstrated by the licensee. Dose for a single cask and a representative cask array is illustrated in Chapter 5.

The MPC provides axial shielding at the top and bottom ends to maintain occupational exposures ALARA during canister closure and handling operations. The HI-TRAC VW bottom lid also contains shielding. The occupational doses are controlled in accordance with plant-specific procedures and ALARA requirements (discussed in Chapter 9).

The dose evaluation is performed for a reference fuel (Table 1.0.4) as described in Section 5.2. Calculated dose rates for each MPC are provided in Section 5.1. These dose rates are used to perform an occupational exposure (ALARA) evaluation, as discussed in Chapter 11.

HOLTEC INTERNATIONAL COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL REPORT HI-2114830

2 - 3

Criticality

The MPC provides criticality control for all design basis normal, off-normal, and postulated accident conditions, as discussed in Section 6.1. The effective neutron multiplication factor is limited to $k_{eff} < 0.95$ for fresh (unirradiated) fuel with optimum water moderation and close reflection, including all biases, uncertainties, and manufacturing tolerances.

Criticality control is maintained by the geometric spacing of the fuel assemblies and the spatially distributed B-10 isotope in the Metamic-HT fuel basket, and for the PWR MPC model, the additional soluble boron in the MPC water. The minimum specified boron concentration in the purchasing specification for Metamic-HT must be met in every lot of the material manufactured. The guaranteed B-10 value in the neutron absorber, assured by the manufacturing process, is further reduced by 10% (90% credit is taken for the Metamic-HT) to accord with NUREG/CR-5661. No credit is taken for fuel burnup or integral poisons such as gadolinia in BWR fuel. The soluble boron concentration requirements (for PWR fuel only) based on the initial enrichment of the fuel assemblies are delineated in Section 2.1 consistent with the criticality analysis described in Chapter 6.

Confinement

The MPC provides for confinement of all radioactive materials for all design basis normal, offnormal, and postulated accident conditions. As discussed in Section 7.1, the HI-STORM FW MPC design meets the guidance in Interim Staff Guidance (ISG)-18 so that leakage of radiological matter from the confinement boundary is non-credible. Therefore, no confinement dose analysis is required or performed. The confinement function of the MPC is verified through pressure testing, helium leak testing, and a rigorous weld examination regimen executed in accordance with the acceptance test program in Chapter 10.

Operations

There are no radioactive effluents that result from storage or transfer operations. Effluents generated during MPC loading are handled by the plant's radioactive waste system and procedures.

Generic operating procedures for the HI-STORM FW System are provided in Chapter 9. Detailed operating procedures will be developed by the licensee using the information provided in Chapter 9 along with the site-specific requirements that comply with the 10CFR50 Technical Specifications for the plant, and the HI-STORM FW System Certificate of Compliance (CoC).

Acceptance Tests and Maintenance

The acceptance criteria and maintenance program to be applied to the MPC are described in Chapter 10. The operational controls and limits to be applied to the MPC are discussed in Chapter 13. Application of these requirements will assure that the MPC is fabricated, operated, and maintained in a manner that satisfies the design criteria defined in this chapter.

HOLTEC INTERNATIONAL COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL

REPORT HI-2114830

Decommissioning

The MPC is designed to be transportable in a HI-STAR overpack and is not required to be unloaded prior to shipment off-site. Decommissioning of the HI-STORM FW System is addressed in Section 2.4.

2.0.2 HI-STORM FW Overpack Design Criteria

General

The HI-STORM FW overpack is engineered for a 60 year Design Life while satisfying the requirements of 10CFR72. The adequacy of the overpack to meet the required design life is discussed in Subsection 3.4.7. The design characteristics of the HI-STORM FW overpack are summarized in Subsection 1.2.1.

Structural

The HI-STORM FW overpack includes both concrete and structural steel parts that are classified as important-to-safety.

The concrete material is defined as important-to-safety because of its shielding function. The primary function of the HI-STORM FW overpack concrete is shielding of the gamma and neutron radiation emitted by the spent nuclear fuel.

The HI-STORM FW overpack plain concrete is enclosed in steel inner and outer shells connected to each other by radial ribs, and top and bottom plates. As the HI-STORM FW overpack concrete is not reinforced, the structural analysis of the overpack only credits the compressive strength of the concrete in the analysis to provide an appropriate simulation of the accident conditions postulated in this FSAR. The technical requirements on testing and qualification of the HI-STORM FW overpack plain concrete are in Appendix 1.D of the HI-STORM 100 FSAR. Appendix 1.D is incorporated in this FSAR by reference.

There is no U.S. or international code that is sufficiently comprehensive to provide a completely prescriptive set of requirements for the design, manufacturing, and structural qualification of the overpack. The various sections of the ASME Codes, however, contain a broad range of specifications that can be assembled to provide a complete set of requirements for the design, analysis, shop manufacturing, and final field construction of the overpack. The portions or whole of the Codes and Standards that are invoked for the various elements of the overpack design, analysis, and manufacturing activities (viz., materials, fabrication and inspection) are summarized in Tables 1.2.6 and 1.2.7.

The ASME Boiler and Pressure Vessel Code (ASME Code) Section III, Subsection NF Class 3, [2.0.3], is the applicable code to determine stress limits for the load bearing components of the

HOLTEC INTERNATIONAL COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL REPORT HI-2114830

Rev. 2

1

overpack when required by the acceptance criteria set down in this chapter. The material types used in the components of the HI-STORM FW System are listed in the licensing drawings.

ACI 318-05 [2.0.4] is the applicable reference code to establish the limits on unreinforced concrete (in the Closure Lid), which is subject to secondary structural loadings. Appendix 1.D contains the design, construction, and testing criteria applicable to the plain concrete in the overpack lid.

As mandated by 10CFR72.24(c)(3) and §72.44(d), Holtec International's quality assurance (QA) program requires all constituent parts of an SSC subject to NRC certification under 10CFR72 to be assigned an ITS category appropriate to its function in the control and confinement of radiation. The ITS designations (ITS or NITS) for the constituent parts of the HI-STORM FW System are provided in the licensing drawings. The QA categorization level (A, B, or C) for ITS parts is provided in Tables 2.0.1 through 2.0.8. A table exists for each licensing drawing and provides the QA level for the parts designated as ITS on the licensing drawings.

The excerpts from the codes, standards, and generally recognized industry publications invoked in this FSAR, supplemented by the commitments in Holtec's QA procedures, provide the necessary technical framework to ensure that the as-installed system would meet the intent of §72.24(c), §72.120(a) and §72.236(b). As required by Holtec's QA Program (discussed in Chapter 14), all operations on ITS components must be performed under QA validated written procedures and specifications that are in compliance with the governing citations of codes, standards, and practices set down in this FSAR.

The overpack is designed for all normal, off-normal, and design basis accident condition loadings, as defined in Section 2.2.

<u>Thermal</u>

The thru-thickness temperature limits for the plain concrete in the overpack for long term and short term temperatures are in Table 2.2.3. The allowable temperatures for the structural steel components are based on the maximum temperature for which material properties and allowable stresses are provided in Section II of the ASME Code. The specific allowable temperatures for the structural steel components of the overpack are provided in Table 2.2.3.

The overpack is designed for extreme cold conditions, as discussed in Subsection 2.2.2. The brittle fracture assessment of structural steel materials used in the storage cask is considered in Section 3.1.

The overpack is designed to dissipate the maximum allowable heat load (shown in Tables 1.2.3 and 1.2.4) from the MPC. The thermal characteristics of the MPC stored inside the overpack are evaluated in Chapter 4.

Shielding

HOLTEC INTERNATIONAL COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL REPORT HI-2114830

The off-site dose for normal operating conditions to a real individual beyond the controlled area boundary is limited by 10CFR72.104(a) to a maximum of 25 mrem/year whole body, 75 mrem/year thyroid, and 25 mrem/year for other critical organs, including contributions from all nuclear fuel cycle operations. Since these limits are dependent on plant operations as well as site-specific conditions (e.g., the ISFSI design and proximity to the controlled area boundary, and the number and arrangement of loaded storage casks on the ISFSI pad), the determination and comparison of ISFSI doses to this limit are necessarily site-specific. Dose rates for a single cask and a range of typical ISFSIs using the HI-STORM FW System are provided in Chapter 5. The determination of site-specific ISFSI dose rates at the site boundary and demonstration of compliance with regulatory limits is to be performed by the licensee in accordance with 10CFR72.212.

The overpack is designed to limit the calculated surface dose rates on the cask for all MPC designs as defined in Subsection 2.3.5. The overpack is also designed to maintain occupational exposures ALARA during MPC processing, in accordance with 10CFR20. The calculated overpack dose rates are determined in Section 5.1. These dose rates are used to perform a generic occupational exposure estimate for MPC operations and a site boundary dose assessment for a typical ISFSI, as described in Chapter 11.

Confinement

The overpack does not perform any confinement function. Confinement during storage is provided by the MPC. The overpack provides physical protection and radiation shielding of the MPC contents during dry storage operations.

Operations

There are no radioactive effluents that result from MPC operations after the MPC is sealed or during storage operations. Effluents generated during MPC loading and closure operations are handled by the plant's radwaste system and procedures under the licensee's 10CFR50 license.

Generic operating procedures for the HI-STORM FW System are provided in Chapter 9. The licensee is required to develop detailed operating procedures based on Chapter 9 with due consideration of site-specific conditions including the applicable 10CFR50 technical specification requirements for the site, and the HI-STORM FW System CoC.

Acceptance Tests and Maintenance

The acceptance criteria and maintenance program to be applied to the overpack are described in Chapter 10. The operational controls and limits to be applied to the overpack are contained in Chapter 13. Application of these requirements will assure that the overpack is fabricated, operated, and maintained in a manner that satisfies the design criteria defined in this chapter.

2-7

Decommissioning

HOLTEC INTERNATIONAL COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL REPORT HI-2114830

Decommissioning considerations for the HI-STORM FW System, including the overpack, are addressed in Section 2.4.

2.0.3 HI-TRAC VW Transfer Cask Design Criteria

<u>General</u>

The HI-TRAC VW transfer cask is engineered for a 60 year design life. The adequacy of the HI-TRAC VW to meet the above design life commitment is discussed in Section 3.4. The design characteristics of the HI-TRAC VW cask are presented in Subsection 1.2.1.

Structural

The HI-TRAC VW transfer cask includes both structural and non-structural radiation shielding components that are classified as important-to-safety. The structural steel components of the HI-TRAC VW are designed to meet the stress limits of Section III, Subsection NF, of the ASME Code for normal and off-normal storage conditions. The threaded anchor locations for lifting and handling of the transfer cask are designed in accordance with the requirements of NUREG-0612 and Regulatory Guide 3.61 for interfacing lift points.

The HI-TRAC VW transfer cask design is analyzed for all normal, off-normal, and design basis accident condition loadings, as defined in Section 2.2. Under accident conditions, the HI-TRAC VW transfer cask must protect the MPC from unacceptable deformation, provide continued shielding, and remain in a condition such that the MPC can be removed from it. The loads applicable to the HI-TRAC VW transfer cask are defined in Tables 2.2.6 and 2.2.13 and Table 3.1.1. The physical characteristics of each MPC for which the HI-TRAC VW is designed are presented in Subsection 1.2.1.

<u>Thermal</u>

The allowable temperatures for the HI-TRAC VW transfer cask structural steel components are based on the maximum temperature for material properties and allowable stress values provided in Section II of the ASME Code. The allowable temperatures for the structural steel and shielding components of the HI-TRAC VW are provided in Table 2.2.3. The HI-TRAC VW is designed for off-normal environmental cold conditions, as discussed in Subsection 2.2.2. The evaluation of the potential for brittle fracture in structural steel materials is presented in Section 3.1.

The HI-TRAC VW is designed and evaluated for the maximum heat load analyzed for storage operations. The maximum allowable temperature of water in the HI-TRAC jacket is a function of the internal pressure. To preclude over- pressurization of the water jacket due to boiling of the neutron shield liquid (water), the maximum temperature of the water is restricted to be less than the saturation temperature at the shell design pressure. Even though the analysis shows that the water jacket will not over-pressurize, a relief device is placed at the top of the water jacket shell. In addition, the water is precluded from freezing during off-normal cold conditions by limiting

2-8

the minimum allowable operating temperature and by adding ethylene glycol. The thermal characteristics of the fuel for each MPC for which the transfer cask is designed are defined in Section 2.1. The working area ambient temperature limit for loading operations is limited in accordance with Table 2.2.2.

Shielding

The HI-TRAC VW transfer cask provides shielding to maintain occupational exposures ALARA in accordance with 10CFR20, while also maintaining the maximum load on the plant's crane hook to below the rated capacity of the crane. As discussed in Subsection 1.2.1, the shielding in HI-TRAC VW is maximized within the constraint of the allowable weight at a plant site. The HI-TRAC VW calculated dose rates for a set of reference conditions are reported in Section 5.1. These dose rates are used to perform a generic occupational exposure estimate for MPC loading, closure, and transfer operations, as described in Chapter 11. A postulated HI-TRAC VW accident condition, which includes the loss of the liquid neutron shield (water), is also evaluated in Chapter 5.

The annular area between the MPC outer surface and the HI-TRAC VW inner surface can be isolated to minimize the potential for surface contamination of the MPC by spent fuel pool water during wet loading operations. The HI-TRAC VW surfaces expected to require decontamination are coated with a suitable coating. The maximum permissible surface contamination for the HI-TRAC VW is in accordance with plant-specific procedures and ALARA requirements (discussed in Chapter 11).

Confinement

The HI-TRAC VW transfer cask does not perform any confinement function. The HI-TRAC VW provides physical protection and radiation shielding of the MPC contents during MPC loading, unloading, and transfer operations.

Operations

There are no radioactive effluents that result from MPC transfer operations using HI-TRAC VW. Effluents generated during MPC loading and closure operations are handled by the plant's radwaste system and procedures.

Generic operating procedures for the HI-STORM FW System are provided in Chapter 9. The licensee will develop detailed operating procedures based on Chapter 9 along with plant-specific requirements including the Part 50 Technical Specification and SAR, and the HI-STORM FW System CoC.

Acceptance Tests and Maintenance

The acceptance criteria and maintenance program to be applied to the HI-TRAC VW Transfer Cask are described in Chapter 10. The operational controls and limits to be applied to the HI-

HOLTEC INTERNATIONAL COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL

REPORT HI-2114830

TRAC VW are contained in Chapter 13. Application of these requirements will assure that the HI-TRAC VW is fabricated, operated, and maintained in a manner that satisfies the design criteria given in this chapter.

Decommissioning

Decommissioning considerations for the HI-STORM FW Systems, including the HI-TRAC VW transfer cask, are addressed in Section 2.4.

2.0.4 Principal Design Criteria for the ISFSI Pad

2.0.4.1 Design and Construction Criteria

In compliance with 10CFR72, Subpart F, "General Design Criteria", the HI-STORM FW cask system is classified as "important-to-safety" (ITS). This FSAR explicitly recognizes the HI-STORM FW System as an assemblage of equipment containing numerous ITS components. The reinforced concrete pad, on which the cask is situated, however, is designated as a "not important to safety" (NITS) structure because of a lack of a physical connection between the cask and the pad.

Because the geological conditions vary widely across the United States, it is not possible to, *a'priori*, define the detailed design of the ISFSI pad. Accordingly, in this FSAR, the limiting requirements on the design and installation of the pad are provided. The user of the HI-STORM FW System bears the responsibility to ensure that all requirements on the pad set forth in this FSAR are fulfilled by the pad design. Specifically, the ISFSI owner must ensure that:

- The pad design complies with the structural provisions of this FSAR.
- The material of construction of the pad (viz., the additives used in the pad concrete) are compatible with the ambient environment at the ISFSI site.
- Appropriate structural evaluations are performed pursuant to 10CFR72.212 to demonstrate that the pad is structurally competent to permit the cask to withstand the seismic and other credible inertial loadings at the site.

2.0.4.2 Load Combinations and Applicable Codes

Factored load combinations for ISFSI pad design are provided in NUREG-1536 [1.0.3]. The factored loads applicable to the pad design consist of dead weight of the cask, thermal gradient loads, impact loads arising from handling and accident events, external missiles, and bounding environmental phenomena (such as earthquakes, wind, tornado, and flood).

The factored load combinations presented in Table 3-1 of NUREG 1536 are reduced in number by eliminating loading types that are not germane or controlling in a HI-STORM ISFSI pad

HOLTEC INTERNATIONAL COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL

REPORT HI-2114830

design. The applicable factored load combinations are accordingly adapted from the HI-STORM 100 FSAR and presented below.

a. <u>Definitions</u>

- D = Dead load
- L = Live load
- T = Thermal load
- E = DBE seismic load
- U_c= Reinforced concrete available strength

b. Load Combinations for the Concrete Pad

Normal Events

 $U_c > 1.4 D + 1.7 L$

Off-Normal Events

 $U_c > 1.05 D + 1.275 (L+T)$

Accidents

 $U_{c} > D + L + T + E$

As an interfacing structure, the ISFSI pad and its underlying substrate must possess the structural strength to satisfy the above inequalities. As discussed in the HI-STAR 100 FSAR, thermal gradient loads are generally small; therefore, the Off-Normal Event does not generally provide a governing load combination.

Table 2.2.9 provides a reference set of parameters for the ISFSI pad and its foundation that are used solely as input to the non-mechanistic tipover analysis. Analyses in Chapter 3 show that this reference pad design does not violate the design criterion applicable to the non-mechanistic tipover of the HI-STORM FW storage system. The pad design may be customized to meet the requirements of a particular site, without performing a site-specific tipover analysis, provided that all ISFSI pad strength properties are less than or equal to the values in Table 2.2.9.

Applicable sections of industry codes such as ACI 318-05, "Building Code Requirements for Structural Concrete"; ACI 360R-92, "Design of Slabs on Grade"; ACI 302.1R, "Guide for Concrete Floor and Slab Construction"; and ACI 224R-90, "Control of Cracking in Concrete Structures" may be used in the design, structural evaluation, and construction of the concrete pad. However, load combinations in ACI 318-05 are not applicable to the ISFSI pad structural evaluation, and are replaced by the load combinations stated in subparagraph 2.0.4.2.b.

HOLTEC INTERNATIONAL COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL REPORT HI-2114830

Table 2.0.1 – HI-STORM FW Assembly (Drawing # 6494)				
Item	Part Name	ITS QA Safety		
Number*		Category		
1	Assembly, Lid, HI-STORM Ø 113 B.C.	В		
2	Lid-Stud	В		
3	Heavy Hex Nut, 3 ¹ / ₄ " – 4 UNC	В		
5	Plate, HI-STORM FW Heat Shield	В		
6	Shielding, HI-STORM FW Body	В		
8	Block, HI-STORM FW Cask Anchor	В		
11	Plate, HI-STORM FW Body Base	В		
15	Shell, HI-STORM FW Outer Shell	В		
16	Shell, HI-STORM FW Inner Shell	В		
17	Rib, HI-STORM FW Lifting Rib	В		
18	Plate, HI-STORM FW Cask Body Top	В		
20	Plate, Gamma Shield	С		
21	Tube, MPC Guide	С		
22	Tube, MPC Guide	С		
23	Tube, MPC Guide	С		
24	Closure Bolt	В		

*Item Numbers are non-consecutive because they are consistent with Parts List on drawing.

HOLTEC INTERNATIONAL COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL REPORT HI-2114830

Rev. 2

Table 2.0.2 – MPC-37 Enclosure Vessel (Drawing # 6505)					
Item Number*	Part Name	ITS QA Safety			
		Category			
1	Shell, Enclosure Vessel	А			
2	Plate, Enclosure Vessel Base	А			
3	Plate, Enclosure Vessel Lift Lug	С			
4	Plate, Enclosure Vessel Upper Lid	Α			
5	Plate, Enclosure Vessel Lower Lid	В			
6	Ring, Enclosure Vessel Closure	А			
7	Block, Enclosure Vessel Vent/Drain Upper	В			
8	Port, Enclosure Vessel Vent/Drain	С			
9	Plug, Enclosure Vessel Vent /Drain	C			
10	Block, Enclosure Vessel Lower Drain	С			
12	Block, Enclosure Vessel Vent Shielding	С			
13	Plate, Enclosure Vessel Vent/Drain Port Cover	A			
16	Purge Tool Port Plug	С			
21	Shim, Enclosure Vessel Type 1 PWR Fuel Basket	С			
22	Shim, Enclosure Vessel Type 2 PWR Fuel Basket	С			

*Item Numbers are non-consecutive because they are consistent with Parts List on drawing.

HOLTEC INTERNATIONAL COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL

Table 2.0.3 – Assembly, MPC-37 Fuel Basket (Drawing # 6506)				
Item Number Part Name		ITS QA Safety		
		Category		
1	Panel, Type I Cell Wall	A		
2	Panel, Type 2 Cell Wall	A		
3	Panel, Type 3 Cell Wall	A		
4	Panel, Type 4 Cell Wall	A		
5	Panel, Type 5 Cell Wall	A		
6	Panel, Type 6 Cell Wall	A		

HOLTEC INTERNATIONAL COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL REPORT HI-2114830

Table 2.0.4 – Assembly, MPC-89 Fuel Basket (Drawing # 6507)				
Item Number	Item Number Part Name			
		Category		
1	Panel, Type I Cell Wall	A		
2	Panel, Type 2 Cell Wall	A		
3	Panel, Type 3 Cell Wall	A		
4	Panel, Type 4 Cell Wall	A		
5	Panel, Type 5 Cell Wall	А		
6	Panel, Type 6 Cell Wall	A		
7	Panel, Type 7 Cell Wall	А		
8	Panel, Type 8 Cell Wall	A		

HOLTEC INTERNATIONAL COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL REPORT HI-2114830

Table 2.0.5 – Assembly, Lid, HI-STORM Ø113 B.C. (Drawing # 6508)				
Item Number*	Part Name	ITS QA Safety		
		Category		
1	Plate, HI-STORM Lid Base	В		
2	Plate, HI-STORM Lid Type 1 Round	В		
3	Plate, HI-STORM Lid Type 2 Round	В		
4	Plate, HI-STORM Lid Type 1 Ring	В		
5	Plate, HI-STORM Lid Type 2 Ring	В		
6	Plate, HI-STORM Lid Type 3 Ring	В		
7	Plate, HI-STORM Lid Type 4 Ring	В		
8	Plate, HI-STORM Lid Type 5 Ring	В		
9	Plate, HI-STORM Lid Type 6 Ring	В		
10	Plate, HI-STORM Lid Upper Shim	В		
11	Plate, HI-STORM Lid Lower Shim	В		
13	Gusset, HI-STORM Lid	В		
16	Shielding, HI-STORM Lid Lower	В		
17	Shielding, HI-STORM Lid Upper	В		
18	Plate, Heat Shield	В		
20	Block, HI-STORM Lid Lifting Anchor	В		

*Item Numbers are non-consecutive because they are consistent with Parts List on drawing.

HOLTEC INTERNATIONAL COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL REPORT HI-2114830

Table 2.0.6 – MPC-89 Enclosure Vessel (Drawing # 6512)				
Item Number*	ITS OA Safety			
		Category		
1	Shell, Enclosure Vessel	A		
2	Plate, Enclosure Vessel Base	А		
3	Plate, Enclosure Vessel Lift Lug	С		
4	Plate, Enclosure Vessel Upper Lid	А		
5	Plate, Enclosure Vessel Lower Lid	В		
6	Ring, Enclosure Vessel Closure	Α		
7	Block, Enclosure Vessel Vent/Drain Upper	В		
8	Port, Enclosure Vessel Vent/Drain	С		
9	Plug, Enclosure Vessel Vent/Drain	С		
10	Block, Enclosure Vessel Lower Drain	С		
12	Block, Enclosure Vessel Vent Shielding	С		
13	Plate, Enclosure Vessel Vent/Drain Port Cover	А		
16	Purge Tool Port Plug	С		
21	Shim, Enclosure Vessel Type 1 BWR Fuel Basket	С		
22	Shim, Enclosure Vessel Type 2 BWR Fuel Basket	С		
23	Shim, Enclosure Vessel Type 3 BWR Fuel Basket	С		

*Item Numbers are non-consecutive because they are consistent with Parts List on drawing.

HOLTEC INTERNATIONAL COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL REPORT HI-2114830

Table 2.0.7 – HI-TRAC VW – MPC-37 (Drawing # 6514)				
Item Number*	Part Name	ITS QA Safety		
		Category		
1	Flange, Bottom	В		
3	Hex Bolt, 2-4 ¹ / ₂ UNC X 6" LG.	В		
4	Shell, Inner	В		
5	Shielding, Gamma	В		
6	Flange, Top	A		
7	Shell, Water Jacket	В		
10	Pipe, Bolt Recess	В		
11	Cap, Bolt Recess	В		
12	Bottom Lid	В		
13	Shell, Outer	В		
14	Rib, Extended	В		
15	Rib, Short	В		

*Item Numbers are non-consecutive because they are consistent with Parts List on drawing.

HOLTEC INTERNATIONAL COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL REPORT HI-2114830

Table 2.0.8 – HI-TRAC VW – MPC-89 (Drawing # 6799)				
Item Number*	ITS QA Safety			
		Category		
1	Flange, Bottom	В		
3	Hex Bolt, 2-4 ¹ / ₂ UNC X 6" LG.	В		
4	Shell, Inner	В		
5	Shielding, Gamma	В		
6	Flange, Top	A		
7	Shell, Water Jacket	В		
10	Pipe, Bolt Recess	В		
11	Cap, Bolt Recess	В		
12	Bottom Lid	В		
13	Shell, Outer	В		
14	Rib, Extended	В		
15	Rib, Short	В		

*Item Numbers are non-consecutive because they are consistent with Parts List on drawing.

HOLTEC INTERNATIONAL COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL REPORT HI-2114830

2.1 SPENT FUEL TO BE STORED

2.1.1 Determination of the Design Basis Fuel

A central object in the design of the HI-STORM FW System is to ensure that all SNF discharged from the U.S. reactors and not yet loaded into dry storage systems can be stored in a HI-STORM FW MPC. Publications such as references [2.1.1] and [2.1.2] provide a comprehensive description of fuel discharged from U.S. reactors.

The cell openings in the fuel baskets have been sized to accommodate BWR and PWR assemblies. The cavity length of the MPC will be determined for a specific site to accord with the fuel assembly length used at that site, including non-fuel hardware and damaged fuel containers, as applicable.

Table 2.1.1 summarizes the authorized contents for the HI-STORM FW System. Tables 2.1.2 and 2.1.3, which are referenced in Table 2.1.1, provide the fuel characteristics of all groups of fuel assembly types determined to be acceptable for storage in the HI-STORM FW System. Any fuel assembly that has fuel characteristics within the range of Tables 2.1.2 and 2.1.3 and meets the other limits specified in Table 2.1.1 is acceptable for storage in the HI-STORM FW System. The groups of fuel assembly types presented in Tables 2.1.2 and 2.1.3 are defined as "array/classes" as described in further detail in Chapter 6. Table 2.1.4 lists the BWR and PWR fuel assembly designs which are found to govern for three qualification criteria, namely reactivity, shielding, and thermal, or that are used as reference assembly design is those analyses. Additional information on the design basis fuel definition is presented in the following subsections.

2.1.2 Undamaged SNF Specifications

Undamaged fuel is defined in the Glossary.

2.1.3 Damaged SNF and Fuel Debris Specifications

Damaged fuel and fuel debris are defined in the Glossary.

Damaged fuel assemblies and fuel debris will be loaded into damaged fuel containers (DFCs) (Figure 2.1.6) that have mesh screens on the top and bottom. The DFC will have a removable lid to allow the fuel assembly to be inserted. In storage, the lid will be latched in place. DFC's used to move fuel assemblies will be designed for lifting with either the lid installed or with a separate handling lid. DFC's used to handle fuel and the associated lifting tools will designed in accordance with the requirements of NUREG-0612. The DFC will be fabricated from structural aluminum or stainless steel. The appropriate structural, thermal, shielding, criticality, and confinement evaluations have been performed to account for damaged fuel and fuel debris and are described in their respective chapters that follow. The limiting design characteristics for

HOLTEC INTERNATIONAL COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL

REPORT HI-2114830

2-20

HI-STORM FW FSAR -Non-Proprietary Revision 2, June 24, 2013

damaged fuel assemblies and restrictions on the number and location of damaged fuel containers authorized for loading in each MPC model are provided in this chapter.

2.1.4 Structural Parameters for Design Basis SNF

The main physical parameters of an SNF assembly applicable to the structural evaluation are the fuel assembly length, cross sectional dimensions, and weight. These parameters, which define the mechanical and structural design, are specified in Subsection 2.1.8. An appropriate axial clearance is provided to prevent interference due to the irradiation and thermal growth of the fuel assemblies.

2.1.5 Thermal Parameters for Design Basis SNF

The principal thermal design parameter for the stored fuel is the fuel's peak cladding temperature (PCT) which is a function of the maximum decay heat per assembly and the decay heat removal capabilities of the HI-STORM FW System.

To ensure the permissible PCT limits are not exceeded, Subsection 1.2 specifies the maximum allowable decay heat per assembly for each MPC model in the three-region configuration (see also Table 1.2.3 and 1.2.4).

The fuel cladding temperature is also affected by the heat transfer characteristics of the fuel assemblies. The design basis fuel assembly for thermal calculations for both PWR and BWR fuel is provided in Table 2.1.4.

Finally, the axial variation in the heat generation rate in the design basis fuel assembly is defined based on the axial burnup distribution. For this purpose, the data provided in references [2.1.3] and [2.1.4] are utilized and summarized in Table 2.1.5 and Figures 2.1.3 and 2.1.4. These distributions are representative of fuel assemblies with the design basis burnup levels considered. These distributions are used for analyses only, and do not provide a criteria for fuel assembly acceptability for storage in the HI-STORM FW System.

2.1.6 Radiological Parameters for Design Basis SNF

The principal radiological design criteria for the HI-STORM FW System are the 10CFR72 §104 and §106 operator-controlled boundary dose rate limits, and the requirement to maintain operational dose rates as low as reasonably achievable (ALARA). The radiation dose is directly affected by the gamma and neutron source terms of the assembly, which is a function of the assembly type, and the burnup, enrichment and cooling time of the assemblies. Dose rates are further directly affected by the size and arrangement of the ISFSI, and the specifics of the loading operations. All these parameters are site-dependent, and the compliance with the regulatory dose rate requirements are performed in site-specific calculations. The evaluations here are therefore performed with reference fuel assemblies, and with parameters that result in

2-21

reasonably conservative dose rates. The reference assemblies given in Table 1.0.4 are the predominant assemblies used in the industry.

The design basis dose rates can be met by a variety of burnup levels and cooling times. Table 2.1.1 provides the acceptable ranges of burnup, enrichment and cooling time for all of the authorized fuel assembly array/classes. Table 2.1.5 and Figures 2.1.3 and 2.1.4 provide the axial distribution for the radiological source terms for PWR and BWR fuel assemblies based on the axial burnup distribution. The axial burnup distributions are representative of fuel assemblies with the design basis burnup levels considered. These distributions are used for analyses only, and do not provide a criteria for fuel assembly acceptability for storage in the HI-STORM FW System.

Non-fuel hardware, as defined in the Glossary, has been evaluated and is also authorized for storage in the PWR MPCs as specified in Table 2.1.1.

2.1.7 Criticality Parameters for Design Basis SNF

The criticality analyses for the MPC-37 are performed with credit taken for soluble boron in the MPC water during wet loading and unloading operations. Table 2.1.6 provides the required soluble boron concentrations for this MPC.

2.1.8 Summary of Authorized Contents

Tables 2.1.1 through 2.1.3 specify the limits for spent fuel and non-fuel hardware authorized for storage in the HI-STORM FW System. The limits in these tables are derived from the safety analyses described in the following chapters of this FSAR.

REPORT HI-2114830

Table 2.1.1					
MATERIAL TO BE STORED					
PARAMETER	VALU	JE (Note 1)			
	MPC-37	MPC-89			
Fuel Type	Uranium oxide undamaged	Uranium oxide undamaged fuel			
	fuel assemblies, damaged fuel	assemblies, damaged fuel			
	assemblies, and fuel debris	assemblies, with or without			
	meeting the limits in Table	channels, fuel debris meeting the			
	2.1.2 for the applicable	limits in Table 2.1.3 for the			
	array/class.	applicable array/class.			
Cladding Type	ZR (see Glossary for	ZR (see Glossary for definition)			
	definition)				
Maximum Initial Rod	Depending on soluble boron	\leq 5.0 wt. % U-235			
Enrichment	levels and assembly				
	array/class as specified in				
	Table 2.1.6				
Post-irradiation cooling	Minimum Cooling Time: 3	Minimum Cooling Time: 3 years			
time and average burnup	years				
per assembly		Maximum Assembly Average			
	Maximum Assembly Average	Burnup: 65 Gwd/mtU			
Non fuel hardware post	Minimum Cooling Times 3	NI/A			
irradiation cooling time and	Vears	N/A			
burnun	years				
burnup	Maximum Burnun∻:				
	- BPRAs WABAs and				
	vibration suppressors: 60				
	GWd/mtU				
	- TPDs. NSAs. APSRs.				
	RCCAs, CRAs, CEAs,				
	water displacement guide				
	tube plugs and orifice rod				
	assemblies: 630 GWd/mtU				
	- ITTRs: not applicable				
Decay heat per fuel storage	Regionalized Loading: See	Regionalized Loading: See Table			
location	Table 1.2.3	1.2.4			

2-23

[†] Burnups for non-fuel hardware are to be determined based on the burnup and uranium mass of the fuel assemblies in which the component was inserted during reactor operation. Burnup not applicable for ITTRs since installed post-irradiation.

HOLTEC INTERNATIONAL COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL REPORT HI-2114830

Table 2.1.1					
MATERIAL TO BE STORED					
PARAMETER	VALUE (Note 1)				
	MPC-37	MPC-89			
Fuel Assembly Nominal Length (in.)	Minimum: 157 (with NFH) Reference: 167.2 (with NFH) Maximum: 199.2 (with NFH and DFC)	Minimum: 171 Reference: 176.5 Maximum: 181.5 (with DFC)			
Fuel Assembly Waight (lb)	≥ 8.54 (nonniai design)	≤ 5.95 (nominal design)			
Fuel Assembly Weight (1b)	Reference: 1600 (without NFH) 1750 (with NFH), 1850 (with NFH and DFC)	Reference: 750 (without DFC), 850 (with DFC)			
	Maximum: 2050 (including NFH and DFC)	Maximum: 850 (including DFC)			
Other Limitations	 Quantity is limited to 37 undamaged ZR clad PWR fuel assemblies with or without non-fuel hardware. Up to 12 damaged fuel containers containing PWR damaged fuel and/or fuel debris may be stored in the locations denoted in Figure 2.1.1 with the remaining basket cells containing undamaged ZR fuel assemblies, up to a total of 37. One NSA. Up to 30 BPRAs. BPRAs, TPDs, WABAs, water displacement guide tube plugs, orifice rod assemblies, and/or vibration suppressor inserts may be stored with fuel assemblies in any fuel cell location. CRAs, RCCAs, CEAs, NSAs, and/or APSRs may be stored with fuel assemblies in fuel cell locations specified in Figure 2.1.5. 	 Quantity is limited to 89 undamaged ZR clad BWR fuel assemblies. Up to 16 damaged fuel containers containing BWR damaged fuel and/or fuel debris may be stored in locations denoted in Figure 2.1.2 with the remaining basket cells containing undamaged ZR fuel assemblies, up to a total of 89. 			

HOLTEC INTERNATIONAL COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL

REPORT HI-2114830

Rev. 2

Table 2.1.2							
PWR FUEL ASSEMBLY CHARACTERISTICS (Note 1)							
Fuel Assembly Array/ Class	Fuel Assembly Array/ Class 14x14 A 14x14 B 14x14 C 15x15 B 15x15 C						
No. of Fuel Rod Locations	179	179	176	204	204		
Fuel Clad O.D. (in.)	≥ 0.400	≥ 0.417	≥ 0.440	≥ 0.420	≥ 0.417		
Fuel Clad I.D. (in.)	≤ 0.3514	≤ 0.3734	≤ 0.3880	≤ 0.3736	≤ 0.3640		
Fuel Pellet Dia. (in.) (Note 3)	≤ 0.3444	≤ 0.3659	≤ 0.3805	≤ 0.3671	≤ 0.3570		
Fuel Rod Pitch (in.)	≤ 0.556	≤ 0.556	≤ 0.580	≤ 0.563	≤ 0.563		
Active Fuel Length (in.)	≤ 150	≤ 150	≤ 150	≤ 150	≤ 150		
No. of Guide and/or Instrument Tubes	17	17	5 (Note 2)	21	21		
Guide/Instrument Tube Thickness (in.)	≥ 0.017	≥ 0.017	≥ 0.038	≥ 0.015	≥ 0.0165		

HOLTEC INTERNATIONAL COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL REPORT HI-2114830

Table 2.1.2 (continued)							
PWR FUEL ASSEMBLY CHARACTERISTICS (Note 1)							
Fuel Assembly Array/Class	15x15 D	15x15 E	15x15 F	15x15 H	15x15 I		
No. of Fuel Rod Locations	208	208	208	208	216		
Fuel Clad O.D. (in.)	≥ 0.430	≥ 0.428	≥ 0.428	≥ 0.414	≥ 0.413		
Fuel Clad I.D. (in.)	≤ 0.3800	≤ 0.3790	≤ 0.3820	≤ 0.3700	\leq 0.3670		
Fuel Pellet Dia. (in.) (Note 3)	≤ 0.3735	≤ 0.3707	\leq 0.3742	≤ 0.3622	≤ 0.3600		
Fuel Rod Pitch (in.)	≤ 0.568	≤ 0.568	≤ 0.568	≤ 0.568	≤ 0.550		
Active Fuel Length (in.)	≤ 150	≤150	≤150	≤ 150	≤ 150		
No. of Guide and/or Instrument Tubes	17	17	17	17	9 (Note 4)		
Guide/Instrument Tube Thickness (in.)	≥ 0.0150	≥ 0.0140	≥ 0.0140	≥ 0.0140	≥ 0.0140		

HOLTEC INTERNATIONAL COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL

Table 2.1.2 (continued)								
Р₩	PWR FUEL ASSEMBLY CHARACTERISTICS (Note 1)							
Fuel Assembly Array and Class	16x16 A	17x17A	17x17 B	17x17 C	17x17 D	17x17 E		
No. of Fuel Rod Locations	236	264	264	264	264	265		
Fuel Clad O.D. (in.)	≥ 0.382	≥ 0.360	≥ 0.372	≥ 0.377	≥ 0.372	≥ 0.372		
Fuel Clad I.D. (in.)	≤ 0.3350	≤ 0.3150	≤ 0.3310	≤ 0.3330	≤ 0.3310	≤ 0.3310		
Fuel Pellet Dia. (in.) (Note 3)	≤ 0.3255	≤ 0.3088	≤ 0.3232	≤ 0.3252	≤ 0.3232	≤ 0.3232		
Fuel Rod Pitch (in.)	≤ 0.506	≤ 0.496	≤ 0.496	≤ 0.502	≤ 0.496	≤ 0.496		
Active Fuel length (in.)	≤ 150	≤150	≤150	≤150	≤170	≤170		
No. of Guide and/or Instrument Tubes	5 (Note 2)	25	25	25	25	24		
Guide/Instrument Tube Thickness (in.)	≥ 0.0350	≥ 0.016	≥ 0.014	≥ 0.020	≥ 0.014	≥ 0.014		

Notes:

- 1. All dimensions are design nominal values. Maximum and minimum dimensions are specified to bound variations in design nominal values among fuel assemblies within a given array/class.
- 2. Each guide tube replaces four fuel rods.
- 3. Annular fuel pellets are allowed in the top and bottom 12" of the active fuel length.
- 4. One Instrument Tube and eight Guide Bars (Solid ZR).

HOLTEC INTERNATIONAL COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL REPORT HI-2114830

Rev. 2

2-27

Table 2.1.3							
BWR FUEL ASSEMBLY CHARACTERISTICS (Note 1)							
Fuel Assembly Array and Class	7x7 B	8x8 B	8x8 C	8x8 D	8x8 E		
Maximum Planar-Average Initial Enrichment (wt.% ²³⁵ U)	<u>≤</u> 4.8	<u>≤</u> 4.8	<u>≤</u> 4.8	<u><</u> 4.8	≤ 4.8 [●]		
No. of Fuel Rod Locations	49	63 or 64	62	60 or 61	59		
Fuel Clad O.D. (in.)	<u>></u> 0.5630	<u>≥</u> 0.4840	<u>≥</u> 0.4830	<u>≥</u> 0.4830	<u>></u> 0.4930		
Fuel Clad I.D. (in.)	<u>≤</u> 0.4990	<u>≤</u> 0.4295	<u>≤</u> 0.4250	<u>≤</u> 0.4230	<u>≤</u> 0.4250		
Fuel Pellet Dia. (in.)	<u>≤</u> 0.4910	<u>≤</u> 0.4195	<u>≤</u> 0.4160	≤ 0.4140	<u>≤</u> 0.4160		
Fuel Rod Pitch (in.)	<u>≤</u> 0.738	≤ 0.642	<u>≤</u> 0.641	<u>≤</u> 0.640	<u>≤</u> 0.640		
Design Active Fuel Length (in.)	<u>≤</u> 150	<u>≤</u> 150	<u>≤</u> 150	<u>≤</u> 150	≤ 150		
No. of Water Rods (Note 10)	0	1 or 0	2	1 - 4 (Note 6)	5		
Water Rod Thickness (in.)	N/A	≥ 0.034	> 0.00	> 0.00	≥ 0.034		
Channel Thickness (in.)	<u>≤</u> 0.120	≤ 0.120	<u>≤ 0.120</u>	≤ 0.120	≤ 0.100		

HOLTEC INTERNATIONAL COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL

Table 2.1.3 (continued)							
BWR FUEL ASSEMBLY CHARACTERISTICS (Note 1)							
Fuel Assembly Array and Class	8x8F	9x9 A	9x9 B	9x9 C	9x9 D		
Maximum Planar- Average Initial Enrichment (wt.% ²³⁵ U)	≤ 4.5 (Note 12)	<u>≤</u> 4.8	<u>≤</u> 4.8	<u>≤</u> 4.8	<u>≤</u> 4.8		
No. of Fuel Rod Locations	64	74/66 (Note 4)	72	80	79		
Fuel Clad O.D. (in.)	≥ 0.4576	≥ 0.4400	≥ 0.4330	≥ 0.4230	≥ 0.4240		
Fuel Clad I.D. (in.)	≤ 0.3996	≤ 0.3840	≤ 0.3810	≤ 0.3640	<u>≤</u> 0.3640		
Fuel Pellet Dia. (in.)	<u><</u> 0.3913	≤ 0.3760	<u>≤</u> 0.3740	≤ 0.3565	≤ 0.3565		
Fuel Rod Pitch (in.)	<u>≤</u> 0.609	<u>≤</u> 0.566	<u>≤</u> 0.572	≤ 0.572	<u>≤</u> 0.572		
Design Active Fuel Length (in.)	<u><</u> 150	<u><</u> 150	<u>≤</u> 150	<u>≤</u> 150	<u>≤</u> 150		
No. of Water Rods (Note 10)	N/A (Note 2)	2	1 (Note 5)	1	2		
Water Rod Thickness (in.)	≥ 0.0315	> 0.00	> 0.00	≥ 0.020	≥ 0.0300		
Channel Thickness (in.)	<u>≤</u> 0.055	≤ 0.120	<u>≤</u> 0.120	≤ 0.100	≤ 0.100		

HOLTEC INTERNATIONAL COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL REPORT HI-2114830

Table 2.1.3 (continued)						
BWR FUEL ASSEMBLY CHARACTERISTICS (Note 1)						
Fuel Assembly Array and Class	9x9 E (Note 3)	9x9 F (Note 3)	9x9 G	10x10 A	10x10 B	
Maximum Planar- Average Initial Enrichment (wt.% ²³⁵ U)	≤ 4.5 (Note 12)	≤ 4.5 (Note 12)	<u>≤</u> 4.8	<u>≤</u> 4.8	<u>≤</u> 4.8	
No. of Fuel Rod Locations	76	76	72	92/78 (Note 7)	91/83 (Note 8)	
Fuel Clad O.D. (in.)	<u>≥</u> 0.4170	<u>≥</u> 0.4430	≥ 0.4240	<u>≥</u> 0.4040	≥ 0.3957	
Fuel Clad I.D. (in.)	<u>≤</u> 0.3640	<u>≤</u> 0.3860	<u>≤</u> 0.3640	<u>≤</u> 0.3520	<u>≤</u> 0.3480	
Fuel Pellet Dia. (in.)	<u>≤</u> 0.3530	<u>≤</u> 0.3745	<u>≤</u> 0.3565	<u>≤</u> 0.3455	≤ 0.3420	
Fuel Rod Pitch (in.)	<u>≤</u> 0.572	<u>≤</u> 0.572	≤ 0.572	<u>≤</u> 0.510	≤ 0.510	
Design Active Fuel Length (in.)	<u>≤</u> 150	<u>≤</u> 150	<u>≤</u> 150	<u><</u> 150	<u>< 150</u>	
No. of Water Rods (Note 10)	5	5	1 (Note 5)	2	1 (Note 5)	
Water Rod Thickness (in.)	≥ 0.0120	≥ 0.0120	≥ 0.0320	≥ 0.030	> 0.00	
Channel Thickness (in.)	≤ 0.120	<u>≤</u> 0.120	<u>≤</u> 0.120	<u>≤</u> 0.120	≤ 0.120	

HOLTEC INTERNATIONAL COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL REPORT HI-2114830

Table 2.1.3 (continued)						
BWR FUEL ASSEMBLY CHARACTERISTICS (Note 1)						
Fuel Assembly Array and Class	10x10 C	10x10 F	10x10 G			
Maximum Planar-Average Initial Enrichment (wt.% ²³⁵ U)	<u>≤</u> 4.8	≤ 4.7 (Note 13)	≤ 4.6 (Note 12)			
No. of Fuel Rod Locations	96	92/78 (Note 7)	96/84			
Fuel Clad O.D. (in.)	≥ 0.3780	<u>≥</u> 0.4035	<u>≥</u> 0.387			
Fuel Clad I.D. (in.)	≤ 0.3294	≤ 0.3570	≤ 0.340			
Fuel Pellet Dia. (in.)	≤ 0.3224	≤ 0.3500	<u>≤</u> 0.334			
Fuel Rod Pitch (in.)	<i>≤</i> 0.488	≤ 0.510	≤ 0.512			
Design Active Fuel Length (in.)	≤ 150	≤ 150	≤ 150			
No. of Water Rods (Note 10)	5 - (Note 9)	2	5 (Note 9)			
Water Rod Thickness (in.)	≥ 0.031	≥ 0.030	<u>></u> 0.031			
Channel Thickness (in.)	≤ 0.055	≤ 0.120	≤ 0.060			

HOLTEC INTERNATIONAL COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL

.....

Table 2.1.3 (continued)

BWR FUEL ASSEMBLY CHARACTERISTICS

NOTES:

- 1. All dimensions are design nominal values. Maximum and minimum dimensions are specified to bound variations in design nominal values among fuel assemblies within a given array/class.
- 2. This assembly is known as "QUAD+." It has four rectangular water cross segments dividing the assembly into four quadrants.
- 3. For the SPC 9x9-5 fuel assembly, each fuel rod must meet either the 9x9E or the 9x9F set of limits or clad O.D., clad I.D., and pellet diameter
- 4. This assembly class contains 74 total rods; 66 full length rods and 8 partial length rods.
- 5. Square, replacing nine fuel rods.
- 6. Variable.
- 7. This assembly contains 92 total fuel rods; 78 full length rods and 14 partial length rods.
- 8. This assembly class contains 91 total fuel rods; 83 full length rods and 8 partial length rods.
- 9. One diamond-shaped water rod replacing the four center fuel rods and four rectangular water rods dividing the assembly into four quadrants.
- 10. These rods may also be sealed at both ends and contain ZR material in lieu of water.
- 11. Not Used
- 12. Fuel assemblies classified as damaged fuel assemblies are limited to 4.0 wt.% U-235.
- 13. Fuel assemblies classified as damaged fuel assemblies are limited to 4.6 wt.% U-235.

.

Table 2.1.4					
DESIGN BASIS F	UEL ASSEMBLY FOR EACH	DESIGN CRITERION			
Criterion	BWR	PWR			
Reactivity/Criticality	GE-12/14 10x10 (Array/Class 10x10A)	Westinghouse 17x17 OFA (Array/Class 17x17B)			
Shielding	GE-12/14 10x10	Westinghouse 17x17 OFA			
Thermal-Hydraulic	GE-12/14 10x10	Westinghouse 17x17 OFA			

HOLTEC INTERNATIONAL COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL REPORT HI-2114830

2-33

Table 2.1.5					
NORMALIZED DISTRIBUTION BASED ON BURNUP PROFILE					
r	PWR DISTRIBUTION'				
Interval	Axial Distance From Bottom of Active Fuel (% of Active Fuel Length)	Normalized Distribution			
1	0% to 4-1/6%	0.5485			
2	4-1/6% to 8-1/3%	0.8477			
3	8-1/3% to 16-2/3%	1.0770			
4	16-2/3% to 33-1/3%	1.1050			
5	33-1/3% to 50%	1.0980			
6	50% to 66-2/3%	1.0790			
7	66-2/3% to 83-1/3%	1.0501			
8	83-1/3% to 91-2/3%	0.9604			
9	91-2/3% to 95-5/6%	0.7338			
10	95-5/6% to 100%	0.4670			
BWR DISTRIBUTION ²					
Interval	Axial Distance From Bottom of Active Fuel (% of Active Fuel Length)	Normalized Distribution			
1	0% to 4-1/6%	0.2200			
2	4-1/6% to 8-1/3%	0.7600			
3	8-1/3% to 16-2/3%	1.0350			
4	16-2/3% to 33-1/3%	1.1675			
5	33-1/3% to 50%	1.1950			
6	50% to 66-2/3%	1.1625			
7	66-2/3% to 83-1/3%	1.0725			
8	83-1/3% to 91-2/3%	0.8650			
9	91-2/3% to 95-5/6%	0.6200			
10	95-5/6% to 100%	0.2200			

¹ Reference 2.1.7 ² Perference 2.1.8

Reference 2.1.8

HOLTEC INTERNATIONAL COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL

REPORT HI-2114830

Table 2.1.6

Soluble Boron Requirements for MPC-37 Wet Loading and Unloading Operations

	All Undamaged	Fuel Assemblies	One or More Damaged Fuel Assemblies and/or Fuel Debris		
Array/Class	Maximum Initial Enrichment $\leq 4.0 \text{ wt}\%^{235}\text{U}$ (ppmb)	Maximum Initial Enrichment 5.0 wt% ²³⁵ U (ppmb)	Maximum Initial Enrichment ≤ 4.0 wt% ²³⁵ U (ppmb)	Maximum Initial Enrichment 5.0 wt% ²³⁵ U (ppmb)	
All 14x14 and 16x16A	1,000	1,500	1,300	1,800	
All 15x15 and 17x17	1,500	2,000	1,800	2,300	

Note:

1. For maximum initial enrichments between 4.0 wt% and 5.0 wt% ²³⁵U, the minimum soluble boron concentration may be determined by linear interpolation between the minimum soluble boron concentrations at 4.0 wt% and 5.0 wt% ²³⁵U.

HOLTEC INTERNATIONAL COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL

2-35

REPORT HI-2114830
		3-1	3-2	3-3		
	3-4	2-1	2-2	2-3	3-5	
3-6	2-4	1-1	1-2	1-3	2-5	3-7
3-8	2-6	1-4	1-5	1-6	2-7	3-9
3-10	2-8	1-7	1-8	1-9	2-9	3-11
	3-12	2-10	2-11	2-12	3-13	
,		3-14	3-15	3-16		

Figure 2.1.1 Location of DFCs for Damaged Fuel or Fuel Debris in the MPC-37(Shaded Cells)

HOLTEC INTERNATIONAL COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL REPORT HI-2114830

Rev. 2

2-36

HI-STORM FW FSAR -Non-Proprietary Revision 2, June 24, 2013

		Second States (11)		3-1	3-2	3-3			_:	
		3-4	3-5	3-6	2-1	3-7	3-8	3-9		
	3-10	3-11	2-2	2-3	2-4	2-5	2-6	3-12	3-13	
	3-14	2-7	2-8	2-9	2-10	2-11	2-12	2-13	3-15	
3-16	3-17	2-14	2-15	1-1	1-2	1-3	2-16	2-17	3-18	3-19
3-20	2-18	2-19	2-20	1-4	1-5	1-6	2-21	2-22	2-23	3-21
3-22	3-23	2-24	2-25	1-7	1-8	1-9	2-26	2-27	3-24	3-25
	3-26	2-28	2-29	2-30	2-31	2-32	2-33	2-34	3-27	
	3-28	3-29	2-35	2-36	2-37	2-38	2-39	3-30	3-31	
,		3-32	3-33	3-34	2-40	3-35	3-36	3-37		-
	9			3-38	3-39	3-40			-	

Figure 2.1.2 Location of DFCs for Damaged Fuel or Fuel Debris in the MPC-89 (Shaded Cells)

2-37

HOLTEC INTERNATIONAL COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL REPORT HI-2114830

Rev. 2

HI-STORM FW FSAR -Non-Proprietary Revision 2, June 24, 2013



Figure 2.1.3 PWR Axial Burnup Profile with Normalized Distribution

HOLTEC INTERNATIONAL COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL REPORT HI-2114830



BWR Axial Burnup Distribution

Figure 2.1.4 BWR Axial Burnup Profile with Normalized Distribution

HOLTEC INTERNATIONAL COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL REPORT HI-2114830

		3-1	3-2	3-3		_
	3-4	2-1	2-2	2-3	3-5	
3-6	2-4	1-1	1-2	1-3	2-5	3-7
3-8	2-6	1-4	1-5	1-6	2-7	3-9
3-10	2-8	1-7	1-8	1-9	2-9	3-11
	3-12	2-10	2-11	2-12	3-13	
		3-14	3-15	3-16		-

Figure 2.1.5: Location of NSAs, APSRs, RCCAs, CEAs, and CRAs in the MPC-37 (Shaded Cells)

HOLTEC INTERNATIONAL COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL REPORT HI-2114830

Rev. 2

2-40

HI-STORM FW FSAR -Non-Proprietary Revision 2, June 24, 2013

Withheld in Accordance with 10 CFR 2.390

Figure 2.1.6: Damaged Fuel Container (Typical)

- ... • ...

HOLTEC INTERNATIONAL COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL REPORT HI-2114830

2.2 HI-STORM FW DESIGN LOADINGS

The HI-STORM FW System is engineered for unprotected outside storage for the duration of its design life. Accordingly, the cask system is designed to withstand normal, off-normal, and environmental phenomena and accident conditions of storage. Normal conditions include the conditions that are expected to occur regularly or frequently in the course of normal operation. Off-normal conditions include those infrequent events that could reasonably be expected to occur during the lifetime of the cask system. Environmental phenomena and accident conditions include events that are postulated because their consideration establishes a conservative design basis.

Normal condition loads act in combination with all other loads (off-normal or environmental phenomena/accident). Off-normal condition loads and environmental phenomena and accident condition loads are not applied in combination. However, loads that occur as a result of the same phenomena are applied simultaneously. For example, the tornado winds loads are applied in combination with the tornado missile loads.

In the following subsections, the design criteria are established for normal, off-normal, and accident conditions for storage. The following conditions of storage and associated loads are identified:

- i. Normal (Long-Term Storage) Condition: Dead Weight, Handling, Pressure, Temperature, Snow.
- ii. Off-Normal Condition: Pressure, Temperature, Leakage of One Seal, Partial Blockage of Air Inlets.
- iii. Accident Condition: Handling Accident, Non-Mechanistic Tip-Over, Fire, Partial Blockage of MPC Basket Flow Holes, Tornado, Flood, Earthquake, Fuel Rod Rupture, Confinement Boundary Leakage, Explosion, Lightning, Burial Under Debris, 100% Blockage of Air Inlets, Extreme Environmental Temperature.
- iv. Short-Term Operations: This loading condition is defined to accord with ISG-11, Revision 3 [2.0.1] guidance. This includes those normal operational evolutions necessary to support fuel loading or unloading activities. These include, but are not limited to MPC cavity drying, helium backfill, MPC transfer, and on-site handling of a loaded HI-TRAC VW transfer cask.

Each of these conditions and the applicable loads are identified herein with their applicable design criteria. A design criterion is deemed to be satisfied if the allowable limits for the specific loading conditions are not exceeded.

HOLTEC INTERNATIONAL COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL

REPORT HI-2114830

2.2.1 Loadings Applicable to Normal Conditions of Storage

a. <u>Dead Weight</u>

The HI-STORM FW System must withstand the static loads due to the weights of each of its components, including the weight of the HI-TRAC VW with the loaded MPC stacked on top the storage overpack during the MPC transfer.

b. <u>Handling Evolutions</u>

The HI-STORM FW System must withstand loads experienced during routine handling. Normal handling includes:

- i. Vertical lifting and transfer to the ISFSI of the HI-STORM FW overpack containing a loaded MPC.
- ii. Vertical lifting and handling of the HI-TRAC VW transfer cask containing a loaded MPC.
- iii. Lifting of a loaded MPC.

The dead load of the lifted component is increased by 15% in the stress qualification analyses (to meet ANSI N14.6 guidance) to account for dynamic effects from lifting operations as suggested in CMAA #70 [2.2.1].

Handling operations of the loaded HI-TRAC VW transfer cask or HI-STORM FW overpack are limited to working area ambient temperatures specified in Table 2.2.2. This limitation is specified to ensure a sufficient safety margin against brittle fracture during handling operations.

Table 2.2.6 summarizes the analyses required to qualify all threaded anchor locations in the HI-STORM FW System.

c. <u>Pressure</u>

The MPC internal pressure is dependent on the initial volume of cover gas (helium), the volume of fill gas in the fuel rods, the fraction of fission gas released from the fuel matrix, the number of fuel rods assumed to have ruptured, and temperature.

The normal condition MPC internal design pressure bounds the cumulative effects of the maximum fill gas volume, normal environmental ambient temperatures, the maximum MPC heat load, and an assumed 1% of the fuel rods ruptured with 100% of the fill gas and 30% of the significant radioactive gases (e.g., H³, Kr, and Xe) released in accordance with NUREG-1536.

For the storage of damaged fuel assemblies or fuel debris in a damaged fuel container (DFC), it shall be conservatively assumed that 100% of the fuel rods are ruptured with 100% of the rod fill gas and 30% of the significant radioactive gases (e.g., H³, Kr, and Xe) liberated. For PWR assemblies stored with non-fuel hardware, 100% of the gases in the non-fuel hardware (e.g.,

2-43

BPRAs) shall be assumed to be released. The accident condition design pressure shall envelop the case of 100% of the fuel rods ruptured.

The MPC internal pressure under the normal condition of storage must remain below the design pressure specified in Table 2.2.1.

The MPC external pressure is a function of environmental conditions, which may produce a pressure loading. The normal condition external design pressure is specified in Table 2.2.1.

The HI-STORM FW overpack is not capable of retaining internal pressure due to its open design, and therefore no analysis is required or provided for the overpack internal pressure.

The HI-TRAC VW transfer cask is not capable of retaining internal pressure due to its open design. Therefore, no analysis is required for the internal pressure loading in HI-TRAC VW transfer cask. However, the HI-TRAC VW transfer cask water jacket may experience an internal vapor pressure due to the heat-up of the water contained in the water jacket. Analysis is performed in Chapter 3 of this report to demonstrate that the water jacket can withstand the design pressure in Table 2.2.1 without a structural failure and that the water jacket design pressure will not be exceeded. To provide an additional layer of safety, a pressure relief device is used to ensure that the water jacket design pressure will not be exceeded.

d. <u>Environmental Temperatures and Pressures</u>

To evaluate the long-term effects of ambient temperatures on the HI-STORM FW System, an upper bound value on the annual average ambient temperature for the continental United States is used. The annual average temperature is termed the normal ambient temperature for storage. The normal ambient temperature specified in Table 2.2.2 is bounding for all reactor sites in the contiguous United States. The normal ambient temperature set forth in Table 2.2.2 is intended to ensure that it is greater than the annual average of ambient temperature at any location in the continental United States. In the northern region of the U.S., the design basis normal ambient temperature used in this FSAR will be exceeded only for brief periods, whereas in the southern U.S, it may be straddled daily in summer months. Inasmuch as the sole effect of the normal temperature is on the computed fuel cladding temperature to establish long-term fuel integrity, it should not lie below the time averaged yearly mean for the ISFSI site. Previously licensed cask systems have employed lower normal temperatures (viz., 75° F in Docket 72-1007) by utilizing national meteorological data.

Likewise, within the thermal analysis, a conservatively assumed soil temperature of the value specified in Table 2.2.2 is utilized to bound the annual average soil temperatures for the continental United States. The 1987 ASHRAE Handbook (HVAC Systems and Applications) reports average earth temperatures, from 0 to 10 feet below grade, throughout the continental United States. The highest reported annual average value for the continental United States is 77°F for Key West, Florida. Therefore, this value is specified in Table 2.2.2 as the bounding soil temperature.

HOLTEC INTERNATIONAL COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL REPORT HI-2114830

Confirmation of the site-specific annual average ambient temperature and soil temperature is to be performed by the licensee, in accordance with 10CFR72.212. Insolation based on 10CFR71.71 input averaged over 24 hours shall be used as the additional heat input under the normal and off-normal conditions of storage.

The ambient pressure shall be assumed to be 760mm of Hg coincident with the normal condition temperature, whose bounding value is provided in Table 2.2.2. For sites located substantially above sea level (elevation > 1500 feet), it will be necessary to perform a site specific evaluation of the peak cladding temperature using the site specific ambient temperature (maximum average annual temperature based on 40 year meteorological data for the site). ISG 11, Revision 3 [2.0.1] temperature limits will continue to apply.

All of the above requirements are consistent with those in the HI-STORM 100 FSAR.

e. <u>Design Temperatures</u>

The ASME Boiler and Pressure Vessel Code (ASME Code) requires that the value of the vessel design temperature be established with appropriate consideration for the effect of heat generation internal or external to the vessel. The decay heat load from the spent nuclear fuel is the internal heat generation source for the HI-STORM FW System. The ASME Code (Section III, Paragraph NCA-2142) requires the design temperature to be set at or above the maximum through thickness mean metal temperature of the pressure part under normal service (Level A) condition. Consistent with the terminology of NUREG-1536, this temperature is referred to as the "Design Temperature for Normal Conditions". Conservative calculations of the steady-state temperature field in the HI-STORM FW System, under assumed environmental normal temperatures with the maximum decay heat load, result in HI-STORM FW component temperatures at or below the normal condition design temperatures for the HI-STORM FW System defined in Table 2.2.3.

Maintaining fuel rod cladding integrity is also a design consideration. The fuel rod peak cladding temperature (PCT) limits for the long-term storage and short-term operating conditions shall meet the intent of the guidance in ISG-11, Revision 3 [2.0.1]. For moderate burnup fuel the PCT limit for short-term operations is higher than for high burnup fuel [2.0.2].

f. <u>Snow and Ice</u>

The HI-STORM FW System must be capable of withstanding pressure loads due to snow and ice. Section 7.0 of ANSI/ASCE 7-05 [2.2.3] provides empirical formulas and tables to compute the effective design pressure on the overpack due to the accumulation of snow for the contiguous U.S. and Alaska. Typical calculated values for heated structures such as the HI-STORM FW System range from 50 to 70 pounds per square foot. For conservatism, the snow pressure load (Table 2.2.8) is set to bound the ANSI/ASCE 7-05 recommendation.

HOLTEC INTERNATIONAL COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL REPORT HI-2114830

2.2.2 Loadings Applicable to Off-Normal Conditions

As the HI-STORM FW System is passive, loss of power and instrumentation failures are not defined as off-normal conditions. The off-normal condition design criteria are defined in this subsection.

A discussion of the effects of each off-normal condition and the corrective action for each offnormal condition is provided in Section 12.1. Table 2.2.7 contains a list of all normal and offnormal loadings and their applicable acceptance criteria.

a. <u>Pressure</u>

The HI-STORM FW System must withstand loads due to off-normal pressure. The off-normal condition for the MPC internal design pressure, defined herein in Table 2.2.1, bounds the cumulative effects of the maximum fill gas volume, off-normal environmental ambient temperatures, the maximum MPC heat load, and an assumed 10% of the fuel rods ruptured with 100% of the fill gas and 30% of the significant radioactive gases (e.g., H³, Kr, and Xe) released as suggested in NUREG-1536.

b. Environmental Temperatures

The HI-STORM FW System must withstand off-normal environmental temperatures. The offnormal environmental temperatures are specified in Table 2.2.2. The lower bound temperature occurs with no solar loads and the upper bound temperature occurs with steady-state insolation. Each bounding temperature is assumed to persist for a sufficient duration to allow the system to reach steady-state temperatures.

Limits on the peaks in the time-varying ambient temperature at an ISFSI site are recognized in the FSAR in the specification of the off-normal temperatures. The lower bound off-normal temperature is defined as the minimum of the 72-hour average of the ambient temperature at an ISFSI site. Likewise, the upper bound off-normal temperature is defined by the maximum of 72-hour average of the ambient temperature. The lower and upper bound off-normal temperatures listed in Table 2.2.2 are intended to cover all ISFSI sites in the continental U.S. The 72-hour average of temperature used in the definition of the off-normal temperature recognizes the considerable thermal inertia of the HI-STORM FW storage system which essentially flattens the effect of daily temperature variations on the internals of the MPC.

c. <u>Design Temperatures</u>

In addition to the normal condition design temperatures, which apply to long-term storage and short-term normal operating conditions (e.g., MPC drying operations and onsite transport operations), an off-normal/accident condition temperature pursuant to the provisions of NUREG-1536 and Regulatory Guide 3.61 is also defined. This is the temperature which may exist during a transient event (examples of such an instance is the blockage of the overpack inlet vents or the fire accident). The off-normal/accident condition temperatures of Table 2.2.3 are given to bound

2 - 46

the maximax (maximum in time and space) value of the thru-thickness average temperature of the structural or non-structural part, as applicable, during the transient event. These enveloping values, therefore, will bound the maximum temperature reached anywhere in the part, excluding skin effects, during or immediately after, a transient event.

The off-normal/accident condition temperatures for stainless steel and carbon steel components are chosen such that the material's ultimate tensile strength does not fall below 30% of its room temperature value, based on data in published references [2.2.4 and 2.2.5]. This ensures that the material will not be subject to significant creep rates during these short duration transient events.

d. Leakage of One Seal

The MPC enclosure vessel does not contain gaskets or seals: All confinement boundary closure locations are welded. Because the material of construction (Alloy X, see Appendix 1.A) is known from extensive industrial experience to lend to high integrity, high ductility and high fracture strength welds, the MPC enclosure vessel welds provide a secure barrier against leakage.

The confinement boundary is defined by the MPC shell, MPC baseplate, MPC lid, port cover plates, closure ring, and associated welds. Most confinement boundary welds are inspected by radiography or ultrasonic examination. Field welds are examined by the liquid penetrant method on the root (if more than one weld pass is required) and final weld passes. In addition to multipass liquid penetrant examination, the MPC lid-to-shell weld is pressure tested. The vent and drain port cover plates are also subject to proven non-destructive evaluations for leak detection such as liquid penetrant examination. These inspection and testing techniques are performed to verify the integrity of the confinement boundary. Therefore, leakage of one seal is not evaluated for its consequence to the storage system.

e. <u>Partial Blockage of Air Inlets</u>

The loaded HI-STORM FW overpack must withstand the partial blockage of the air inlets. Because the overpack air inlets and outlets are covered by screens and inspected routinely (or alternatively, equipped with temperature monitoring devices), significant blockage of all vents by blowing debris, critters, etc., is very unlikely. Nevertheless, the inherent thermal stability of the HI-STORM FW System shall be demonstrated by assuming all air inlets are partially blocked as an off-normal event.

f. <u>Malfunction of FHD</u>

The FHD system is a forced helium circulation device used to effectuate moisture removal from loaded MPCs. For circulating helium, the FHD system is equipped with active components requiring external power for normal operation.

HOLTEC INTERNATIONAL COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL

2 - 47

REPORT HI-2114830

Initiating events of FHD malfunction are: (i) a loss of external power to the FHD System and (ii) an active component trip. In both cases a stoppage of forced helium circulation occurs and heat dissipation in the MPC transitions to natural convection cooling.

Although the FHD System is monitored during its operation, stoppage of FHD operations does not require actions to restore forced cooling for adequate heat dissipation. This is because the condition of natural convection cooling evaluated in Section 4.6 shows that the fuel temperatures remain below off-normal limits. An FHD malfunction is detected by operator response to control panel visual displays and alarms.

2.2.3 Environmental Phenomena and Accident Condition Design Criteria

Environmental phenomena and accident condition design criteria are defined in the following subsections.

The minimum acceptance criteria for the evaluation of the accident conditions are that the MPC confinement boundary continues to confine the radioactive material, the MPC fuel basket structure maintains the configuration of the contents, the canister can be recovered from the overpack, and the system continues to provide adequate shielding.

A discussion of the effects of each environmental phenomenon and accident condition is provided in Section 12.2. The consequences of each accident or environmental phenomenon are evaluated against the requirements of 10CFR72.106 and 10CFR20. Section 12.2 also provides the corrective action for each event.

a. <u>Handling Accident</u>

A handling accident in the Part 72 jurisdiction is precluded by the requirements and provisions specified in this FSAR. The loaded HI-STORM FW components will be lifted in the Part 72 operations jurisdiction in accordance with written and Q.A. validated procedures and shall use special lifting devices which comply with ANSI N14.6-1993 [2.2.2]. Also, the lifting and handling equipment (typically the cask transporter) is required to have a built-in redundancy against uncontrolled lowering of the load. Further, the HI-STORM FW is a vertically deployed system, and the handling evolutions in *short term operations*, as discussed in Chapter 9, do not involve downending of the loaded cask to the horizontal configuration (or upending from the horizontal state) at any time. In particular, the loaded MPC shall be lowered into the HI-STORM FW overpack or raised from the overpack using the HI-TRAC VW transfer cask and a MPC lifting system designed in accordance with ANSI N14.6. Therefore, analysis of a handling accident event involving a HI-STORM system component is not required.

b. <u>Non-Mechanistic Tip-Over</u>

The freestanding loaded HI-STORM FW overpack is demonstrated by analysis to remain kinematically stable under all design basis environmental phenomena (tornado, earthquake, etc.)

HOLTEC INTERNATIONAL COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL

REPORT HI-2114830

and postulated accident conditions. The cask tip-over is not an outcome of any environmental phenomenon or accident condition and the cask tip-over is considered a *non-mechanistic* event. Nevertheless, the HI-STORM FW overpack and MPC is analyzed for a hypothetical tip-over event, and the structural integrity of a loaded HI-STORM FW System after a tip-over onto a⁻⁻ reinforced concrete pad is demonstrated by analysis to show compliance with 10 CFR 72.236(m) with regards to the future transportability of the MPC.

The following requirements and acceptance criteria apply to the HI-STORM FW overpack under the tipover event:

- i. In order to maximize the target stiffness (based on experience with ISFSI pad designs), the ISFSI pad and underlying soil are conservatively modeled using the data in Table 2.2.9.
- ii. The tipover is simulated as a gravity-directed rotation of the cask from rest with its CG above its edge on the pad as the system's initial condition. The tipover begins when the cask is given an infinitesimal outward displacement in the radial plane of its tilted configuration.
- iii. The MPC will remain in the HI-STORM FW overpack after the tipover event and the overpack will not suffer any ovalization which would preclude the removal of the MPC.
- iv. The maximum plastic deformation sustained by the fuel basket panels is limited to the value given in Table 2.2.11.
- v. The HI-STORM FW overpack will not suffer a significant loss of shielding.
- vi. The confinement boundary will not be breached.
- c. <u>Fire</u>

The potential of a fire accident near an ISFSI pad is considered to be rendered extremely remote by ensuring that there are no significant combustible materials in the area. The only credible concern is related to a transport vehicle fuel tank fire engulfing the loaded HI-STORM FW overpack or loaded HI-TRAC VW transfer cask while it is being moved to the ISFSI.

The HI-STORM FW System must withstand elevated temperatures due to a fire event. The HI-STORM FW overpack and HI-TRAC VW transfer cask fire accidents for storage are conservatively postulated to be the result of the spillage and ignition of 50 gallons of combustible transporter fuel. The HI-STORM FW overpack and HI-TRAC VW transfer cask surfaces are considered to receive an incident radiation and forced convection heat flux from the fire. Table 2.2.8 provides the fire durations for the HI-STORM FW overpack and HI-TRAC VW transfer cask based on the amount of flammable materials assumed. The temperature of fire is assumed to be 1475° F to accord with the provisions in 10CFR71.73.

The following acceptance criteria apply to the fire accident:

i. The peak cladding temperature during and after a fire accident shall not exceed the ISG-11 [2.0.1] permissible limit (see Table 2.2.3).

HOLTEC INTERNATIONAL COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL REPORT HI-2114830

- ii. The through-thickness average temperature of concrete at any section shall not exceed its short-term limit in Table 2.2.3.
- iii. The steel structure of the overpack shall remain physically stable; i.e., no risk of structural instability such as gross buckling.

d. <u>Partial Blockage of MPC Basket Flow Holes</u>

The HI-STORM FW MPC is designed to prevent reduction of thermosiphon action due to partial blockage of the MPC basket flow holes by fuel cladding failure, fuel debris and crud. The HI-STORM FW System maintains the SNF in an inert environment with fuel rod cladding temperatures below accepted values (Table 2.2.3). Therefore, there is no credible mechanism for gross fuel cladding degradation of fuel classified as undamaged during storage in the HI-STORM FW. Fuel classified as damaged fuel or fuel debris are placed in damaged fuel containers. The damaged fuel container is equipped with mesh screens which ensure that the damaged fuel and fuel debris will not escape to block the MPC basket flow holes. The MPC is loaded once for long-term storage and, therefore, buildup of crud in the MPC due to numerous loadings is precluded. Using crud quantities for fuel assemblies reported in an Empire State Electric Energy Research Corporation Report [2.2.6] determines a layer of crud of conservative depth that is assumed to partially block the MPC basket flow holes. The crud depth is listed in Table 2.2.8. The flow holes in the bottom of the fuel basket are designed (as can be seen on the licensing drawings) to ensure that this amount of crud does not block the internal helium circulation.

e. <u>Tornado</u>

The HI-STORM FW System must withstand pressures, wind loads, and missiles generated by a tornado. The prescribed design basis tornado and wind loads for the HI-STORM FW System are consistent with NRC Regulatory Guide 1.76 [2.2.7], ANSI 57.9 [2.2.8], and ASCE 7-05 [2.2.3]. Table 2.2.4 provides the wind speeds and pressure drops the HI-STORM FW overpack can withstand while maintaining kinematic stability. The pressure drop is bounded by the accident condition MPC external design pressure.

The kinematic stability of the HI-STORM FW overpack, and continued integrity of the MPC confinement boundary, within the storage overpack or HI-TRAC VW transfer cask, must be demonstrated under impact from tornado-generated missiles in conjunction with the wind loadings. Standard Review Plan (SRP) 3.5.1.4 of NUREG-0800 [2.2.9] stipulates that the postulated missiles include at least three objects: a massive high kinetic energy missile that deforms on impact (large missile); a rigid missile to test penetration resistance (penetrant missile); and a small rigid missile of a size sufficient to pass through any openings in the protective barriers (micro-missile). SRP 3.5.1.4 suggests an automobile for a large missile, a rigid solid steel cylinder for the penetrating missile, and a solid sphere for the small rigid missile, all impacting at 35% of the maximum horizontal wind speed of the design basis tornado. Table 2.2.5 provides the missile data used in the analysis, which is based on the above SRP guidelines.

f. <u>Flood</u>

HOLTEC INTERNATIONAL COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL REPORT HI-2114830

2-50

The HI-STORM FW System must withstand pressure and water forces associated with deep and moving flood waters. Resultant loads on the HI-STORM FW System consist of buoyancy effects, static pressure loads, and velocity pressure due to water velocity. The flood is assumed to deeply submerge the HI-STORM FW System (see Table 2.2.8). The flood water depth is based on the hydrostatic pressure which is bounded by the MPC external pressure stated in Table 2.2.1.

It is shown that the MPC does not collapse, buckle, or allow water in-leakage under the hydrostatic pressure from the flood.

The flood water is assumed to be moving. The maximum allowable flood water velocity (Table 2.2.8) is established so that the pressure loading from the water is less than the pressure loading which would cause the HI-STORM FW System to slide or tip over. Site-specific safety reviews by the licensee must confirm that flood parameters at the proposed ISFSI site do not exceed the flood depth or water velocity given in Table 2.2.8.

If the flood water depth exceeds the elevation of the top of the HI-STORM FW overpack inlet vents, then the cooling air flow would be blocked. The flood water may also carry debris which may act to block the air inlets of the overpack. Blockage of the air inlets is addressed in 2.2.3 (l).

The hydrological conditions at most reactor sites are characterized as required by Paragraph 100.10(c) of 10CFR100 and further articulated in Reg. Guide 1.59, "Design Basis Floods for Nuclear Power Plants" and Reg. Guide 1.102, "Flood Protection for Nuclear Power Plants." It is assumed that a complete characterization of the ISFSI's hydrosphere including the effects of hurricanes, floods, seiches, and tsunamis is available to enable a site-specific evaluation of the HI-STORM FW System for kinematic stability, if necessary. An evaluation for tsunamis[†] for certain coastal sites should also be performed to demonstrate that the maximum flood depth in Table 2.2.8 will not be exceeded. The factor of safety against sliding or overturning of the cask under the moving flood waters shall be equal to or greater than the value in Table 2.2.8.

The scenario where the flood water raises high enough to block the inlet ducts (and thus cut-off ventilation) and remains stagnant is the most adverse flood condition (thermally) for the storage system. As discussed in Chapter 1, the HI-STORM FW System inlet vent design makes it resistant to such adverse flood scenarios. The results of this analysis are presented in Chapter 4.

g. Earthquakes

--,

The principal effect of an earthquake on the loaded HI-STORM FW overpack is the movement of the MPC inside the overpack cavity causing impact with the cavity inner wall, and, if the earthquake is sufficiently strong, the potential sliding and tilting of the storage system. The

2-51

^{*} A tsunami is an ocean wave from seismic or volcanic activity or from submarine landslides. A tsunami may be the result of nearby or distant events. A tsunami loading may exist in combination with wave splash and spray, storm surge and tides.

acceptance criteria for the storage system under the site's Design Basis Earthquake (DBE) are as follows:

- i. The loaded overpacks will not impact each other during the DBE event.
- ii. The loaded overpack will not slide off the ISFSI.
- iii. The loaded overpack will not tip over.
- iv. The confinement boundary will not be breached.

To minimize the need for a seismic analysis at each ISFSI site, the approach utilized in Docket No. 72-1014 is adopted for HI-STORM FW, which divides the DBE into two categories, labeled herein as (i) low intensity and (ii) high intensity. A low intensity earthquake is one whose ZPA is low enough to pass the "static equilibrium test". A high intensity earthquake is one that cannot pass the "static equilibrium test". The limiting value of the static friction coefficient, μ , has been set at 0.53 for freestanding HI-STORM overpack on a reinforced concrete pad in Docket No. 72-1014. The same limit is observed for HI-STORM FW overpack in this report. The criterion for static equilibrium is derived from elementary statics with the simplifying assumption that the cask and its contents are fixed and emulate a rigid body with six degrees-of-freedom. The earthquake is represented by its ZPA in horizontal (the vector sum of the two horizontal ZPAs for a 3-D earthquake site) and vertical directions. The limits on a_H and a_v for HI-STORM FW are readily derived as follows:

i. Prevention of sliding: Assuming the vertical ZPA to be acting to reduce the weight of the cask, horizontal force equilibrium yields:

 $\mathbf{W} \cdot \mathbf{a}_{\mathrm{H}} \leq \boldsymbol{\mu} \cdot \mathbf{W} \cdot (1 - \mathbf{a}_{\mathrm{v}})$

Or $a_{\rm H} \leq (1-a_{\rm v}) \cdot \mu$

ii. Prevention against "edging" of the cask:

Balancing the moment about the cask's pivot point for edging yields:

$$W \cdot a_H \cdot h \leq W \cdot (1 - a_v) \cdot r$$

Or
$$a_{\rm H} \leq (1-a_{\rm v}) \cdot \frac{r}{h}$$

Where:

r: radius of the footprint of the cask's base

h: height of the CG of the cask

 μ : Static friction coefficient between the cask and the ISFSI pad.

The above two inequalities define the limits on a_H and a_v for a site if the earthquake is to be considered of "low intensity." For low intensity earthquake sites, additional analysis to demonstrate integrity of the confinement boundary is not required.

HOLTEC INTERNATIONAL COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL REPORT HI-2114830

2-52

However, if the earthquake's ZPAs do not satisfy either of the above inequalities, then a dynamic analysis using the methodology specified in Chapter 3 shall be performed as a part of the §72.212 safety evaluation.

h. <u>100% Fuel Rod Rupture</u>

The HI-STORM FW System must withstand loads due to 100% fuel rod rupture. For conservatism, 100% of the fuel rods are assumed to rupture with 100% of the fill gas and 30% of the significant radioactive gases (e.g., H³, Kr, and Xe) released in accordance with NUREG-1536. All of the fill gas contained in non-fuel hardware, such as burnable poison rod assemblies (BPRAs), is also assumed to be released concomitantly.

i. <u>Confinement Boundary Leakage</u>

None of the postulated environmental phenomenon or accident conditions identified will cause failure of the confinement boundary. Section 7.1 provides the rationale to treat leakage of the radiological contents from the MPC as a non-credible event.

j. External Pressure on the MPC Due to Explosion

The loaded HI-STORM FW overpack must withstand loads due to an explosion. The accident condition MPC external pressure and overpack pressure differential specified in Table 2.2.1 bounds all credible external explosion events. There are no credible internal explosive events since all materials are compatible with the various operating environments, as discussed in Subsection 3.4.1, or appropriate preventive measures are taken to preclude internal explosive events (see Subsection 1.2.1). The MPC is composed of non explosive materials and maintains an inert gas environment. Thus explosion during long term storage is not credible. Likewise, the mandatory use of the protective measures at nuclear plants to prevent fires and explosions and the absence of any need for an explosive material during loading and unloading operations eliminates the scenario of an explosion as a credible event. Furthermore, because the MPC is internally pressurized, any short-term external pressure from explosion or even submergence in flood waters will act to reduce the tensile state of stress in the enclosure vessel. Nevertheless, a design basis external pressure (Table 2.2.1) has been defined as a design basis loading event wherein the internal pressure is non-mechanistically assumed to be absent.

k. <u>Lightning</u>

The HI-STORM FW System must withstand loads due to lightning. The effect of lightning on the HI-STORM FW System is evaluated in Chapter 12.

I. Burial Under Debris and Duct Blockage

Debris may collect on the HI-STORM FW overpack vent screens as a result of floods, wind storms, or mud slides. Siting of the ISFSI pad shall ensure that the storage location is not located

2-53

HOLTEC INTERNATIONAL COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL REPORT HI-2114830

Rev. 2

HI-STORM FW FSAR -Non-Proprietary Revision 2, June 24, 2013

over shifting soil. However, if burial under debris is a credible event for an ISFSI, then a thermal analysis to analyze the effect of such an accident condition shall be performed for the site using the analysis methodology presented in Chapter 4. The duration of the burial-under-debris scenario will be based on the ISFSI owner's emergency preparedness program. The following acceptance criteria apply to the burial-under-debris accident event:

- i. The fuel cladding temperature shall not exceed the ISG-11, Revision 3 [2.0.1] temperature limits.
- ii. The internal pressure in the MPC cavity shall not exceed the accident condition design pressure limit in Table 2.2.1.

The burial-under-debris analysis will be performed if applicable, for the site-specific conditions and heat loads.

m. <u>Extreme Environmental Temperature</u>

The HI-STORM FW System must withstand extreme environmental temperatures. The extreme accident level temperature is specified in Table 2.2.2. The extreme accident level temperature is assumed to occur with steady-state insolation. This temperature is assumed to persist for a sufficient duration to allow the system to reach steady-state temperatures. The HI-STORM FW overpack and MPC have a large thermal inertia; therefore, extreme environmental temperature is a 3-day average for the ISFSI site.

All accident events and extreme environmental phenomena loadings that require analysis are listed in Table 2.2.13 along with the applicable acceptance criteria.

The loadings listed in Table 2.2.13 fall into two broad categories; namely, (i) those that primarily affect kinematic stability, and (ii) those that produce significant stresses and strains. The loadings in the former category are principally applicable to the overpack. Tornado wind (W), earthquake (E), and tornado-borne missile (M) are essentially loadings which can destabilize a cask. Analyses reported in Chapter 3 show that the HI-STORM FW overpack structure will remain kinematically stable under these loadings. Additionally, for the tornado-borne missile (M), analyses that demonstrate that the overpack structure remains unbreached by the postulated missiles are provided in Chapter 3.

Loadings in the second category produce global deformations that must be shown to comply with the applicable acceptance criteria. The relevant loading combinations for the fuel basket, the MPC, the HI-TRAC VW transfer cask and the HI-STORM FW overpack are different because of differences in their function. For example, the fuel basket does not experience a pressure loading because it is not a pressure vessel.

2.2.4 Applicability of Governing Documents

Section III Subsection NB of the ASME Boiler and Pressure Vessel Code (ASME Code), [2.2.10], is the governing code for the structural design of the MPC. The alternatives to the

HOLTEC INTERNATIONAL COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL

REPORT HI-2114830

HI-STORM FW FSAR -Non-Proprietary Revision 2, June 24, 2013

ASME Code, Section III Subsection NB, applicable to the MPC in Docket Nos. 72-1008 and 72-1014 are also applicable to the MPC in the HI-STORM FW System, as documented in Table 2.2.14.

The stress limits of ASME Section III Subsection NF [2.0.3] are applied to the HI-STORM FW and HI-TRAC VW structural parts where the applicable loading is designated as a code service condition.

The fuel basket, made of Metamic-HT, is subject to the requirements in Chapter 1, Section 1.2.1.4 and is designed to a specific (lateral) deformation limit of its walls under accident conditions of loading (credible and non-mechanistic) (see Table 2.2.11). The basis for the lateral deflection limit in the active fuel region, θ , is provided in [2.2.11].

ACI 318 is the reference code for the plain concrete in the HI-STORM FW overpack. ACI 318.1-85(05) is the applicable code utilized to determine the allowable compressive strength of the plain concrete credited in strength analysis.

Each structure, system and component (SSC) of the HI-STORM FW System that is identified as important-to-safety is shown on the licensing drawings.

Tables 1.2.6 and 1.2.7 provide the information on the applicable Codes and Standards for material procurement, design, fabrication and inspection of the components of the HI-STORM FW System. In particular, the ASME Code is relied on to define allowable stresses for structural analyses of Code materials.

2.2.5 Service Limits

In the ASME Code, plant and system operating conditions are commonly referred to as normal, upset, emergency, and faulted. Consistent with the terminology in NRC documents, this FSAR utilizes the terms normal, off-normal, and accident conditions.

The ASME Code defines four service conditions in addition to the Design Limits for nuclear components. They are referred to as Level A, Level B, Level C, and Level D service limits, respectively. Their definitions are provided in Paragraph NCA-2142.4 of the ASME Code. The four levels are used in this FSAR as follows:

- i. Level A Service Limits are used to establish allowables for normal condition load combinations.
- ii. Level B Service Limits are used to establish allowables for off-normal conditions.
- iii. Level C Service Limits are not used.
- iv. Level D Service Limits are used to establish allowables for certain accident conditions.

HOLTEC INTERNATIONAL COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL

2-55

Rev. 2

REPORT HI-2114830

The ASME Code service limits are used in the structural analyses for definition of allowable stresses and allowable stress intensities, as applicable. Allowable stresses and stress intensities for structural analyses are tabulated in Chapter 3. These service limits are matched with normal, off-normal, and accident condition loads combinations in the following subsections.

The MPC confinement boundary is required to meet Section III, Class 1, Subsection NB stress intensity limits. Table 2.2.10 lists the stress intensity limits for the Levels A, B, C, and D service limits for Class 1 structures extracted from the ASME Code. Table 2.2.12 lists allowable stress limits for the steel structure of the HI-STORM FW overpack and HI-TRAC VW transfer cask which are analyzed to meet the stress limits of Subsection NF, Class 3 for loadings defined as service levels A, B, and D are applicable.

2.2.6 Loads

Subsections 2.2.1, 2.2.2, and 2.2.3 describe the design criteria for normal, off-normal, and accident conditions, respectively. The loads are listed in Tables 2.2.7 and 2.2.13, along with the applicable acceptance criteria.

2.2.7 Design Basis Loads

Where appropriate, for each loading type, a bounding value is selected in this FSAR to impute an additional margin for the associated loading events. Such bounding loads are referred to as Design Basis Loads (DBL) in this FSAR. For example, the Design Basis External Pressure on the MPC, set down in Table 2.2.1, is a DBL, as it grossly exceeds any credible external pressure that may be postulated for an ISFSI site.

2.2.8 Allowable Limits

The stress intensity limits for the MPC confinement boundary for the design condition and the service conditions are provided in Table 2.2.10. The MPC confinement boundary stress intensity limits are obtained from ASME Code, Section III, Subsection NB. The displacement limit for the MPC fuel basket is expressed as a dimensionless parameter θ defined as [2.2.11]

$$\theta = \frac{\delta}{w}$$

where δ is defined as the maximum total deflection sustained by the basket panels under the loading event and w is the nominal inside (width) dimension of the storage cell. The limiting value of θ is provided in Table 2.2.11. Finally, the steel structure of the overpack and the HI-TRAC VW must meet the stress limits of Subsection NF of ASME Code, Section III for the applicable service conditions.

The following definitions of terms apply to the tables on stress intensity limits; these definitions are the same as those used throughout the ASME Code:

HOLTEC INTERNATIONAL COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL REPORT HI-2114830 Rev. 2 2-56

HI-STORM FW FSAR -Non-Proprietary Revision 2, June 24, 2013

- S_m : Value of Design Stress Intensity listed in ASME Code Section II, Part D, Tables 2A, 2B and 4
- S_y: Minimum yield strength at temperature
- S_u: Minimum ultimate strength at temperature

HOLTEC INTERNATIONAL COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL REPORT HI-2114830

Table 2.2.1				
	DESIGN PRESSURES			
Pressure Location	Condition	Pressure (psig)		
MPC Internal Pressure	Normal	100		
	Off-Normal/Short-Term	120		
	Accident	200		
MPC External Pressure	Normal	(0) Ambient		
	Off-Normal/Short-Term	(0) Ambient		
	Accident	55		
HI-TRAC Water Jacket Internal Pressure	Accident	65		
	Normal	(0) Ambient		
Overpack External Pressure	Off-Normal/Short-Term	(0) Ambient		
	Accident	See Paragraph 3.1.2.1.d		

HOLTEC INTERNATIONAL COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL

	Table 2.2.2	<u></u>			
EN	ENVIRONMENTAL TEMPERATURES				
	HI-STORM FW Overpack				
Condition	Temperature (°F)	Comments			
Normal Ambient Temperature	80	Bounding annual average from the contiguous United States			
Soil Temperature	77	Bounding annual average from the contiguous United States			
Off-Normal Ambient Temperature	-40 (min) 100 (max)	Lower bound does not consider insolation. Upper bound is a 3-day daily average and analysis includes insolation.			
Extreme Ambient Temperature	125	3-day daily average and analysis includes insolation			
Short-Term Operations	0 (min)	Limit is specified in the technical specifications.			
	HI-TRAC VW Trans	fer Cask			
Condition	Temperature (°F)	Comments			
Short-Term Operations	0 (min.) 90 (max.)	The lower bound limit is specified in the technical specifications. The upper bound limit is a 3-day daily average with insolation and can be increased for a specific site if justified by the appropriate thermal analysis.			

HOLTEC INTERNATIONAL COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL

	Table 2.2.3			
DESIGN TEMPERATURES				
HI-STORM FW Component	Normal Condition Design Temperature Limits (°F)	Off-Normal and Accident Condition Temperature Limits [†] (°F)		
MPC shell	600	800		
MPC basket	752	932		
MPC basket shims	752	932		
MPC lid	600	800		
MPC closure ring	500	800		
MPC baseplate	400	800		
HI-TRAC VW inner shell	500	700		
HI-TRAC VW bottom lid	350	700		
HI-TRAC VW top flange	400	650		
HI-TRAC VW bottom lid seals	350	N/A		
HI-TRAC VW bottom lid bolts	350	800		
HI-TRAC VW bottom flange	350	700		
HI-TRAC VW radial neutron shield	311	N/A		
HI-TRAC VW radial lead gamma shield	350	600		
	752 (Storage)	1058		
Fuel Cladding	752 or 1058 (Short Term Operations) ^{††}	(Ott-Normal and Accident Conditions)		
Overpack concrete	300	350		
Overpack Lid Top and Bottom Plate	450	700		
Remainder of overpack steel structure	350	700		

2-60

^{*} For accident conditions that involve heating of the steel structures and no mechanical loading (such as the blocked air duct accident), the permissible metal temperature of the steel parts is defined by Table 1A of ASME Section II (Part D) for Section III, Class 3 materials as 700°F. For the fire event, the structure is required to remain physically stable (no specific temperature limits apply)

^{††} Short term operations include MPC drying and onsite transport. The 1058°F temperature limit applies to MPCs containing all moderate burnup fuel. The limit for MPCs containing one or more high burnup fuel assemblies is 752°F.

Table 2.2.4				
CHARACTERISTICS O	F REFERENCE TORNADO			
Condition	Value			
Rotational wind speed (mph)	290			
Translational speed (mph)	70			
Maximum wind speed (mph)	360			
Pressure drop (psi)	3.0			

HOLTEC INTERNATIONAL COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL REPORT HI-2114830

2-61

Table 2.2.5					
TORNADO-GENERATED MISSILES					
Missile Description	Mass (kg)	Velocity (mph)			
Automobile	1800	126			
Rigid solid steel cylinder (8 in. diameter)	125	126			
Solid sphere (1 in. diameter)	0.22	126			

HOLTEC INTERNATIONAL COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL REPORT HI-2114830

	Table 2.2.6 LIFTING ANALYSIS CASES				
Loading Case	Item	Location of Threaded Anchor (Material)	Bounding Weight	Dynamic Amplification Factor	Permissible Stress (psi) (Note 1)
HA.	Loaded MPC	Top Lid (stainless steel)	Section 3.2	1.15	Lesser of 0.1 S _u or S _y /3
HB.	Loaded HI- TRAC Transfer Cask	Top Flange of the Cask (C.S. forging)	Section 3.2	1.15	Lesser of 0.1 S _u or S _y /3
HC.	Loaded HI- STORM 100 Module with Lid	Threaded cylinder embedded and welded to the radial connectors near the top of the cask (carbon steel forging)	Section 3.2	1.15	S _y /3
Note 1: T	he permissible stress stapped. Minimum	s applies to the materi threaded length of the	al of the part	t in which the lift used in the analy	anchor sis. S. =

ultimate strength; $S_y = yield$ strength

HOLTEC INTERNATIONAL COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL

LOA	ADS APPLICABLE TO	Table 2.2.7 D THE NORMAL AND (DFF-NORMAL	CONDITIONS OF
		STORAGE		
Loading Case	Loading	Affected Item and Part	Magnitude of Loading	Acceptance Criterion
NA.	Snow and Ice	Top lid of HI-STORM FW overpack	Table 2.2.8	The stress in the steel structure must meet NF Class 3 limits for linear structures
NB.	Internal Pressure ¹	MPC Enclosure Vessel	Table 2.2.1	Meet "NB" stress intensity limits
	a. Normal Condition	MPC Enclosure Vessel	Table 2.2.1	Level A condition limit on primary plus secondary stress intensities
	b. Off-Normal Condition	MPC Enclosure Vessel	Table 2.2.1	Level B limits on primary and secondary stress intensities.

REPORT HI-2114830

¹ Normal condition internal pressure is bounded by the Design Internal Pressure in Table 2.2.1. Because the top and bottom extremities of the MPC Enclosure Vessel are each at a uniform temperature due to the recirculating helium, thermal stresses are minimal. Therefore, the Design Internal Pressure envelops the case of the Normal Service condition for the MPC. The same remark applies to the Off-Normal Service condition.

HOLTEC INTERNATIONAL COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL

Table 2.2.8					
ADDITIONAL DESIGN INPUT DATA FOR NORMAL, OFF-NORMAL. AND ACCIDENT CONDITIONS					
ltem	Condition	Value			
Snow Pressure Loading (lb/ft ²)	Normal	100			
Assumed Blockage of MPC Basket Flow Opening by Crud Settling (Depth of Crud, in.)	Accident	1			
Cask Environment During the Postulated Fire Event (Deg. F)	Accident	1475			
HI-STORM FW Overpack Fire Duration (seconds)	Accident	208			
HI-TRAC VW Transfer Cask Fire Duration (minutes)	Accident	4.64			
Maximum Submergence Depth due to Flood (ft)	Accident	125			
Factor of safety against sliding or overturning from moving flood waters	Accident	1.1			

HOLTEC INTERNATIONAL COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL REPORT HI-2114830

Table 2.2.9				
ISFSI PAD DATA FOR NON-MECHANISTIC TIP-OVER ANALYSIS				
Thickness (inch)	36			
Concrete Pad Compressive Strength (psi)	6,000			
Modulus of elasticity of the subgrade (psi) 28,000				

HOLTEC INTERNATIONAL COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL REPORT HI-2114830

Table 2.2.10

MPC CONFINEMENT BOUNDARY STRESS INTENSITY LIMITS FOR DIFFERENT LOADING CONDITIONS (ELASTIC ANALYSIS PER NB-3220) †

Stress Category	Design	Level A	Level D ^{*†}
Primary Membrane, P _m	S _m	S _m	AMIN (2.4S _m , .7S _u)
Local Membrane, P _L	1.5S _m	1.5S _m	150% of P _m Limit
Membrane plus Primary Bending	1.5S _m	1.5S _m	150% of P _m Limit
Primary Membrane plus Primary Bending	1.5S _m	N/A	150% of P _m Limit
Membrane plus Primary Bending plus Secondary	N/A	3S _m	N/A
Average Shear Stress ^{††††}	0.6S _m	0.6S _m	0.42S _u

[†] Stress combinations including F (peak stress) apply to fatigue evaluations only.

^{††} Governed by Appendix F, Paragraph F-1331 of the ASME Code, Section III.

⁺⁺⁺⁺ Governed by NB-3227.2 or F-1331.1(d).

HOLTEC INTERNATIONAL COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL

Table 2.2.11			
STRUCTURAL DESIGN CRITERIA FOR THE FUEL BASKET			
PARAMETER	VALUE		
Minimum service temperature	-40°F		
Maximum total (lateral) deflection in the active fuel region - dimensionless	0.005		

HOLTEC INTERNATIONAL COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL REPORT HI-2114830

2-68

Table 2.2.12 STRESS AND ACCEPTANCE LIMITS FOR DIFFERENT LOADING CONDITIONS FOR THE STEEL STRUCTURE OF THE HI-STORM FW OVERPACK AND HI-TRAC VW

STRESS CATEGORY	DESIGN + NORMAL	OFF-NORMAL	ACCIDENT [†]
Primary Membrane, P _m	S	1.33·S	See footnote
Primary Membrane, P _m , plus Primary Bending, P _b	1.5·S	1.995·S	See footnote
Shear Stress (Average)	0.6·S	0.6·S	See footnote

Definitions:

.

S = Allowable Stress Value for Table 1A, ASME Section II, Part D.

 S_m = Allowable Stress Intensity Value from Table 2A, ASME Section II, Part D S_u = Ultimate Stress

^{*} Under accident conditions, the cask must maintain its physical integrity, the loss of solid shielding (lead, concrete, steel, as applicable) shall be minimal and the MPC must remain recoverable.

HOLTEC INTERNATIONAL COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL REPORT HI-2114830

			le 2.2.13	
	CONDITION	AND ACCEPTANC	E CRITERIA APPLIC	PHENOMENA
Loading Case	Loading or Event	Affected Item or Part	Characteristics of Loading	Notes and Acceptance Criterion
AA.	Non- Mechanistic Tip-Over	HI-STORM FW overpack, Fuel Basket and Enclosure Vessel	Impactive load from the slap-down of the loaded overpack	See Paragraph 2.2.3(b)
AB.	Fire	Fuel Cladding, Shielding Concrete, and FW overpack steel structure	Significant radiant heat input over a short time	See Paragraph 2.2.3(c)
AC.	Tornado- Borne Missile	HI-STORM FW overpack	Impactive loading (Table 2.2.5)	See Paragraph 2.2.3(e)
	a. Large Missile	HI-STORM FW overpack	Acting to tip-over the loaded overpack	Use lower bound cask weight, demonstrate kinematic stability
	b. Medium Missile	HI-STORM FW overpack	May damage shielding concrete	Use lower bound cask weight, demonstrate kinematic stability
	c. Small Missile	HI-STORM FW overpack	Penetration	Prevent penetration of the cask and access to the MPC
AD.	Moving Floodwaters	Loaded Storage Module	Acting to tip-over the loaded overpack (Table 2.2.8)	See Paragraph 2.2.3 (f). Use both lower bound and upper bound cask height and weight to demonstrate kinematic stability.
AE.	Design Basis Earthquake	Loaded Storage Module	Acting to destabilize the cask	See Paragraph 2.2.3(g).
AF.	100% Rod Rupture	MPC confinement boundary	Acts to overpressure the MPC and raise the temperature of the fuel cladding	See Paragraph 2.2.3(h). Demonstrate that the equilibrium pressure in the MPC remains below the Accident Condition Design Pressure (Table 2.2.1) and ISG-11 temperature limits are met by the fuel cladding.

HOLTEC INTERNATIONAL COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL

2-70

REPORT HI-2114830

	Table 2.2.13			
LOA	LOADING EVENTS AND ACCEPTANCE CRITERIA APPLICABLE TO ACCIDENT CONDITIONS AND EXTREME ENVIRONMENTAL PHENOMENA			
AG.	Burial Under Debris	Stored SNF	Blocks convection and retards conduction as means for heat dissipation	See Paragraph 2.2.3(I). Determine the permissible time elapsed under debris so that the pressure in the MPC does not exceed the Accident Condition Design Pressure and the fuel cladding temperature remains below the ISG-11 limit.
АН	Design Basis External Pressure	MPC Enclosure Vessel	An assumed non- mechanistic load from deep submergence in flood water or explosion in the vicinity of the ISFSI	Demonstrate that the MPC Enclosure Vessel will not buckle, i.e., become structurally unstable
AJ.	Internal pressure developed in the HI- TRAC water jacket	HI-TRAC Water Jacket	A non-mechanistic (postulated) event	The water jacket will meet Level D stress limits for "NF" components.

HOLTEC INTERNATIONAL COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL
TABLE 2.2.14 List of ASME Code Alternatives for Multi-Purpose Canisters (MPCs)			
MPC Enclosure Vessel	Subsection NCA	General Requirements. Requires preparation of a Design Specification, Design Report, Overpressure Protection Report, Certification of Construction Report, Data Report, and other administrative controls for an ASME Code stamped vessel.	Because the MPC is not an ASME Code stamped vessel, none of the specifications, reports, certificates, or other general requirements specified by NCA are required. In lieu of a Design Specification and Design Report, the HI-STORM FSAR includes the design criteria, service conditions, and load combinations for the design and operation of the MPCs as well as the results of the stress analyses to demonstrate that applicable Code stress limits are met. Additionally, the fabricator is not required to have an ASME-certified QA program. All important-to-safety activities are governed by the NRC- approved Holtec QA program. Because the cask components are not certified to the Code, the terms "Certificate Holder" and "Inspector" are not germane to the manufacturing of NRC-certified cask components. To eliminate ambiguity, the responsibilities assigned to the Certificate Holder in the Code, as applicable, shall be interpreted to apply to the NRC Certificate of Compliance (CoC) holder (and by extension, to the component fabricator) if the requirement must be fulfilled. The Code term "Inspector" means the QA/QC personnel of the CoC holder and its vendors assigned to oversee and inspect the manufacturing process.
MPC Enclosure Vessel	NB-1100	Statement of requirements for Code stamping of components.	MPC Enclosure Vessel is designed and will be fabricated in accordance with ASME Code, Section III, Subsection NB to the maximum practical extent, but Code stamping is not required.
MPC basket supports and lift lugs	NB-1130	NB-1132.2(d) requires that the first connecting weld of a non-pressure retaining structural attachment to a component shall be considered part of the component unless the weld is more than 2t from the pressure retaining portion of the component, where t is the	The lugs that are used exclusively for lifting an empty MPC are welded to the inside of the pressure-retaining MPC shell, but are not designed in accordance with Subsection NB. The lug-to-Enclosure Vessel Weld is required to meet the stress limits of Reg. Guide 3.61 in lieu of Subsection NB of the Code.

HOLTEC INTERNATIONAL COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL

REPORT HI-2114830

TABLE 2.2.14 List of ASME Code Alternatives for Multi-Purpose Canisters (MPCs)			
		nominal thickness of the pressure retaining material.	
		NB-1132.2(e) requires that the first connecting weld of a welded nonstructural attachment to a component shall conform to NB-4430 if the connecting weld is within 2t from the pressure retaining portion of the component.	
MPC Enclosure Vessel	NB-2000	Requires materials to be supplied by ASME-approved material supplier.	Materials will be supplied by Holtec approved suppliers with Certified Material Test Reports (CMTRs) in accordance with NB-2000 requirements.
MPC Enclosure Vessel	NB-3100 NF-3100	Provides requirements for determining design loading conditions, such as pressure, temperature, and mechanical loads.	These requirements are subsumed by the HI-STORM FW FSAR, serving as the Design Specification, which establishes the service conditions and load combinations for the storage system.
MPC Enclosure Vessel	NB-4120	NB-4121.2 and NF-4121.2 provide requirements for repetition of tensile or impact tests for material subjected to heat treatment during fabrication or installation.	In-shop operations of short duration that apply heat to a component, such as plasma cutting of plate stock, welding, machining, and coating are not, unless explicitly stated by the Code, defined as heat treatment operations.
MPC Enclosure Vessel	NB-4220	Requires certain forming tolerances to be met for cylindrical, conical, or spherical shells of a vessel.	The cylindricity measurements on the rolled shells are not specifically recorded in the shop travelers, as would be the case for a Code-stamped pressure vessel. Rather, the requirements on inter-component clearances (such as the MPC-to-transfer cask) are guaranteed through fixture-controlled manufacturing. The fabrication specification and shop procedures ensure that all dimensional design objectives, including inter-component annular clearances are satisfied. The dimensions required to be met in fabrication are chosen to meet the functional requirements of the dry storage components. Thus, although the post-forming Code cylindricity requirements are not evaluated for compliance directly, they are indirectly satisfied (actually exceeded) in the final manufactured components.

HOLTEC INTERNATIONAL COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL

Li	st of ASME C	TABLE 2.2.14 Code Alternatives for Multi-	Purpose Canisters (MPCs)
MPC Enclosure Vessel	NB-4122	Implies that with the exception of studs, bolts, nuts and heat exchanger tubes, CMTRs must be traceable to a specific piece of material in a component.	MPCs are built in lots. Material traceability on raw materials to a heat number and corresponding CMTR is maintained by Holtec through markings on the raw material. Where material is cut or processed, markings are transferred accordingly to assure traceability. As materials are assembled into the lot of MPCs being manufactured, documentation is maintained to identify the heat numbers of materials being used for that item in the multiple MPCs being manufactured under that lot. A specific item within a specific MPC will have a number of heat numbers identified as possibly being used for the item in that particular MPC of which one or more of those heat numbers (and corresponding CMTRS) will have actually been used. All of the heat numbers identified will comply with the requirements for the particular item.
MPC Lid and Closure Ring Welds	NB-4243	Full penetration welds required for Category C Joints (flat head to main shell per NB-3352.3)	MPC lid and closure ring are not full penetration welds. They are welded independently to provide a redundant seal.
MPC Closure Ring, Vent and Drain Cover Plate Welds	NB-5230	Radiographic (RT) or ultrasonic (UT) examination required.	Root (if more than one weld pass is required) and final liquid penetrant examination to be performed in accordance with NB-5245. The closure ring provides independent redundant closure for vent and drain cover plates. Vent and drain port cover plate welds are helium leakage tested.
MPC Lid to Shell Weld	NB-5230	Radiographic (RT) or ultrasonic (UT) examination required.	Only progressive liquid penetrant (PT) examination is permitted. PT examination will include the root and final weld layers and each approx. 3/8" of weld depth.
MPC Enclosure Vessel and Lid	NB-6111	All completed pressure retaining systems shall be pressure tested.	The MPC vessel is seal welded in the field following fuel assembly loading. The MPC vessel shall then be pressure tested as defined in Chapter 10. Accessibility for leakage inspections preclude a Code compliant pressure test. All MPC enclosure vessel welds (except closure ring and vent/drain cover plate) are inspected by volumetric examination. MPC shell and shell to baseplate welds are subject to a fabrication helium leak test prior to loading.

HOLTEC INTERNATIONAL COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL

REPORT HI-2114830

Г

Li	st of ASME C	TABLE 2.2.14 Code Alternatives for Multi-	Purpose Canisters (MPCs)
			The MPC lid-to-shell weld shall be verified by progressive PT examination. PT must include the root and final layers and each approximately 3/8 inch of weld depth.
			The inspection results, including relevant findings (indications) shall be made a permanent part of the user's records by video, photographic, of other means which provide an equivalent record of weld integrity. The video or photographic records should be taken during the final interpretation period described in ASME Section V, Article 6, T-676. The vent/drain cover plate and the closure ring welds are confirmed by liquid penetrant examination. The inspection of the weld must be performed by qualified personnel and shall meet the acceptance requirements of ASME Code Section III, NB-5350.
MPC Enclosure Vessel	NB-7000	Vessels are required to have overpressure protection.	No overpressure protection is provided. Function of MPC enclosure vessel is to contain radioactive contents under normal, off-normal, and accident conditions of storage. MPC vessel is designed to withstand maximum internal pressure considering 100% fuel rod failure and maximum accident temperatures.
MPC Enclosure Vessel	NB-8000	States requirements for nameplates, stamping and reports per NCA-8000.	The HI-STORM FW System is to be marked and identified in accordance with 10CFR71 and 10CFR72 requirements. Code stamping is not required. QA data package to be in accordance with Holtec approved QA program.

HOLTEC INTERNATIONAL COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL

2.3 SAFETY PROTECTION SYSTEMS

2.3.1 General

••

....

The HI-STORM FW System is engineered to provide for the safe long-term storage of spent nuclear fuel (SNF). The HI-STORM FW will withstand all normal, off-normal, and postulated accident conditions without release of radioactive material or excessive radiation exposure to workers or members of the public. Special considerations in the design have been made to ensure long-term integrity and confinement of the stored SNF throughout all cask normal and off-normal operating conditions and its retrievability for further processing or ultimate disposal in accordance with 10 CFR 72.122(1) and ISG-2 [2.3.1].

2.3.2 Protection by Multiple Confinement Barriers and Systems

2.3.2.1 Confinement Barriers and Systems

The radioactivity which the HI-STORM FW System must confine originates from the spent fuel assemblies and, to a lesser extent, any radioactive particles from contaminated water in the fuel pool which may remain inside the MPC. This radioactivity is confined by multiple engineered barriers.

Contamination on the outside of the MPC from the fuel pool water is minimized by preventing contact, removing the contaminated water, and decontamination. An inflatable seal in the annular gap between the MPC and HI-TRAC VW, and the elastomer seal in the HI-TRAC VW bottom lid (see Chapter 9) prevent the fuel pool water from contacting the exterior of the MPC and interior of the HI-TRAC VW while submerged for fuel loading.

The MPC is a seal welded enclosure which provides the confinement boundary. The MPC confinement boundary is defined by the MPC baseplate, MPC shell, MPC lid, closure ring, port cover plates, and associated welds.

The MPC confinement boundary has been designed to withstand any postulated off-normal operations, accident conditions, or external natural phenomena. Redundant closure of the MPC is provided by the MPC closure ring welds which provide a second barrier to the release of radioactive material from the MPC internal cavity. Therefore, no monitoring system for the confinement boundary is required.

Confinement is discussed further in Chapter 7. MPC field weld examinations, helium leakage testing of the port cover plate welds, and pressure testing are performed to verify the confinement function. Fabrication inspections and tests are also performed, as discussed in Chapter 10, to verify the integrity of the confinement boundary.

HOLTEC INTERNATIONAL COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL REPORT HI-2114830

2.3.2.2 Cask Cooling

To ensure that an effective passive heat removal capability exists for long term satisfactory performance, several thermal design features are incorporated in the storage system. They are as follows:

- The MPC fuel basket is formed by a honeycomb structure of Metamic-HT plates which allows the unimpeded conduction of heat from the center of the basket to the periphery. The MPC cavity is equipped with the capability to circulate helium internally by natural buoyancy effects and transport heat from the interior region of the canister to the peripheral region (Holtec Patent 5,898,747).
- The MPC confinement boundary ensures that the inert gas (helium) atmosphere inside the MPC is maintained during normal, off-normal, and accident conditions of storage and transfer. The MPC confinement boundary maintains the helium confinement atmosphere below the design temperatures and pressures stated in Table 2.2.3 and Table 2.2.1, respectively.
- The MPC thermal design maintains the fuel rod cladding temperatures below the ISG-11 limits such that fuel cladding does not experience degradation during the long term storage period.
- The HI-STORM FW is optimally designed, with cooling vents and an MPC to overpack annulus, which maximize air flow by ensuring a turbulent flow regime at maximum heat loads.
- Eight inlet ducts located circumferentially around the bottom of the overpack and the outlet vent which circumscribes the entire lid of HI-STORM FW render the ventilation action insensitive to shifting wind conditions.
- 2.3.3 Protection by Equipment and Instrumentation Selection

2.3.3.1 Equipment

Design criteria for the HI-STORM FW System are described in Section 2.2. The HI-STORM FW System may include use of ancillary or support equipment for ISFSI implementation. Ancillary equipment and structures utilized outside of the reactor facility 10CFR Part 50 structures may be broken down into two broad categories, namely Important-to-Safety (ITS) ancillary equipment and Not Important to Safety (NITS) ancillary equipment. NUREG/CR-6407 provides guidance for the determination of a component's safety classification [1.1.4].

Users may perform the MPC transfer between the HI-TRAC VW transfer cask and the HI-STORM FW overpack in a location of their choice, depending upon site-specific needs and capabilities. For those users choosing to perform the MPC transfer using devices not integral to structures governed by the regulations of 10 CFR Part 50 (e.g., fuel handling or reactor building), a Canister Transfer Facility (CTF) is required. The CTF is typically a concrete lined cavity of a suitable depth to stage the overpack inside it so that the top of the cask is near grade level (Holtec Patent 7,139,358B2). With the overpack staged inside the cavity, the mating device is installed on top and the HI-TRAC VW is mounted on top of the mating device. The MPC

HOLTEC INTERNATIONAL COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL

REPORT HI-2114830

transfer is carried out by actuating the mating device and moving the MPC vertically to the cylindrical cavity of the recipient cask. The mating device is actuated by removing the bottom lid of the HI-TRAC VW transfer cask (see Figure 1.1.2). The device utilized to lift the HI-TRAC VW transfer cask to place it on the overpack and to vertically transfer the MPC may be of stationary or mobile type, but it must have redundant drop protection features. The cask transporter can be the load handling device at the CTF.

2.3.3.2 Instrumentation

As a consequence of the passive nature of the HI-STORM FW System, instrumentation, which is important to safety, is not necessary. No instrumentation is required or provided for HI-STORM FW storage operations, other than normal security service instruments and dosimeters.

However, in lieu of performing the periodic inspection of the HI-STORM FW overpack vent screens, temperature elements may be installed in the overpack exit vents to continuously monitor the air temperature. If the temperature elements and associated temperature monitoring instrumentation are used, they shall be designated important to safety.

2.3.4 Nuclear Criticality Safety

The criticality safety criteria stipulates that the effective neutron multiplication factor, k_{eff} , including statistical uncertainties and biases, is less than 0.95 for all postulated arrangements of fuel within the cask under all credible conditions.

2.3.4.1 Control Methods for Prevention of Criticality

The control methods and design features used to prevent criticality for all MPC configurations are the following:

- Fuel basket constructed of neutron absorbing material with no potential of detachment.
- Favorable geometry provided by the MPC fuel basket.
- A high B-10 concentration (50% greater than the concentration used in the existing state-of-the art designs certified under 10CFR72) leads to a lower reactivity level under all operating scenarios.

Administrative controls shall be used to ensure that fuel placed in the HI-STORM FW System meets the requirements described in Chapters 2 and 6. All appropriate criticality analyses are presented in Chapter 6.

2.3.4.2 Error Contingency Criteria

Provision for error contingency is built into the criticality analyses performed in Chapter 6. Because biases and uncertainties are explicitly evaluated in the analysis, it is not necessary to

HOLTEC INTERNATIONAL COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL

REPORT HI-2114830

introduce additional contingency for error.

2.3.4.3 Verification Analyses

In Chapter 6, critical experiments are selected which reflect the design configurations. These critical experiments are evaluated using the same calculation methods, and a suitable bias is incorporated in the reactivity calculation.

2.3.5 Radiological Protection

2.3.5.1 Access Control

As required by 10CFR72, uncontrolled access to the ISFSI is prevented through physical protection means. A security fence surrounded by a physical barrier fence with an appropriate locking and monitoring system is a standard approach to limit access if the ISFSI is located outside the controlled area. The details of the access control systems and procedures, including division of the site into radiation protection areas, will be developed by the licensee (user) of the ISFSI utilizing the HI-STORM FW System.

2.3.5.2 Shielding

The objective of shielding is to assure that radiation dose rates at key locations are as low as practical in order to maintain occupational doses to operating personnel As Low As Reasonably Achievable (ALARA) and to meet the requirements of 10 CFR 72.104 and 10 CFR 72.106 for dose at the controlled area boundary.

The HI-STORM FW is designed to limit dose rates in accordance with 10CFR72.104 and 10CFR72.106 which provide radiation dose limits for any real individual located at or beyond the nearest boundary of the controlled area. The individual must not receive doses in excess of the limits given in Table 2.3.1 for normal, off-normal, and accident conditions.

Three locations are of particular interest in the storage mode:

- · immediate vicinity of the cask
- restricted area boundary
- controlled area (site) boundary

Dose rates in the immediate vicinity of the loaded overpack are important in consideration of occupational exposure. Conservative evaluations of dose rate have been performed and are described in Chapter 5 based on Reference BWR and PWR fuel (Table 1.0.4).

Consistent with 10 CFR 72, there is no single dose rate limit established for the HI-STORM FW System. Compliance with the regulatory limits on occupational and controlled area doses is performance-based, as demonstrated by dose monitoring performed by each cask user.

HOLTEC INTERNATIONAL COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL REPORT HI-2114830

Design objective dose rates for the HI-STORM FW overpack surfaces are presented in Table 2.3.2.

Because of the passive nature of the HI-STORM FW System, human activity related to the system after deployment in storage is infrequent and of short duration. Personnel exposures due to operational and maintenance activities are discussed in Chapter 11, wherein measures to reduce occupational dose are also discussed. The estimated occupational doses for personnel provided in Chapter 11 comply with the requirements of 10CFR20. As discussed in Chapter 11, the HI-STORM FW System has been configured to minimize both the site boundary dose in storage and occupational dose during short term operations to the maximum extent possible.

The analyses and discussions presented in Chapters 5, 9, and 11 demonstrate that the HI-STORM FW System is capable of meeting the radiation dose limits set down in Table 2.3.1.

2.3.5.3 Radiological Alarm System

The HI-STORM FW does not require a radiological alarm system. There are no credible events that could result in release of radioactive materials from the system and direct radiation exposure from the ISFSI is monitored using the plant's existing dose monitoring system.

2.3.6 Fire and Explosion Protection

There are no combustible or explosive materials associated with the HI-STORM FW System. Combustible materials will not be stored within an ISFSI. However, for conservatism, a hypothetical fire accident has been analyzed as a bounding condition for HI-STORM FW System. The evaluation of the HI-STORM FW System fire accident is discussed in Chapter 12.

Explosive material will not be stored within an ISFSI. Small overpressures may result from accidents involving explosive materials which are stored or transported in the vicinity of the site. Explosion is an accident loading condition considered in Chapter 12.

HOLTEC INTERNATIONAL COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL

REPORT HI-2114830

Table 2.3.1	
RADIOLOGICAL SITE BOUNDARY REQUIREMEN	TS
MINIMUM DISTANCE TO BOUNDARY OF	100
CONTROLLED AREA (m)	
NORMAL AND OFF-NORMAL CONDITIONS:	
-Whole Body (mrem/yr)	25
-Thyroid (mrem/yr)	75
-Any Other Critical Organ (mrem/yr)	25
DESIGN BASIS ACCIDENT:	
-TEDE (rem)	5
-DDE + CDE to any individual organ or tissue (other than lens of the eye) (rem)	50
-Lens dose equivalent (rem)	15
-Shallow dose equivalent to skin or any extremity (rem)	50

HOLTEC INTERNATIONAL COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL REPORT HI-2114830

Table 2.3.2 – Design Objective Dose Rates for HI-STORM FV	V Overpack Surfaces
Area of Interest	Dose Rate (mrem/hr)
Radial Surface Excluding Vents	150
Inlet and Outlet Vents	250
Top of the Lid (Horizontal Surface at approximate center)	60

HOLTEC INTERNATIONAL COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL REPORT HI-2114830

2-82

Rev. 2

.

.

2.4 DECOMMISSIONING CONSIDERATIONS

Efficient decommissioning of the ISFSI is a paramount objective of the HI-STORM FW System. The HI-STORM FW System is ideally configured to facilitate rapid, safe, and economical decommissioning of the storage site. As discussed below, Holtec International has taken appropriate steps to ensure that the necessary equipment designs and certifications shall be available to the user of the HI-STORM FW System to expeditiously decommission the ISFSI at the end of the storage facility's required service life.

Towards that end, the MPC has been designed with the objective to transport it in a HI-STAR 190 transportation cask (Figure 2.4.1). Since the loaded MPC is a self-contained "Waste Package", no further handling of the SNF stored in the MPC is required prior to transport to a licensed centralized storage facility or repository.

The MPC which holds the SNF assemblies is engineered to be suitable as a waste package for permanent internment in a deep Mined Geological Disposal System (MGDS). The materials of construction permitted for the MPC are known to be highly resistant to severe environmental conditions. No carbon steel, paint, or coatings are used or permitted in the MPC in areas where they could be exposed to spent fuel pool water or the ambient environment. Therefore, the SNF assemblies stored in the MPC do not need to be removed. However, to ensure a practical, feasible method to defuel the MPC, the top of the MPC is equipped with sufficient gamma shielding and markings locating the drain and vent locations to enable semiautomatic (or remotely actuated) severing of the MPC closure ring to provide access to the MPC vent and drain. The circumferential welds of the MPC closure lid can be removed by semiautomatic or remotely actuated means, providing access to the SNF.

Likewise, the overpack consists of steel and concrete rendering it suitable for permanent burial. Alternatively, the MPC can be removed from the overpack, and the latter reused for storage of other MPCs. In either case, the overpack would be expected to have no interior or exterior radioactive surface contamination. Any neutron activation of the steel and concrete is expected to be extremely small, and the assembly would qualify as Class A waste in a stable form based on definitions and requirements in 10CFR61.55. As such, the material would be suitable for burial in a near-surface disposal site as Low Specific Activity (LSA) material.

If the SNF needs to be removed from the MPC before it is placed into the MGDS, the MPC interior metal surfaces can be decontaminated using existing mechanical or chemical methods to allow for its disposal. This will be facilitated by the smooth metal surfaces designed to minimize crud traps. After the surface contamination is removed, the MPC radioactivity will be diminished significantly, allowing near-surface burial or secondary applications at the licensee's facility.

It is also likely that both the overpack and MPC, or extensive portions of both, can be further decontaminated to allow recycle or reuse options. After decontamination, the only radiological hazard the HI-STORM FW System may pose is slight activation of the HI-STORM FW materials caused by irradiation over the storage period.

2 - 83

HOLTEC INTERNATIONAL COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL REPORT HI-2114830

Due to the design of the HI-STORM FW System, no residual contamination is expected to be left behind on the concrete ISFSI pad. The base pad, fence, and peripheral utility structures will require no decontamination or special handling after the last overpack is removed.

The long-lived radionuclides produced by the irradiation of the HI-STORM FW System components are listed in Table 2.4.1. The activation of the HI-STORM FW components shall be limited to a cumulative activity of 10 Ci per cubic meter before decommissioning and disposal of the activated item can be carried out.

In any case, the HI-STORM FW System would not impose any additional decommissioning requirements on the licensee of the ISFSI facility per 10CFR72.30, since the HI-STORM FW System could eventually be shipped from the site.

HOLTEC INTERNATIONAL COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL REPORT HI-2114830

Table 2.4.1			
PRINCIPAL LONG-LIVED ISOTOPES PRODUCED DURING IRRADIATION OF THE HI-STORM FW COMPONENTS			
Nuclide	MPC Stainless Steel	HI-STORM Steel	HI-STORM
			Concrete
⁵⁴ Mn	X	Х	X
⁵⁵ Fe	X	Х	Х
⁵⁹ Ni	X	-	-
⁶⁰ Co	X	-	-
⁶³ Ni	X	-	-
³⁹ Ar	-	-	Х
⁴¹ Ca	-	_	Х

HOLTEC INTERNATIONAL COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL REPORT HI-2114830

Withheld in Accordance with 10 CFR 2.390

Figure 2.4.1: HI-STAR 190 Transportation Overpack and MPC Shown in Exploded, Cut-Away View

HOLTEC INTERNATIONAL COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL REPORT HI-2114830

2.5 REGULATORY COMPLIANCE

Chapter 2 provides the principal design criteria and applicable loading related to HI-STORM FW structures, systems, and components designated as important-to-safety. These criteria include specifications regarding the fuel, as well as, external conditions that may exist in the operating environment during normal and off-normal operations, accident conditions, and natural phenomena events. The chapter has been written to provide sufficient information to allow verification of compliance with 10CFR72, NUREG-1536, and Regulatory Guide 3.61. A detailed evaluation of the design criteria and an assessment of compliance with those criteria are provided in Chapters 3 through 12.

2.6 REFERENCES

- [2.0.1] ISG-11, "Cladding Considerations for the Transport and Storage of Spent Fuel," USNRC, Washington, DC, Revision 3, November 17, 2003.
- [2.0.2] USNRC Memorandum from Christopher L. Brown to M. Wayne Hodges, "Scoping Calculations for Cladding Hoop Stresses in Low Burnup Fuel," dated January 29, 2004.
- [2.0.3] ASME Code, Section III, Subsection NF and Appendix F, and Code Section II, Part D, Materials, 2007.
- [2.0.4] ACI-318-05, Building Code Requirements for Structural Concrete (ACI 318-05) and Commentary (ACI 318R-05), Chapter 22, American Concrete Institute, 2005.
- [2.1.1] ORNL/TM-10902, "Physical Characteristics of GE BWR Fuel Assemblies", by R.S. Moore and K.J. Notz, Martin Marietta (1989).
- [2.1.2] U.S. DOE SRC/CNEAF/96-01, Spent Nuclear Fuel Discharges from U.S. Reactors 1994, Feb. 1996.
- [2.1.3] S.E. Turner, "Uncertainty Analysis Axial Burnup Distribution Effects," presented in "Proceedings of a Workshop on the Use of Burnup Credit in Spent Fuel Transport Casks", SAND-89-0018, Sandia National Laboratory, Oct., 1989.
- [2.1.4] Commonwealth Edison Company, Letter No. NFS-BND-95-083, Chicago, Illinois.
- [2.2.1] Crane Manufacturer's Association of America (CMAA), Specification #70, 1988, Section 3.3.
- [2.2.2] ANSI N14.6-1993, "American National Standard for Radioactive Materials - Special Lifting Devices for Shipping Containers Weighing 10,000 Pounds (4500 Kg) or More", American National Standards Institute, Inc, Washington, DC, June 1993.
- [2.2.3] ANSI/ASCE 7-05 (formerly ANSI A58.1), "Minimum Design Loads for Buildings and Other Structures," American Society of Civil Engineers, New York, NY, 2006.
- [2.2.4] D. Peckner and I.M. Bernstein, "Handbook of Stainless Steels," McGraw Hill Book Company, 1977.
- [2.2.5] "Nuclear Systems Materials Handbook," Oak Ridge National Laboratory, TID 26666, Volume 1.
- [2.2.6] "Debris Collection System for Boiling Water Reactor Consolidation Equipment", EPRI Project 3100-02 and ESEERCO Project EP91-29, October 1995.

HOLTEC INTERNATIONAL COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL

REPORT HI-2114830

[2.2.7]	Regulatory Guide 1.76, "Design Basis Tornado and Tornado Missiles for
	Nuclear Power Plants," United States Nuclear Regulatory Commission,
	March 2007.

- [2.2.8] ANSI/ANS 57.9-1992, "Design Criteria for an Independent Spent Fuel Storage Installation (Dry Type)", American Nuclear Society, LaGrange Park, IL, May 1992.
- [2.2.9] NUREG-0800, "Standard Review Plan," United States Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC, April 1996
- [2.2.10] ASME Boiler & Pressure Vessel Code, Section III, Subsection NB. "Class I Components," American Society of Mechanical Engineers, New York, NY, 2007
- [2.2.11] Holtec Proprietary Position Paper DS-331, "Structural Acceptance Criteria for the Metamic-HT Fuel Basket", (USNRC Docket No. 71-9325).
- [2.3.1] ISG-2, "Fuel Retrievability", Revision 0, USNRC, Washington DC

CHAPTER 3: STRUCTURAL EVALUATION[†]

3.0 OVERVIEW

In this chapter, the structural components of the HI-STORM FW system subject to certification by the USNRC are identified and described. The objective of the structural analyses is to ensure that the integrity of the HI-STORM FW system is maintained under all credible loadings under normal, offnormal and extreme environmental conditions as well all credible accident events. The results of the structural analyses, summarized in this FSAR, support the conclusion that the confinement, criticality control, radiation shielding, and retrievability criteria set forth under 10CFR72.236(1), 10CFR72.124(a), 10CFR72.104, 10CFR72.106, and 10CFR72.122(l) shall be met by the storage system. In particular, the design basis information contained in the previous two chapters and in this chapter provides the necessary data to permit all needed structural evaluations for demonstrating compliance with the requirements of 10CFR72.236(a), (b), (d) (e), (f), (g), and (1). To facilitate regulatory review, the assumptions and conservatisms inherent in the analyses are identified along with a concise description of the analytical methods, models, and acceptance criteria. A summary of the system's ability to maintain its structural integrity under other slow acting (degenerative) or precipitous (sudden) effects that may contribute to structural failure, such as, corrosion, fatigue, buckling, and non-ductile fracture is also provided. The information presented herein is intended to comply with the guidelines of NUREG-1536 and ISG-21 pertaining to use of finite element codes.

In particular, every Computational Modeling Software (CMS) deployed to perform the structural analyses is identified and its implementation appropriately justified as suggested in ISG-21. The information on benchmarking and validation of each Computational Modeling Software is also provided (in Subsection 3.6.2).

Where appropriate, the structural analyses have been performed using classical strength materials solution. Such calculations are presented in this FSAR in transparent detail.

Furthermore, the input data and analyses using Computational Modeling Software (CMS) are described in sufficient detail to enable an independent evaluation of safety conclusions reached in this chapter.

3-1

[†] This chapter has been prepared in the format and section organization set forth in Regulatory Guide 3.61. However, the material content of this chapter also fulfills the requirements of NUREG-1536. Pagination and numbering of sections, figures, and tables are consistent with the convention set down in Chapter 1, Section 1.0, herein. Finally, all terms-of-art used in this chapter are consistent with the terminology of the Glossary.

HOLTEC INTERNATIONAL COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL REPORT HI-2114830

3.1 STRUCTURAL DESIGN

3.1.1 Discussion

The HI-STORM FW system consists of the Multi-Purpose Canister (MPC) and the storage overpack (Figure 1.1.1). The components subject to certification on this docket consist of the HI-STORM FW system components and the HI-TRAC VW transfer cask (please see Table 1.0.1). A complete description of the design details of these three components are provided in Section 1.2. This section discusses the structural aspects of the MPC, the storage overpack, and the HI-TRAC VW transfer cask. Detailed licensing drawings for each component are provided in Section 1.5.

(i) The Multi-Purpose Canister (MPC)

The design of the MPC seeks to attain three objectives that are central to its functional adequacy:

- Ability to Dissipate Heat: The thermal energy produced by the stored spent fuel must be transported to the outside surface of the MPC to maintain the fuel cladding and fuel basket metal walls below the regulatory temperature limits.
- Ability to Withstand Large Impact Loads: The MPC, with its payload of nuclear fuel, must withstand the large impact loads associated with the non-mechanistic tipover event.
- Restraint of Free End Expansion: The MPC structure is designed so that membrane and bending (primary) stresses produced by constrained thermal expansion of the fuel basket do not arise.

As stated in Chapter 1, the MPC Enclosure Vessel is a confinement vessel designed to meet the stress limits in ASME Code, Section III, Subsection NB. The enveloping canister shell, baseplate, and the lid system form a complete Confinement Boundary for the stored fuel that is referred to as the "Enclosure Vessel". Within this cylindrical shell confinement vessel is an egg-crate assemblage of Metamic-HT plates that form prismatic cells with square cross sectional openings for fuel storage, referred to as the fuel basket. All multi-purpose canisters designed for deployment in the HI-STORM FW have identical external diameters. The essential difference between the different MPCs lies in the fuel baskets, each of which is designed to house different types of fuel assemblies. All fuel basket designs are configured to maximize structural integrity through extensive inter-cell connectivity. Although all fuel basket designs are structurally similar, analyses for each of the MPC types is carried out separately to ensure structural compliance.

The design criteria of components in the HI-STORM FW system important to safety are defined in Chapter 2.

HOLTEC INTERNATIONAL COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL REPORT HI-2114830

The principal structural functions of the MPC in storage mode are:

- i. To position the fuel in a subcritical configuration, and
- ii. To provide a leak tight Confinement Boundary.

The key structural functions of the overpack during storage are:

- i. To serve as a missile barrier for the MPC,
- ii. To provide flow paths for natural convection,
- iii. To provide a kinematically stable SNF storage configuration,
- iv. To provide fixed and reliable radiation shielding, and
- v. To allow safe translocation of the overpack with a loaded MPC inside.

Some structural features of the MPCs that allow the system to perform these functions are summarized below:

- There are no gasketed ports or openings in the MPC. The MPC does not rely on any mechanical sealing arrangement except welding. The absence of any gasketed or flanged joints makes the MPC structure immune from joint leaks. The Confinement Boundary contains no valves or other pressure relief devices.
- The closure system for the MPCs consists of two components, namely, the MPC lid and the closure ring. The MPC lid can be either a single thick circular plate continuously welded to the MPC shell along its circumference or a two-piece lid, dual lids welded around their common periphery. When using a two piece lid only the top portion of the lid is considered as part of the closure system, the bottomportion is only for shielding purposes. The MPC closure system is shown in the licensing drawings in Section 1.5. The MPC lid is equipped with vent and drain ports, which are used both for evacuating moisture and air from the MPC following fuel loading and subsequent backfilling with an inert gas (helium) at a specified mass. The vent and drain ports are covered by a cover plate and welded before the closure ring is installed. The closure ring is a circular annular plate edge-welded to the MPC lid and shell. The two closure members are interconnected by welding around the inner diameter of the ring. Lift points for the MPC are provided on the MPC lid.
- The MPC fuel baskets consist of an array of interconnecting plates. The number of storage cells formed by this interconnection process varies depending on the type of fuel being stored. Basket configurations designed for both PWR and BWR fuel are explained in detail in Section 1.2. All baskets are designed to fit into the same MPC shell.

REPORT HI-2114830

• The MPC basket is separated from its lateral supports (basket shims) by a small, calibrated gap designed to prevent thermal stressing associated with the thermal expansion mismatches between the fuel basket and the basket support structure. The gap is designed to ensure that the basket remains unconstrained when subjected to the thermal heat generated by the spent nuclear fuel.

The MPC fuel basket maintains the spent nuclear fuel in a subcritical arrangement. Its safe operation is assured by maintaining the physical configuration of the storage cell cavities intact in the aftermath of a non-mechanistic tipover event. This requirement is satisfied if the MPC fuel basket plates undergo a minimal deflection (see Table 2.2.11). The fuel basket strains are shown in Subsection 3.4.4.1.4 to remain essentially elastic, and, therefore, there is no impairment in the recoverability or retrievability of the fuel and the subcriticality of the stored fuel is unchallenged.

The MPC Confinement Boundary contains no valves or other pressure relief devices. In addition, the analyses presented in Subsections 3.4.3, 3.4.4.1.5, and 3.4.4.1.6 show that the MPC Enclosure Vessel meets the stress intensity criteria of the ASME Code, Section III, Subsection NB for all service conditions. Therefore, the demonstration that the MPC Enclosure Vessel meets Subsection NB stress limits ensures that there will be no discernible release of radioactive materials from the MPC.

(ii) Storage Overpack

The HI-STORM FW storage overpack is a steel cylindrical structure consisting of inner and outer low carbon steel shells, a lid, and a baseplate. Between the two shells is a thick cylinder of unreinforced (plain) concrete. Plain concrete is also installed in the lid to minimize skyshine. The storage overpack serves as a missile and radiation barrier, provides flow paths for natural convection, provides kinematic stability to the system, and acts as a shock absorber for the MPC in the event of a postulated tipover accident. The storage overpack is not a pressure vessel since it contains cooling vents. The structural steel weldment of the HI-STORM FW overpack is designed to meet the stress limits of the ASME Code, Section III, Subsection NF, Class 3 for normal and offnormal loading conditions and Regulatory Guide 3.61 for handling conditions.

As discussed in Chapters 1 and 2, the principal shielding material utilized in the HI-STORM FW overpack is plain concrete. The plain concrete in the HI-STORM FW serves a structural function only to the extent that it may participate in supporting direct compressive or punching loads. The allowable compression/bearing resistance is defined and quantified in ACI-318-05 [3.3.5]. Strength analyses of the HI-STORM FW overpack and its confined concrete have been carried out in Subsections 3.4.4.1.3 and 3.4.4.1.4 to show that the concrete is able to perform its radiation protection function and that retrievability of the MPC subsequent to any postulated accident condition of storage or handling is maintained.

HOLTEC INTERNATIONAL COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL REPORT HI-2114830

(iii) Transfer Cask

The HI-TRAC VW transfer cask is the third component type subject to certification. Strictly speaking, the transfer cask is an ancillary equipment which serves to enable the *short term operations* to be carried out safely and ALARA. Specifically, the transfer cask provides a missile and radiation barrier during transport of the MPC from the fuel pool to the HI-STORM FW overpack. Because of its critical role in insuring a safe dry storage implementation, the transfer cask is subject to certification under 10CFR 72 even though it is not a device for storing spent fuel.

The HI-TRAC VW body is a double-walled steel cylinder that constitutes its structural system. Contained between the two steel shells is an intermediate lead cylinder. Integral to the exterior of the HI-TRAC VW body outer shell is a water jacket that acts as a radiation barrier. The HI-TRAC VW is not a pressure vessel since it contains penetrations and openings. The structural steel components of the HI-TRAC VW are subject to the stress limits of the ASME Code, Section III, Subsection NF, Class 3 for normal and off-normal loading conditions.

Since the HI-TRAC VW may serve as an MPC carrier, its lifting attachments are designed to meet the design safety factor requirements of NUREG-0612 [3.1.1] and Regulatory Guide 3.61 [1.0.2] for single-failure-proof lifting equipment.

3.1.2 Design Criteria and Applicable Loads

Principal design criteria for normal, off-normal, and accident/environmental events are discussed in Section 2.2. In this section, the loads, load combinations, and the structural performance of the HI-STORM FW system under the required loading events are presented.

Consistent with the provisions of NUREG-1536, the central objective of the structural analysis presented in this chapter is to ensure that the HI-STORM FW system possesses sufficient structural capability to withstand normal and off-normal loads and the worst case loads under natural phenomenon or accident events. Withstanding such loadings implies that the HI-STORM FW system will successfully preclude the following:

- unacceptable risk of criticality
- unacceptable release of radioactive materials
- unacceptable radiation levels
- impairment of ready retrievability of the SNF

3-5

The above design objectives for the HI-STORM FW system can be particularized for individual components as follows:

- The objectives of the structural analysis of the MPC are to demonstrate that:
 - i. Confinement of radioactive material is maintained under normal, off-normal, accident conditions, and natural phenomenon events.
 - ii. The MPC basket does not deform under credible loading conditions such that the subcriticality or retrievability of the SNF is jeopardized.
- The objectives of the structural analysis of the storage overpack are to demonstrate that:
 - i. Large energetic missiles such as tornado-generated missiles do not compromise the integrity of the MPC Confinement Boundary.
 - ii. The radiation shielding remains properly positioned in the case of any normal, off-normal, or natural phenomenon or accident event.
 - iii. The flow path for the cooling airflow shall remain available under normal and off-normal conditions of storage and after a natural phenomenon or accident event.
 - iv. The loads arising from normal, off-normal, and accident level conditions exerted on the contained MPC do not violate the structural design criteria of the MPC.
 - v. No geometry changes occur under any normal, off-normal, and accident level conditions of storage that preclude ready retrievability of the contained MPC.
 - vi. A freestanding storage overpack loaded with a MPC can safely withstand a non-mechanistic tip-over event.
 - vii. The inter-cask transfer of a loaded MPC can be carried out without exceeding the structural capacity of the HI-STORM FW overpack, provided all required auxiliary equipment and components specific to an ISFSI site comply with their design criteria set forth in this FSAR and the handling operations are in full compliance with operational limits and controls prescribed in this FSAR.

- The objective of the structural analysis of the HI-TRAC VW transfer cask is to demonstrate that:
 - i. Tornado generated missiles do not compromise the integrity of the MPC Confinement Boundary while the MPC is contained within HI-TRAC VW.
 - ii. No geometry changes occur under any postulated handling or storage conditions that may preclude ready retrievability of the contained MPC.
 - iii. The structural components perform their intended function during lifting and handling with the loaded MPC.
 - iv. The radiation shielding remains properly positioned under all applicable handling service conditions for HI-TRAC VW.

The above design objectives are deemed to be satisfied for the MPC, the overpack, and the HI-TRAC VW, if stresses (or stress intensities or strains, as applicable) calculated by the appropriate structural analyses are less than the allowables defined in Subsection 3.1.2.3, and if the diametral change in the storage overpack (or HI-TRAC VW), if any, after any event of structural consequence to the overpack (or transfer cask), does not preclude ready retrievability of the contained MPC.

Stresses arise in the components of the HI-STORM FW system due to various loads that originate under normal, off-normal, or accident conditions. These individual loads are combined to form load combinations. Stresses, strains, displacements, and stress intensities, as applicable, resulting from the load combinations are compared to their respective allowable limits. The following subsections present loads, load combinations, and the allowable limits germane to them for use in the structural analyses of the MPC, the overpack, and the HI-TRAC VW transfer cask.

3.1.2.1 Applicable Loadings

The individual loads applicable to the HI-STORM FW system and the HI-TRAC VW cask are defined in Section 2.2 of this FSAR. Load combinations are developed by assembling the individual loads that may act concurrently, and possibly, synergistically. In this subsection, the individual loads are further clarified as appropriate and the required load combinations are identified. Table 3.1.1 contains the governing load cases and the affected components. Loadings are applied to the mathematical models of the MPCs, the overpack, and the HI-TRAC VW. Results of the analyses carried out under bounding load combinations are compared with their respective allowable limits. The analysis results from the bounding load combinations are also evaluated to ensure satisfaction of the functional performance criteria discussed in the foregoing.

The individual loads that address each design criterion applicable to the structural design of the HI-STORM FW system are cataloged in Tables 2.2.6, 2.2.7, and 2.2.13 for the handling, normal, offnormal, and accident (Design Basis Loads) conditions, respectively. The magnitude of loadings

3-7

associated with accident condition and natural phenomena-induced events, in general, do not have a regulatory limit. For example, the impact load from a tornado-borne missile, or the overturning load under flood or tsunami, cannot be prescribed as design basis values with absolute certainty that all ISFSI sites will be covered. Therefore, as applicable, representative magnitudes of such loadings are drawn from regulatory and industry documents (such as for tornado missiles and wind from Reg. Guide 1.76). In the following, the essential characteristics of both credible and non-credible loadings analyzed in this FSAR are explained.

a. <u>Tip-Over</u>

The freestanding HI-STORM FW storage overpack, containing a loaded MPC, must not tip over as a result of postulated natural phenomenon events, including tornado wind, a tornado-generated missile, a seismic or a hydrological event (flood). However, to demonstrate the defense-in-depth features of the design, a *non-mechanistic* tip-over scenario per NUREG-1536 is analyzed (Subsection 2.2.3) in this chapter. For MPC transfers that will occur outside of a Part 50 controlled structure, the potential of the HI-STORM FW overpack tipping over during the lowering (or raising) of the loaded MPC from (or into) the mounted HI-TRAC VW cask is ruled out because of the safeguards and devices mandated by this FSAR for such operations (Subsection 2.3.3). The physical and procedural barriers imposed during MPC handling operations, as described in this FSAR, prevent overturning of the HI-STORM/HI-TRAC assemblage with an extremely high level of certainty. Among the physical barriers to prevent the overturning of the HI-STORM/HI-TRAC stack during MPC transfer is the use of the Canister Transfer Facility illustrated in Figure 1.1.2 which secures the HI-STORM FW inside an engineered pit.

b. <u>Handling Accident</u>

The handling of all heavy loads that are within Part 72 jurisdiction must be carried out using single failure-proof equipment and lifting devices that comply with the stress limits of ANSI N14.6 to render an uncontrolled lowering of the payload non-credible (please see Subsection 2.2.3).

. .

c. <u>Flood</u>

Flood at an ISFSI is designated as an extreme environmental event and is described in Subsection 2.2.3 (f).

The postulated flood event has two discrete potential structural consequences; namely,

- i. stability of the HI-STORM FW system due to flood water velocity, and
- ii. structural effects of hydrostatic pressure and water velocity induced lateral pressure.

The maximum hydrostatic pressure on the cask in a flood where the water level is conservatively set per Table 2.2.8 is calculated as follows:

Using p = the maximum hydrostatic pressure on the system (psi),

- γ = weight density of water = 62.4 lb/ft³,
- h = the height of the water level = 125 ft;

The maximum hydrostatic pressure is

$$p = \gamma h = (62.4 \text{ lb/ft}^3)(125 \text{ ft})(1 \text{ ft}^2/144 \text{ in}^2) = 54.2 \text{ psi}$$

It is noted that the accident condition design external pressure for the MPC (Table 2.2.1) bounds the maximum hydrostatic pressure exerted by the flood.

The maximum acceptable water velocity for a moving flood water scenario is computed using the procedure in Subsection 3.4.4.1.1.

d. <u>Explosion</u>

Explosion, by definition, is a transient event. Explosive materials (except for the short duration when a limited quantity of motive fuel for placing the loaded MPC on the ISFSI pad is present in the tow vehicle or transporter) are prohibited in the controlled area by specific stipulation in the HI-STORM FW Technical Specification. However, pressure waves emanating from explosions in areas outside the ISFSI are credible.

Pressure waves from an explosive blast in a property near the ISFSI site result in an impulsive aerodynamic loading on the stored HI-STORM FW overpacks. Depending on the rapidity of the pressure build-up, the inside and outside pressures on the HI-STORM FW METCON[™] shell may not equalize, leading to a net lateral loading on the upright overpack as the pressure wave traverses the overpack. The magnitude of the dynamic pressure wave is conservatively set to a value below the magnitude of the pressure differential that would cause a tip-over of the cask if the pulse duration were set infinite.

The allowable pressure from explosion, p_e , can be computed from static equilibrium to prevent sliding or tipping of the cask. A simplified inequality to ensure that the cask will not slide is given by

$p_e D L \leq \mu W$

where:

- D: diameter of the cask
- L: height of the cask above the ISFSI pad
- μ: limiting value of the interface friction coefficient
- W: weight of the cask (lower bound weight, assuming that the MPC has only one fuel assembly)

HOLTEC INTERNATIONAL COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL

REPORT HI-2114830

$$p_e \le \frac{\mu W}{DL} \tag{A}$$

The inequality for protection against tipping is obtained by moment equilibrium.

$$p_{e} D \frac{L^{2}}{2} \leq \frac{W D}{2}$$
or
$$p_{e} \leq \frac{W}{L^{2}}$$
(B)

The allowable value of pe must be lesser of the two values given by inequalities (A) and (B) above.

In contrast to the overpack, the MPC is a closed pressure vessel. Because of the enveloping overpack around it, the explosive pressure wave would manifest as an external pressure on the external surface of the MPC.

The maximum overpressure on the MPC resulting from an explosion is limited by the HI-STORM FW Technical Specification to be equal to or less than the accident condition design external pressure specified in Table 2.2.1.

e. <u>Tornado</u>

The tornado loading is described in Subsection 2.2.3 (e). The three components of a tornado load are:

- 1. pressure changes,
- 2. wind loads, and
- 3. tornado-generated missiles.

Reference values of wind speeds and tornado-induced pressure drop are specified in Table 2.2.4. Tornado missiles are listed in Table 2.2.5. A central functional objective of a storage overpack is to maintain the integrity of the "Confinement Boundary", namely, the multi-purpose canister stored inside it. This operational imperative requires that the mechanical loadings associated with a tornado at the ISFSI do not jeopardize the physical integrity of the loaded MPC. Potential consequences of a tornado on the cask system are:

HOLTEC INTERNATIONAL COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL REPORT HI-2114830

Rev. 2

3-10

- Instability (tip-over) due to tornado missile impact plus either steady wind or impulse from the pressure drop
- Loadings applied on the MPC transmitted to the inside of the overpack through its openings or as a secondary effect of loading on the enveloping overpack structure.
- Excessive storage overpack permanent deformation that may prevent ready retrievability of the MPC.
- Excessive storage overpack permanent deformation that may significantly reduce the shielding effectiveness of the storage overpack.

Analyses must be performed to ensure that, due to the tornado-induced loadings:

- The overpack does not deform plastically such that the retrievability of the stored MPC is threatened.
- The MPC Confinement Boundary is not breached.
- The MPC fuel basket does not deform beyond the permitted limit (Table 2.2.11) to preserve its subcriticality margins (requires evaluation if the overpack tips over).
- f. <u>Earthquake</u>

The earthquake loading and the associated acceptance criteria are presented in Subsection 2.2.3(g).

The Design Basis Earthquake for an ISFSI site shall be obtained *on the top surface of the pad* using an appropriate soil-structure interaction Code such as SHAKE2000 [3.1.7]. The seismic analysis methodology is provided in Subsection 3.4.4.1.2.

g. Lightning

The HI-STORM FW overpack contains over 50,000 lb of highly conductive carbon steel with over 700 square feet of external surface area. It is known from experience that such a large surface area and metal mass is adequate to dissipate any lightning that may strike the HI-STORM FW system. There are no combustible materials on the HI-STORM FW surface. Therefore, a postulated lightning strike event will not impair the structural performance of components of the HI-STORM FW system that are important-to-safety.

HOLTEC INTERNATIONAL COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL REPORT HI-2114830

h. <u>Fire</u>

The fire event applicable to an ISFSI is described in Subsection 2.2.3(c) wherein the acceptance criteria are also presented.

i. <u>100% Fuel Rod Rupture</u>

The sole effect of the postulated 100% fuel rod rupture is to increase the internal pressure in the MPC. Calculations in Chapter 4 show that the accident internal pressure limit set in Chapter 2 bounds the pressure from 100% fuel rod rupture. Therefore, 100% rod rupture does not define a new controlling loading event.

3.1.2.2 Design Basis Loads and Load Combinations

As discussed in Subsection 2.2.7, the number of discrete loadings for each situational condition (i.e., normal, off-normal, etc.) is consolidated by defining bounding loads for certain groups of loadings. Thus, the accident condition pressure P_0^* bounds the surface loadings arising from accident and extreme natural phenomenon events, namely, tornado wind W', flood F, and explosion E^{*}. These bounding loads are referred to as "Design Basis Loads".

The Design Basis Loads are analyzed in combination with other permanent loads, i.e., loads that are present at all times. The permanent loads consist of:

- The dead load of weight of each component.
- Internal pressure in the MPC.

For conservatism, the upper or lower bound of the dead load, D, of a component is used for a DBL to maximize the response. Thus, the lower bound value of D is used in the stability of the HI-STORM FW system under flood. Likewise, the value of internal pressure in the MPC is represented by the Design Pressure (Table 2.2.1), which envelops the actual internal pressure under each service condition.

As noted previously, certain loads, namely earthquake E, flowing water under flood condition F, force from an explosion pressure pulse F*, and tornado missile M, act to destabilize a cask. Additionally, these loads act on the overpack and produce essentially localized stresses at the HI-STORM FW system to ISFSI interface. Table 3.1.1 provides the load combinations that are relevant to the stability analyses of freestanding casks.

The major constituents in the HI-STORM FW system are: (i) the fuel basket, (ii) the Enclosure Vessel, (iii) the HI-STORM FW overpack, and (iv) the HI-TRAC VW transfer cask. The fuel basket and the Enclosure Vessel (EV) together constitute the multi-purpose canister. A complete account of analyses and results for all applicable loadings for all four constituent parts is provided in Section 3.4 as suggested in Regulatory Guide 3.61.

3-12

HOLTEC INTERNATIONAL COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL REPORT HI-2114830

In the following, the loadings listed as applicable for each situational condition are addressed in meaningful load combinations for the fuel basket, Enclosure Vessel, and the overpack. Each component is considered separately.

a. <u>Fuel Basket</u>

Table 3.1.1 summarizes the loading cases (derived from Tables 2.2.6, 2.2.7, and 2.2.13) that are germane to demonstrating compliance of the loaded fuel baskets inside the MPC Enclosure Vessel.

The fuel basket is not a pressure vessel; therefore, the pressure loadings are not meaningful loads for the basket. Further, the basket is physically disconnected from the Enclosure Vessel. The gap between the basket and the Enclosure Vessel is sized to ensure that no constraint of free-end thermal expansion of the basket occurs. The demonstration of the adequacy of the basket-to-Enclosure Vessel (EV) gap to ensure absence of interference due to differential thermal expansion is addressed in Chapter 4.

The normal handling of the MPC within the HI-STORM FW system or the HI-TRAC VW transfer cask does not produce any significant stresses in the fuel basket because the operating procedures preclude horizontal handling.

b. Enclosure Vessel

Table 3.1.1 summarizes all load cases that are applicable to structural analysis of the Enclosure Vessel to ensure integrity of the Confinement Boundary.

The Enclosure Vessel is a pressure retaining device consisting of a cylindrical shell, a thick circular baseplate at the bottom, and a thick circular lid at the top. This pressure vessel must be shown to meet the primary stress intensity limits per ASME Section III Class 1 at the design temperature and primary plus secondary stress intensity limits under the combined action of pressure plus thermal loads (Level A service condition in the Code).

Normal handling of the Enclosure Vessel is considered in Section 2.2; the handling loads are independent of whether the Enclosure Vessel is within the storage overpack or HI-TRAC VW cask.

c. <u>Storage Overpack</u>

Table 3.1.1 identifies the load cases to be considered for the overpack. The following acceptance criteria apply:

REPORT HI-2114830

i. <u>Normal Conditions</u>

- The dead load of the HI-TRAC VW with the heaviest loaded MPC (dry) on top of the HI-STORM FW overpack must be shown to be able to be supported by the metal-concrete (METCON[™]) structure consisting of the two concentric steel shells and the radial ribs.
- The stress field in the steel structure of the overpack must meet Level A (Subsection NF) limits.
- ii. Accident Conditions
- Maximum flood water velocity for the overpack with a near empty MPC (only one SNF stored) shall not cause sliding or tip-over of the cask.
- Tornado missile plus wind on an overpack (with an empty MPC) (see Table 2.2.4) must not lead to violation of the acceptance criteria in 3.1.2.1(e).
- Large or medium penetrant missiles (see Table 2.2.5) must not be able to access the MPC. The small missile must be shown not to penetrate the MPC pressure vessel boundary since, in principle, it can enter the overpack cavity through the (curvilinear) vent inlet vent passages.
- Under seismic conditions, a freestanding HI-STORM FW overpack must be demonstrated to not tip over under the DBE events. The maximum sliding of the overpack must demonstrate that casks will not impact each other.
- Under a non-mechanistic tip-over of a fully loaded, freestanding HI-STORM FW overpack, the overpack lid must not dislodge.
- Accident condition induced gross general deformations of the storage overpack must be limited to values that do not prevent ready retrievability of the MPC.

d. <u>HI-TRAC VW Transfer Cask</u>

Table 3.1.1 culled from Tables 2.2.6, 2.2.7 and 2.2.13 identifies load cases applicable to the HI-TRAC VW transfer cask.

The HI-TRAC VW transfer cask must provide radiation protection, must act as a handling cask when carrying a loaded MPC, and in the event of a postulated accident must not suffer permanent deformation to the extent that ready retrievability of the MPC is compromised.

3-14

REPORT HI-2114830

3.1.2.3 Allowables

The important-to-safety (ITS) components of the HI-STORM FW system are identified on the drawings in Section 1.5. Allowable stresses, as appropriate, are tabulated for these components for all service conditions.

In Section 2.2, the applicable service level from the ASME Code for determination of allowables is listed. Tables 2.2.6, 2.2.7 and 2.2.13 (condensed in Table 3.1.1) provide a tabulation of loadings for normal, off-normal, and accident conditions and the applicable acceptance criteria.

Relationships for allowable stresses and stress intensities for NB and NF components are provided in Tables 2.2.10 and 2.2.12, respectively. Tables 3.1.2 through 3.1.8 contain numerical values of the allowable stresses/stress intensities for all MPC, overpack, and HI-TRAC VW load bearing Code materials as a function of temperature. The tabulated values for the allowable stresses/stress intensities are used in Subsections 3.4.3 and 3.4.4, as applicable, to compute factors of safety for the ITS components of the HI-STORM FW system for various loadings.

In all tables the terms S, S_m , S_y , and S_u , respectively, denote the design stress, design stress intensity, minimum yield strength, and the ultimate strength. Property values at intermediate temperatures that are not reported in the ASME Code are obtained by linear interpolation. Property values are not extrapolated beyond the limits of the Code in any structural calculation.

Additional terms relevant to the stress analysis of the HI-STORM FW system extracted from the ASME Code (see Figure NB-3222-1, for example) are listed in Table 3.1.10.

3.1.2.4 Brittle Fracture

Section 8.4.3 discusses the low temperature ductility of the HI-STORM FW system materials. Table 3.1.9 provides a summary of impact testing requirements to insure prevention of brittle fracture.

3.1.2.5 Fatigue

Fatigue is a consequence of a cyclic state of stress applied on a metal part. Failure from fatigue occurs if the combination of amplitude of the cyclic stress, σ_a , and the number of cycles, n_f , reaches a threshold value at which failure occurs. ASME Code, Section III, Subsection NCA provides the σ_a - n_f curves for a number of material types. At $n_f = 10^6$, the required σ_a is referred to as the "Endurance Limit". The Endurance Limit for stainless steel (the material used in the MPC) according to the ASME Code, Section III, Div. 1, Appendices, Table 1.9.2, is approximately 28 ksi.

The causative factors for fatigue expenditure in a non-active system (i.e., no moving parts) such as the HI-STORM FW system may be:

- i. rapid temperature changes
- ii. significant pressure changes

HOLTEC INTERNATIONAL COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL

REPORT HI-2114830

The HI-STORM FW system is exposed to the fluctuating thermal state of the ambient environment. Effect of wind and relative humidity also play a role in affecting the temperature of the cask components. However, the most significant effects are the large thermal inertia of the system and the relatively low heat transfer coefficients that act to smooth out the daily temperature cycles. As a result, the amplitude of the cyclic stresses, to the extent that they are developed, remains orders of magnitude below the cask material's Endurance Limit.

The second causative factor, namely, pressure pulsation, is limited to the only pressure vessel in the system – the MPC. Pressure produces several types of stresses in the MPC (see Table 3.1.10), all of which are equally effective in causing fatigue expenditure in the metal. However, the amplitude of stress from the pressure cycling (due to the changes in the ambient conditions) is quite small and well below the endurance limit of the stainless steel material.

Therefore, failure from fatigue is not a credible concern for the HI-STORM FW system components.

3.1.2.6 Buckling

Buckling is caused by a compressive stress acting on a slender section. In the HI-STORM FW system, the steel weldment in the overpack is not slender; its height-to-diameter ratio being less than 2. There is no source of compressive stress except from the self-weight of the shell and the overpack weight of the HI-TRAC VW in the stacked condition, which produces a modest state of compressive stress. The state of a small compressive stress combined with a low slenderness ratio makes the HI-STORM FW overpack safe from the buckling mode of failure. The same statement also applies to the HI-TRAC VW transfer cask, which is a radially buttressed triple shell (in comparison to the dual shell construction in HI-STORM FW) structure.

The MPC Enclosure Vessel is protected from buckling of by the permanent tensile stress in both hoop and longitudinal directions due to internal pressure.

Finally, the fuel basket, which is an egg-crate structure, as shown in Figures 1.1.6 and 1.1.7 (an intrinsically resistant structural form to buckling from axial compressive loads), is subject to minor compressive stresses from its own weight. The absence of buckling in the Metamic-HT fuel basket is based on the fact that there are no causative scenarios (normal or accident) that produce a significant in-plane compressive stress in the basket structure. A lower bound Euler Buckling strength for the Metamic-HT fuel basket can be obtained by assuming that the basket walls are fully continuous¹ over the entire height of the MPC fuel basket, neglecting the strengthening effect of the honeycomb completely, and treating the Metamic-HT basket wall as an end-loaded plate 199.5" high by 8.94" wide by 0.59" thick (corresponding to the maximum height MPC-37 fuel basket). The top and

¹ In reality, the basket walls are not fully continuous in the vertical direction since the fuel basket is assembled by vertically stacking narrow width Metamic-HT panels in a honeycomb pattern (see drawing 6506 in Chapter 1 of HI-STORM FW SAR). For the above buckling strength evaluation, the assumption that the basket walls are continuous over the full height of the fuel basket is extremely conservative since the critical buckling load is inversely proportional to the square of the height.

HOLTEC INTERNATIONAL COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL REPORT HI-2114830

bottom edges are assumed to be pinned and the lateral edges are assumed to be free to minimize the permissible buckling load (a particularly severe modeling artifice to minimize buckling strength). The Euler buckling load for this geometry is given by (see Timoshenko et al., "Theory of ElasticStability", 2nd Edition):

$$P_{cr} = \frac{\pi^2 EI}{h^2} = 125.2lbf$$

where E = Young's Modulus of Metamic-HT at 500°C = 3,300 ksi,

I = moment of inertia of 8.94" wide by 0.59" thick plate = 0.153 in^4 , h = maximum height of fuel basket = 199.5"

The corresponding compressive axial stress is given by:

$$\sigma_{cr} = \frac{P_{cr}}{A} = \frac{125.2lbf}{(8.94in)(0.59in)} = 23.7\,psi$$

The factor of safety against buckling is given by (where σ b is the compressive stress in the basket due to self weight):

$$SF = \frac{\sigma_{cr}}{\sigma_b} = \frac{23.7\,psi}{19.5\,psi} = 1.21$$

Thus, even with an exceedingly conservative model, the safety margin against buckling is more than 20%.

Therefore, buckling is ruled out as a credible failure mechanism in the HI-STORM FW system components. Nevertheless, a Design Basis Load consisting of external pressure is specified in Table 2.2.1 with the (evidently, non-mechanistic) conservative assumption that the internal pressure, which will counteract buckling behavior, is zero psig. (In reality, internal pressure cannot be zero because of the positive helium fill pressure established at the time of canister backfill.)

3.1.2.7 Consideration of Manufacturing and Material Deviations

Departure from the assumed values of material properties in the safety analyses clearly can have a significant effect on the computed margins. Likewise, the presence of deviations in manufacturing that inevitably occur in custom fabrication of capital equipment may detract from the safety factors reported in this chapter. In what follows, the method and measures adopted to insure that deviations in material properties or in the fabricated hardware will not undermine the structural safety conclusions are summarized.

That the yield and ultimate strengths of materials used in manufacturing the HI-STORM FW components will be greater than that assumed in the structural analyses is insured by the requirement

HOLTEC INTERNATIONAL COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL

in the ASME Code which mandates all Code materials to meet the minimum certified property values set down in the Code tables. Holtec International requires the material supplier to provide a Certified Mill Test Report in the format specified in the Code to insure compliance of all physical properties of the supplied material with the specified Code minimums. The same protocol to insure that the actual property values are above the minimum specified values is followed in the manufacture of Metamic-HT (Section 1.2.1.4.1 and Subsection 10.1.3). An additional margin in the actual physical properties vis-à-vis the Code values exists in the case of the MPC Confinement Boundary material by virtue of the Alloy X definition (Appendix 1.A): The physical properties of Alloy X at each temperature are set down at the lowest of that property value in the Code from a group of austenitic stainless steels.

The above measures make the probability of an actual material strength property to be falling below the assumed value in the structural analysis in this chapter to be non-credible. On the contrary, Holtec's manufacturing experience suggests that the actual properties are likely to be uniformly and substantially greater than the assumed values.

A similarly conservative approach is used to insure that the fabrication processes do not degrade the computed safety margins. Towards this end, the fabrication documents (drawings, travelers and shop procedures) implement a number of pro-active measures to prevent all known sources of development of a strength-adverse condition, such as:

- i. All welding procedures are qualified to yield better physical properties than the Code minimums. All essential variables that affect weld quality are tightly controlled.
- ii. Only those craftsmen who have passed the welding skill criteria implemented in the shop are permitted to weld.
- iii. A rigorous weld material quality overcheck program is employed to insure that every weld wire spool meets its respective Code specification.
- iv. All welds are specified as minimums: In practice, most exceed the specified minimums significantly. All primary structural welds are subject to Q.C. overcheck and sign-off.
- v. The Threaded Anchor Locations (TALs) are machined to a depth greater than the specified minimum. The stress analyses utilize the minimum thread depths/lengths per the licensing drawings.

In the event of a deviation that may depress the computed safety margin, a non-conformance report is prepared by the manufacturer and subject to a safety analysis by Holtec International's corporate engineering using the same methodology as that described in this FSAR. The item is accepted only if the safety evaluation musters part 72.48 acceptance criteria. A complete documentation of the life cycle of the NCR is archived in the Company's Permanent Filing System and shared with the designated system user.

3-18

HOLTEC INTERNATIONAL COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL REPORT HI-2114830
The above processes and measures have been in place at the Holtec Manufacturing Division to insure that an unacceptable reduction in the safety factors due to variation in material properties and manufacturing processes does not occur. The manufacturing experience over the past 20 years corroborates the effectiveness of the above measures.

3.1.3 Stress Analysis Models

To evaluate the effect of loads on the HI-STORM FW system components, finite element models for stress and deformation analysis are developed. The essential attributes of the finite element models for the HI-STORM overpack and the MPC are presented in this subsection. These models are used to perform the structural analysis of the system components under the loadings listed in Tables 2.2.6, 2.2.7 and 2.2.13, and summarized in Table 3.1.1 herein for handling, normal, off-normal, and accident conditions, respectively. The HI-TRAC VW transfer cask, on the other hand, is conservatively analyzed using strength of materials principles, as described in Subsection 3.1.3.3.

All finite element models are three-dimensional and are prepared to the level of discretization appropriate to the problem to be solved. The models are suitable for implementation in ANSYS and LS-DYNA general purpose codes, which are described in Subsection 3.6.2.

In the following, the finite element models of the HI-STORM overpack (body and lid) and the MPC (Confinement Boundary and the fuel baskets) are presented. Pursuant to ISG-21, the description of the computational model for each component addresses the following areas:

- Description of the model, its key attributes and its conservative aspects
- Types of finite elements used and the rationale for their selection
- Material properties and applicable temperature ranges
- Modeling simplifications and their underlying logic

In subsequent subsections, where the finite element models are deployed to analyze the different load cases, the presentation includes the consideration of:

- · Geometric compliance of the simulation with the physics of the problem
- Boundary conditions
- · Effect of tolerances on the results
- · Convergence (numerical) of the solutions reported in this FSAR

The input files prepared to implement the finite element solutions as well as detailed results are archived in the Calculation Packages [3.4.11, 3.4.13] within the Company's Configuration Control

3-19

HOLTEC INTERNATIONAL COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL REPORT HI-2114830

Rev. 2

HI-STORM FW FSAR -Non-Proprietary Revision 2, June 24, 2013

System. Essential portions of the results for each loading case necessary to draw safety conclusions are extracted from the Calculation Packages and reported in this FSAR. Specifically, the results summarized from the finite element solutions in this chapter are self-contained to enable an independent assessment of the system's safety. Input data is provided in tabular form as suggested in ISG-21. For consistency, the following units are employed to document input data throughout this chapter:

- · Time: second
- · Mass: pound
- · Length: inch

3.1.3.1 HI-STORM FW Overpack

The physical geometry and materials of construction of the HI-STORM FW overpack are provided in Sections 1.1 and 1.2 and the drawings in Section 1.5. The finite element simulation of the overpack consists of two discrete models, one for the overpack body and the other for the top lid.

The models are initially developed using the finite element code ANSYS [3.4.1], and then, depending on the load case, numerical simulations are performed either in ANSYS or in LS-DYNA [3.1.8]. For example, the handling loads (Load Case 9) and the snow load (Load Case 10) are simulated in ANSYS, and the non-mechanistic tipover event (Load Case 4) is simulated in LS-DYNA. For the non-mechanistic tipover analysis, two distinct finite element models are created: one for the HI-STORM FW overpack carrying the maximum length MPC-37 and one for the HI-STORM FW overpack carrying the maximum length MPC-89 (Figures 3.4.10A and 3.4.10B).

The key attributes of the HI-STORM FW overpack models (implemented in ANSYS) are:

- i. The finite element discretization of the overpack is sufficiently detailed to accurately articulate the primary membrane and bending stresses as well as the secondary stresses at locations of gross structural discontinuity. The finite element layout of the HI-STORM FW overpack body and the top lid are pictorially illustrated in Figures 3.4.3 and 3.4.5, respectively. The overpack model consists of over 70,000 nodes and 50,000 elements, which exceed the number of nodes and elements in the HI-STORM 100 tipover model utilized in [3.1.4]. Table 3.1.11 summarizes the key input data that is used to create the finite element models of the HI-STORM FW overpack body and top lid.
- ii. The overpack baseplate, anchor blocks, and the lid studs are modeled with SOLID45 elements. The overpack inner and outer shells, bottom vent shells, and the lifting ribs are modeled with SHELL63 elements. A combination of SOLID45, SHELL63, and SOLSH190 elements is used to model the steel components in the HI-STORM FW lid. These element types are well suited for the overpack geometry and loading conditions, and they have been used successfully in previous cask licensing applications [3.1.10, 3.3.2].

3-20

HOLTEC INTERNATIONAL COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL REPORT HI-2114830

- iii. All overpack steel members are represented by their linear elastic material properties (at 300°F) based on the data provided in Section 3.3. The concrete material in the overpack body is not explicitly modeled. Its mass, however, is accounted for by applying a uniformly distributed pressure on the baseplate annular area between the inner and outer shells (see Figure 3.4.26). The plain concrete in the HI-STORM FW lid is explicitly modeled in ANSYS using SOLID65 elements along with the input parameters listed in Table 3.1.12.
- iv. To implement the ANSYS finite element model in LS-DYNA, the SOLID45, SHELL63, and SOLSH190 elements are converted to solid, shell, and thick shell elements, respectively, in LS-DYNA. The SOLID65 elements used to model the plain concrete in the HI-STORM FW lid are replaced by MAT_PSEUDO_TENSOR (or MAT_016) elements in LS-DYNA. The plain concrete in the overpack body is also modeled in LS-DYNA using MAT_PSEUDO_TENSOR elements.
- v. In LS-DYNA, all overpack steel members are represented by their applicable nonlinear elastic-plastic true stress-strain relationships. The methodology used for obtaining a true stress-strain curve from a set of engineering stress-strain data (e.g., strength properties from [3.3.1]) is provided in [3.1.9], which utilizes the following power law relation to represent the flow curve of metal in the plastic deformation region:

$$\sigma = K\epsilon^{"}$$

where n is the strain-hardening exponent and K is the strength coefficient. Table 3.1.13 provides the values of K and n that are used to model the behavior of the overpack steel materials in LS-DYNA. Further details of the development of the true stress-strain relations for these materials are found in [3.4.11]. The concrete material is modeled in LS-DYNA using a non-linear material model (i.e., MAT_PSEUDO_TENSOR or MAT_016) based on the properties listed in Section 3.3.

3.1.3.2 Multi-Purpose Canister (MPC)

The two constituent parts of the MPC, namely (i) the Enclosure Vessel and (ii) the Fuel Basket, are modeled separately. The model for the Enclosure Vessel is focused to quantify its stress and strain field under the various loading conditions. The model for the Fuel Basket is focused on characterizing its strain and displacement behavior during a non-mechanistic tipover event. For the non-mechanistic tipover analysis, two distinct finite element models are created: one for the maximum length MPC-37 and one for the maximum length MPC-89 (Figures 3.4.11 and 3.4.12).

The key attributes of the MPC finite element models (implemented in ANSYS) are:

i. The finite element layout of the Enclosure Vessel is pictorially illustrated in Figure 3.4.1. The finite element discretization of the Enclosure Vessel is sufficiently detailed to accurately articulate the primary membrane and bending stresses as well as the secondary stresses at

3-21

HOLTEC INTERNATIONAL COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL REPORT HI-2114830

locations of gross structural discontinuity, particularly at the MPC shell to baseplate juncture. This has been confirmed by comparing the ANSYS stress results with the analytical solution provided in [3.4.16] (specifically Cases 4a and 4b of Table 31) for the discontinuity stress at the junction between a cylindrical shell and a flat circular plate under internal pressure (100 psig). The two solutions agree within 3% indicating that the finite element mesh for the Enclosure Vessel is adequately sized. Table 3.1.14 summarizes the key input data that is used to create the finite element model of the Enclosure Vessel.

- ii. The Enclosure Vessel shell, baseplate, and upper and lower lids are meshed using SOLID185 elements. The MPC lid-to-shell weld and the reinforcing fillet weld at the shell-to-baseplate juncture are also explicitly modeled using SOLID185 elements (see Figure 3.4.1).
- iii. Consistent with the drawings in Section 1.5, the MPC lid is modeled as two separate plates, which are joined together along their perimeter edge. The upper lid is conservatively modeled as 4.5" thick, which is less than the minimum thickness specified on the licensing drawing (see Section 1.5). "Surface-to-surface" contact is defined over the interior interface between the two lid plates using CONTA173 and TARGE170 contact elements.
- iv. The materials used to represent the Enclosure Vessel are assumed to be isotropic and are assigned linear elastic material properties based on the Alloy X material data provided in Section 3.3. The Young's modulus value varies throughout the model based on the applied temperature distribution, which is shown in Figure 3.4.27 and conservatively bounds the normal operating temperature distribution for the maximum length MPC-37 as determined by the thermal analyses in Chapter 4.
- v. The fuel basket models (Figures 3.4.12A and 3.4.12B), which are implemented in LS-DYNA, are assembled from intersecting plates per the licensing drawings in Section 1.5, include all potential contacts and allow for relative rotations between intersecting plates. For conservatism, a bounding gap is assumed at contact interfaces between any two perpendicular basket plates to allow for impacts and, therefore, maximize the stress and deformation of the fuel basket plate. The fuel basket plates are modeled in LS-DYNA using thick shell elements, which behave like solid elements in contact, but can also accurately simulate the bending behavior of the fuel basket plates. To ensure numerical accuracy, full integration thick shell elements with 10 through-thickness integration points are used. This modeling approach is consistent with the approach taken in [3.1.10] to qualify the F-32 and F-37 fuel baskets.
- vi. In LS-DYNA, the fuel basket plates are represented by their applicable nonlinear elasticplastic true stress-strain relationships in the same manner as the steel members of the HI-STORM FW overpack (see Subsection 3.1.3.1). Table 3.1.13 provides the values of K and n that are used to model the behavior of the fuel basket plates in LS-DYNA. Details of the development of the true stress-strain relations are found in [3.4.11].

3-22

3.1.3.3 HI-TRAC VW Transfer Cask

The stress analysis of the transfer cask addresses three performance features that are of safety consequence. They are:

- i. Performance of the water jacket as a pressure retaining enclosure under an accident condition leading to overheating of water.
- ii. Performance of the threaded anchor locations in the HI-TRAC VW top flange under the maximum lifted load.
- iii. Performance of the HI-TRAC VW bottom lid under its own self weight plus the weight of the heaviest MPC.

The above HI-TRAC VW components are analyzed separately using strength of materials formula, the details of which are provided in Subsections 3.4.3 and 3.4.4.

3-23

Table 3.1.1

GOVERNING CASES AND AFFECTED COMPONENTS

Case	Loading Case I.D. from Tables 2.2.6, 2.2.7 and 2.2.13	Loading Event	Affected Components			Objective of the Analysis	For additional discussion, refer to Subsection
			HI-STORM	MPC	HI- TRAC		
1	AD	Moving Flood Moving Floodwater with loaded HI-STORM on the pad.	Х		_	Determine the flood velocity that will not overturn the overpack.	2.2.3
2.	AE	Design Basis Earthquake (DBE) Loaded HI-STORMs arrayed on the ISFSI pad subject to ISFSI's DBE	Х	X		Determine the maximum magnitude of the earthquake that meets the acceptance criteria of 2.2.3(g).	2.2.3
3	AC	<u>Tornado Missile</u> A large, medium or small tornado missile strikes a loaded HI-STORM on the ISFSI pad or HI-TRAC.	Х	X	X	Demonstrate that the acceptance criteria of 2.2.3(e) will be met.	2.2.3
4	AA	Non-Mechanistic Tip-Over A loaded HI-STORM is assumed to tip over and strike the pad.	Х	X		Satisfy the acceptance criteria of 2.2.3(b).	2.2.3
5	NB	Design Internal Pressure MPC under the normal condition Design Internal Pressure		X		Demonstrate that the MPC meets "NB" stress intensity limits.	2.2.1
6	NB	<u>Maximum Internal Pressure Under the Accident</u> <u>Condition</u> MPC under the accident condition internal pressure (from Table 2.2.1)		X	_	Demonstrate that the Level D stress intensity limits are met.	2.2.1

HOLTEC INTERNATIONAL COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL

REPORT HI-2114830

Table 3.1.1 (continued)

GOVERNING CASES AND AFFECTED COMPONENTS

Case	Loading Case I.D. from Tables 2.2.6, 2.2.7 and 2.2.13	Loading Event	Affected Components			Objective of the Analysis	For additional discussion, refer to Subsection
7	АН	Design External Pressure MPC under the accident condition external pressure (from Table 2.2.1)		X		The Enclosure Vessel must not buckle.	2.2.3
8	AJ	<u>HI-TRAC Non-Mechanistic Heat-Up</u> Postulate the water jacket's internal pressure reaches the Design Pressure (defined in Table 2.2.1)			x	Demonstrate that the stresses in the water jacket meet the ASME Code Section III Subsection Class 3 limits for the Design Condition.	2.2.1
9.	HA, HB, and HC	Handling of Components	X	X	X	Demonstrate that the tapped anchor locations (TALs) meet the Regulatory Guide 3.61 and NUREG-0612 stress limits (as applicable).	2.2.1
10.	NA	Snow Load	Х			Demonstrate that the top lid's steel structure meets "NF" stress limit for normal condition.	2.2.1
11.	NA	MPC Reflood Event		Х		Demonstrate that there is no breach of the fuel rod cladding.	12.3.1

HOLTEC INTERNATIONAL COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL

REPORT HI-2114830

Table 3.1.2

DESIGN AND LEVEL A: STRESS

Reference Code:ASME NFMaterial:SA36Service Conditions:Design and NormalItem:Stress

		Classification and Value (ksi)			
Temp. (Deg. F)	S	Membrane Stress	Membrane plus Bending Stress		
-20 to 650	16.6	16.6	24.9		
700	15.6	15.6	23.4		

Notes:

- 1. S = Maximum allowable stress values from Table 1A of ASME Code, Section II, Part D.
- 2. Stress classification per Paragraph NF-3260.
- 3. Limits on values are presented in Table 2.2.12.

HOLTEC INTERNATIONAL COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL REPORT HI-2114830

Table 3.1.3

LEVEL B: STRESS

NF
mal

	Classification and Value (ksi)	
Temp. (Deg. F)	Membrane Stress	Membrane plus Bending Stress
³ -20 to 650	22.1	33.1
700	20.7	31.1

Notes:

1. Limits on values are presented in Table 2.2.12 with allowables from Table 3.1.2.

HOLTEC INTERNATIONAL COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL REPORT HI-2114830

Table 3.1.4

DESIGN AND LEVEL A SERVICE CONDITIONS: ALLOWABLE STRESS

Code:	ASME NF
Material:	SA516 (SA515) Grade 70, SA350-LF3 (SA350-LF2)
Service Conditions:	Design and Normal
Item:	Allowable Stress

		Classification and Value	(ksi)	
Temp. (Deg. F)	S	Membrane Stress	Membrane plus Bending Stress	
-20 to 400	20.0	20.0	30.0	
500	19.6	19.6	29.4	
600	18.4	18.4	27.6	
650	17.8	17.8	26.7	
700	17.2	17.2	25.8	

Notes:

- 1. S = Maximum allowable stress values from Table 1A of ASME Code, Section II, Part D.
- 2. Stress classification per Paragraph NF-3260.
- 3. Limits on values are presented in Table 2.2.12.
- 4. Maximum allowable stress values are the lowest of all values for the candidate materials (SA516 (SA515) Grade 70, SA350-LF3 (SA350-LF2)) at corresponding temperature.

HOLTEC INTERNATIONAL COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL REPORT HI-2114830

Table 3.1.5

LEVEL B: ALLOWABLE STRESS

Code:	ASME NF
Material:	SA516 (SA515) Grade 70, SA350-LF3 (SA350-LF2)
Service Conditions:	Off-Normal
Item:	Allowable Stress

	Classification and	nd Value (ksi)
Temp. (Deg. F)	Membrane Stress	Membrane plus Bending Stress
-20 to 400	26.6	39.9
500	26.1	39.1
600	24.5	36.7
650	23.7	35.5
700	22.9	34.3

Notes:

- 1. Limits on values are presented in Table 2.2.12 with allowables from Table 3.1.4.
- 2. Maximum allowable stress values are the lowest of all values for the candidate materials (SA516 (SA515) Grade 70, SA350-LF3 (SA350-LF2)) at corresponding temperature.

Table 3.1.6

LEVEL D: STRESS INTENSITY

Code:ASME NMaterial:SA516 (SService Conditions:AccidentItem:Stress International Stress Inte

ASME NF SA516 (SA515) Grade 70 Accident Stress Intensity

	Cl	lassification and Value (ksi)				
Temp. (Deg. F)	S _m	$\mathbf{S}_{m} \qquad \begin{array}{c} \mathbf{P}_{m} \\ \mathbf{A}MAX (1.2S_{y}, \\ 1.5S_{x}) + (1.2S_{x}) + (1.2S_{y}, \\ 1.5S_{x}) + (1.2S_{x}) + (1.2S_{x}) + (1.2S_{x}) + (1.2S_{y}) + (1.2S_{y}) + (1.2S_$				
		$1.5S_{\rm m}$), but < 0.7 S _u				
-20 to 100	23.3	45.6	68.4			
200	23.2	41.8	62.7			
300	22.4	40.3	60.4			
400	21.6	39.0	58.5			
500	20.6	37.2	55.8			
600	19.4	34.9	52.4			
650	18.8	33.8	50.7			
700	18.1	32.9	49.4			

Notes:

- 1. Level D allowable stress intensities per Appendix F, Paragraph F-1332.
- 2. S_m = Stress intensity values per Table 2A of ASME, Section II, Part D.

3. P_m and P_b are defined in Table 3.1.10.

Table 3.1.7

DESIGN, LEVELS A AND B: STRESS INTENSITY

Code:	ASME NB
Material:	Alloy X
Service Conditions:	Design, Levels A and B (Normal and Off-Normal)
Item:	Stress Intensity

Tomp		Classification and Numerical Value				
(Deg. F)	Sm	P _m ⁺	$\mathbf{P_L}^{\dagger}$	$P_L + P_b^{\dagger}$	$\begin{array}{c} P_L + \\ P_b + Q^{\dagger\dagger} \end{array}$	Pe ^{††}
-20 to 100	20.0	20.0	30.0	30.0	60.0	60.0
200	20.0	20.0	30.0	30.0	60.0	60.0
300	20.0	20.0	30.0	30.0	60.0	60.0
400	18.6	18.6	27.9	27.9	55.8	55.8
500	17.5	17.5	26.3	26.3	52.5	52.5
600	16.5	16.5	24.75	24.75	49.5	49.5
650	16.0	16.0	24.0	24.0	48.0	48.0
700	15.6	15.6	23.4	23.4	46.8	46.8
750	15.2	15.2	22.8	22.8	45.6	45.6
800	14.8	14.8	22.2	22.2	44.4	44.4

Notes:

- 1. S_m = Stress intensity values per Table 2A of ASME II, Part D.
- 2. Alloy X S_m values are the lowest values for each of the candidate materials at corresponding temperature.
- 3. Stress classification per NB-3220.
- 4. Limits on values are presented in Table 2.2.10.
- 5. P_m , P_L , P_b , Q, and P_e are defined in Table 3.1.10.

HOLTEC INTERNATIONAL COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL

REPORT HI-2114830

[†] ††

Evaluation required for Design condition only.

Evaluation required for Levels A, B conditions only. Pe not applicable to vessels.

Table 3.1.8

LEVEL D: STRESS INTENSITY

Code:	ASME NB
Material:	Alloy X
Service Conditions:	Level D (Accident)
Item:	Stress Intensity

Temp. (Deg.	Classification and Value (ksi)			
F)	Pm	PL	$P_L + P_b$	
-20 to 100	48.0	72.0	72.0	
200	48.0	72.0	72.0	
300	46.3	69.45	69.45	
400	44.6	66.9	66.9	
500	42.0	63.0	63.0	
600	39.6	59.4	59.4	
650	38.4	57.6	57.6	
700	37.4	56.1	56.1	
750	36.5	54.8	54.8	
800	35.5	53.25	53.25	

Notes:

- 1. Level D stress intensities per ASME NB-3225 and Appendix F, Paragraph F-1331.
- 2. The average primary shear strength across a section loaded in pure shear may not exceed 0.42 S_{u} .
- 3. Limits on values are presented in Table 2.2.10.
- 4. P_m , P_L , and P_b are defined in Table 3.1.10.

HOLTEC INTERNATIONAL COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL REPORT HI-2114830

3-32

Table 3.1.9

FRACTURE TOUGHNESS TEST REQUIREMENTS FOR HI-STORM FW OVERPACK

Material	Test Requirement	Test Temperature	Acceptance Criterion
Bolting (SA193 B7)	Not required per NF-2311(b)(13) and Note (e) to Figure NF-2311(b)-1	-	-
Material with a nominal section thickness of 5/8" and less	Not required per NF-2311(b)(1)	-	-
Normalized SA516 Gr. 70 (thicknesses 2-1/2" and less)	Not required per NF-2311(b)(10) for service temperatures greater than or equal to 0°F (i.e., handling operations), and per NF-2311(b)(7) for service temperatures less than 0°F and greater than or equal to -40°F (i.e., non-handling operations)	-	-
Normalized SA516 Gr. 70 used for HI-STORM FW base plate (thickness greater than 2-1/2")	Not required per NF-2311(b)(7)	-	-
As rolled SA516 Gr. 70 used for HI-STORM FW inner and outer shells, base plate, top plate, inlet shell plate, inlet vent top plate, gamma shield plate, lid lower shim plate, and lid gusset	Not required per NF-2311(b)(7)	-	-
SA36 (thickness greater than 5/8")	Not required per NF-2311(b)(7)	-	-
SA350-LF2 (thickness greater than 5/8") and as rolled SA516 Gr. 70 used for HI-STORM FW lifting rib	Per NF-2331	-40°F (Also must meet ASME Section IIA requirements)	Table NF-2331(a)- 3 or Figure NF- 2331(a)-2 (Also must meet ASME Section IIA requirements)
Weld material	Test per NF-2430 if: (1) either of the base materials of the production weld requires impact testing, or; (2) either of the base materials is SA516 Gr. 70 with nominal section thickness greater than 5/8".	-40°F	Per NF-2331

HOLTEC INTERNATIONAL COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL

REPORT HI-2114830

Table 3.1.9 (continued)

FRACTURE TOUGHNESS TEST REQUIREMENTS FOR HI-TRAC VW TRANSFER CASK

Material	Test Requirement	Test Temperature	Acceptance Criterion	
Bolting (SA193 B8 Class 2)	Not required per NF-2311(b)(5)	-	-	
Material with a nominal section thickness of 5/8" and less	Not required per NF-2311(b)(1)	-	-	
Normalized SA516 Gr. 70 (thicknesses 2-1/2" and less)	Not required per NF-2311(b)(10)	-	-	
Normalized SA516 Gr. 70 used for HI-TRAC VW bottom lid (thickness greater than 2-1/2")	Not required per NF-2311(b)(7)	-	-	
As rolled SA516 Gr. 70 used for HI-TRAC VW inner and outer shells, bottom flange. extended rib, short rib, bolt recess cap, and bottom lid	Not required per NF-2311(b)(7)	-	-	
SA36 (thickness greater than 5/8")	Not required per NF-2311(b)(7)	-	-	
SA515 Gr. 70, SA106 Gr. C, and SA350-LF3 (thickness greater than 5/8")	Per NF-2331	0°F (Also must meet ASME Section IIA requirements)	Table NF-2331(a)- 3 or Figure NF- 2331(a)-2 (Also must meet ASME Section IIA requirements)	
Weld material	Test per NF-2430 if: (1) either of the base materials of the production weld requires impact testing. or; (2) either of the base materials is SA516 Gr. 70 with nominal section thickness greater than 5/8".	0°F	Per NF-2331	

HOLTEC INTERNATIONAL COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL

REPORT HI-2114830

Table 3.1.10

ORIGIN, TYPE AND SIGNIFICANCE OF STRESSES IN THE HI-STORM FW SYSEM

Symbol	Description	Notes
P _m	Primary membrane stress	Excludes effects of discontinuities and concentrations. Produced by pressure and mechanical loads. Primary membrane stress develops in the MPC Enclosure Vessel shell. Limits on P_m exist for normal (Level A), off-normal (Level B), and accident (Level D) service conditions.
Ρι	Local membrane stress	Considers effects of discontinuities but not concentrations. Produced by pressure and mechanical loads, including earthquake inertial effects. P_L develops in the MPC Enclosure Vessel wall due to impact between the overpack guide tubes and the MPC (near the top of the MPC) under an earthquake (Level D condition) or non-mechanistic tip-over event. However, because there is no Code limit on P_L under Level D event, a limit on the local strain consistent with the approach in the HI-STORM 100 docket is used (see Subsection 3.4.4.1.4).
Рь	Primary bending stress	Component of primary stress proportional to the distance from the centroid of a solid section. Excludes the effects of discontinuities and concentrations. Produced by pressure and mechanical loads, including earthquake inertial effects. Primary bending stress develops in the top lid and baseplate of the MPC, which is a pressurized vessel. Lifting of the loaded MPC using the so-called "lift cleats" also produces primary bending stress in the MPC lid. Similarly, the top lid of the HI-STORM FW module, a plate-type structure, withstands the snow load (Table 2.2.8) by developing primary bending stress.
Pe	Secondary expansion stress	Stresses that result from the constraint of free-end displacement. Considers effects of discontinuities but not local stress concentration (not applicable to vessels). It is shown that there is no interference between component parts due to free thermal expansion. Therefore, P_e does not develop within any H1-STORM FW component.
Q	Secondary membrane plus bending stress	Self-equilibrating stress necessary to satisfy continuity of structure. Occurs at gross structural discontinuities. Can be caused by pressure, mechanical loads, or differential thermal expansion. The junction of MPC shell with the baseplate and top lid locations of gross structural discontinuity, where secondary stresses develop as a result of internal pressure. Secondary stresses would also develop at the two extremities of the MPC shell if a thermal gradient were to exist. However, because the top and bottom regions of the MPC cavity also serve as the top and bottom plenums, respectively, for the recirculating helium, the temperature field in the regions of gross discontinuity is essentially uniform, and as a result, the thermal stress adder is insignificant and neglected (see Paragraph 3.1.2.5).
F	Peak stress	Increment added to primary or secondary stress by a concentration (notch), or, certain thermal stresses that may cause fatigue but not distortion. Because fatigue is not a credible source of failure in a passive system with gradual temperature changes, fatigue damage is not computed for HI-STORM FW components.

HOLTEC INTERNATIONAL COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL

REPORT HI-2114830

Table 3.1.11				
KEY INPUT DATA FOR FINITE ELEMENT MODEL OF HI-STORM FW OVERPACK				
Item	Value			
Overall height of HI-STORM FW (including top	221.5 in (for maximum length BWR fuel)			
lid)	239.5 in (for maximum length PWR fuel)			
Height of overpack body	199.25 (for maximum length BWR fuel)			
	217.25 in (for maximum length PWR fuel)			
Height of top lid above top of overpack body	22.25 in			
Top lid diameter 103 in				
Inside diameter of HI-STORM FW storage 81 in				
cavity				
Outside diameter of HI-STORM FW overpack	139 in			
Inner shell thickness	0.75 in			
Outer shell thickness	0.75 in			
Lifting rib thickness	1 in			
Baseplate thickness	3 in			
Material Various (see licensing drawings in Section 1				
Ref. temperature for material properties	300°F (implemented in ANSYS)			
Table 3.1.13 (implemented in LS-DYN				
Concrete density	200 lbf/ft ³			

HOLTEC INTERNATIONAL COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL REPORT HI-2114830

,

Table 3.1.12			
INPUT PARAMETERS FOR SOLID65 CONCRETE ELEMENTS USED IN HI-STORM FW LID MODEL			
Input Parameter	Value		
Density	200 lbf/ft ³		
Poisson's ratio	0.17		
Compressive strength	3,000 psi		
Young's modulus	3.122 x 10 ⁶ psi		
Shear transfer coefficient for open cracks	0.1		
Shear transfer coefficient for closed cracks 0.3			

HOLTEC INTERNATIONAL COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL REPORT HI-2114830

Table 3.1.13						
VALUES OF "K" OF H	VALUES OF "K" AND "n" USED TO MODEL ELASTIC-PLASTIC BEHAVIOR OF HI-STORM SYSTEM COMPONENTS IN LS-DYNA					
Component	Material	Ref. Temperature	K [†] (psi)	n [†]		
		365°C	1.421×10^4	0.059		
		350°C	1.506×10^{4}	0.062		
Evel Dester	Matania LIT	325°C	1.705×10^{4}	0.055		
Fuel Basket	Metamic-H I	300°C	1.901×10^{4}	0.049		
		250°C	2.184×10^{4}	0.064		
			2.461×10^{4}	0.075		
MPC Lid	Alloy X	500°F	1.055×10^{5}	0.235		
MPC Shell	Alloy X	450°F	1.152×10^{5}	0.244		
MPC Baseplate	Alloy X	350°F	1.161×10^{5}	0.236		
HI-STORM Anchor Block	SA-350 LF2	250°F	1.160×10^{5}	0.189		
HI-STORM Lid Stud	SA-193 B7	250°F	1.399×10^{5}	0.082		
HI-STORM Inlet Shield Pipe	SA-53	250°F	9.464×10^4	0.161		
HI-STORM Body ^{††}	SA-516 Gr. 70	300°F	1.144×10^{5}	0.181		
HI-STORM Lid	SA-516 Gr. 70	250°F	1.139×10^{5}	0.179		
HI-STORM Inlet Shell Plate, Inlet Vent Top Plate, & Lid	SA-36	250°F	8.952×10^4	0.150		

[†] K and n are defined in Subsection 3.1.3.1.

^{tt} Includes all components in HI-STORM overpack body made from SA-516 Gr. 70 material (e.g., baseplate, inner and outer shells, lifting ribs, etc.).

HOLTEC INTERNATIONAL COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL REPORT HI-2114830

Table 3.1.14			
KEY INPUT DATA FOR ANSYS MO	DEL OF MPC ENCLOSURE VESSEL		
Item	Value		
Overall Height of MPC	195 in (for maximum length BWR fuel)		
	213 in (for maximum length PWR fuel)		
Outside diameter of MPC	75.5 in		
MPC upper lid thickness	4.5 in		
MPC lower lid thickness	4.5 in		
MPC shell thickness	0.5 in		
MPC baseplate thickness	3.0 in		
Material	Alloy X		
Ref. temperature for material properties Figure 3.4.27 (implemented in ANSYS			
	Table 3.1.13 (implemented in LS-DYNA)		

HOLTEC INTERNATIONAL COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL REPORT HI-2114830

3.2 WEIGHTS AND CENTERS OF GRAVITY

As stated in Chapter 1, while the diameters of the MPC, HI-STORM FW, and HI-TRAC VW are fixed, their height is dependent on the length of the fuel assembly. The MPC cavity height (which determines the external height of the MPC) is set equal to the nominal fuel length (along with control components, if any) plus Δ , where Δ is between 1.5" (minimum), 2.0" (maximum), Δ is increased above 1.5" so that the MPC cavity height is a full inch or half-inch number. Thus, for the PWR reference fuel (Table 1.0.4), whose length including control components is 167.2" (Table 2.1.1), $\Delta = 1.8$ " so that the MPC cavity height, c, becomes 169". Δ is provided to account for irradiation and thermal growth of the fuel in the reactor. Table 3.2.1 provides the height of the internal cavities and bottom-to-top external dimension of all system components. Table 3.2.2 provides the parameters that affect the weight of cask components and their range of values assumed in this FSAR.

The cavity heights of the HI-STORM FW overpack and the HI-TRAC VW transfer cask are set greater than the MPC height by fixed amounts to account for differential thermal expansion and manufacturing tolerances. Table 3.2.1 provides the height data on HI-STORM FW, HI-TRAC VW, and the MPC as the adder to the MPC cavity length.

Table 3.2.5 provides the reference weight of the HI-STORM FW overpack for storing MPC-37 and MPC-89 containing reference PWR and BWR fuel, respectively. The weight of the HI-STORM FW overpack body is provided for two discrete concrete densities and for two discrete heights for PWR and BWR fuel. The weight at any other density and any other height can be obtained by linear interpolation. Similarly the weight of the HI-STORM FW lid is provided for two discrete values of concrete density. The weight corresponding to any other density can be computed by linear interpolation.

As discussed in Section 1.2, the weight of the HI-TRAC VW transfer cask is maximized for a particular site to take full advantage of the plant's crane capacity within the architectural limitations of the Fuel Building. Accordingly, the thickness of the lead shield and outer diameter of the water jacket can be increased to maximize shielding. The weight of the empty HI-TRAC VW cask in Table 3.2.4 is provided for three lengths corresponding to PWR fuel. Using the data for three lengths, the transfer cask's weight corresponding to any other length can be obtained by linear interpolation (or extrapolation). For MPC-89, the weight data is provided for the minimum and reference fuel lengths, as well as the reference fuel assembly with a DFC and therefore likewise the transfer cask's weight corresponding to any other length can be obtained by linear interpolation (or extrapolation).

The approximate change in the empty weight of HI-TRAC VW (in kilo pounds) of a certain height, h (inch), by virtue of changing the thickness of the lead by an amount, δ (inch), is given by the formula:

 $\Delta W_{lead}=0.1128(h\!-\!13.5)\,\delta$

HOLTEC INTERNATIONAL COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL REPORT HI-2114830

The approximate change in the empty weight of HI-TRAC VW (in kilo pounds) of a certain length, h (inch), by virtue of changing the thickness of the water layer by δ (inch) is given by:

$$\Delta W_{water} = 0.01077 (h - 13.5) \delta$$

The above formulas serve as a reasonable approximation for the weight change whether the thickness of lead (or water) is being increased or decreased.

The weights of the loaded MPCs containing "reference SNF" with and without water are provided in Table 3.2.3. All weights in the aforementioned tables are nominal values computed using the SOLIDWORKSTM computer code or using standard material density and geometric shapes for the respective subcomponents of the equipment.

Table 3.2.5 provides the loaded weight of the HI-STORM FW system on the ISFSI pad for two different concrete densities for both PWR and BWR reference fuel. Table 3.2.6 contains the weight data on loaded HI-TRAC VW under the various handling scenarios expected during loading.

The maximum and minimum locations of the centers of gravity (CGs) are presented (in dimensionless form) in Table 3.2.7. The radial eccentricity, ϕ , of a cask system is defined as:

$$\phi = \frac{\Delta_r}{D} \times 100$$
 (ϕ is dimensionless)

where Δ_r is the radial offset distance between the CG of the cask system and the geometric centerline of the cask, and D is the outside diameter of the cask. In other words, the value of ϕ defines a circle around the axis of symmetry of the cask within which the CG lies (see Figure 3.2.1). All centers of gravity are located close to the geometric centerline of the cylindrical cask since the nonaxisymmetric effects of the cask system and its contents are very small. The vertical eccentricity, Ψ , of a cask system is defined similarly as:

$$\Psi = \frac{\Delta_v}{H} \times 100 \text{ (}\Psi \text{ is dimensionless)}$$

Where Δ_v is the vertical offset distance between the CG of the cask system and the geometric center of the cask (i.e., cask mid-height), and H is the overall height of the cask. A positive value of Ψ indicates that the CG is located above the cask mid-height, and a negative value indicates that the CG is located below the cask mid-height. Figure 3.2.2 illustrates how Ψ is defined.

The values of ϕ and Ψ given in Table 3.2.7are bounding values, which take into consideration material and fabrication tolerances. The tabulated values of ϕ and Ψ can be converted into dimensionless form using the equations above. For example, from Table 3.2.7 the empty HI-STORM FW with lid installed has maximum eccentricities of $\phi = 2.0$ and $\Psi = \pm 3.0$. Therefore, the maximum radial and vertical offset distances are (D=140", H=207.75" for PWR reference fuel):

HOLTEC INTERNATIONAL COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL REPORT HI-2114830

$$\Delta_r = \frac{\phi D}{100} = \frac{(2.0)(140in)}{100} = 2.8in$$
$$\Delta_r = \frac{\Psi H}{100} = \frac{(\pm 3.0)(207.75in)}{100} = \pm 6.23in \text{ (CG height relative to H/2)}$$

The C.G. information provided above shall be used in designing the lifting and handling ancillary for the HI-STORM FW cask components. In addition, the maximum CG height per Table 3.2.7 shall be used for the stability analysis of the HI-STORM FW under DBE conditions. Using the weight data in the previously mentioned tables, Table 3.2.8 has been constructed to provide the bounding weights for structural analyses so that every load case is analyzed using the most conservative data (to *minimize the computed safety margins*). The weight data in Table 3.2.8 is used in all structural analyses in this chapter.

HOLTEC INTERNATIONAL COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL REPORT HI-2114830

Table 3.2.1				
OPTIMIZED MPC, HI-TRAC, AND HI-STORM HEIGHT DATA FOR A SPECIFIC UNIRRADIATED FUEL LENGTH, ℓ^{\dagger}				
MPC Cavity Height, c	$\ell + \Delta^{\ddagger}$			
MPC Height (including top lid), h	c + 12"			
HI-TRAC VW Cavity Height	h + 1"			
HI-TRAC VW Total Height	h + 6.5"			
HI-STORM FW Cavity Height	h + 3.5"			
HI-STORM FW Body Height (height from the	h + 4.5"			
bottom of the HI-STORM FW to the top				
surface of the shear ring at the top of the HI-				
STORM FW body)				
HI-STORM FW Height (loaded over the pad)	h + 27"			

HOLTEC INTERNATIONAL COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL REPORT HI-2114830

[†] Fuel Length, ℓ , shall be based on the fuel assembly length with or without a damaged fuel container (DFC). Users planning to store fuel in DFCs shall adjust the length ℓ to include the additional height of the DFC. The maximum additional height for the DFC shall be 5". Note that users who plan to store any fuel in a DFC will need to utilize a system designed for the additional length and will need to use fuel shims (if required) to reduce the gap between the fuel without a DFC and the enclosure cavity to approximately 1.5-2.5 inches.

^{*} Δ shall be selected as 1.5" < Δ < 2.0" so that c is an integral multiple of 1/2 inch (add 1.5" to the fuel length and round up to the nearest 1/2" or full inch).

	Table 3.2.2				
	LIMITING PARAMETERS				
	ltem	PWR	BWR		
1.	Minimum fuel assembly length, inch	157	171		
2.	Maximum fuel assembly length, inch	199.2	181.5 ³		
3.	Nominal thickness of the lead cylinder in the lowest weight HI-TRAC VW, inch	2.75	2.50		
4.	Maximum nominal thickness of the lead cylinder, inch	4.25	4.25		
5.	Nominal (radial) thickness of the water in the external jacket, inch	4.75	4.75		

HOLTEC INTERNATIONAL COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL REPORT HI-2114830

.

³ Maximum fuel assembly length for the BWR fuel assembly refers to the maximum fuel assembly length plus an additional 5" to account for a Damage Fuel Container (DFC).

Table 3.2.3								
MPC WEIGHT DATA (COMPUTED NOMINAL VALUES)								
	BWR Fuel PWR Fuel							
	Based	on length be	elow	Based	on length be	elow		
Item	ShortestLongestShortestfromfromfromReferenceTableTable3.2.23.2.23.2.2					Longest from Table 3.2.2		
Enclosure Vessel	27,500	27,100	27,800	28,600	27,800	31,100		
Fuel Basket	8,600	8,300	8,800	7,900	7,400	9,400		
Water in the MPC @ SG = 1 (See Note 1)	16,700	16,200	18,900	15,400	14,400	18,700		
Water mass displaced by a closed MPC Enclosure Vessel (SG = 1)	30,800	29,900	31,600	29,300	27,600	34,500		

SG = Specific Gravity

Note 1: Water weight in the MPC assumes that water volume displaced by the fuel is equal to the fuel weight divided by an average fuel assembly density of 0.396 lb/in3. The fuel weights used for calculating the fuel volumes for Reference/Shortest/Longest PWR and BWR fuel assemblies are 1750/1600/2050 and 750/700/850 pounds respectively.

HOLTEC INTERNATIONAL COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL

3-45

REPORT HI-2114830

Table 3.2.4						
HI-TRAC VW WEIGHT DATA (COMPUTED NOMINAL VALUES)						
	BWR Fuel			PWR Fuel		
	Based on length below			Based on length below		
Item	Reference	from Table 3.2.2	from Table 3.2.2	Reference	from Table 3.2.2	from Table 3.2.2
HI-TRAC VW Body (no Bottom Lid, water jacket empty)	84,000	81,700	86,200	85,200	80,400	99,600
HI-TRAC VW Bottom Lid	11,300	11,300	11,300	11,300	11,300	11,300
MPC with Basket	36,100	35,400	36,600	36,500	35,200	40,500
Fuel Weight (assume 50%	66,800 (750 lb per	64,600 (725 lb	71,200 (800 lb	62,000 (1,675 lb per	59,200 (1,600 lb	69,400 (1,875 lb
with control components or channels, as applicable)	assembly average)	per assembly average)	per assembly average)	assembly average)	per assembly average)	per assembly average)
Water in the Annulus	600	600	600	600	600	700
Water in the Water Jacket	8,800	8,500	9,000	8,400	7,900	9,900
Displaced Water Mass by the Cask in the Pool (Excludes MPC)	18,900	18,400	19,400	18,600	17,600	21,600

HOLTEC INTERNATIONAL COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL

3-46

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			- · · - · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Table 3.2.5				
	ON-ISFSI WEIGHT	OF LOADED HI-STORM	FW	
Scenario		Weight of Cask Body (kilo-pounds)	Weight of HI-STORM FW Lid	
Fuel Type	HI-STORM FW Concrete Density (lb/cubic feet)		(kilo-pounds)	
Ref. PWR	150	198.0	20.1	
Ref. PWR	200	246.2	23.3	
Maximum length – PWR	150	229.0	20.1	
Maximum length – PWR	200	286.1	23.3	
Ref. BWR	150	206.7	20.1	
Ref. BWR	200	257.4	23.3	
Maximum length – BWR	150	211.6	20.1	
Maximum length – BWR	200	263.7	23.3	

HOLTEC INTERNATIONAL COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL

Table 3.2.6				
HI-TRAC VW OPERATING WEIGHT DATA FOR REFERENCE FUEL				
	Scenario HI-TRAC VW ⁴			
			Weight in	Kilo-Pounds
Water in the	Water in the	Cask in (pool)	Ref. PWR	Ref. BWR Fuel
MPC	Water Jacket	Water/Air	Fuel	
Yes	Yes	Water	167.7	173.3
Yes	Yes	Air	215.5	222.9
Yes	No	Water	159.4	164.6
No	No	Water	143.7	147.9
No	Yes	Air	199.9	206.2
No	No	Air	191.5	197.5

Weights above include the weight of the fuel assembly alone and do not include any additional weight for non-fuel hardware or damaged fuel containers.

⁴ Add 4,000 lbs for the weight of the lift yoke.

HOLTEC INTERNATIONAL COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL REPORT HI-2114830 **__**___.

3-48

Table 3.2.7			
LOCATION OF C.G. WITH RESPECT TO THE CENTERPOINT ON THE EOUIPMENT'S GEOMETRIC CENTERLINE			
Item		Radial eccentricity (dimensionless) ⁵ , \$	Vertical eccentricity (dimensionless),
			Above (+)" or Below (-), ψ
1.	Empty HI-STORM FW with lid installed	2.0	±3.0
2.	Empty HI-STORM FW without top lid	2.0	±3.0
3.	HI-STORM FW with fully loaded stored	2.0	±2.0
	MPC without top lid		
4.	HI-STORM FW with lid and a fully	2.0	±3.0
	loaded MPC		
5.	HI-TRAC VW with Bottom lid and	2.0	±2.0
	loaded MPC		
6.	Empty HI-TRAC VW without bottom lid	2.0	± 2.0

 $\frac{1}{5}$ ϕ and Ψ are dimension values as explained in Section 3.2.

	HOLTEC INTERNATIONAL COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL
REPORT HI-21	4830

	Table 3.2.8			
	BOUNDING WEIGHTS FOR STRUCTURAL ANALYSES (Height from Tables 3.2.1 and 3.2.2)			
	Case	Purpose	Assumed Weight (Kilo-pounds)	
1.	Loaded HI-STORM FW on the pad containing maximum length/weight fuel and 200 lb/cubic feet concrete – maximum possible weight scenario	Sizing and analysis of lifting and handling locations and cask stability analysis under overturning loads such as flood and earthquake	425.7	
2.	Loaded HI-STORM FW on the pad with 150 lb concrete, shortest length MPC	Stability analysis under missile strike	302.1	
3.	Loaded HI-TRAC VW with maximum length fuel and maximum lead and water shielding	Analysis for NUREG-0612 compliance of lifting and handling locations (TALs)	270.0	
4.	Loaded HI-TRAC VW with shortest length MPC and minimum lead and water shielding	Stability analysis under missile strike	186.0	
5.	Loaded MPC containing maximum length/weight fuel – maximum possible weight scenario	Analysis for NUREG-0612 compliance of lifting and handling locations (TALs)	116.4	

HOLTEC INTERNATIONAL COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL

3-50

.



Top View of Cask

Figure 3.2.1: Radial Eccentricity of Cask Center of Gravity

HOLTEC INTERNATIONAL COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL

3-51

REPORT HI-2114830



Elevation View of Cask

Figure 3.2.2: Vertical Eccentricity of Cask Center of Gravity

3-52

HOLTEC INTERNATIONAL COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL REPORT HI-2114830

3.3 MECHANICAL PROPERTIES OF MATERIALS

This section provides the mechanical properties used in the structural evaluation. The properties include yield stress, ultimate stress, modulus of elasticity, Poisson's ratio, weight density, and coefficient of thermal expansion. Values are presented for a range of temperatures which envelopes the maximum and minimum temperatures under all service conditions applicable to the HI-STORM FW system components.

The materials selected for use in the MPC, HI-STORM FW overpack, and HI-TRAC VW transfer cask are presented on the drawings in Section 1.5. In this chapter, the materials are divided into two categories, structural and nonstructural. Structural materials are materials that act as load bearing members and are, therefore, significant in the stress evaluations. Materials that do not support mechanical loads are considered nonstructural. For example, the HI-TRAC VW inner shell is a structural material, while the lead between the inner and outer shell is a nonstructural material. For nonstructural materials, the principal property that is used in the structural analysis is weight density. In local deformation analysis, however, such as the study of penetration from a tornado-borne missile, the properties of lead in HI-TRAC VW and plain concrete in HI-STORM FW are included.

3.3.1 Structural Materials

a. <u>Alloy X</u>

A hypothetical material termed Alloy X is defined for the MPC pressure retaining boundary. The material properties of Alloy X are the least favorable values from the set of candidate alloys. The purpose of a least favorable material definition is to ensure that all structural analyses are conservative, regardless of the actual MPC material. For example, when evaluating the stresses in the MPC, it is conservative to work with the minimum values for yield strength and ultimate strength. This guarantees that the material used for fabrication of the MPC will be of equal or greater strength than the hypothetical material used in the analysis.

Table 3.3.1 lists the numerical values for the material properties of Alloy X versus temperature. These values, taken from the ASME Code, Section II, Part D [3.3.1], are used in all structural analyses. As is shown in Chapter 4, the maximum metal temperature for Alloy X used at or within the Confinement Boundary remains below 1000°F under all service modes. As shown in ASME Code Case N-47-33 (Class 1 Components in Elevated Temperature Service, 2007 Code Cases, Nuclear Components), the strength properties of austenitic stainless steels do not change due to exposure to 1000°F temperature for up to 10,000 hours. Therefore, there is no risk of a significant effect on the mechanical properties of the confinement or boundary material during the short time duration loading. A further description of Alloy X, including the materials from which it is derived, is provided in Appendix 1.A.

HOLTEC INTERNATIONAL COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL REPORT HI-2114830

3-53

Two properties of Alloy X that are not included in Table 3.3.1 are weight density and Poisson's ratio. These properties are assumed constant for all structural analyses, regardless of temperature. The values used are shown in the table below.

PROPERTY	VALUE
Weight Density (lb/in ³)	0.290
Poisson's Ratio	0.30

b. Metamic-HT

Metamic-HT is a composite of nano-particles of aluminum oxide (alumina) and finely ground boron carbide particles dispersed in the metal matrix of pure aluminum. Metamic-HT is the principal constituent material of the HI-STORM FW fuel baskets. Metamic-HT neutron absorber is an enhanced version of the Metamic (classic) product widely used in dry storage fuel baskets [3.1.4, 3.3.2] and spent fuel storage racks [1.2.11]. The enhanced properties of Metamic-HT derive from the strengthening of its aluminum matrix with ultra fine-grained (nano-particle size) alumina (Al₂O₃) particles that anchor the grain boundaries. The strength properties of Metamic-HT have been characterized through a comprehensive test program, and Minimum Guaranteed Values suitable for structural design are archived in [Table 1.2.8]. The Metamic-HT metal matrix composite thus exhibits excellent mechanical strength properties (notably creep resistance) in addition to the proven thermal and neutron absorption properties that are intrinsic to borated aluminum materials. The specific Metamic-HT composition utilized in this FSAR has 10% (min.) B₄C by weight.

Section 1.2.1.4.1 provides detailed information on Metamic-HT. Mechanical properties are provided in Table 1.2.8

c. Carbon Steel, Low-Alloy and Nickel Alloy Steel

The carbon steels in the HI-STORM FW system are SA516 Grade 70, SA515 Grade 70, and SA36. The low alloy steel is SA350-LF3. The material properties of SA516 Grade 70 and SA515 Grade 70 are shown in Tables 3.3.2. The material properties of SA36 are shown in Table 3.3.3. The material properties of SA36 are shown in Table 3.3.6.

Two properties of these steels that are not included in Tables 3.3.2, 3.3.3 and 3.3.6 are weight density and Poisson's ratio. These properties are assumed constant for all structural analyses. The values used are shown in the table below.

3-54

HOLTEC INTERNATIONAL COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL REPORT HI-2114830
PROPERTY	VALUE
Weight Density (lb/in ³)	0.283
Poisson's Ratio	0.30

d. Bolting Materials

Material properties of the bolting materials used in the HI-STORM FW system are given in Table 3.3.4.

e. Weld Material

All weld materials utilized in the welding of the Code components comply with the provisions of the appropriate ASME subsection (e.g., Subsection NB for the MPC enclosure vessel) and Section IX. All non-code welds will be made using weld procedures that meet Section IX of the ASME Code. The minimum tensile strength of the weld wire and filler material (where applicable) will be equal to or greater than the tensile strength of the base metal listed in the ASME Code.

3.3.2 Nonstructural Materials

a. <u>Concrete</u>

The primary function of the plain concrete in the HI-STORM FW storage overpack is shielding. Concrete in the HI-STORM FW overpack is not considered as a structural member, except to withstand compressive, bearing, and penetrant loads. Therefore the mechanical behavior of concrete must be quantified to determine the stresses in the structural members (steel shells surrounding it) under accident conditions. Table 3.3.5 provides the concrete mechanical properties. Allowable, bearing strength in concrete for normal loading conditions is calculated in accordance with ACI 318-05 [3.3.5]. The procedure specified in ASTM C-39 is utilized to verify that the assumed compressive strength will be realized in the actual in-situ pours. Appendix 1.D in the HI-STORM 100 FSAR [3.1.4] provides additional information on the requirements on plain concrete for use in HI-STORM FW storage overpack.

To enhance the shielding performance of the HI-STORM FW storage overpack, high density concrete can be used during fabrication. The permissible range of concrete densities is specified in Table 1.2.5. The structural calculations consider the most conservative density value (i.e., maximum or minimum weight), as appropriate.

HOLTEC INTERNATIONAL COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL REPORT HI-2114830

b. Lead

Lead is not considered as a structural member of the HI-STORM FW system. Its load carrying capacity is neglected in all structural analysis, except in the analysis of a tornado missile strike where it acts as a missile barrier. Applicable mechanical properties of lead are provided in Table 3.3.5.

HOLTEC INTERNATIONAL COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL REPORT HI-2114830

Table 3.3.1

		Al	loy X		
Temp. (Deg. F)	Sy	Su [†]	α	E	
-40	30.0	75.0 (70.0)		28.88	
100	30.0	75.0 (70.0)	8.6	28.12	
150	27.5	73.0 (68.1)	8.8	27.81	
200	25.0	71.0 (66.3)	8.9	27.5	
250	23.7	68.6 (64.05)	9.1	27.25	
300	22.4	66.2 (61.8)	9.2	27.0	
350	21.55	65.3 (60.75)	9.4	26.7	_
400	20.7	64.4 (59.7)	9.5	26.4	
450	20.05	63.9 (59.45)	9.6	26.15	
500	19.4	63.4 (59.2)	9.7	25.9	
550	18.85	63.35 (59.1)	9.8	25.6	
600	18.3	63.3 (59.0)	9.8	25.3	
650	17.8	62.85 (58.6)	9.9	25.05	
700	17.3	62.4 (58.3)	10.0	24.8	
750	16.9	62.1 (57.9)	10.0	24.45	
800	16.5	61.7 (57.6)	10.1	24.1	

ALLOY X MATERIAL PROPERTIES

Definitions:

 $S_v =$ Yield Stress (ksi)

 α = Mean Coefficient of thermal expansion (in./in. per degree F x 10⁻⁶)

 $S_u = Ultimate Stress (ksi)$

 $E = Young's Modulus (psi x 10^6)$

Notes:

1. Source for S_v values is Table Y-1 of [3.3.1].

- 2. Source for S_u values is Table U of [3.3.1].
- 3. Source for α values is Table TE-1 of [3.3.1].

4. Source for E values is material group G in Table TM-1 of [3.3.1].

HOLTEC INTERNATIONAL COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL

REPORT HI-2114830

[†] The ultimate stress of Alloy X is dependent on the product form of the material (i.e., forging vs. plate). Values in parentheses are based on SA-336 forged materials (type F304, F304LN, F316, and F316LN), which are used solely for the one-piece construction MPC lids. All other values correspond to SA-240 plate material.

Table 3.3.2

Temp.	SA516 and SA515, Grade 70							
(Deg. F)	Sy	Su	α	E				
-40	38.0	70.0		29.98				
100	38.0	70.0	6.5	29.26				
150	35.7	70.0	6.6	29.03				
200	34.8	70.0	6.7	28.8				
250	34.2	70.0	6.8	28.55				
300	33.6	70.0	6.9	28.3				
350	33.05	70.0	7.0	28.1				
400	32.5	70.0	7.1	27.9				
450	31.75	70.0	7.2	27.6				
500	31.0	70.0	7.3	27.3				
550	30.05	70.0	7.3	26.9				
600	29.1	70.0	7.4	26.5				
650	28.2	70.0	7.5	26.0				
700	27.2	70.0	7.6	25.5				
750	26.3	69.1	7.7	24.85				

SA516 AND SA515, GRADE 70 MATERIAL PROPERTIES

Definitions:

 $S_y =$ Yield Stress (ksi)

 α = Mean Coefficient of thermal expansion (in./in. per degree F x 10⁻⁶)

S_u = Ultimate Stress (ksi)

 $E = Young's Modulus (psi x 10^6)$

Notes:

- 1. Source for S_y values is Table Y-1 of [3.3.1].
- 2. Source for S_u values is Table U of [3.3.1].
- 3. Source for α values is material group 1 in Table TE-1 of [3.3.1].
- 4. Source for E values is "Carbon steels with C less than or equal to 0.30%" in Table TM-1 of [3.3.1]

HOLTEC INTERNATIONAL COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL REPORT HI-2114830

Table 3.3.3

Temp.	SA35	0-LF3 (SA350-	SA350-LF3 (SA350-LF2)		
(Deg. F)	Sm	Sy	· S _u	E	α
-20	23.3	37.5 (36.0)	70.0	28.22 (29.88)	
100	23.3	37.5 (36.0)	70.0	27.64 (29.26)	6.5
200	22.9 (22.0)	34.3 (33.0)	70.0 (70.0)	27.1 (28.8)	6.7
300	22.1 (21.2)	33.2 (31.8)	70.0 (70.0)	26.7 (28.3)	6.9
400	21.4 (20.5)	32.0 (30.8)	70.0 (70.0)	26.2 (27.9)	7.1
500	20.3 (19.6)	30.4 (29.3)	70.0 (70.0)	25.7 (27.3)	7.3
600	18.8 (18.4)	28.2 (27.6)	70.0 (70.0)	25.1 (26.5)	7.4
700	16.9 (17.2)	25.3 (25.8)	66.5 (70.0)	24.6 (25.5)	7.6

SA350-LF3 AND SA350-LF2 MATERIAL PROPERTIES

Definitions:

- $S_m = Design Stress Intensity (ksi)$
- $S_y =$ Yield Stress (ksi)
- $S_u =$ Ultimate Stress (ksi)
- α = Mean Coefficient of Thermal Expansion (in./in. per degree F x 10⁻⁶)
- E = Young's Modulus (psi x 10⁶)

Notes:

- 1. Source for S_m values is Table 2A of [3.3.1].
- 2. Source for S_y values is Table Y-1 of [3.3.1].
- 3. Source for S_u values is ratio ing S_m values.
- 4. Source for α values is group 1 alloys in Table TE-1 of [3.3.1].
- 5. Source for E values is material group B (for SA350-LF3) and "Carbon steels with C less than or equal to 0.30%" (for SA350-LF2) in Table TM-1 of [3.3.1].
- 6. Values for LF2 are given in parentheses where different from LF3.

HOLTEC INTERNATIONAL COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL

REPORT HI-2114830

Table 3.3.4

SB637-N07718 (less than or equal to 6 inches diameter)								
Temp. (Deg. F)	Sy	S _u :	Е	α	S _m			
-100	150.0	185.0	29.9		50.0			
-20	150.0	185.0	29.43		50.0			
70	150.0	185.0	28.9	7.1	50.0			
100	150.0	185.0	28.76	7.1	50.0			
200	144.0	177.6	28.3	7.2	48.0			
300	140.7	173.5	27.9	7.3	46.9			
400	138.3	170.6	27.5	7.5	46.1			
500	136.8	168.7	27.2	7.6	45.6			
600	135.3	166.9	26.8	7.7	45.1			
	SA193 G	rade B7 (2.5 to	4 inches diam	eter)				
Temp. (Deg. F)	Sy	Su	Е	α	Sm			
100	95.0	115.0	29.46	6.5	31.7			
200	88.5	115.0	29.0	6.7	29.5			
300	85.1	115.0	28.5	6.9	28.4			
400	82.7	115.0	28.0	7.1	27.6			
500	80.1	115.0	27.4	7.3	26.7			
600	77.1	115.0	26.9	7.4	25.7			

BOLTING MATERIAL PROPERTIES

Definitions:

 S_m = Design stress intensity (ksi)

 $S_y =$ Yield Stress (ksi)

 α = Mean Coefficient of thermal expansion (in./in. per degree F x 10⁻⁶)

S_u = Ultimate Stress (ksi)

E = Young's Modulus (psi x 10⁶)

Notes:

1. Source for S_m values is Table 4 of [3.3.1].

2. Source for S_y values is ratioing design stress intensity values and Table Y-1 of [3.3.1], as applicable.

3. Source for S_u values is ratio design stress intensity values and Table U of [3.3.1], as applicable.

4. Source for α values is Tables TE-1 and TE-4 of [3.3.1], as applicable.

5. Source for E values is Tables TM-1 and TM-4 of [3.3.1], as applicable.

HOLTEC INTERNATIONAL COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL

REPORT HI-2114830

3-60

Rev. 2

HI-STORM FW FSAR -Non-Proprietary Revision 2, June 24, 2013

Table 3.3.4 (CONTINUED)

Temp. (Deg. F)	Sy	Su	E	α	Sm			
SA193 Grade B8 Class 2 (less than or equal to 2 inches diameter)								
100	75.0	95.0	28.12	8.6				
200	62.5	89.93	27.5	8.9				
300	56.0	83.85	27.0	9.2				
400	51.75	81.07	26.4	9.5				
500	48.5	80.31	25.9	9.7				
600	46.0	80.31	25.3	9.8				

BOLTING MATERIAL PROPERTIES

Definitions:

 S_m = Design stress intensity (ksi)

 $S_y =$ Yield Stress (ksi)

 α = Mean Coefficient of thermal expansion (in./in. per degree F x 10⁻⁶)

S_u = Ultimate Stress (ksi)

 $E = Young's Modulus (psi x 10^6)$

Notes:

1. Source for S_v values is ratioing S_v values of SA193 B8 Class 1 bolt material obtained from Table Y-1 of [3.3.1].

2. Source for S_u values is ratio S_u values of SA193 B8 Class 1 bolt material obtained from Table U of [3.3.1].

3. Source for α values is group 3 alloys in Table TE-1 of [3.3.1].

4. Source for E values is material group G in Table TM-1 of [3.3.1].

HOLTEC INTERNATIONAL COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL

REPORT HI-2114830

	T	able 3.3.5						
CONCRETE AND LEAD MECHANICAL PROPERTIES								
PROPERTY	PROPERTY VALUE							
CONCRETE:								
Compressive Strength (psi)			3,3	00 psi				
Nominal Density (lb/ft ³)			150 lb/	cubic feet				
Allowable Bearing Stress (psi)			1.	,543 [†]				
Allowable Axial Compression (psi)			1,	,042†				
Allowable Flexure, extreme fiber tension (psi)		158****						
Allowable Flexure, extreme fiber compression (psi)			1,	,543 ⁺				
Mean Coefficient of Thermal Expansion (in/in/deg. F)			5.5	5E-06				
Modulus of Elasticity (psi)		57,00	0 (compress	sive strength	(psi)) ^{1/2}			
LEAD:	-40°F	-20°F	70°F	200°F	300°F	600°F		
Yield Strength (psi)	700	680	640	490	380	20		
Modulus of Elasticity (ksi)	2.4E+3	2.4E+3	2.3E+3	2.0E+3	1.9E+3	1.5E+3		
Coefficient of Thermal Expansion (in/in/deg. F)	15.6E-6 15.7E-6 16.1E-6 16.6E-6 17.2E-6 20.2E-6							
Poisson's Ratio			().40				
Density (lb/cubic ft.)				708				

Notes:

1. Concrete allowable stress values based on ACI 318-05.

2. Lead properties are from [3.3.7].

^{††}No credit for tensile strength of concrete is taken in the calculations.

HOLTEC INTERNATIONAL COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL REPORT HI-2114830

[†] Values listed correspond to concrete compressive stress = 3,300 psi.

		Table 3.	3.6						
SA36 MATERIAL PROPERTIES									
Temp. SA36									
(Deg. F)	Sy	Su	α	E					
-40	36.0	58.0		29.98					
100	36.0	58.0	6.5	29.26					
150	33.8	58.0	6.6	29.03					
200	33.0	58.0	6.7	28.8					
250	32.4	58.0	6.8	28.55					
300	31.8	58.0	6.9	28.3					
350	31.3	58.0	7.0	28.1					
400	30.8	58.0	7.1	27.9					
450	30.05	58.0	7.2	27.6					
500	29.3	58.0	7.3	27.3					
550	28.45	58.0	7.3	26.9					
600	27.6	58.0	7.4	26.5					
650	26.7	58.0	7.5	26.0					
700	25.8	58.0	7.6	25.5					

Definitions:

 $S_y =$ Yield Stress (ksi)

- α = Mean Coefficient of thermal expansion (in./in./⁰F x 10⁻⁶)
- $S_u = Ultimate Stress (ksi)$
- $E = Young's Modulus (psi x 10^6)$

Notes:

- 1. Source for S_y values is Table Y-1 of [3.3.1].
- 2. Source for S_u values is Table U of [3.3.1].
- 3. Source for α values is group 1 alloys in Table TE-1 of [3.3.1].
- 4. Source for E values is "Carbon steels with C less than or equal to 0.30%" in Table TM-1 of [3.3.1].

HOLTEC INTERNATIONAL COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL

REPORT HI-2114830

3.4 GENERAL STANDARDS FOR CASKS

3.4.1 Chemical and Galvanic Reactions

Chapter 8 provides discussions on chemical and galvanic reactions, material compatibility and operating environments. Section 8.12 provides a summary of compatibility all HI-STORM FW system materials with the operating environment.

3.4.2 Positive Closure

There are no quick-connect/disconnect ports in the Confinement Boundary of the HI-STORM FW system. The only access to the MPC is through the storage overpack lid, which weighs over 10 tons (see Table 3.2.5). The lid is fastened to the storage overpack with large bolts. Inadvertent opening of the storage overpack is not feasible because opening a storage overpack requires mobilization of special tools and heavy-load lifting equipment.

3.4.3 Lifting Devices

3.4.3.1 Identification of Lifting Devices and Required Safety Factors

The safety of the lifting and handling operations involving HI-STORM FW system components is considered in this section. In particular, the compliance of the appurtenances integral to the cask components used in the lifting operations to NUREG-0612, Reg. Guide 3.61, and the ASME Code is evaluated.

The following design features of Threaded Anchor Locations (TALs) are relevant to their stress analysis:

i. All TALs consist of vertically tapped penetrations in the solid metal blocks. For example, the HI-STORM FW overpack body and overpack lid (like all HI-STORM models) have tapped holes in the "anchor blocks" that are engaged for lifting. The loaded MPC is lifted at eight threaded penetrations in the top lid as depicted on the licensing drawings in Section 1.5. However, the MPC lifting analysis in this section conservatively takes credit for only 4 TALs. Likewise, eight vertically tapped holes in the top flange provide the lift points for HI-TRAC VW transfer cask.

Specifically, trunnions are not used in the HI-STORM FW system components because of the radiation streaming paths introduced by their presence and high stresses produced at the trunnion's root by the cantilever action during lifting.

ii. Operations involving loaded HI-STORM FW cask components involve handling evolutions in the vertical orientation. While the lifting devices used by a specific nuclear site shall be custom engineered to meet the architectural constraints of the site, all lifting devices are required to engage the tapped connection points using a vertical tension member such as a threaded rod.

HOLTEC INTERNATIONAL COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL

REPORT HI-2114830

Thus, the loading on the cask during lifting is purely vertical.

iii. There are no rotation trunnions in the HI-STORM FW components. All components are upended and downended at the nuclear plant site using "cradles" of the same design used at the factory (viz., the Holtec Manufacturing Division) during their manufacturing.

The stress analysis of the HI-STORM FW components, therefore, involves applying a vertical load equal to D^*/n at each of the n TAL locations. Thus, for the case of the HI-STORM FW overpack, n = 4 (four "anchor blocks" as shown in the licensing drawings in Section 1.5).

The stress limits for individual components are as follows:

- i. Lift points (MPC and HI-TRAC VW): The stress in the threads must be the lesser of $1/3^{rd}$ of the material's yield strength and $1/10^{th}$ of its ultimate strength pursuant to NUREG-0612 and Reg. Guide 3.61.
- ii. Lift points (HI-STORM FW): The stress in the threads must be less than $1/3^{rd}$ of the material's yield strength pursuant to Reg. Guide 3.61. This acceptance criterion is consistent with the stress limits used for the lifting evaluation of the HI-STORM 100 overpack in [3.1.4].
- iii. Balance of the components: The maximum primary stress (membrane plus bending) must be below the Level A service condition limit using ASME Code, Section III, Subsection NF (2007 issue) as the reference code.

To incorporate an additional margin of safety in the reported safety factors, the following assumptions are made:

- i. As the system description in Chapter 1 indicates, the heights of the MPCs, HI-STORM FW and HI-TRAC VW are variable. Further, the quantity of lead shielding installed in HI-TRAC VW and the density of concrete can be increased to maximize shielding. All lift point capacity evaluations are performed using the maximum possible weights for each component, henceforth referred to as the "heaviest weight configuration". Because a great majority of site applications will utilize lower weight components (due to shorter fuel length and other architectural limitations such as restricted crane capacity or DAS slab load bearing capacity, or lack of floor space in the loading pit), there will be an additional margin of safety in the lifting point's capacity at specific plant sites.
- ii. All material yield strength and ultimate strength values used are the minimum from the ASME Code. Actual yield and tensile data for manufactured steel usually have up to 20% higher values.

The stress analysis of the lifting operation is carried out using the load combination D+H, where H is the "handling load". The term D denotes the dead load. Quite obviously, D must be taken as the bounding value of the dead load of the component being lifted. In all lifting analyses considered in

3-65

this document, the handling load H is assumed to be 0.15D. In other words, the inertia amplifier during the lifting operation is assumed to be equal to 0.15g. This value is consistent with the guidelines of the Crane Manufacturer's Association of America (CMAA), Specification No. 70, 1988, Section 3.3, which stipulates a dynamic factor equal to 0.15 for slowly executed lifts. Thus, the "apparent dead load" of the component for stress analysis purposes is $D^* = 1.15D$. Unless otherwise stated, all lifting analyses in this FSAR use the "apparent dead load", D^* , as the lifted load.

Unless explicitly stated otherwise, all analyses of lifting operations presented in this FSAR follow the load definition and allowable stress provisions of the foregoing. Consistent with the practice adopted throughout this chapter, results are presented in dimensionless form, as safety factors, defined as

Safety Factor, $\beta = \frac{\text{Allowable Stress}}{\text{Computed Stress}}$

In the following subsections, the lifting device stress analyses performed to demonstrate compliance with regulations are presented. Summary results are presented for each of the analyses.

3.4.3.2 Analysis of Lifting Scenarios

In the following, the safety analyses of the HI-STORM FW components under the following lifting conditions are summarized.

a. MPC Lifts

The governing condition for the MPC lift is when it is being raised or lowered in a radiation shielded space defined by the HI-TRAC VW or HI-STORM FW stack. In this condition, as stated in Section 3.4.3.1, only four tapped holes in the MPC lid (Alloy X material) are credited to carry the weight.

The criteria derived from NUREG-0612, Reg. Guide 3.61, and the ASME Code Level A condition, stated earlier, apply. The stress analysis is carried out in two parts.

- i. Strength analysis of the TALs (connection points) using classical strength-of-materials.
- ii. A finite element analysis of the MPC as a cylindrical vessel with the weight of the fuel and basket applied on its baseplate which along with the weight of the Confinement Boundary metal is equilibrated by the reaction loads at the our lift points.

The primary stress intensities must meet the Level A stress limits for "NB" Class 3 plate and shell structures.

Case (i): Stress Analysis of MPC Threaded Anchor Locations (TALs)

Per Table 3.2.8, the maximum weight of a loaded MPC is

HOLTEC INTERNATIONAL COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL

REPORT HI-2114830

D = 116,400 lb

Per the above, the apparent dead load of the MPC during handling operations is

 $D^* = 1.15 \text{ x } D = 133,860 \text{ lb}$

The MPC lid has 8 TALs as shown on the drawings in Section 1.5, but as stated in Section 3.4.3.1, only four tapped holes in the MPC lid are credited to carry the weight. Therefore, the lifted load per TAL is equal to

$$\frac{D^*}{4} = 33,465lb$$

Per Machinery's Handbook [3.4.12], the shear area of the internal threads (1 3/4" - 5UNC x 3. 0" min length.) at each TAL is computed as

 $A = 11.8 \text{ in}^2$

Finally, the shear stress on the TALs is computed as follows

$$\tau = \frac{D^*}{4A} = 2,836\,psi$$

The MPC lid is made from Alloy X material, whose mechanical properties are listed in Table 3.3.1. Based on a design temperature of 600°F (Table 2.2.3), and assuming the yield and ultimate strengths in shear to be 60% of the corresponding tensile strengths, the allowable stress in the threads is determined as follows

$$Sa = 0.6 \times \min\left(\frac{Sy}{3}, \frac{Su}{10}\right) = 3,540 \, psi$$

Therefore, the safety factor against shear failure of the TALs in the MPC lid is

$$SF = \frac{Sa}{\tau} = 1.248$$

Case (ii): Finite Element Analysis of MPC Enclosure Vessel

The stress analysis of the MPC Enclosure Vessel under normal handling conditions is performed using ANSYS [3.4.1]. The finite element model, which is shown in Figure 3.4.1, is ¹/₄ -symmetric, and it represents the maximum height MPC as defined by Tables 3.2.1 and 3.2.2. The maximum

3-67

HOLTEC INTERNATIONAL COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL REPORT HI-2114830

height MPC is analyzed because it is also the heaviest MPC. The key attributes of the ANSYS finite element model of the MPC Enclosure Vessel are described in Subsection 3.1.3.2.

The loads are statically applied to the finite element model in the following manner. The self weight of the Enclosure Vessel is simulated by applying a constant acceleration of 1.15g in the vertical direction. The apparent dead weight of the stored fuel inside the MPC cavity (which includes a 15% dynamic amplifier) is accounted for by applying a uniformly distributed pressure of 18.8 psi on the top surface of the MPC baseplate. The amplified weight of the fuel basket and the fuel basket shims is applied as a ring load on the MPC baseplate at a radius equal to the half-width of the fuel basket cross section. The magnitude of the ring load is equal to 100.4 lbf/in. All internal surfaces of the MPC storage cavity are also subjected to an internal pressure of 95 psig, which exceeds the normal operating pressure per Table 4.4.5. Finally, the model is constrained by fixing one node on the top surface of the ¹/₄-symmetric MPC lid, which coincides with the TAL. Symmetric boundary conditions are applied to the two vertical symmetry planes. The boundary conditions and the applied loads are graphically depicted in Figure 3.4.28.

The resulting stress intensity distribution in the Enclosure Vessel under the applied handling loads is shown in Figure 3.4.2. Figures 3.4.29 and 3.4.30 plot the thru-thickness variation of the stress intensity at the baseplate center and at the baseplate-to-shell juncture, respectively. The maximum primary and secondary stress intensities in the MPC Enclosure Vessel are compared with the applicable stress intensity limits from Subsection NB of the ASME Code [3.4.4]. The allowable stress intensities are taken at 450°F for the MPC shell and MPC lids, 300°F for the baseplate, and 250°F at the baseplate-to-shell juncture. These temperatures bound the operating temperatures for these parts under normal operating conditions (Table 4.4.3). The maximum calculated stress intensities and the corresponding safety factors are summarized in Table 3.4.1.

The shear stress in the MPC lid-to-shell weld under normal handling conditions is independently calculated, as shown below.

Per Table 3.2.8, the maximum weight of a loaded MPC is

 $W_{MPC} = 116,400$ lb

The diameter and weight of the MPC lid assembly are

D = 74.5 in

 $W_{lid} = 11,500 \text{ lb}$

From Table 4.4.5, the bounding pressure inside the MPC cavity under normal operating conditions is

P = 95 psig

Thus, the total force acting on the MPC lid-to-shell weld is

HOLTEC INTERNATIONAL COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL

REPORT HI-2114830

Rev. 2

HI-STORM FW FSAR -Non-Proprietary Revision 2, June 24, 2013

$$F = 1.15 \cdot \left(W_{MIX} - W_{lint} \right) + P \cdot \left(\frac{\pi \cdot D^2}{4} \right) = 534,755lb$$

which includes a 15% dynamic amplifier. The MPC lid-to-shell weld is a $\frac{3}{4}$ " partial groove weld, which has an effective area equal to

$$A = \pi \cdot D \cdot \left(t_w - \frac{1}{8} in \right) \cdot 0.8 = 117.0 in^2$$

where t_w is the weld size (= 0.75in). The calculated weld area includes a strength reduction factor of 0.8 per ISG-15 [3.4.17]. Thus, the average shear stress in the MPC lid-to-shell weld is

$$\tau = \frac{F}{A} = 4,571 \text{psi}$$

The MPC Enclosure Vessel is made from Alloy X material, whose mechanical properties are listed in Table 3.3.1. Based on a temperature of 450°F (Table 4.4.3), and assuming that the weld strength is equal to the base metal ultimate strength, the allowable shear stress in the weld under normal conditions is

$$\tau_{a} = 0.3 \times S_{u} = 19,170 \text{psi}$$

Therefore, the safety factor against shear failure of the MPC lid-to-shell weld is

$$SF = \frac{\tau_a}{\tau} = 4.19$$

b. Heaviest Weight HI-TRAC VW Lift

The HI-TRAC VW transfer cask is at its heaviest weight when it is being lifted out of the loading pit with the MPC full of fuel and water and the MPC lid lying on it for shielding protection (Table 3.2.8). The threaded lift points provide for the anchor locations for lifting.

The stress analysis of the transfer cask consists of two steps:

- i. A strength evaluation of the tapped connection points to ensure that it will not undergo yielding at 3 times D* and failure at 10 times D*.
- ii. A strength evaluation of the HI-TRAC VW vessel using strength of materials formula to establish the stress field under D*. The primary membrane plus primary bending stresses throughout the HI-TRAC VW body and the bottom lid shall be below the Level A stress

3-69

HOLTEC INTERNATIONAL COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL REPORT HI-2114830

limits for "NF" Class 3 plate and shell structures.

Case (i): Stress Analysis of HI-TRAC VW Threaded Anchor Locations (TALs)

Per Table 3.2.8, the maximum lifted weight of a loaded HI-TRAC VW is

D = 270,000 lb

Per the above, the apparent dead load of the HI-TRAC VW during handling operations is

D* = 1.15 x D = 310,500 lb

The HI-TRAC VW top flange has 8 TALs as shown on the drawing in Section 1.5. Therefore, the lifted load per TAL is equal to

$$\frac{D^*}{8} = 38.813/b$$

Per Machinery's Handbook [3.4.12], the shear area of the internal threads (2 1/4" - 4.5UNC x 2.25" min length.) at each TAL is

 $A = 12.228 \text{ in}^2$

Finally, the shear stress on the TALs is computed as follows

$$\tau = \frac{D^*}{8A} = 3,174 \, psi$$

The HI-TRAC VW top flange is made from SA-350 LF3 material, whose mechanical properties are listed in Table 3.3.3. Based on a design temperature of 400°F (Table 2.2.3), and assuming the yield and ultimate strengths in shear to be 60% of the corresponding tensile strengths, the allowable stress in the threads is determined as follows

$$Sa = 0.6 \times \min\left(\frac{Sy}{3}, \frac{Su}{10}\right) = 4,200 \, psi$$

Therefore, the safety factor against shear failure of the TALs in the HI-TRAC VW top flange is

$$SF = \frac{Sa}{\tau} = 1.323$$

HOLTEC INTERNATIONAL COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL REPORT HI-2114830

Case (ii): Stress Analysis of HI-TRAC VW Body

The stress analysis of the HI-TRAC VW steel structure during lifting operations is performed using strength of materials. All structural members in the load path are evaluated for the maximum lifted weight (Table 3.2.8). In particular, the following stresses are calculated:

- the shear stress in the welds between the top flange and the inner and outer shells
- the primary membrane stress in the inner and outer shells
- the tensile stress in the bottom lid bolts
- the primary bending stress in the bottom lid

To determine the bending stress in the bottom lid, the weight of the loaded MPC (Table 3.2.8) plus the weight of the water inside the HI-TRAC VW cavity (Table 3.2.4) is applied as a uniformly distributed pressure on the top surface of the lid. The bending stress is calculated at the center of the bottom lid assuming that the lid is simply supported at the bolt circle diameter. The calculated stresses are compared with the Level A stress limits for "NF" Class 3 plate and shell structures. The detailed calculations are documented in [3.4.13]. Table 3.4.2 summarizes the stress analysis results for the HI-TRAC VW steel structure under the maximum lifted load.

c. HI-STORM FW Overpack Related Lifts

Two related lift conditions are:

- i. HI-STORM FW loaded with the heaviest MPC and closure lid installed being lifted (heaviest weight configuration).
- ii. HI-STORM FW lid being lifted (heaviest weight configuration)

Case (i): HI-STORM FW Lift Using Anchor Block Connections

Calculations to establish the margin of safety in the TALs and the HI-STORM FW overpack's steel structure are summarized below.

Per Table 3.2.8, the maximum weight of a loaded HI-STORM FW is

D = 425,700 lb

Per the above, the apparent dead load of the HI-STORM FW during handling operations is

D* = 1.15 x D = 489,555 lb

HOLTEC INTERNATIONAL COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL

REPORT HI-2114830

The HI-STORM FW overpack has 4 TALs as shown on the drawing in Section 1.5. Therefore, the lifted load per TAL is equal to

$$\frac{D^*}{4} = 122,389lb$$

Per Machinery's Handbook [3.4.12], the shear area of the internal threads (3 1/4" - 4UNC x 3 1/4" min length.) at each TAL is

$$A = 24.1 \text{ in}^2$$

Finally, the shear stress on the TALs is computed as follows

$$\tau = \frac{D^*}{4A} = 5,072 \, psi$$

The HI-STORM FW anchor blocks are made from SA-350 LF2 material, whose mechanical properties are listed in Table 3.3.3. Based on a design temperature of 350°F (Table 2.2.3), and assuming the yield strength in shear to be 60% of the corresponding tensile yield strength, the allowable stress in the threads is determined as follows

$$Sa = 0.6 \times \frac{Sy}{3} = 6,260 \, psi$$

Therefore, the safety factor against shear failure of the TALs in the HI-STORM FW overpack is

$$SF = \frac{Sa}{\tau} = 1.234$$

The stress analysis of the overpack body under normal handling conditions is performed using ANSYS [3.4.1]. The finite element model, which is shown in Figure 3.4.3, is ¼-symmetric, and it represents the maximum height HI-STORM FW as defined by Tables 3.2.1 and 3.2.2. The concrete density is also maximized (Table 3.2.5) in the ANSYS model. The key attributes of the ANSYS finite element model of the HI-STORM FW overpack are described in Subsection 3.1.3.1.

The self weight of the overpack is simulated by applying a constant acceleration of 1.15g in the vertical direction. The apparent dead weight of the fully loaded MPC (which includes a 15% dynamic amplifier) is accounted for by applying a uniformly distributed pressure of 23.8 on the top surface of the HI-STORM FW baseplate. Finally, the model is constrained by fixing four nodes on the top surface of the HI-STORM FW, which coincide with the TALs. Symmetric boundary conditions are applied to the two vertical symmetry planes. The boundary conditions and the applied loads are graphically depicted in Figure 3.4.26.

3-72

HOLTEC INTERNATIONAL COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL REPORT HI-2114830 The resulting stress distribution in the overpack under the applied handling loads is shown in Figure 3.4.4. The maximum primary stresses in the HI-STORM overpack body are compared with the applicable stress limits from Subsection NF of the ASME Code [3.4.2]. The allowable stresses for the load-bearing members are taken at 300°F, which exceeds the maximum operating temperature for the overpack under normal operating conditions (Table 4.4.3). The maximum stresses and the corresponding safety factors are summarized in Table 3.4.3.

Case (ii): Lid Lift Analysis

The weight of the HI-STORM FW lid is dependent on the shielding concrete's density. The maximum possible weight of the lid is provided in Table 3.2.5. The HI-STORM FW lid is lifted using the four equally spaced TALs on the lid top surface, which are shown on the licensing drawing in Section 1.5. Calculations to establish the margin of safety in the TALs and the lid's steel structure are summarized below.

Per Table 3.2.5, the maximum weight of the HI-STORM FW lid is

D = 23,300 lb

Per the above, the apparent dead load of the HI-STORM FW lid during handling operations is

 $D^* = 1.15 \text{ x } D = 26,795 \text{ lb}$

The HI-STORM FW lid has 4 TALs as shown on the drawing in Section 1.5. Therefore, the lifted load per TAL is equal to

$$\frac{D^*}{4} = 6,699$$
lb

Per Machinery's Handbook [3.4.12], the shear area of the internal threads ($1 \frac{1}{2}$ - 6UNC x 1" min length.) at each TAL is

 $A = 3.567 \text{ in}^2$

Finally, the shear stress on the TALs is computed as follows

$$\tau = \frac{D^*}{4A} = 1878 \, psi$$

REPORT HI-2114830

HOLTEC INTERNATIONAL COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL

3-73

The HI-STORM FW lid anchor blocks are made from carbon steel material, whose yield and ultimate strengths at 450°F (Table 2.2.3) are conservatively input as 15,000 psi and 40,000 psi, respectively. Assuming the yield and ultimate strengths in shear to be 60% of the corresponding tensile strengths, the allowable stress in the threads is determined as follows

Sa =
$$0.6 \times \min\left(\frac{Sy}{3}, \frac{Su}{10}\right) = 2,400$$
psi

Therefore, the safety factor against shear failure of the TALs in the HI-STORM FW lid is

$$SF = \frac{Sa}{\tau} = 1.278$$

The global stress analysis of the overpack lid under normal handling conditions is performed using ANSYS [3.4.1]. Figure 3.4.5 shows the finite element model of the lid, which incorporates the maximum concrete density (Table 3.2.5). The key attributes of the ANSYS finite element model of the HI-STORM FW lid are described in Subsection 3.1.3.1.

The self weight of the overpack lid is simulated by applying a constant acceleration of 1.15g in the vertical direction. The model is constrained by fixing four nodes on the top surface of the HI-STORM FW lid, which coincide with the TALs.

The resulting stress distribution in the steel structure of the overpack lid under the applied handling load is shown in Figure 3.4.6. The maximum stresses and the corresponding safety factors are summarized in Table 3.4.4. For conservatism, the maximum calculated stress at any point on the lid, including secondary stress contributions, is compared against the primary membrane and primary bending stress limits per Subsection NF of the ASME Code for Level A conditions. The allowable stresses are taken at 300°F, which exceeds the maximum operating temperature for the overpack top lid under normal operating conditions.

3.4.3.3 Safety Evaluation of Lifting Scenarios

As can be seen from the above, the computed factors of safety have a large margin over the allowable (of 1.0) in every case. In the actual fabricated hardware, the factors of safety will likely be much greater because of the fact that the actual material strength properties are generally substantially greater than the Code minimums. Minor variations in manufacturing, on the other hand, may result in a small subtraction from the above computed factors of safety. A part 72.48 safety evaluation will be required if the cumulative effect of manufacturing deviation and use of the CMTR (or CoC) material strength in a manufactured hardware renders a factor of safety to fall below the above computed value. Otherwise, a part 72.48 evaluation is not necessary. The above criterion applies to all lift calculations covered in this FSAR.

HOLTEC INTERNATIONAL COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL REPORT HI-2114830

3.4.4 Heat

The thermal evaluation of the HI-STORM FW system is reported in Chapter 4.

a. Summary of Pressures and Temperatures

Design pressures and design temperatures for all conditions of storage are listed in Tables 2.2.1 and 2.2.3, respectively.

b. Differential Thermal Expansion

The effect of differential thermal expansion among the constituent components in the HI-STORM FW system is considered in Chapter 4 wherein the temperatures necessary to perform the differential thermal expansion analyses for the MPC in the HI-STORM FW and HI-TRAC VW casks are computed. The material presented in Section 4.4 demonstrates that a constraint to free expansion due to differential growth between discrete components of the HI-STORM FW system (e.g., storage overpack and enclosure vessel) will not develop under any operating condition.

i. Normal Hot Environment

Results presented in Section 4.4 demonstrate that initial gaps between the HI-STORM FW storage overpack or the HI-TRAC VW transfer cask and the MPC canister, and between the MPC canister and the fuel basket, will not close due to thermal expansion of the system components normal operating conditions.

The clearances between the MPC basket and canister structure, as well as between the MPC shell and storage overpack or HI-TRAC VW inside surface, are shown in Section 4.4 to be sufficient to preclude a temperature induced interference from differential thermal expansions under normal operating conditions.

ii. <u>Fire Accident</u>

It is shown in Chapter 4 that the fire accident has a small effect on the MPC temperatures because of the short duration of the fire accidents and the large thermal inertia of the storage overpack. Therefore, a structural evaluation of the MPC under the postulated fire event is not required. The conclusions reached in item (i) above are also appropriate for the fire accident with the MPC housed in the storage overpack. Analysis of fire accident temperatures of the MPC housed within the HI-TRAC VW for thermal expansion is unnecessary, as the HI-TRAC VW, directly exposed to the fire, expands to increase the gap between the HI-TRAC VW and MPC.

As expected, the external surfaces of the HI-STORM FW storage overpack that are directly exposed to the fire event experience maximum rise in temperature. The outer shell and top plate in the top lid are the external surfaces that are in direct contact with heated air from fire. Table 4.6.2 provides the maximum temperatures attained at the key locations in HI-STORM FW storage overpack under the

HOLTEC INTERNATIONAL COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL REPORT HI-2114830

postulated fire event.

The following conclusions are evident from the above table.

- The maximum metal temperature of the carbon steel shell most directly exposed to the combustion air is well below 700°F (Table 2.2.3 applicable short-term temperature limit). 700°F is the permissible temperature limit in the ASME Code for the outer shell material.
- The bulk temperature of concrete is well below the normal condition temperature limit of 300°F specified in Table 2.2.3. ACI-349-85 [3.3.6] permits 350°F as the short-term temperature limit; the shielding concrete in the HI-STORM FW overpack. As the detailed information in Section 4.6 shows, the radial extent in the concrete where the local temperature exceeds 350°F begins at the outer shell/concrete interface and ends in less than one-inch. Therefore, the potential loss in the shielding material's effectiveness is less than 4% of the concrete shielding mass in the overpack annulus.
- The metal temperature of the inner shell does not exceed 300°F at any location, which is well below the accident condition temperature specified in Table 2.2.3 for the inner shell.
- The presence of a vented space at the top of the overpack body ensures that there will be no pressure buildup in the concrete annulus due to the evaporation of vapor and gaseous matter from the shielding concrete.

Thus, it is concluded that the postulated fire event will not jeopardize the structural integrity of the HI-STORM FW overpack or significantly diminish its shielding effectiveness.

The above conclusions, as relevant, also apply to the HI-TRAC VW fire considered in Chapter 4. Water jacket over-pressurization is prevented by the pressure relief devices. The non-structural effects of loss of water have been evaluated in Chapter 5 and shown to meet regulatory limits. Therefore, it is concluded that the postulated fire event will not cause a state of non-compliance with the regulations to materialize.

3.4.4.1 Safety Analysis

Calculations of the stresses and displacements in the different components of the HI-STORM FW system from the effects of mechanical load case assembled in Table 3.1.1 for the MPC, the HI-STORM FW storage overpack and the HI-TRAC VW transfer cask are presented in the following. The purpose of the analyses summarized herein is to provide the necessary assurance that there will be no unacceptable risk of criticality, unacceptable release of radioactive material, unacceptable radiation levels, or impairment of ready retrievability of fuel from the MPC (for normal and offnormal conditions of storage) and the MPC from the HI-STORM FW storage overpack or from the HI-TRAC VW transfer cask.

HOLTEC INTERNATIONAL COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL

REPORT HI-2114830

Because many of the analyses must be performed for a particular ISFSI to demonstrate the acceptability of site-specific loads under the provisions of 10CFR72.212, the analyses presented here also set down the acceptable methodologies. Accordingly, the analysis methodologies are configured to exaggerate the severity of response. Also, because the weight and height of all three components (overpack, MPC, and HI-TRAC VW) can vary between specified ranges (see tables in Section 3.2), each analysis is carried out for the dimensional and weight condition of the component that maximizes response. Thus, for example, the seismic stability analysis of the loaded HI-STORM FW (Load Case 2 in Table 3.1.1) is performed for the case of maximum height, but the stability under the impact of a large tornado missile (Load Case 3) is analyzed assuming maximum height and minimum weight (MPC is assumed to contain only one fuel assembly).

Each load case in Table 3.1.1 is considered sequentially and all affected components are analyzed to determine the factors of safety.

All factors of safety reported in this FSAR utilize nominal dimensions and minimum material strengths. Actual factors of safety in the manufactured hardware are apt to be considerably larger than those reported herein chiefly because of the actual material strengths being much greater than the values used in the safety analyses. A part 72.48 safety assessment will be required if the combined effect of the actual material strength and manufacturing deviation produces a lower safety factor for a design basis loading than that referenced in the safety evaluation in this FSAR.

3.4.4.1.1 Load Case 1: Moving Floodwater

The object of the analysis is to determine the maximum floodwater velocity that a loaded HI-STORM FW on the ISFSI pad can withstand before tipping over or sliding. The flood data for the ISFSI shall be based on a 40-year (minimum) return flood. The kinematic stability analysis consists of writing static equilibrium equations for tipping and sliding.

The flood condition subjects the HI-STORM FW system to external pressure, together with a horizontal load due to water velocity. Because the HI-STORM FW storage overpack is equipped with ventilation openings, the hydrostatic pressure from flood submergence acts only on the MPC. As stated in Subsection 2.2.3, the design external pressure for the MPC bounds the hydrostatic pressure from flood submergence.

The water velocity associated with flood produces a horizontal drag force, which may act to cause sliding or tip-over. In accordance with the provisions of ANSI/ANS 57.9, the acceptable upper bound flood velocity, V, must provide a minimum factor of safety of 1.1 against overturning and sliding.

The overturning horizontal force, F, due to hydraulic drag, is given by the classical formula:

$$F = Cd A V^*$$
 [Equation 1]

where:

- $V^* =$ velocity head = $\frac{\rho V^2}{2g}$ (ρ is water weight density, and g is acceleration due to gravity).
- A = projected area of the HI-STORM FW cylinder perpendicular to the fluid velocity vector, equal to D times h, where h is the height of the floodwater.
- Cd = drag coefficient

The value of Cd for flow past a cylinder at Reynolds number above 5E+05 is given as 0.5 in the literature (viz. Hoerner, Fluid Dynamics, 1965).

The drag force tending to cause HI-STORM FW's sliding is opposed by the friction force, which is given by

$$F_f = \mu W^*$$
 [Equation 2]

where:

 μ = limiting value of the friction coefficient at the HI-STORM FW/ISFSI pad interface is assumed to be equal to 0.53 (the NRC-approved value in Docket No. 72-1014).

 $W^* =$ apparent (buoyant) weight of HI-STORM FW with an empty MPC.

i. <u>Sliding Factor of Safety</u>

The factor of safety against sliding, β_1 , is given by

$$\beta_{I} = \frac{F_{f}}{F} = \frac{\mu W^{*}}{Cd A V^{*}} = \frac{2g\mu W^{*}}{Cd(Dh)\rho V^{2}}$$
[Equation 3]

The factor of safety, β_1 , must be greater than 1.1. For g = 32.2 ft/sec², Cd = 0.5, and ρ = 62.4 lbf/ft³, the maximum value of V as a function of the floodwater height h is given by

$$V = \sqrt{\frac{1.876\mu W^*}{Dh}}$$
 [Equation 4]

HOLTEC INTERNATIONAL COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL REPORT HI-2114830

Rev. 2

3-78

HI-STORM FW FSAR -Non-Proprietary Revision 2, June 24, 2013

ii. Overturning Factor of Safety

For determining the margin of safety against overturning, β_2 , the cask is assumed to pivot about a fixed point located at the outer edge of the contact circle at the interface between HI-STORM FW and the ISFSI. The overturning moment due to the hydraulic force F_T is balanced by a restoring moment from the buoyant weight acting at radius D/2.

Overturning moment, $M_0 = Fh/2$ where F is given by Equation 1 above.

Restoring moment,
$$M_r = W^* D/2$$

[Equation 5]

For stability against tipping $M_o \leq M_r$

or
$$Fh \le W^*D$$

Hence the factor of safety against overturning is

$$\beta_2 = \frac{W^*D}{Fh} = \frac{W^*D}{CdAV^*h} = \frac{2gW^*}{Cdh^2\rho V^2}$$
 [Equation 6]

 β_2 must be greater than 1.1. For g = 32.2 ft/sec², Cd = 0.5, and ρ = 62.4 lbf/ft³, the maximum value of V as a function of the floodwater height h is given by

$$V = \frac{\sqrt{1.876W^*}}{h}$$
 [Equation 7]

The smaller of the value of V from Equations 4 and 7 defines the maximum permissible flood velocity for the site. For the HI-STORM FW system, Equation 4 governs since the coefficient of friction (μ) is less than the smallest value of D/h for the limiting overpack geometry (maximum height). The numerical value of V is computed as follows:

From Tables 3.2.1 and 3.2.2 and the drawings in Section 1.5, the diameter and maximum height of the overpack are

D = 139 in = 11.6 ft

h = 240 in = 20.0 ft

From Tables 3.2.3 and 3.2.5, the minimum weight of the HI-STORM FW overpack with an empty MPC (based on Ref. PWR fuel length and 150 pcf concrete density) is

W = 254,600 lbf

HOLTEC INTERNATIONAL COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL

REPORT HI-2114830

Finally, assuming that $W^* = 0.87W$, the acceptable upper bound flood velocity is determined from Equation 4 as

$$V = \sqrt{\frac{1.876(0.53)(0.87 \times 254,600)}{(11.6)(20.0)}} = 30.8 \text{ ft/sec}$$

3.4.4.1.2 Load Case 2: Design Basis Earthquake

In Subsection 2.2.3 (g), the combination of vertical and horizontal ZPA of the earthquake that would cause incipient loss of kinematic stability is derived using static equilibrium. The resulting inequality defines the threshold of the so-called low intensity earthquake for which the HI-STORM FW system is qualified without a dynamic analysis. However, an earthquake is a cyclic loading event which would produce rattling of the MPC inside the overpack and possibly large strains in the Confinement Boundary at the location of rattling impact between the MPC and the overpack guide tubes.

For earthquakes stronger than that defined by the inequalities in Subsection 2.2.3(g), it is necessary to perform a dynamic analysis. The dynamic stability analysis may be performed using either one of the following two approaches:

- i. Using the nomographs developed in NUREG/CR-6865 [3.4.7] to predict the cask rotation and sliding.
- ii. Performing a time history analysis for the cask modeled with 6 degrees-of-freedom and subjected to 3-dimensional seismic accelerations.

The first approach, although limited in its applications, is simple and conservative for the seismic stability evaluation of the HI-STORM FW storage cask as explained below. The nomograph developed in NUREG/CR-6865 [3.4.7] for cylindrical casks are based on extensive parametric study of the seismic response of HI-STORM 100 with a series of seismic inputs fitting three different spectral shapes. The seismic response is predicted through transient finite element analyses where the cask is supported on a flexible concrete pad founded on three substrates ranging from soft soil to rock. The NUREG study offers two sets of nomographs depending on the match of the site-specific free field horizontal spectrum with the three spectral shapes utilized in the study (after normalization to the Peak (Zero Period) Ground Acceleration (PGA)). The power law for the HI-STORM 100 response "y" (either peak cask top displacement or peak cask rotation) in terms of the ground motion parameter "x" at confidence band "m" standard deviations above the median response is:

$$y = A x^{B} \exp\left(m S_{Y|x}\right)$$

In the above equation, "A" and "B" are the nomograph curve fitting parameters, and "S" is the conditional standard deviation of the result data after undergoing a logarithmic transformation. The value for "m" is 0 (for the median curve), +1 (for the 84% confidence level) and -1 (for the 16%

3-80

Rev. 2

HI-STORM FW FSAR -Non-Proprietary Revision 2, June 24, 2013

confidence level). The units of "A" are meters (for displacement) and degrees (for rotation). The values for the coefficients are given below, as reproduced from [3.4.7]. The nomograph parameters are affected by the cask/pad coefficient of friction, but are independent of substrate stiffness.

	A (disp.)	B (disp.)	Sy _{lx} (disp.)	A (rot.)	B (rot.)	Svir (rot.)
<i>u</i> =0.2	0.216	2.60	0 409	0.0247	0.689	0.718
μ =0.55	0.911	4.08	0.814	6.70	3.94	0.794
<i>n</i> =0.8	1 150	4 16	0.796	9.04	4 0 9	0 765

Curve Fitting Parameters for Cylindrical Cask, NUREG/CR-0098 Earthquakes, PGA

Curve Fitting Parameters for Cylindrical Cask, Regulatory Guide 1.60 Earthquakes, PGA

	A (disp.)	B (disp.)	Syla (disp.)	A (rot.)	B (rot)	Syr (rot.)
<i>µ</i> ≈0.2	0.837	2.52	0.465	0.0733	4.74	0.785
µ=0.55	8,96	4.80	1.03	62.5	4 71	0.956
µ=0.8	15.4	5.04	1.13	114	4,94	1 :2

Curve Fitting Parameters for Cylindrical Cask, NUREG/CR-6728 Earthquakes, PGA

	A (disp.)	B (disp.)	$S_{\rm Ty}$ (disp.)	A (rot.)	B (rot.)	S _T , (rot.)
um0 2	0 0897	188	0.377	0 0456	1 17	0,777
µ±0.55	0.219	2 63	0.543	1 64	2 53	0 583
<i>u</i> =0.8	0.253	2.71	0.631	2.11	2.68	0.606

Curve Fitting Parameters for Cylindrical Cask, All Spectral Shapes, 1 Hz PSA

	A (disp.)	B (disp.)	S _{fiv} (disp.)	A (rot.)	B (rot.)	S _{Es} (rot.)
ji≢0,2	0.271	2 15	0 532	0.0335	0.769	031
µ=0.55	0.979	3.20	1 07	7.07	3,10	1.04
<i>ji</i> =0.8	1 29	3 31	1.11	10-1	3 25	t đr

The use of the above nomographs for HI-STORM FW seismic stability analysis is conservative, as long as the h/r ratio (h = height to cask centroid, r = radius of the cask at interface with the pad) of HI-STORM FW is smaller than that of HI-STORM 100 cask, which is true in most cases. The nomographs should not be used when the substrate characteristics indicate that liquefaction will occur under a seismic event [3.4.7]. The basic analysis procedure is as follows:

- i. Demonstrate that the cask h/r ratio is less than that of HI-STORM 100 cask. If this condition is not satisfied, this approach cannot be used for the seismic stability analysis.
- ii. Evaluate the site-specific substrate data to ensure that the site-specific substrate is within the range considered in the NUREG and that there is no potential for soil liquefaction under a seismic event.
- iii. Compare the site-specific horizontal free-field response spectrum for 5% damping with those employed in the NUREG (after normalizing the site-specific data to 1g).

HOLTEC INTERNATIONAL COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL REPORT HI-2114830

- a. If the site-specific spectrum is a good match with one of the spectrums employed, then use the nomograph appropriate to the matched spectrum and site-specific input at the ZPA to predict cask displacement and rotation.
- b. If the site-specific spectrum is not a good match with any of the spectra, then use the nomograph developed for all spectra with site-specific input at 1 Hz and 5% damping to predict cask displacement and rotation.

If the previously described NUREG/CR-6865 approach is not appropriate to use for a specific ISFSI site, the second approach should be used to perform the seismic stability evaluation for HI-STORM FW casks. The time history analysis approach, which is free of the limitations associated with NUREG/CR-6865, was used and approved by the USNRC to demonstrate the seismic stability of HI-STORM 100 casks at the Private Fuel Storage ISFSI. The input seismic acceleration time histories shall meet the relevant requirements specified in the SRP 3.7.1 [3.4.8] and shall be baseline corrected.

Finally, a small clearance between the MPC and the MPC guide tubes may lead to a high localized strain in the region of the shell where impacts from rattling of the canister under a seismic event occur. The extent of local strain from impact is minimized by locating the guide tube in the vertical direction such that its impact footprint is aligned with the surface of the closure lid which has been shimmed to close the crevice between the lid and the shell. Thus the impact between the guide tubes and the MPC lid will occur at a location where the maximum damage to the MPC shell will be local denting in the region where it is buttressed by the edge of a (thick) MPC lid. Therefore, a through-wall damage of the MPC shell is not credible. Furthermore, the force of impact will evidently be greater in the non-mechanistic tip-over case. Therefore, the seismic impact case is designated as non-governing for the guide tube/MPC impact scenario.

3.4.4.1.3 Load Case 3: Tornado-Borne Projectiles

During a tornado event, the HI-STORM FW overpack and the HI-TRAC VW are assumed to be subjected to a constant wind force. They are also subject to impacts by postulated missiles. The maximum wind speed is specified in Table 2.2.4, and the three missiles, designated as large, intermediate, and small, are described in Table 2.2.5.

a. Large Missile

Overturning Analysis

The large tornado missile acting at the top region of the cask (HI-STORM FW or HI-TRAC VW) to produce maximum overturning effect (Table 3.1.1) is analyzed to determine whether the cask will remain stable. Because the site-specific large missile is apt to be different from the one analyzed herein, the method of analysis presented here will provide the means for the site-specific safety evaluation pursuant to 10CFR72.212.

3-82

HOLTEC INTERNATIONAL COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL REPORT HI-2114830

The overturning analysis of the cask under the tornado wind load and large missile impact is performed by solving the 1-DOF equation of motion for the cask angular rotation, which is same methodology used in the HI-STORM 100 FSAR (Docket No. 72-1014). Specifically, the solution of the post-impact dynamics problem is obtained by solving the following equation of motion:

$$l_{r}\alpha = \left(-W_{c}\frac{a}{2}\right) + F_{max}\left(\frac{L}{2}\right)$$

where:

I _r	=	cask moment of inertia about the pivot point
α	=	angular acceleration of the cask
Wc	=	lower bound weight of the cask
а	=	diameter of cask at its base (see Figure 3.4.7)
F _{max}	, =	force on the cask due to tornado wind/instantaneous pressure drop
L	=	height of the cask (see Figure 3.4.7)

The impacting missile enters into the above through the post-strike angular velocity of the cask, which is the relevant initial condition for the cask equation of motion. The solution gives the post-impact position of the cask centroid as a function of time, which indicates whether the cask remains stable.

The following assumptions are made in the analysis:

- i. The cask is assumed to be a rigid solid cylinder, with uniform mass distribution. This assumption implies that the cask sustains no plastic deformation (i.e. no absorption of energy through plastic deformation of the cask occurs).
- ii. The angle of incidence of the missile is assumed to be such that its overturning effect on the cask is maximized (see Figure 3.4.7).
- iii. The analysis considers the maximum height cask per Tables 3.2.1 and 3.2.2. The missile is assumed to strike at the highest point of the cask (see Figure 3.4.7), again maximizing the overturning effect.
- iv. The cask is assumed to pivot about a point at the bottom of the base plate opposite the location of missile impact and the application of wind force in order to conservatively maximize the propensity for overturning (see Figure 3.4.7).
- v. Inelastic impact is assumed, with the missile velocity reduced to zero after impact. This assumption conservatively lets the missile impart the maximum amount of angular momentum to the cask, and it is in agreement with missile impact tests conducted by EPRI [3.4.14].

HOLTEC INTERNATIONAL COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL REPORT HI-2114830

- vi. The analysis is performed for a cask without fuel in order to provide a conservative solution. A lighter cask will tend to rotate further after the missile strike. The weight of the missile is not included in the total post-impact weight.
- vii. Planar motion of the cask is assumed; any loads from out-of-plane wind forces are neglected.
- viii. The drag coefficient for a cylinder in turbulent cross flow is used.
- ix. The missile and wind loads are assumed to be perfectly aligned in direction.

The results for the post-impact response of the HI-STORM FW overpack and the HI-TRAC VW transfer cask are summarized in Table 3.4.5. The table shows that both casks remain in a vertical upright position (i.e., no overturning) in the aftermath of a large missile impact. The complete details of the tornado wind and large missile impact analyses for the HI-STORM FW overpack and the HI-TRAC VW transfer cask are provided in Appendix 3.A.

Sliding Analysis

A conservative calculation of the extent of sliding of the HI-STORM FW overpack and the HI-TRAC VW cask due to the impact of a large missile (Table 2.2.5) and tornado wind (Table 2.2.4) is obtained using a common formulation as explained below. A more realistic impact simulation using LS-DYNA, with less bounding assumptions, has been used in Subsection 3.4.4.1.4 to qualify the HI-STORM overpack for a non-mechanistic tip over event. While it is not necessary for demonstrating adequate safety margins for this problem, an LS-DYNA analysis could also be used to calculate the sliding potential of the HI-STORM FW and HI-TRAC VW for a large missile impact. In what follows, both HI-STORM FW and HI-TRAC VW are identified by the generic term "cask".

The principal assumptions that render these calculations for sliding conservative are:

- i. The weight of the cask used in the analysis is assumed to be the lowest per Table 3.2.8.
- ii. The cask is assumed to absorb the energy of impact purely by sliding. In other words, none of the impact energy is dissipated by the noise from the impact, from local plastic deformation in the cask at the location of impact, or from the potential tipping action of the cask.
- iii. The missile impact and high wind, which applies a steady drag force on the cask, are assumed to act synergistically to maximize the movement of the cask.
- iv. The cask is assumed to be freestanding on a concrete surface. The interface friction coefficient is assumed to be equal to that endorsed in the HI-STORM 100 FSAR (USNRC Docket No. 72-1014) and adopted here in the HI-STORM FW FSAR.

3-84

v. The dynamic effect of the impact is represented by the force-time curve developed in the Bechtel topical report "Design of Structures for Missile Impact" [3.4.9], previously used to qualify the HI-STORM 100 System (USNCR Docket No. 72-1014).

The analysis for sliding under the above assumptions reduces to solving Newton's equation of motion of the form:

$$m\frac{d^2x}{dt^2} = F(t) + F_{dp} - \mu mg$$

where

m: mass of the cask,

- *t*: time coordinate with its origin set at the instant when the sum of the missile impact force and wind drag force overcomes the static friction force,
- x: displacement as a function of time coordinate t,
- F(t): missile impact force as a function of time (from [3.4.9]),
- F_{eff} : drag force from high wind,
- μ : interface friction set as 0.53 for freestanding cask on a reinforced concrete pad in Docket No. 72-1014,
- g: acceleration due to gravity.

The above second-order differential equation is solved numerically in [3.4.15] for the HI-STORM FW overpack and the HI-TRAC VW transfer cask, and the calculated sliding displacements are summarized in Table 3.4.16.

Referring to the spacing dimensions for HI-STORM FW arrays in Table 1.4.1, the minimum space between HI-STORM FW overpacks and the minimum distance of the overpack to the edge of the pad are calculated. The above table demonstrates the HI-STORM FW overpack will not collide with another overpack, and the overpack will not slide off the pad due to the combined effects of a large tornado missile impact and high wind.

No generic limits for sliding are established for the HI-TRAC VW. Therefore, the sliding result for the HI-TRAC VW transfer cask in Table 3.4.16 is strictly informational.

b. Small and Intermediate Missiles

The small and intermediate missiles (Table 2.2.5) are analyzed to determine the extent to which they will penetrate the HI-STORM FW overpack or the HI-TRAC VW and cause potential damage to the MPC Enclosure Vessel. Classical energy balance methods are used to compute the depth of

HOLTEC INTERNATIONAL COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL

REPORT HI-2114830

penetration at the following impact locations:

- on the HI-STORM FW outer shell (with concrete backing)
- on the HI-STORM FW lid top plate (with concrete backing)
- on the HI-TRAC VW outer shell (with lead backing)
- on the top surface of the MPC upper lid

The MPC upper lid is analyzed for a direct missile impact because, when the MPC is placed inside the HI-TRAC VW, the MPC lid is theoretically accessible to a vertically downward directed small or intermediate missile.

The following assumptions are made in the analysis:

- i. The intermediate missile and the small missile are assumed to be unyielding, and hence the entire initial kinetic energy is assumed to be absorbed by local yielding and denting of the cask surface.
- ii. No credit is taken for the missile resistance offered by the HI-TRAC VW water jacket shell. It is assumed a priori that the small and intermediate missiles will penetrate the water jacket shell (with no energy loss). Therefore, in the analysis 100% of the missile impact energy is applied directly to the HI-TRAC VW outer shell.
- iii. For missile strikes on the side and top lid of the overpack, the analysis credits the structural resistance in compression offered by the concrete material that backs the outer shell and the lid.
- iv. The resistance from the concrete is conservatively assumed to act over an area equal to the target area of impact. In other words, no diffusion of the load is assumed to occur through the concrete.

The analyses documented in Appendix 3.B show that the depth of penetration of the small missile is less than the thinnest section of material on the exterior surface of the HI-STORM FW or the HI-TRAC VW. Therefore, the small missile will dent, but not penetrate, the cask. The 1-inch missile can enter the air inlet/outlet vents in the HI-STORM FW overpack, but geometry prevents a direct impact with the MPC.

For the intermediate missile, the analyses documented in Appendix 3.B show that there will be no penetration through the concrete surrounding the inner shell of the storage overpack or penetration of the top lid. Likewise, the intermediate missile will not penetrate the lead surrounding the HI-TRAC VW inner shell. Therefore, there will be no impairment to the Confinement Boundary due to tornado-borne missile strikes. Furthermore, since the HI-STORM FW and HI-TRAC VW inner shells are not compromised by the missile strike, there will be no permanent deformation of the inner shells and ready retrievability of the MPC will be assured.

HOLTEC INTERNATIONAL COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL REPORT HI-2114830

The penetration results for the small and intermediate missile are summarized in Table 3.4.6.

3.4.4.1.4 Load Case 4: Non-Mechanistic Tipover

The non-mechanistic tipover event, as described in Subsection 2.2.3(b), is site-dependent only to the extent that the stiffness of the target (ISFSI pad) affects the severity of the impact impulse. To bound the majority of ISFSI pad sites, the tipover analyses are performed using a stiff target foundation, which is defined in Table 2.2.9. The objectives of the analyses are to demonstrate that the plastic deformation in the fuel basket is sufficiently limited to permit the stored SNF to be retrieved by normal means and that there is no significant loss of radiation shielding in the storage system. Furthermore, the maximum lateral deflection of the lateral surface of the fuel basket is within the limit assumed in the criticality analyses (Chapter 6), and therefore, the lateral deflection does not have an adverse effect on criticality safety.

The tipover event is an artificial construct wherein the HI-STORM FW overpack is assumed to be perched on its edge with its C.G. directly over the pivot point A (Figure 3.4.8). In this orientation, the overpack begins its downward rotation with zero initial velocity. Towards the end of the tipover, the overpack is horizontal with its downward velocity ranging from zero at the pivot point (point A) to a maximum at the farthest point of impact. The angular velocity at the instant of impact defines the downward velocity distribution along the contact line.

In the following, an explicit expression for calculating the angular velocity of the cask at the instant when it impacts on the ISFSI pad is derived. Referring to Figure 3.4.8, let r be the length AC where C is the cask centroid. Therefore,

$$\mathbf{r} = \left(\frac{\mathrm{d}^2}{4} + \mathrm{h}^2\right)^{1/2}$$

The mass moment of inertia of the HI-STORM FW system, considered as a rigid body, can be written about an axis through point A, as

$$I_{A} = I_{c} + \frac{W}{g}r^{2}$$

where I_c is the mass moment of inertia about a parallel axis through the cask centroid C, and W is the weight of the cask (W = Mg).

HOLTEC INTERNATIONAL COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL REPORT HI-2114830

Rev. 2

....

HI-STORM FW FSAR -Non-Proprietary Revision 2, June 24, 2013 Let $\theta_1(t)$ be the rotation angle between a vertical line and the line AC. The equation of motion for rotation of the cask around point A, during the time interval prior to contact with the ISFSI pad, is

$$I_{A} \frac{d^{2} \theta_{1}}{dt^{2}} = Mgr \sin \theta_{1}$$

This equation can be rewritten in the form

$$\frac{I_{A}}{2} \frac{d (\dot{\theta}_{1})^{2}}{d \theta_{1}} = Mgr \sin \theta_{1}$$

which can be integrated over the limits $\theta_1 = 0$ to $\theta_1 = \theta_{2f}$ (Figure 3.4.8). The final angular velocity θ_1 at the time instant just prior to contact with the ISFSI pad is given by the expression

$$\dot{\theta}_{1}(t_{\rm B}) = \sqrt{\frac{2\,{\rm Mgr}}{{\rm I}_{.4}}}\,(1-\cos\,\theta_{2\,\rm f})$$

where, from Figure 3.4.8,

$$\theta_{2f} = \cos^{-1}\left(\frac{d}{2r}\right)$$

This equation establishes the initial conditions for the final phase of the tip-over analysis; namely, the portion of the motion when the cask is decelerated by the resistive force at the ISFSI pad interface. Using the data germane to HI-STORM FW (Table 3.4.11) and the above equations, the angular velocity of impact is calculated as

$$\dot{\theta}_{I}(t_{B}) = 1.45 \text{ rad/sec}$$

The LS-DYNA analysis to characterize the response of the HI-STORM FW system under the nonmechanistic tipover event is focused on two principal demonstrations, namely:

- (i) The lateral deformation of the basket panels in the active fuel region is less than the limiting value in Table 2.2.11.
- (ii) The impact between the MPC guide tubes and the MPC does not cause a thru-wall penetration of the MPC shell.

HOLTEC INTERNATIONAL COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL REPORT HI-2114830

Two LS-DYNA finite element models are developed to simulate the postulated tipover event of HI-STORM FW storage cask with loaded MPC-37 and MPC-89, respectively. The two LS-DYNA models are constructed according to the dimensions specified in the licensing drawings included in Section 1.5; the tallest configuration for each MPC type is considered to ensure a bounding tipover analysis. Because of geometric and loading symmetries, a half model of the loaded cask and impact target (i.e., the ISFSI pad) is considered in the analysis. The LS-DYNA models of the HI-STORM FW overpack and the MPC are described in Subsections 3.1.3.1 and 3.1.3.2, respectively.

The ISFSI pad LS-DYNA model, which consists of a 320"×100"×36" concrete pad and the underlying subgrade (800"×275"×470" in size) with non-reflective lateral and bottom surface boundaries, is identical to that used in the HI-STORM 100 tipover analysis documented in the HI-STORM 100 FSAR [3.1.4]. All structural members of the loaded cask are explicitly modeled so that any violation of the acceptance criteria can be found by examining the LS-DYNA simulation results (note: the fuel assembly, which is not expected to fail in a tipover event, is modeled as an elastic rectangular body). This is an improvement compared with the approach taken in the HI-STORM 100 tipover analysis, where the loaded MPC was modeled as a cylinder and therefore the structural integrity of the MPC and fuel basket had to be analyzed separately based on the rigid body deceleration result of the cask. Except for the fuel basket, which is divided into four parts based on the temperature distribution of the basket, each structural member of the cask is modeled as an independent part in the LS-DYNA model. Note that the critical weld connection between the MPC shell and the MPC lid is treated as a separate part and modeled with solid elements. Each of the two LS-DYNA models consists of forty-two parts, which are discretized with sufficiently high mesh density; very fine grids are used in modeling the MPC enclosure vessel, especially in the areas where high stress gradients are expected (e.g., initial impact location with the overpack). To ensure numerical accuracy, full integration thin shell and thick shell elements with 10 though-thickness integration points or multi-layer solid elements are used. The LS-DYNA tipover model consists of over 470,000 nodes and 255,000 elements for HI-STORM FW with loaded MPC-37, and the model for the cask with loaded MPC-89 consists of over 689,000 nodes and 350,000 elements.

The same ISFSI concrete pad material model used for the HI-STORM 100 tipover analysis reported in [3.1.4] is repeated for the HI-STORM FW tipover analysis. Specifically, the concrete pad behavior is characterized using the same LS-DYNA material model (i.e., MAT_PSEUDO_TENSOR or MAT_016) as for the end drop and tipover analyses of the HI-STORM 100 storage cask (the only difference between the HI-STORM FW reference ISFSI concrete pad model and the model of the HI-STORM 100 Set B ISFSI concrete pad is thickness). Moreover, the subgrade is also conservatively modeled as an elastic material as before. Note that this ISFSI pad material modeling approach was originally taken in the USNRC approved storage cask tipover and end drop LS-DYNA analyses [3.4.5] where a good correlation was obtained between the analysis results and the test results.

To assess the potential damage of the cask caused by the tipover accident, an LS-DYNA nonlinear material model with strain rate effect is used to model the responses of all HI-STORM FW cask structural members based on the true stress-strain curves of the corresponding materials. Note that the strain rate effect for the fuel basket material, i.e., Metamic HT, is not considered for

3-89

conservatism.

Figures 3.4.9 to 3.4.14 depict the two finite-element tipover analysis models developed for the bounding HI-STORM FW cask configurations with loaded MPC-37 and MPC-89, respectively.

As shown in Figure 3.4.15, the fuel basket does not experience any plastic deformation in the active fuel region; plastic deformation is limited locally in one periphery cell near the top of the basket beyond the active fuel region for both MPC-37 and MPC-89 baskets. The fuel basket is considered to be structurally safe since it can continue maintaining appropriate spacing between fuel assemblies after the tipover event. The MPC enclosure vessel experiences minor plastic deformation at the impact locations with the overpack guide tubes; the maximum local plastic strain (9.9%, see Figure 3.4.16) is well below the failure strain of the material and smaller than the plastic strain limit (i.e., at least 0.2 for stainless steel) recommended by [3.4.6] for ASME NB components. Similarly, local plastic deformation occurs in the overpack shear ring near the cask-to-pad impact location as shown in Figure 3.4.17. However, the shielding capacity of overpack will not be compromised by the tipover accident and there is no gross plastic deformation in the overpack inner shell to affect the retrievablilty of the MPC. In addition, the cask closure lid bolts are demonstrated to be structurally safe after the tipover event, only a negligibly small plastic strain is observed in the bolt near the impact location (see Figure 3.4.18). Therefore, the cask lid will not dislodge after the tipover event. Finally, Figures 3.4.19 and 3.4.20 present the deceleration time history results of the cask lid predicted by LS-DYNA. The peak rigid body decelerations, measured for the HI-STORM FW lid concrete, are shown to be 61.75 g's in the vertical direction and 16.71 g's in the horizontal direction, respectively. Note that the deceleration time histories are filtered using the LS-DYNA built-in Butterworth filter with a cut-off frequency of 350 Hz; the same filter was used for the HI-STORM 100 non-mechanistic tipover analysis [3.1.4].

The structural integrity of the HI-STORM FW lid cannot be ascertained from the LS-DYNA tipover analyses since some components of the lid, namely the lid outer shell and the lid gussets, are defined as rigid members in order to simplify the modeling effort and maintain proper connectivity. Therefore, a separate tipover analysis has been performed for the HI-STORM FW lid using ANSYS, wherein a bounding peak rigid body deceleration established based on LS-DYNA tipover analysis results is statically applied to the lid. The finite element model is identical to the one used in Subsection 3.4.3 to simulate a vertical lift of the HI-STORM FW lid (Figure 3.4.5), except that the eight circumferential gussets are conservatively neglected (i.e., deleted from the finite element model).

The resulting stress distribution in the HI-STORM FW lid is shown in Figure 3.4.21. Per Subsection 2.2.3, the HI-STORM FW lid should not suffer any gross loss of shielding as a result of the nonmechanistic tipover event. To satisfy this criterion, the primary membrane stresses in the lid components are compared against the material yield strength. The most heavily loaded component is the upper shim plate closest to the point of impact (Figure 3.4.21). In order to determine the primary membrane stress in the upper shim plate, the stresses are linearized along a path that follows the outside vertical edge of the upper shim plate (see Figure 3.4.21 for path definition). Figure 3.4.22 shows the linearized stress results. Since the membrane stress is less than the yield strength

HOLTEC INTERNATIONAL COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL REPORT HI-2114830
of the material at 300°F (Table 3.3.6), it is concluded that the lid will not suffer any gross loss of shielding as a result of the non-mechanistic tipover event. The complete details of the lid tipover analysis are provided in [3.4.13].

Finally, to evaluate the potential for crack propagation and growth for the MPC fuel baskets under the non-mechanistic tipover event, a crack propagation analysis is carried out for the MPC-37 fuel basket using the same methodology utilized in Attachment D of [1.2.6] to evaluate the HI-STAR 180 F-37 fuel basket in support of the HI-STAR 180 SAR [3.1.10]. The crack propagation analysis for the MPC-37 is bounding for the MPC-89 fuel basket due to its smaller storage cell width, lower reference metal temperature, and lower fuel assembly weight (see Table 3.4.13).

To begin the analysis, the stress distribution in the MPC-37 fuel basket is determined by implementing the fuel basket finite element model (see Subsection 3.1.3) in ANSYS and performing a static stress analysis of the fuel basket structure for a bounding load of 65-g. The resulting stress distribution in the horizontally oriented Metamic-HT basket panels is shown in Figure 3.4.36. The maximum stress occurs at one of the basket notches, which are conservatively modeled as sharp (90 degree) corners in the finite element model. This peak stress is used as input to the following crack propagation analysis.

Per [1.2.6] the critical stress intensity factor of Metamic-HT panels is estimated to be

$$K_{IC} = 30 ksi \sqrt{in}$$

based on Charpy V-notch absorbed energy (CVE) correlations for steels. The estimated value is consistent with the range for aluminum alloys, which is 20 to 50 *MPa* \sqrt{m} or 18.2 to 45 *ksi* \sqrt{in} per Table 3 of [3.4.19]. Next the minimum crack size, a_{min} , for crack propagation to occur is calculated below using the formula for a through-thickness edge crack given in [3.1.5]. Although the formula is derived for a straight-edge specimen, the use of the peak stress, σ_{max} , at a notch in the fuel basket panel (instead of the average stress in the panel as required by the formula) essentially compensates for the geometric difference between the basket panel and the specimen. Moreover, the maximum size of a pre-existing crack (1/16") in the fuel basket panel is less than 1/9th of the basket panel thickness (0.59"). Thus, the assumption of a through-thickness edge crack is very conservative. The result is

$$a_{\min} = \frac{\left(\frac{K_{IC}}{1.12\sigma_{\max}}\right)^2}{\pi} = \frac{\left[\frac{30ksi\sqrt{in}}{1.12(17.98ksi)}\right]^2}{\pi} = 0.706in$$

And the safety factor against crack propagation (based on a 1/16" minimum detectable flaw size) is

 $SF = \frac{a_{\min}}{a_{\det}} = \frac{0.706in}{0.0625in} = 11.3$

3-91

HOLTEC INTERNATIONAL COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL REPORT HI-2114830

The calculated minimum crack size is more than 11 times greater than the maximum possible preexisting crack size in the fuel basket (based on 100% surface inspection of each panel). The large safety factor ensures that crack propagation in the HI-STORM FW fuel baskets will not occur due to the non-mechanistic tipover event.

3.4.4.1.5 Load Case 5: Design Internal Pressure

The MPC Enclosure Vessel, which is designed to meet the stress intensity limits of ASME Subsection NB [3.4.4], is analyzed for design internal pressure (Table 2.2.1) using the ANSYS finite element code [3.4.1]. Except for the applied loads and the boundary conditions, the finite element model of the MPC Enclosure Vessel used for this load case is identical to the model described in Subsections 3.1.3.2 and 3.4.3.2 for the MPC lifting analysis.

The only load applied to the finite element model for this load case is the MPC design internal pressure for normal conditions (Table 2.2.1). All internal surfaces of the MPC storage cavity are subjected to the design pressure. The center node on the top surface of the MPC upper lid is fixed against translation in all directions. Symmetric boundary conditions are applied to the two vertical symmetry planes. This set of boundary conditions allows the MPC Enclosure Vessel to deform freely under the applied pressure load. Figure 3.4.31 graphically depicts the applied pressure load and the boundary conditions for Load Case 5.

The stress intensity distribution in the MPC Enclosure Vessel under design internal pressure is shown in Figure 3.4.23. Figures 3.4.32 and 3.4.33 plot the thru-thickness variation of the stress intensity at the baseplate center and at the baseplate-to-shell juncture, respectively. The maximum primary and secondary stress intensities in the MPC Enclosure Vessel are compared with the applicable stress intensity limits from Subsection NB of the ASME Code. The allowable stress intensities are taken at 450°F for the MPC shell and MPC lids, 300°F for the baseplate, and 250°F at the baseplate-to-shell juncture. The maximum calculated stress intensities in the MPC Enclosure Vessel, and their corresponding allowable limits, are summarized in Table 3.4.7 for Load Case 5.

Since the stress intensity distribution in the MPC Enclosure Vessel is a linear function of the internal pressure, and the stress intensity limits for normal and off-normal conditions are the same (Table 3.1.7), the minimum calculated safety factor from Table 3.4.7 is used to establish the internal pressure limit for off-normal conditions (Table 2.2.1).

3.4.4.1.6 Load Case 6: Maximum Internal Pressure Under Accident Conditions

The maximum pressure in the MPC Enclosure Vessel under accident conditions is specified in Table 2.2.1. The stress analysis under this pressure condition uses the same model as the one described in the preceding subsection. The only change is the magnitude of the applied pressure. Figure 3.4.34 graphically depicts the applied pressure load and the boundary conditions for Load Case 6.

The stress intensity distribution in the MPC Enclosure Vessel under accident internal pressure is

3-92

HOLTEC INTERNATIONAL COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL REPORT HI-2114830

shown in Figure 3.4.24. The maximum primary stress intensities in the MPC Enclosure Vessel are compared with the applicable stress intensity limits from Subsection NB of the ASME Code [3.4.4]. The allowable stress intensities are taken at 450°F for the MPC shell and MPC lids, 300°F for the baseplate, and 250°F at the baseplate-to-shell juncture. These temperatures bound the calculated temperatures under normal operating conditions for the respective MPC components based on the thermal evaluations in Chapter 4. The allowable stress intensities are determined based on normal operating temperatures since the MPC accident internal pressure is dictated by the 100% fuel rod rupture accident, which does not cause any significant rise in MPC temperatures. In fact, the temperatures inside the MPC tend to decrease as a result of the 100% fuel rod rupture accident due to the increase in the density and internal pressure of the circulating gas. The maximum calculated stress intensities in Table 3.4.8 for Load Case 6.

3.4.4.1.7 Load Case 7: Accident External Pressure

The only affected component for this load case is the MPC Enclosure Vessel. The accident external pressure (Table 2.2.1) is selected sufficiently high to envelop hydraulic-pressure in the case of flood or explosion-induced pressure at all ISFSI Sites.

The main effect of an external pressure on the MPC is to cause compressive stress in the MPC shell. Therefore, the potential of buckling must be investigated. The methodology used for this investigation is from ASME Code Case N-284-2 (Metal Containment Shell Buckling Design Methods, Section III, Division 1, Class MC (1/07)). This Code Case has been previously used by Holtec in [3.1.4] and accepted by the NRC as a valid method for evaluation of stability in vessels.

The detailed evaluation of the MPC shell under accident external pressure is provided in Appendix 3.C. It is concluded that positive safety margins exist so that elastic or plastic instability of the maximum height MPC shell does not occur under the applied pressure.

3.4.4.1.8 Load Case 8: Non-Mechanistic Heat-Up of the HI-TRAC VW Water Jacket

Even though the analyses presented in Chapter 4 indicate that the temperature of water in the water jacket shall not reach boiling and the rupture disks will not open, it is (non-mechanistically) assumed that the hydraulic pressure in the water jacket reaches the relief devices' set point. The object of this analysis is to demonstrate that the stresses in the water jacket and its welds shall be below the limits set down in an appropriate reference ASME Boiler and Pressure Vessel Code (Section II Class 3) for the Level D service condition. The accident pressure inside the water jacket is given in Table 2.2.1.

The HI-TRAC VW water jacket is analyzed using classical strength-of-materials. Specifically, the unsupported span of the water jacket shell between radial ribs is treated as a curved beam, with clamped ends, under a uniformly distributed radial pressure. The force and moment reactions at the ends of the curved beam for this type of loading are calculated using the formula for Case 5j of Table 18 in [3.4.16]. The primary membrane plus bending stress is then calculated using the formula for Case 1 of Table 16 in [3.4.16]. Figure 3.4.35 depicts the curved beam model that is used to

analyze the water jacket shell and defines the key input variables. The input values that are used in the calculations are provided in Table 3.4.12.

The bottom flange, which serves as the base of the water jacket, is conservatively analyzed as an annular plate clamped at the water jacket inside diameter and simply supported at the water jacket outside diameter. The maximum bending stress in the bottom flange is calculated using the following formula from [3.4.18, Art. 23]:

$$\sigma_{max} = k \, \frac{q \cdot a^2}{h^2}$$

where q is the internal pressure inside the water jacket (= 73.65 psi), a is the outside radius of the water jacket (= 47.5 in), and h is the thickness of the bottom flange (= 2.0 in). The analyzed pressure accounts for the accident internal pressure inside the water jacket (Table 2.2.1) plus the hydrostatic pressure at the base of the water jacket. The value of k is dependent on the diameter ratio of the annular plate and the boundary conditions. Per Table 5 of [3.4.18], k is equal to 0.122 for a bounding diameter ratio of 1.25 and simply supported-clamped boundary conditions (Case 4). Therefore, the maximum bending stress in the bottom flange is:

$$\sigma_{max} = 5,068 \text{ psi}$$

Per Table 3.1.6, the allowable primary membrane plus bending stress intensity for SA-516 Gr. 70 material (at 400°F) is 58,500 psi, which means the factor of safety is greater than 10.

The maximum stresses in the various water jacket components, including the connecting welds, are summarized in Table 3.4.9.

3.4.4.1.9 Load Case 9: Handling of Components

The stress analyses of the MPC, the HI-STORM FW overpack, and the HI-TRAC VW transfer cask under normal handling conditions are presented in Subsection 3.4.3.

3.4.4.1.10 Load Case 10: Snow Load

In accordance with Table 3.1.1, the HI-STORM FW lid is analyzed using ANSYS to demonstrate that the design basis snow load (Table 2.2.8) does not cause stress levels in the overpack lid to exceed ASME Subsection NF stress limits for Level A. The finite element model is identical to the one used in Subsection 3.4.3 to simulate a vertical lift of the HI-STORM FW lid (see Figure 3.4.5). For conservatism, a pressure load of 10 psig is used in the finite element analysis. The stress distribution in the lid under the bounding snow load is shown in Figure 3.4.25. The maximum stress results are summarized in Table 3.4.10. For conservatism, the maximum calculated stress at any point on the lid, including secondary stress contributions, is compared against the primary membrane and primary bending stress limits per ASME Subsection NF.

3-94

HOLTEC INTERNATIONAL COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL REPORT HI-2114830

3.4.4.1.11 Load Case 11: MPC Reflood Event

During a MPC reflood event, water is introduced to the MPC cavity through the lid drain line to cooldown the MPC internals and support fuel unloading. This quenching operation induces thermal stresses and strains in the fuel rod cladding, which are maximum at the boundary interface between the rising water and the dry (gaseous) cavity. The following analysis demonstrates that the maximum total strain in the fuel cladding due to the reflood event is well below the failure strain limit of the material. Thus, the fuel rod cladding will not be breached due to the MPC reflood event.

The analysis is carried out using the finite element code ANSYS [3.4.1]. The model, which is shown in Figure 3.4.37, is constructed using 4-node plastic large strain elements (SHELL43) based on the cladding dimensions of the PWR reference fuel type. The overall length of the model is equal to 30 times the outside diameter of the fuel cladding. As seen in Figure 3.4.37, the mesh size is reduced at the boundary between the wetted fuel rod and the dry fuel rod, where the highest stresses and strains occur. To account for the gas pressure inside the fuel rod, the top end of the fuel rod is fixed in the vertical direction, and an equivalent axial force is applied at the bottom end. A radial pressure is also applied to the inside surface of the fuel cladding (see Figure 3.4.38). The fuel cladding material is modeled as a bi-linear isotropic hardening material with temperature dependent properties. The key input data used to develop the finite element model are summarized in Table 3.4.14.

The MPC reflood pressure, which is restricted to below the normal condition pressure limit, is too low to have and adverse effect on the fuel cladding, the reflood water pressure acts to produce compressive hoop stresses which help reduce the tensile hoop stress (albeit by a small amount) from the internal gas pressure in the rods. Therefore, the MPC flooding pressure has no harmful consequence to the fuel cladding and is neglected in the analysis.

At t = 0 sec, the uniform temperature throughout the entire fuel rod is set at 752°F (400°C), which equals the fuel cladding temperature limit under normal operating conditions. At t = 0.1 sec, the temperature assigned to the lower half of the fuel rod model is suddenly reduced to 80°F to simulate the water quenching (see Figure 3.4.39). The resulting stress and strain distributions in the fuel rod are shown in Figures 3.4.40 and 3.4.41, respectively. The maximum stress and strain values are summarized in Table 3.4.15. The maximum total strain in the fuel rod is well below the failure strain limit of 1.7% for the cladding material per [3.4.20]. In fact, the maximum stress and strain in the fuel rod remain in the elastic range.

The analysis described above makes a number of assumptions that significantly overstate the computed thru-wall strain in the fuel cladding. The major assumptions are:

- 1. Even though the peak cladding temperature occurs at a localized location, the fuel rod is modeled as a pressurized tube with closed ends at a uniform temperature that is greater than the maximum peak cladding temperature value reported in Chapter 4 when the MPC is in the HI-TRAC under the Design Basis heat load condition.
- 2. The rapid thermal straining of the pressurized tube (fuel rod) due to the quenching effect of water is simulated as a step transient wherein the temperature of the quenched portion of the

HOLTEC INTERNATIONAL COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL

REPORT HI-2114830

tube is assumed to drop down to the injected water temperature (assumed to be 80°F) causing a step change in the cladding wall temperature in the longitudinal direction at its interface with the "dry" portion of the tube. This assumption is extremely conservative because in actuality the immersed portion of the fuel rod is blanketed by vapor which acts to retard the severity of the thermal transient.

- 3. Even though, as the rod is gradually immersed in water, the axial heat conduction will tend to cool the un-immersed portion of the tube thus reducing the ΔT at the quenched/dry interface, no credit for axial conduction is taken.
- 4. The cooling of the fuel rod by gradual immersion in the water has the beneficial effect of reducing the internal pressure (per the ideal gas law) and thus the magnitude of pressure induced stress in the fuel cladding. As the peak cladding temperature in the MPC is reached in the upper half of the fuel rods (see Chapter 4), a substantial amount of rod is cooled by water (as its level gradually rises inside the MPC) before the vulnerable zone (where the peak cladding temperature exists) is subjected to the thermal transient from quenching. No credit for this amelioration of the pressure stresses due to the gradual cooling of the rod is taken in the analysis.

In summary, even though the analysis presented above is highly conservative, the maximum stress and strain in the fuel rod remain elastic. Moreover, the maximum strain is less than the failure strain limit by a factor of 6. Thus, the MPC reflood event will not cause a breach of the fuel rod cladding.

3.4.5 Cold

A discussion of the resistance to failure due to brittle fracture is provided in Subsection 3.1.2.

The value of the ambient temperature has two principal effects on the HI-STORM FW system, namely:

- i. The steady-state temperature of all material points in the cask system will go up or down by the amount of change in the ambient temperature.
- ii. As the ambient temperature drops, the absolute temperature of the contained helium will drop accordingly, producing a proportional reduction in the internal pressure in accordance with the Ideal Gas Law.

In other words, the temperature gradients in the system under steady-state conditions will remain the same regardless of the value of the ambient temperature. The internal pressure, on the other hand, will decline with the lowering of the ambient temperature. Since the stresses under normal storage condition arise principally from pressure and thermal gradients, it follows that the stress field in the MPC under –40 degree F ambient would be smaller than the "heat" condition of storage, treated in the preceding subsection. Additionally, the allowable stress limits tend to increase as the component temperatures decrease.

Therefore, the stress margins computed in Subsection 3.4.4 can be conservatively assumed to apply to the "cold" condition as well.

Finally, it can be readily shown that the HI-STORM FW system is engineered to withstand "cold" temperatures (-40 degrees F) without impairment of its storage function.

Unlike the MPC, the HI-STORM FW storage overpack is an open structure; it contains no pressure. Its stress field is unaffected by the ambient temperature, unless low temperatures produce brittle fracture due to the small stresses which develop from self-weight of the structure and from the minute difference in the thermal expansion coefficients in the constituent parts of the equipment (steel and concrete). To prevent brittle fracture, all steel material in HI-STORM FW is qualified by impact testing pursuant to the ASME Code (Table 3.1.9).

The structural material used in the MPC (Alloy X) is recognized to be completely immune from brittle fracture in the ASME Codes.

As no liquids are included in the HI-STORM FW storage overpack design, loads due to expansion of freezing liquids are not considered. The HI-TRAC VW transfer cask utilizes demineralized water in the water jacket. However, the specified lowest service temperature for the HI-TRAC VW is 0 degrees F and a 25% ethylene glycol solution is required for the temperatures from 0 degrees F to 32 degrees F. Therefore, loads due to expansion of freezing liquids are not considered.

There is one condition, however, that does require examination to ensure ready retrievability of the fuel. Under a postulated loading of an MPC from a HI-TRAC VW transfer cask into a cold HI-STORM FW storage overpack, it must be demonstrated that sufficient clearances are available to preclude interference when the "hot" MPC is inserted into a "cold" storage overpack. To this end, a bounding analysis for free thermal expansions has been performed in Subsection 4.4.6, wherein the MPC shell is postulated at its maximum design basis temperature and the thermal expansion of the overpack is ignored. The results from the evaluation of free thermal expansion are summarized in Table 4.4.6. The final radial clearance is sufficient to preclude jamming of the MPC upon insertion into a cold HI-STORM FW storage overpack.

3.4.6 Miscellaneous Evaluations

3.4.6.1 Structural Integrity of Damaged Fuel Containers (DFCs)

The Damaged Fuel Container (DFC) is used to store fuel that is physically impaired such that it cannot be handled by normal means. The DFC, as shown in the licensing drawings, is equipped with a handle welded to a square cellular box with a perforated baseplate structurally capable of supporting the weight of the fuel while permitting water (but not particulates) to pass through. All load bearing members of the DFC are designed to meet Level A service limit when holding a spent fuel assembly.

Because the DFC is always handled under water, there are no radiation release-related issues

HOLTEC INTERNATIONAL COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL REPORT HI-2114830

3-97

associated with it.

3.4.7 Service Life of HI-STORM FW and HI-TRAC VW

The term of the 10CFR72, Subpart L C of C, granted by the NRC is 20 years; therefore, the License Life (see Glossary) of all components is 20 years. Nonetheless, the HI-STORM FW storage overpack and the HI-TRAC VW transfer cask are engineered for 60 years of design life, while satisfying the conservative design requirements defined in Chapter 2, including the regulatory requirements of 10CFR72. In addition, the storage overpack and HI-TRAC VW are designed, fabricated, and inspected under the comprehensive Quality Assurance Program approved by the USNRC and in accordance with the applicable requirements of the ACI and ASME Codes. This assures high design margins, high quality fabrication, and verification of compliance through rigorous inspection and testing, as described in Chapter 10 and the licensing drawings in Section 1.5. Technical Specifications defined in Chapter 13 assure that the integrity of the cask and the contained MPC are maintained throughout the components' design life. The design life of a component, as defined in the Glossary, is the minimum duration for which the equipment or system is engineered to perform its intended function if operated and maintained in accordance with the FSAR. The design life is essentially the lower bound value of the service life, which is the expected functioning life of the component or system. Therefore, component longevity should be: licensed life < design life < service life. (The licensed life, enunciated by the USNRC, is the most pessimistic estimate of a component's life span). For purposes of further discussion, we principally focus on the service life of the HI-STORM FW system components that, as stated earlier, is the reasonable expectation of equipment's functioning life span.

The service life of the storage overpack and HI-TRAC VW transfer cask is further discussed in the following.

3.4.7.1 Storage Overpack

The principal design considerations that bear on the adequacy of the storage overpack for the service life are addressed as follows:

Exposure to Environmental Effects

All exposed surfaces of the HI-STORM FW overpack are made from ferritic steels that are readily painted. Concrete, which serves strictly as a shielding material, is completely encased in steel. Therefore, the potential of environmental vagaries such as spalling of concrete, are ruled out for HI-STORM FW. Under normal storage conditions, the bulk temperature of the HI-STORM FW storage overpack will, because of its large thermal inertia, change very gradually with time. Therefore, material degradation from rapid thermal ramping conditions is not credible for the HI-STORM FW storage overpack. Similarly, corrosion of structural steel embedded in the concrete structures due to salinity in the environment at coastal sites is not a concern for HI-STORM FW because HI-STORM FW does not rely on rebars (indeed, it contains no rebars). As discussed in Appendix 1.D of HI-STORM 100 FSAR, the aggregates, cement and water used in the storage cask concrete are carefully

HOLTEC INTERNATIONAL COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL

REPORT HI-2114830

controlled to provide high durability and resistance to temperature effects. The configuration of the storage overpack assures resistance to freeze-thaw degradation. In addition, the storage overpack is specifically designed for a full range of enveloping design basis natural phenomena that could occur over the 60-year design life of the storage overpack as defined in Subsection 2.2.3 and evaluated in Chapter 12. Chapter 8 provides further discussions on chemical and galvanic reactions, material compatibility and operating environments.

Material Degradation

As discussed in Chapter 8, the relatively low neutron flux to which the storage overpack is subjected cannot produce measurable degradation of the cask's material properties and impair its intended safety function. Exposed carbon steel components are coated to prevent corrosion. The controlled environment of the ISFSI storage pad mitigates damage due to direct exposure to corrosive chemicals that may be present in other industrial applications.

Maintenance and Inspection Provisions

The requirements for periodic inspection and maintenance of the storage overpack throughout the 60-year design life are defined in Chapter 10. These requirements include provisions for routine inspection of the storage overpack exterior and periodic visual verification that the ventilation flow paths of the storage overpack are free and clear of debris. ISFSIs located in areas subject to atmospheric conditions that may degrade the storage cask or canister should be evaluated by the licensee on a site-specific basis to determine the frequency for such inspections to assure long-term performance. In addition, the HI-STORM FW system is designed for easy retrieval of the MPC from the storage overpack should it become necessary to perform more detailed inspections and repairs on the storage overpack.

The above findings are consistent with those of the NRC's Waste Confidence Decision Review [3.4.10], which concluded that dry storage systems designed, fabricated, inspected, and operated in accordance with such requirements are adequate for a 100-year service life while satisfying the requirements of 10CFR72.

3.4.7.2 Transfer Cask

The principal design considerations that bear on the adequacy of the HI-TRAC VW transfer cask for the service life are addressed as follows:

Exposure to Environmental Effects

All transfer cask materials that come in contact with the spent fuel pool are coated to facilitate decontamination. The HI-TRAC VW is designed for repeated normal condition handling operations with high factor of safety to assure structural integrity. The resulting cyclic loading produces stresses that are well below the endurance limit of the cask's materials, and therefore, will not lead to a fatigue failure in the transfer cask. All other off-normal or postulated accident conditions are

infrequent or one-time occurrences that do not contribute significantly to fatigue. In addition, the transfer cask utilizes materials that are not susceptible to brittle fracture during the lowest temperature permitted for loading, as discussed in Subsection 8.4.3.

Chapter 8 provides further discussions on chemical and galvanic reactions, material compatibility and operating environments.

Material Degradation

As discussed in Chapter 8, all transfer cask materials that are susceptible to corrosion are coated. The controlled environment in which the HI-TRAC VW is used mitigates damage due to direct exposure to corrosive chemicals that may be present in other industrial applications. The infrequent use and relatively low neutron flux to which the HI-TRAC VW materials are subjected do not result in radiation embrittlement or degradation of the HI-TRAC's shielding materials that could impair the HI-TRAC's intended safety function. The HI-TRAC VW transfer cask materials are selected for durability and wear resistance for their deployment.

Maintenance and Inspection Provisions

The requirements for periodic inspection and maintenance of the HI-TRAC VW transfer cask throughout the 60-year design life are defined in Chapter 10. These requirements include provisions for routine inspection of the HI-TRAC VW transfer cask for damage prior to each use, including an annual inspection of the lifting attachments. Precautions are taken during lid handling operations to protect the sealing surfaces of the bottom lid. The leak tightness of the liquid neutron shield is verified periodically. The water jacket pressure rupture discs and other fittings used can be easily removed.

3.4.8 MPC Service Life

The term of the 10CFR72, Subpart L C of C, granted by the NRC (i.e., licensed life) is 20 years. Nonetheless, the HI-STORM FW MPCs are designed for 60 years of design life, while satisfying the conservative design requirements defined in Chapter 2, including the regulatory requirements of 10CFR72. Additional assurance of the integrity of the MPC and the contained SNF assemblies throughout the 60-year life of the MPC is provided through the following:

- Design, fabrication, and inspection invoke the pertinent requirements of the ASME Code, as applicable, assures high inherent design margins in operating modes.
- Fabrication and inspection performed in accordance with the comprehensive Quality Assurance program assures competent compliance with the fabrication requirements.
- Use of materials with known characteristics, verified through rigorous inspection and testing, as described in Chapter 10, assures component compliance with design requirements.

3-100

• Use of welding procedures in full compliance with Section III of the ASME Code ensures high-quality weld joints.

Technical Specifications, as defined in Chapter 13, have been developed and imposed on the MPC that assure that the integrity of the MPC and the contained SNF assemblies are maintained throughout the 60-year design life of the MPC.

The principal design considerations bearing on the adequacy of the MPC for the service life are summarized below.

Corrosion

All MPC materials are fabricated from corrosion-resistant austenitic stainless steel and passivated aluminum. The corrosion-resistant characteristics of such materials for dry SNF storage canister applications, as well as the protection offered by these materials against other material degradation effects, are well established in the nuclear industry. The moisture in the MPC is removed to eliminate all oxidizing liquids and gases and the MPC cavity is backfilled with dry inert helium at the time of closure to maintain an atmosphere in the MPC that provides corrosion protection for the SNF cladding throughout the dry storage period. The preservation of this non-corrosive atmosphere is assured by the inherent sealworthiness of the MPC Confinement Boundary integrity (there are no gasketed joints in the MPC).

Structural Fatigue

The passive non-cyclic nature of dry storage conditions does not subject the MPC to conditions that might lead to structural fatigue failure. Ambient temperature and insolation cycling during normal dry storage conditions and the resulting fluctuations in MPC thermal gradients and internal pressure is the only mechanism for fatigue. These low-stress, high-cycle conditions cannot lead to a fatigue failure of the MPC that is made from stainless alloy stock (endurance limit well in excess of 20,000 psi). All other off-normal or postulated accident conditions are infrequent or one-time occurrences, which cannot produce fatigue failures. Finally, the MPC uses materials that are not susceptible to brittle fracture.

Maintenance of Helium Atmosphere

The inert helium atmosphere in the MPC provides a non-oxidizing environment for the SNF cladding to assure its integrity during long-term storage. The preservation of the helium atmosphere in the MPC is assured by the robust design of the MPC Confinement Boundary described in Section 7.1. Maintaining an inert environment in the MPC mitigates conditions that might otherwise lead to SNF cladding failures. The required mass quantity of helium backfilled into the canister at the time of closure and the associated fabrication and closure requirements for the canister are specifically set down to assure that an inert helium atmosphere is maintained in the canister throughout the 60-year design life.

HOLTEC INTERNATIONAL COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL

REPORT HI-2114830

Allowable Fuel Cladding Temperatures

The helium atmosphere in the MPC promotes heat removal and thus reduces SNF cladding temperatures during dry storage. In addition, the SNF decay heat will substantially attenuate over a 60-year dry storage period. Maintaining the fuel cladding temperatures below allowable levels during long-term dry storage mitigates the damage mechanism that might otherwise lead to SNF cladding failures. The allowable long-term SNF cladding temperatures used for thermal acceptance of the MPC design are conservatively determined, as discussed in Section 4.3.

Neutron Absorber Boron Depletion

The effectiveness of the fixed borated neutron absorbing material used in the MPC fuel basket design requires that sufficient concentrations of boron be present to assure criticality safety during worst case design basis conditions over the 60-year design life of the MPC. Information on the characteristics of the borated neutron absorbing material used in the MPC fuel basket is provided in Subsection 1.2.1 and Chapter 8. The relatively low neutron flux, to which this borated material is subjected and will continue to decay over time, does not result in significant depletion of the material's available boron to perform its intended safety function. In addition, the boron content of the material used in the criticality safety analysis is conservatively based on the minimum specified boron areal density (rather than the nominal), which is further reduced by 25% for analysis purposes, as described in Section 6.1. Analysis discussed in Section 6.3 demonstrates that the boron depletion in the neutron absorber material is negligible over a 60-year duration. Thus, sufficient levels of boron are present in the fuel basket neutron absorbing material to maintain criticality safety functions over the 60-year design life of the MPC.

The above findings are consistent with those of the NRC's Waste Confidence Decision Review, which concluded that dry storage systems designed, fabricated, inspected, and operated in the manner of the requirements set down in this document are adequate for a 100-year service life, while satisfying the requirements of 10CFR72.

3.4.9 Design and Service Life

The discussion in the preceding sections seeks to provide the logical underpinnings for setting the design life of the storage overpacks, the HI-TRAC VW transfer cask, and the MPCs as sixty years. Design life, as stated earlier, is a lower bound value for the expected performance life of a component (service life). If operated and maintained in accordance with this Safety Analysis Report, Holtec International expects the service life of HI-STORM FW casks to substantially exceed their design life values.

HOLTEC INTERNATIONAL COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL REPORT HI-2114830

Table 3.4.1						
STRESS INTENSITY RESULTS FOR MPC ENCLOSURE VESSEL – NORMAL HANDLING						
Item	em Calculated Value (ksi) Allowable Limit (ksi) Safety Factor					
Lid – Primary						
Membrane Stress	6.94	18.05	2.60			
Intensity						
Lid – Local	ţ					
Membrane Plus	6 94	271	3.90			
Primary Bending	0.74	27.1	5.70			
Stress Intensity		······································				
Baseplate – Primary						
Membrane Stress	8.32	20.0	2.40			
Intensity		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
Baseplate – Local						
Membrane Plus	21.8	30.0	138			
Primary Bending	21.0	50.0	1.50			
Stress Intensity						
Shell – Primary						
Membrane Stress	13.14	18.05	1.37			
Intensity						
Shell – Local						
Membrane Plus						
Primary Bending Plus	56.50	60.0	1.06			
Secondary Stress						
Intensity						

Table 3.4.2			
STRESS RESULTS FOR HI-TRAC VW – NORMAL HANDLING			
Item	Calculated Value (ksi)	Allowable Limit (ksi)	Safety Factor
Top Flange-to- Inner/Outer Shell Weld – Primary Shear Stress	5.79	17.4	3.01
Inner/Outer Shell – Primary Membrane Stress	1.72	19.6	11.4
Bottom Lid Bolts – Tensile Stress	9.27	41.2	4.44
Bottom Lid – Primary Bending Stress	3.80	30.0	7.90

Table 3.4.3			
STRESS RESULTS FOR HI-STORM FW – NORMAL HANDLING			
ltem	Calculated Value (ksi)	Allowable Limit (ksi)	Safety Factor
Inner/Outer Shell –			
Primary Membrane	1.92	20.0	10.4
Stress			
Inner/Outer Shell –			
Primary Membrane	3.42	30.0	8.77
Plus Bending Stress			
Baseplate – Primary	1 97	20.0	10.2
Membrane Stress	1.77	20.0	10.2
Baseplate – Primary			
Membrane Plus	3.42	30.0	8.77
Bending Stress			
Lifting Rib – Primary	4 79	20.0	4 18
Membrane Stress	T.77	20.0	
Lifting Rib – Primary			
Membrane Plus	6.22	30.0	4.82
Bending Stress			
Shell-to-Baseplate			
Weld – Primary Shear	4.56	21.0	4.60
Stress			

Table 3.4.4			
STRESS RESULTS FOR HI-STORM FW LID – NORMAL HANDLING			
Item	Calculated Value (ksi)	Allowable Limit (ksi)	Safety Factor
Maximum Primary Membrane Stress	1.57	16.6	10.6
Maximum Primary Membrane Plus Bending Stress	1.57	24.9	15.9

HOLTEC INTERNATIONAL COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL REPORT HI-2114830

Table 3.4.5			
CASI	K ROTATIONS DUE TO	D LARGE MISSILE IMP	PACT
Event	Calculated Value	Allowable Limit	Safety Factor
	(deg)	(deg)	
Missile Impact plus			
Tornado Wind on HI-	3.37	30.3	8.99
STORM FW			
Missile Impact plus			
Pressure Drop on HI-	3.91	30.3	7.75
STORM FW			
Missile Impact plus			
Tornado Wind on HI-	14.40	23.6	1.64
TRAC VW			
Missile Impact plus			
Pressure Drop on HI-	12.32	23.6	1.92
TRAC VW			

HOLTEC INTERNATIONAL COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL

_

Table 3.4.6			
MISSILE PENETRATION RESULTS – SMALL AND INTERMEDIATE MISSILE			
Missile Type – Impact Location	Calculated Value (in)	Allowable Limit (in)	Safety Factor
Small Missile – All Impact Locations	< 0.4 in	> 0.5 in (MPC shell thickness) ^{\dagger}	> 1.25
Intermediate Missile – Side Strike on HI- STORM FW Outer Shell (away from Inlet)	8.39	29.00	3.46
Intermediate Missile – Side Strike on HI- STORM FW Outer Shell (at Inlet)	11.69	24.00	2.05
Intermediate Missile – End Strike on HI- STORM FW Lid	10.46	19.25	1.84
Intermediate Missile – Side Strike on HI- TRAC VW Outer Shell	0.50	1.50	3.00
Intermediate Missile – End Strike on MPC Closure Lid	0.23	9.00	39.13

[†] In reality, a maximum velocity impact between the small projectile missile and the MPC shell is not credible due to the geometry of the HI-STORM FW inlet and outlet vents (i.e., no direct line of sight).

Table 3.4.7					
STRESS II	STRESS INTENSITY RESULTS FOR MPC ENCLOSURE VESSEL – DESIGN INTERNAL PRESSURE				
Item	Calculated Value (ksi) Allowable Limit (ksi) Safety Factor				
Lid – Primary	5.00	10.05	2.02		
Membrane Stress Intensity	5.98	18.05	3.02		
Lid – Local					
Membrane Plus	5.98	27.1	4.53		
Stress Intensity					
Baseplate – Primary					
Membrane Stress	7.12	20.0	2.81		
Intensity					
Baseplate – Local					
Primary Bending	18.65	30.0	1.61		
Stress Intensity					
Shell – Primary					
Membrane Stress	11.50	18.05	1.57		
Shell - Local					
Membrane Plus					
Primary Bending Plus	50.10	60.0	1.20		
Secondary Stress					
Intensity					

Table 3.4.8			
STRESS INTENSITY RESULTS FOR MPC ENCLOSURE VESSEL –			
	ACCIDENT INTE	RNAL PRESSURE	
ltem	Calculated Value (ksi)	Allowable Limit (ksi)	Safety Factor
Lid – Primary	•		
Membrane Stress	11.97	43.3	3.62
Intensity			
Lid – Local			
Membrane Plus	11.97	64 95	5 43
Primary Bending		01.70	5.10
Stress Intensity			
Baseplate – Primary			
Membrane Stress	14.25	46.3	3.25
Intensity			
Baseplate – Local			
Membrane Plus	37.29	69 45	1.86
Primary Bending	57.27	07.15	1.00
Stress Intensity			······································
Shell – Primary			
Membrane Stress	22.99	43.3	1.88
Intensity			

HOLTEC INTERNATIONAL COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL REPORT HI-2114830

Table 2 1 Q				
Table 5.4.9				
STRE	STRESS RESULTS FOR HIJTRAC VW WATER LACKET			
	ACCIDENT INTE	RNAL PRESSURE		
ltem	Calculated Value (ksi)	Allowable Limit (ksi)	Safety Factor	
Bottom Flange -				
Primary Membrane	5.07	58.5	11.54	
Plus Bending Stress				
Water Jacket Shell -				
Primary Membrane	7.97	58.5	7.34	
Plus Bending Stress				
Water Jacket Rib –				
Primary Membrane	4.72	39.0	8.26	
Stress				
Water Jacket Shell-to-				
Bottom Flange Weld	2 70	20.4	7.04	
– Primary Shear	5.70	29.4	7.94	
Stress				

HOLTEC INTERNATIONAL COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL REPORT HI-2114830

Table 3.4.10			
STRESS RESULTS FOR HI-STORM FW LID –			
Item	Calculated Value (ksi)	Allowable Limit (ksi)	Safety Factor
Maximum Primary Membrane Stress	1.81	16.6	9.16
Maximum Primary Membrane Plus Bending Stress	1.81	24.9	13.7

HOLTEC INTERNATIONAL COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL REPORT HI-2114830

Table 3.4.11		
INPUT DATA USED FOR CALCULATING ANGULAR VELOCITY OF OVERPACK DURING NON-MECHANISTIC TIPOVER (LOAD CASE 4)		
Item	Value	
Maximum weight of loaded HI-STORM FW (W)	426,300 lbf*	
Mid-height of maximum length HI-STORM FW (h)	119.75 in	
Outer diameter of HI-STORM FW (d)	140 in	
Distance between cask pivot point and cask center (r)	138.709 in	
Mass moment of inertia of loaded HI-STORM FW	$1.076 \times 10^{10} \text{ lb-in}^2$	
about cask pivot point (I _A)		

[†] Bounds value in Table 3.2.8.

HOLTEC INTERNATIONAL COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL

REPORT HI-2114830

3-113

HI-STORM FW FSAR -Non-Proprietary Revision 2, June 24, 2013 Rev. 2

.. - ·

Table 3.4.12	
INPUT VALUES USED FOR CALCULATING STRESS IN WATER JACKET SHELL (LOAD CASE 8)	
Item	Value
Mean radius of water jacket shell (R)	47.25 in
Thickness of water jacket shell (d)	0.5 in
Width of beam strip (b) 1 in	
Extreme fiber distance of beam cross-section (c)	0.25 in
Unsupported span of water jacket shell (θ)	45 deg
Distributed load on water jacket shell (w)	-75 lbf/in [†]
Span of distributed load on water jacket shell (\$)	45 deg

Note: Variables are defined in Figure 3.4.35.

.

[†] Bounds accident internal pressure in Table 2.2.1 for HI-TRAC water jacket.

HOLTEC INTERNATIONAL COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL

.

Table 3.4.13						
PARAMETERS SIGNIFICANT TO CRACK PROPAGATION OF METAMIC-HT FUEL BASKETS						
	HI-STAR 180 F-37 (Attachment D of [1.2.6])	HI-STORM FW MPC-37	HI-STORM FW MPC-89			
Storage cell width, w (in)	8.11	8.94	6.01			
Panel thickness, t (in)	0.59	0.59	0.40			
Reference metal temperature (°C)	275	365	325			
Design basis g-load under lateral loading event*, acc (g)	95	61.75	54.14			
Fuel dead load per unit length, f (lbf/in)	8.04	9.79	4.25			
Panel stress**, σ(ksi)	13.35	11.64	6.48			

* For HI-STORM FW MPCs, the limiting lateral loading is from the non-mechanistic tip-over scenario.

** To facilitate comparison, panel stress is computed according to the following formula (parameters are defined in first column of table):

$$\sigma = \frac{3 \cdot acc \cdot f \cdot w}{4 \cdot t^2}$$

which assumes that the storage cell wall acts as a simply supported beam strip under a uniformly distributed load equal to the amplified fuel weight.

Table 3.4.14					
KEY INPUT DATA FOR FUEL ROD INTEGRITY ANALYSIS DURING MPC REFLOOD EVENT (LOAD CASE 11)					
Item	Input Value	Source			
Cladding Thickness (for reference PWR	0.022	SAR Tables 1.0.4 and			
fuel), in		2.1.2			
Cladding OD (for reference PWR fuel), in	0.377	SAR Tables 1.0.4 and			
		2.1.2			
Fuel Rod Pressure, psi	2,000	Ref. [3.4.24]			
		(upper bound value)			
Yield Strength of Zircaloy, psi	100,000 (at 80°F) 50,500 (at 750°F)	Ref. [3.4.21]			
Tensile Strength of Zircaloy, psi	112,100 (at 80°F) 68,200 (at 750°F)	Ref. [3.4.21]			
Elastic Modulus of Zircaloy, $\times 10^6$ psi	13.42 (at 80°F) 10.4 (at 750°F)	Ref. [3.4.21]			
Coefficient of Thermal Expansion of	3.3 (at 80°F)	Dof [2 / 22]			
Zircaloy, $\times 10^{-6}$ in/in/°F	4.5 (at 750°F)	Kel. [3.4.22]			
Poisson's Ratio of Zircaloy	0.4	Appendix C of			
		Ref. [3.4.23]			

Table 3.4.15				
MAXIMUM RESULTS FOR FUEL ROD INTEGRITY ANALYSIS DURING MPC REFLOOD EVENT (LOAD CASE 11)				
Result	Value			
Maximum Stress in Fuel Rod Cladding	29,995 psi			
Maximum Strain in Fuel Rod Cladding	2.66 x 10 ⁻³			

HOLTEC INTERNATIONAL COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL REPORT HI-2114830

Table 3.4.16						
CASK SLIDING DISPLACEMENTS DUE LARGE MISSILE IMPACT (LOAD CASE 3)						
Cask	Calculated Sliding	Allowable Sliding	Safety Factor			
	Displacement (ft)	Displacement (ft)				
HI-STORM FW	0.352	3.33 (cask to cask)	9.46			
		6.2 (cask to edge of ISFSI pad)	17.6			
HI-TRAC VW	1.07	None Established	-			

HOLTEC INTERNATIONAL COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL REPORT HI-2114830



Figure 3.4.1: ANSYS Model of MPC Enclosure Vessel - Normal Handling

HOLTEC INTERNATIONAL COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL REPORT HI-2114830



Figure 3.4.2: Stress Intensity Distribution in MPC Enclosure Vessel - Normal Handling



Figure 3.4.3: ANSYS Model of HI-STORM FW Overpack – Normal Handling

HOLTEC INTERNATIONAL COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL REPORT HI-2114830

3-121



Figure 3.4.4: Stress Distribution in HI-STORM FW Overpack - Normal Handling

HOLTEC INTERNATIONAL COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL REPORT HI-2114830

Rev. 2

HI-STORM FW FSAR -Non-Proprietary Revision 2, June 24, 2013



Figure 3.4.5: ANSYS Model of HI-STORM FW Lid - Normal Handling

HOLTEC INTERNATIONAL COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL REPORT HI-2114830



Figure 3.4.6: Stress Distribution in HI-STORM FW Lid - Normal Handling

HOLTEC INTERNATIONAL COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL REPORT HI-2114830

Rev. 2

HI-STORM FW FSAR -Non-Proprietary Revision 2, June 24, 2013



Figure 3.4.7: Free Body Diagram of Cask for Large Missile Strike/Tornado Event

HOLTEC INTERNATIONAL COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL REPORT HI-2114830



Figure 3.4.8: Cask Configuration at Incipient Tipping

HOLTEC INTERNATIONAL COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL REPORT HI-2114830

Rev. 2

3-126

HI-STORM FW FSAR -Non-Proprietary Revision 2, June 24, 2013


HISTORM FW (loaded with MPC 37) TIPOVER

Figure 3.4.9A: LS-DYNA Tipover Model – HI-STORM FW Loaded with MPC-37

HOLTEC INTERNATIONAL COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL REPORT HI-2114830

Rev. 2



Figure 3.4.9B: LS-DYNA Tipover Model - HI-STORM FW Loaded with MPC-89

HOLTEC INTERNATIONAL COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL

REPORT HI-2114830

Rev. 2



Figure 3.4.10A: LS-DYNA Model – HI-STORM FW for MPC-37



Figure 3.4.10B: LS-DYNA Model – HI-STORM FW for MPC-89

HOLTEC INTERNATIONAL COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL REPORT HI-2114830

Rev. 2

HI-STORM FW FSAR -Non-Proprietary Revision 2, June 24, 2013



Figure 3.4.11A: LS-DYNA Model – MPC-37 Enclosure Vessel

HOLTEC INTERNATIONAL COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL REPORT HI-2114830

Rev. 2



Figure 3.4.11B: LS-DYNA Model – MPC-89 Enclosure Vessel

HOLTEC INTERNATIONAL COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL

REPORT HI-2114830

Rev. 2



Figure 3.4.12A: LS-DYNA Model – MPC-37 Fuel Basket (note: the different colors represent regions with bounding temperatures of 340°C, 325°C, 300°C and 250°C, respectively)

HOLTEC INTERNATIONAL COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL REPORT HI-2114830

Rev. 2



Figure 3.4.12B: LS-DYNA Model – MPC-89 Fuel Basket (note: the different colors represent regions with bounding temperatures of 325°C, 300°C, 250°C and 200°C, respectively)

HOLTEC INTERNATIONAL COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL REPORT HI-2114830

Rev. 2

3-134



HISTORM FW (loaded with MPC 37) TIPOVER

Figure 3.4.13A: LS-DYNA Model – PWR Fuel Assemblies

HOLTEC INTERNATIONAL COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL REPORT HI-2114830

Rev. 2

HI-STORM FW FSAR -Non-Proprietary Revision 2, June 24, 2013



Figure 3.4.13B: LS-DYNA Model – BWR Fuel Assemblies & Damaged Fuel Containers

HOLTEC INTERNATIONAL COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL

HI-STORM FW FSAR -Non-Proprietary Revision 2, June 24, 2013

REPORT HI-2114830



Figure 3.4.14A: LS-DYNA Model - MPC-37 Fuel Basket Shims

HOLTEC INTERNATIONAL COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL REPORT HI-2114830

Rev. 2

3-137



Figure 3.4.14B: LS-DYNA Model - MPC-89 Fuel Basket Shims

HOLTEC INTERNATIONAL COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL

Rev. 2

3-138

HI-STORM FW FSAR -Non-Proprietary Revision 2, June 24, 2013

REPORT HI-2114830



Figure 3.4.15A: Maximum Plastic Strain - MPC-37 Fuel Basket

HOLTEC INTERNATIONAL COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL

Rev. 2

HI-STORM FW FSAR -Non-Proprietary Revision 2, June 24, 2013

REPORT HI-2114830

HISTORM FW (loaded with MPC 89) TIPOVE Time = 0.05 Contours of Effective Plastic Strain max ipt. value min=0, at elem# 537641 max=0.134363, at elem# 606300

Figure 3.4.15B: Maximum Plastic Strain - MPC-89 Fuel Basket

HOLTEC INTERNATIONAL COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL

REPORT HI-2114830

z X Y

3-140

Rev. 2

Fringe Levels

1.344e-01

1.209e-01

1.075e-01 _ 9.405e-02 _ 8.062e-02 _ 6.718e-02 _ 5.375e-02 _ 4.031e-02 _ 2.687e-02 _ 1.344e-02 _ 0.000e+00



Figure 3.4.16A: Maximum Plastic Strain – MPC-37 Enclosure Vessel

HOLTEC INTERNATIONAL COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL REPORT HI-2114830

Rev. 2

3-141

HISTORM FW (loaded with MPC 89) TIPOVE Time = 0.05 Contours of Effective Plastic Strain max ipt. value min=0, at elem# 400433 max=0.095544, at elem# 424218

Fringe Levels 9.554e-02 8.599e-02 7.644e-02 6.688e-02 4.777e-02 3.822e-02 2.866e-02 1.911e-02 9.554e-03 0.000e+00

Figure 3.4.16B: Maximum Plastic Strain - MPC-89 Enclosure Vessel

HOLTEC INTERNATIONAL COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL REPORT HI-2114830

Rev. 2



Figure 3.4.17A: Maximum Plastic Strain – HI-STORM FW Overpack (for MPC-37, Excluding MPC Guide Tubes)

HOLTEC INTERNATIONAL COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL REPORT HI-2114830

Rev. 2

3-143

HISTORM FW (loaded with MPC 89) TIPOVE Time = Fringe Levels 0.05 **Contours of Effective Plastic Strain** 1.506e-01 max ipt. value min=0, at elem# 43717 1.355e-01 max=0.150564, at elem# 20165 1.205e-01 1.054e-01 9.034e-02_ 7.528e-02 6.023e-02 4.517e-02 3.011e-02 1.506e-02 0.000e+00 Z Y X

> Figure 3.4.17B: Maximum Plastic Strain – HI-STORM FW Overpack (for MPC-89, Excluding MPC Guide Tubes)

HOLTEC INTERNATIONAL COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL REPORT HI-2114830

Rev. 2

HI-STORM FW FSAR -Non-Proprietary Revision 2, June 24, 2013

HISTORM FW (loaded with MPC 37) TIPOVE Time = 0.05 Contours of Effective Plastic Strain max ipt. value min=0, at elem# 43717 max=0.0065258, at elem# 44005

Fringe Levels 6.526e-03 5.873e-03 5.221e-03 4.568e-03 3.915e-03 3.263e-03 2.610e-03 1.958e-03 1.305e-03 6.526e-04 0.000e+00





Figure 3.4.18A: Maximum Plastic Strain – HI-STORM FW Overpack (for MPC-37) Closure Lid Bolts

HOLTEC INTERNATIONAL COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL REPORT HI-2114830

Rev. 2

HI-STORM FW FSAR -Non-Proprietary Revision 2, June 24, 2013

HISTORM FW (loaded with MPC 89) TIPOVE Time = 0.05 Contours of Effective Plastic Strain max ipt. value min=0, at elem# 43717 max=0.00423532, at elem# 44034

Fringe Levels 4.235e-03 3.812e-03 2.965e-03 2.541e-03 2.118e-03 1.694e-03 1.271e-03 8.471e-04 4.235e-04 0.000e+00



Figure 3.4.18B: Maximum Plastic Strain – HI-STORM FW Overpack (for MPC-37) Closure Lid Bolts

HOLTEC INTERNATIONAL COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL REPORT HI-2114830

Rev. 2

HI-STORM FW FSAR -Non-Proprietary Revision 2, June 24, 2013



Figure 3.4.19A: Vertical Rigid Body Deceleration Time History – Cask Lid Concrete (for HI-STORM FW Loaded with MPC-37)

HOLTEC INTERNATIONAL COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL REPORT HI-2114830



Figure 3.4.19B: Vertical Rigid Body Deceleration Time History – Cask Lid Concrete (for HI-STORM FW Loaded with MPC-89)

HOLTEC INTERNATIONAL COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL REPORT HI-2114830

Rev. 2



Figure 3.4.20A: Horizontal Rigid Body Deceleration Time History – Cask Lid Concrete (for HI-STORM FW Loaded with MPC-37)

HOLTEC INTERNATIONAL COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL REPORT HI-2114830

Rev. 2

~ '



Figure 3.4.20B: Horizontal Rigid Body Deceleration Time History – Cask Lid Concrete (for HI-STORM FW Loaded with MPC-89)

HOLTEC INTERNATIONAL COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL



(a) Steel Weldment (Excluding Upper Shim Plates)



(b) Upper Shim Plates



HOLTEC INTERNATIONAL COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL REPORT HI-2114830

3-151

Rev. 2



Figure 3.4.22: Linearized Stress Results for Upper Shim Plate - Non-Mechanistic Tipover

HOLTEC INTERNATIONAL COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL REPORT HI-2114830

Rev. 2



Figure 3.4.23: Stress Intensity Distribution in MPC Enclosure Vessel - Design Internal Pressure

HOLTEC INTERNATIONAL COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL REPORT HI-2114830

Rev. 2



Figure 3.4.24: Stress Intensity Distribution in MPC Enclosure Vessel – Accident Internal Pressure

HOLTEC INTERNATIONAL COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL REPORT HI-2114830

Rev. 2



(a) S1 Principal Stress



(b) S3 Principal Stress

Figure 3.4.25: Stress Distribution in HI-STORM FW Lid - Snow Load

HOLTEC INTERNATIONAL COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL REPORT HI-2114830

Rev. 2

HI-STORM FW FSAR -Non-Proprietary Revision 2, June 24, 2013





HOLTEC INTERNATIONAL COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL REPORT HI-2114830

Rev. 2

HI-STORM FW FSAR -Non-Proprietary Revision 2, June 24, 2013



Figure 3.4.27: Normal Operating Temperature Distribution in MPC Enclosure Vessel

HOLTEC INTERNATIONAL COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL REPORT HI-2114830

3-157

Rev. 2





HOLTEC INTERNATIONAL COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL REPORT HI-2114830

Rev. 2

HI-STORM FW FSAR -Non-Proprietary Revision 2, June 24, 2013



Figure 3.4.29: Normal Handling of MPC Enclosure Vessel – Thru-Thickness Stress Intensity Plot at Baseplate Center

HOLTEC INTERNATIONAL COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL REPORT HI-2114830

Rev. 2

HI-STORM FW FSAR -Non-Proprietary Revision 2, June 24, 2013



Figure 3.4.30: Normal Handling of MPC Enclosure Vessel – Thru-Thickness Stress Intensity Plot at Baseplate-to-Shell Juncture

HOLTEC INTERNATIONAL COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL REPORT HI-2114830

Rev. 2

HI-STORM FW FSAR -Non-Proprietary Revision 2, June 24, 2013



Figure 3.4.31: MPC Design Internal Pressure (Load Case 5) – Boundary Conditions and Applied Loads

HOLTEC INTERNATIONAL COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL REPORT HI-2114830

Rev. 2




Figure 3.4.33: MPC Design Internal Pressure (Load Case 5) – Thru-Thickness Stress Intensity Plot at Baseplate-to-Shell Juncture

HOLTEC INTERNATIONAL COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL REPORT HI-2114830

Rev. 2

HI-STORM FW FSAR -Non-Proprietary Revision 2, June 24, 2013



HI-STORM FW FSAR -Non-Proprietary Revision 2, June 24, 2013



Figure 3.4.35: Analytical Model of HI-TRAC Water Jacket Shell (Load Case 8)

HOLTEC INTERNATIONAL COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL REPORT HI-2114830

Rev. 2

HI-STORM FW FSAR -Non-Proprietary Revision 2, June 24, 2013



Figure 3.4.36: Stress Distribution in MPC-37 Fuel Basket (Horizontal Panels Only) under 65-g Static Load

HOLTEC INTERNATIONAL COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL REPORT HI-2114830

HI-STORM FW FSAR -Non-Proprietary Revision 2, June 24, 2013



Figure 3.4.37: Finite Element Model for Fuel Rod Integrity Analysis (Load Case 11)

HOLTEC INTERNATIONAL COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL REPORT HI-2114830

3-167



Figure 3.4.38: Applied Loads for Fuel Rod Integrity Analysis (Load Case 11)

HOLTEC INTERNATIONAL COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL REPORT HI-2114830



Figure 3.4.39: Applied Temperatures for Fuel Rod Integrity Analysis (Load Case 11)

HOLTEC INTERNATIONAL COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL REPORT HI-2114830



Figure 3.4.40: Stress Distribution in Fuel Rod Due to MPC Reflood (Load Case 11)

HOLTEC INTERNATIONAL COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL REPORT HI-2114830 3-170



Figure 3.4.41: Strain Distribution in Fuel Rod Due to MPC Reflood (Load Case 11)

HOLTEC INTERNATIONAL COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL REPORT HI-2114830

3.5 FUEL RODS

The regulations governing spent fuel storage cask approval and fabrication (10 CFR 72.236) require that a storage cask system "will reasonably maintain confinement of radioactive material under normal, off-normal, and credible accident conditions" (§72.236(1)). Although the cladding of intact fuel rods does provide a barrier against the release of radioactive fission products, the confinement evaluation for the HI-STORM FW system (Chapter 7) takes no credit for fuel cladding integrity in satisfying the regulatory confinement requirement.

As described in Section 7.1, the Confinement Boundary in the HI-STORM FW system consists of the MPC Enclosure Vessel. The Enclosure Vessel is designed and, to the extent practicable, manufactured in accordance with the most stringent ASME B&PV Code (Section III, Subsection NB). As required by NB, all materials are 100% UT inspected and all butt welds are subjected to 100% volumetric inspection. The field closure features redundant barriers (the MPC lid and port cover plates are the primary barriers, the closure ring is the secondary barrier). Section 7.1 further describes that the MPC design, welding, testing and inspection requirements meet the guidance of ISG-18 [7.1.2] such that leakage from the Confinement Boundary is non-credible. Section 7.2 addresses confinement for normal and off-normal conditions, and concludes that since the MPC confinement Boundary is not credible. Confinement for accident conditions is addressed in Section 7.3, which concludes that there is no mechanistic failure mode that could result in a breach of the Confinement Boundary, and escape of radioactive materials to the environment.

Since fuel rod cladding is not considered in the design criteria for the confinement of radioactive material under normal, off-normal, or accident conditions of storage, no specific analysis or test results are required to demonstrate cladding integrity.

HOLTEC INTERNATIONAL COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL

HI-STORM FW FSAR -Non-Proprietary Revision 2, June 24, 2013

3.6 SUPPLEMENTAL DATA

3.6.1 Calculation Packages

In addition to the calculations presented in Chapter 3, supporting calculation packages have been prepared to document other information pertinent to the analyses. Supporting calculation packages back up the summary results reported in the FSAR. The Calculation Packages are referenced in the body of the FSAR and are maintained as proprietary documents in Holtec's Configuration Control system.

3.6.2 Computer Programs

Two computer programs, all with a well established history of usage in the nuclear industry, have been utilized to perform structural and mechanical analyses documented in this FSAR. These codes are ANSYS and LS-DYNA. A third computer program, Visual Nastran, is also described below even though it is not explicitly used in this FSAR. It may, however, be used to perform the seismic stability evaluation of HI-STORM FW casks for a specific ISFSI site where NUREG/CR-6865 is not applicable (see Subsection 3.4.4.1.2).

i. <u>ANSYS Mechanical</u>

ANSYS is the original (and commonly used) name for ANSYS Mechanical general-purpose finite element analysis software. ANSYS Mechanical is the version of ANSYS commonly used for structural applications. It is a self contained analysis tool incorporating pre-processing (geometry creation, meshing), solver, and post processing modules in a unified graphical user interface. ANSYS Mechanical is a general purpose finite element modeling package for numerically solving a wide variety of mechanical problems. These problems include: static/dynamic structural analysis (both linear and non-linear), heat transfer and fluid problems, as well as acoustic and electromagnetic problems.

ANSYS Mechanical has been independently QA validated by Holtec International and used for structural analysis of casks, fuel racks, pressure vessels, and a wide variety of SSCs, for over twenty years.

ii. <u>LS-DYNA</u>

LS-DYNA is a general purpose finite element code for analyzing the large deformation static and dynamic response of structures including structures coupled to fluids. The main solution methodology is based on explicit time integration and is therefore well suited for the examination of the response to shock loading. A contact-impact algorithm allows difficult contact problems to be easily treated. Spatial discretization is achieved by the use of four node tetrahedron and eight node solid elements, two node beam elements, three and four node shell elements, eight node solid shell

3-173

elements, truss elements, membrane elements, discrete elements, and rigid bodies. A variety of element formulations are available for each element type. Adaptive re-meshing is available for shell elements. LS-DYNA currently contains approximately one hundred constitutive models and ten equations-of-state to cover a wide range of material behavior.

In this safety analysis report, LS-DYNA is used to analyze all loading conditions that involve shorttime dynamic effects.

LS-DYNA is maintained in a QA-validated status in Holtec's Configuration Control system.

iii. Visual Nastran

Visual Nastran [3.6.1] is used for rigid body motion simulation of the cask components, where a simplified analysis is appropriate. VisualNastran is a kinematics simulation code that includes large orientation change capability, simulation of impacts, and representation of contact and friction behavior. Visual Nastran Desktop (VN) performs time history dynamic analysis of freestanding structures using the acceleration time-histories in the three orthogonal directions as the input. It provides a complete articulation of the dynamic response of the rigid body, including sliding, precession, and tipping (and combinations thereof). Visual Nastran is maintained in a QA-validated status by Holtec International.

All three computer codes have been benchmarked and QA-validated to establish their veracity.

The compliance matrix below provides the necessary information to document their validation status, and the measures employed pursuant to ISG-21 and Holtec's QA program, to ensure error-free solutions.

	ISG-21 and QA Compliance Matrix for Computer Codes					
	Item	ANSYS	LS-DYNA	Visual Nastran		
1.	Benchmark and QA-validation are documented in Holtec Report No.(s) (Proprietary Reports)	HI-2012627	HI-961519	HI-2022896		
2.	Computer Program Type (Public or Private Domain)	Public Domain	Public Domain	Public Domain		
3.	Does Holtec maintain a system evaluating error notices if any are issued by the Code provider to evaluate their effect on the safety analyses carried out using the Code, including Part 21 notification? (Yes/No)	Yes	Yes	Yes		
4.	Is the use of the Code restricted to personnel qualified under the Company's personnel qualification program? (Yes/No)	Yes	Yes	Yes		
5.	Has benchmarking been performed against sample problems with known independently obtained numerical solutions (Yes/No)	Yes	Yes	Yes		
6.	Have element types used in the safety analyses herein also employed in the benchmarking effort? (Yes/No)	Yes	Yes	N/A		
7.	Are the element types used in this FSAR also used in other Holtec dockets that support other CoCs? (Yes/No)	Yes	Yes	N/A		
8.	Is each update of the Code vetted for backwards consistency with prior updates? (Yes/No)	Yes	Yes	Yes		
9.	Is the use of the Code limited to the range of parameters specified in the User Manual provided by the Code Developer? (Yes/No)	Yes	Yes	Yes		
10.	Are the element aspect ratios, where applicable, used in the simulation model within the limit recommended by the Code Developer or Holtec's successful experience in other safety analyses? (Yes/No)	Yes	Yes	N/A		
11.	Are element sizes used in the simulation models consistent with past successful analyses in safety significant applications? (Yes/No)	Yes	Yes	N/A		
12.	Was every computer run in this chapter free of an error warning (i.e., in hidden warnings in the Code that indicate a possible error in the solution? (Yes/No)	Yes	Yes	N/A		
13.	If the answer to the above is No, then is the annotated warning discussed in the discussion of the result in this report?	N/A	N/A	N/A		

HOLTEC INTERNATIONAL COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL

3-175

REPORT HI-2114830

3.7 COMPLIANCE WITH THE STRUCTURAL REQUIREMENTS IN PART 72

Supporting information to provide reasonable assurance with respect to the adequacy of the HI-STORM FW system to store spent nuclear fuel in accordance with the stipulations of 10CFR72 is presented throughout this FSAR. The following statements are applicable to an affirmative structural safety evaluation:

- The design and structural analysis of the HI-STORM FW system is in compliance with the provisions of Chapter 3 of NUREG-1536 as applicable.
- The HI-STORM FW structures, systems, and components (SSC) that are important to safety (ITS) are identified in the licensing drawings in Section 1.5. The licensing drawings present the HI-STORM FW SSCs in adequate detail and the explanatory narratives in Sections 3.1 and 3.4 provide sufficient textual details to allow an independent evaluation of their structural effectiveness.
- The requirements of 10CFR72.24 with regard to information pertinent to structural evaluation is provided in Chapters 2, 3, and 12.
- Technical Specifications pertaining to the structures of the HI-STORM FW system have been provided in Chapter 13 herein pursuant to the requirements of 10CFR72.26.
- A series of analyses to demonstrate compliance with the requirements of 10CFR72.122(b) and (c), and 10CFR72.24(c)(3) have been performed which show that SSCs in the HI-STORM FW system designated as ITS possess an adequate margin of safety with respect to all load combinations applicable to normal, offnormal, accident, and natural phenomenon events. In particular, the following information is provided:
 - i. Load combinations for the fuel basket, enclosure vessel, and the HI-STORM FW/HI-TRAC VW overpacks for normal, off-normal, accident, and natural phenomenon events are provided in Subsection 3.1.2.2.
 - ii. Stress limits applicable to the Code materials are found in Section 3.3.
 - iii. The stress and displacement response of the fuel basket, the enclosure vessel, and the HI-STORM FW/HI-TRAC VW overpacks for all applicable loads have been computed by analysis and reported in Subsections 3.4.3 and 3.4.4. Descriptions of stress analysis models are presented in Subsection 3.1.3.
- The structural design and fabrication details of the fuel baskets whose safety function

HOLTEC INTERNATIONAL COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL REPORT HI-2114830

in the HI-STORM FW system is to maintain nuclear criticality safety, are provided in the drawings in Section 1.5. The structural factors of safety, summarized in Section 3.4 for all credible load combinations under normal, off-normal, accident, and natural phenomenon events demonstrate that the acceptance criteria are satisfied in all cases. In particular, the maximum lateral deflection in the fuel basket panels under accident events has been determined to be within the limit used in the criticality analysis (see Subsection 3.4.4.1.4). Thus, the requirement of 10CFR72.124(a), with respect to structural margins of safety for SSCs important to nuclear criticality safety are fully satisfied.

- Structural margins of safety during handling, packaging, and transfer operations, under the provisions of 10CFR Part 72.236(b), imply that the lifting and handling devices be engineered to comply with the stipulations of ANSI N14.6, NUREG-0612. The requirements of the governing standards for handling operations are summarized in Subsection 3.4.3 herein. Factors of safety for all ITS components under lifting and handling operations are summarized in tables in Section 3.4, which show that adequate structural margins exist in all cases.
- Consistent with the provisions of 10CFR72.236(i), the Confinement Boundary for the HI-STORM FW system has been engineered to maintain confinement of radioactive materials under normal, off-normal, and postulated accident conditions. This assertion of confinement integrity is made on the strength of the following information provided in this FSAR.
 - i. The MPC Enclosure Vessel which constitutes the Confinement Boundary is designed and fabricated in accordance with Section III, Subsection NB (Class I nuclear components) of the ASME Code to the maximum extent practicable.
 - ii. The primary lid of the MPC Enclosure Vessel is welded using a strength groove weld and is subjected to multiple liquid penetrant examinations and pressure testing to establish a maximum confidence in weld joint integrity.
 - iii. The closure system of the MPC Enclosure Vessel consists of *two* independent isolation barriers.
 - iv. The Confinement Boundary is constructed from stainless steel alloys with a proven history of material integrity under the environmental conditions of an ISFSI.
 - v. The load combinations for normal, off-normal, accident, and natural phenomena events have been compiled and applied on the MPC Enclosure Vessel (Confinement Boundary). The results, summarized in Section 3.4, show that the factor of safety (with respect to the appropriate limits) is

3-177

greater than one in all cases. Design Basis natural phenomena events such as tornado-borne missiles (large, intermediate, or small) have also been analyzed to evaluate their potential for reaching and breaching the Confinement Boundary. Analyses presented in Section 3.4 and supplemented by Appendices 3.A and 3.B show that the integrity of the Confinement Boundary is preserved under all design basis projectile impact scenarios.

- The information on structural design included in this FSAR complies with the requirements of 10CFR72.120 and 10CFR72.122.
- The structural design features in the HI-STORM FW system are in compliance with the specific requirements of 10CFR72.236(e), (f), (g), (h), (i), (j), (k), and (m).

HOLTEC INTERNATIONAL COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL REPORT HI-2114830

. .

3.8 REFERENCES

- [3.1.1] NUREG-0612, "Control of Heavy Loads at Nuclear Power Plants," United States Nuclear Regulatory Commission.
- [3.1.2] ANSI N14.6-1993, "American National Standard for Special Lifting Devices for Shipping Containers Weighing 10000 Pounds (4500 kg) or More for Nuclear Materials," American National Standards Institute, Inc.
- [3.1.3] D. Burgreen, "Design Methods for Power Plant Structures", Arcturus Publishers, 1975.
- [3.1.4] HI-STORM 100 FSAR, Holtec Report No. HI-2002444, Revision 7 [USNRC Docket 72-1014].
- [3.1.5] NUREG/CR-1815, "Recommendations for Protecting Against Failure by Brittle Fracture in Ferritic Steel Shipping Containers Up to Four Inches Thick"
- [3.1.6] Aerospace Structural Metals Handbook, Manson.
- [3.1.7] SHAKE2000, A Computer Program for the 1-D Analysis of Geotechnical Earthquake Engineering Problems, G.A. Ordonez, Dec. 2000.
- [3.1.8] LS-DYNA, Version 971, Livermore Software Technology, 2006.
- [3.1.9] "Construction of True-Stress-True-Strain Curves for LS-DYNA Simulations," Holtec Proprietary Position Paper DS-307, Revision 2.*
- [3.1.10] HI-STAR 180 SAR, Holtec Report No. HI-2073681, Revision 3 [USNRC Docket 71-9325].
- [3.3.1] ASME Boiler & Pressure Vessel Code, Section II, Part D, 2007.
- [3.3.2] HI-STAR 60 SAR, Holtec Report No. HI-2073710, Revision 2 [USNRC Docket 71-9336].
- [3.3.3] Intentionally deleted.

REPORT HI-2114830

HOLTEC INTERNATIONAL COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL

^{*} Supporting document submitted with the HI-STORM FW License Application (Docket 72-1032).

- [3.3.4] Holtec Proprietary Report HI-2043162, "Spent Fuel Storage Expansion at Diablo Canyon Power Plant for Pacific Gas and Electric Co.", Revision 1 (USNRC Docket Nos. 50-275 and 50-323).
- [3.3.5] American Concrete Institute, ACI-318-05.
- [3.3.6] American Concrete Institute, "Code Requirements for Nuclear Safety Related Structures" (ACI-349-85) and Commentary (ACI-349R-85).
- [3.3.7] J.H. Evans, "Structural Analysis of Shipping Casks, Volume 8, Experimental Study of Stress-Strain Properties of Lead Under Specified Impact Conditions", ORNL/TM-1312, Vol. 8, ORNL, Oak Ridge, TN, August, 1970.
- [3.4.1] ANSYS 11.0, ANSYS, Inc., 2007.
- [3.4.2] ASME Boiler & Pressure Vessel Code, Section III, Subsection NF, 2007.
- [3.4.3] ASME Boiler & Pressure Vessel Code, Section III, Appendices, 2007.
- [3.4.4] ASME Boiler & Pressure Vessel Code, Section III, Subsection NB, 2007.
- [3.4.5] Witte, M., et al., "Evaluation of Low-Velocity Impacts Tests of Solid Steel Billet onto Concrete Pads, and Application to Generic ISFSI Storage Cask for Tipover and Side Drop", Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory, UCRL-ID-126295, Livermore, California, March 1997.
- [3.4.6] Doug Ammerman and Gordon Bjorkman, "Strain-Based Acceptance Criteria for Section III of the ASME Boiler and Pressure Vessel Code", Proceedings of the 15th International Symposium on the Packaging and Transportation of Radioactive Materials, PATRAM 2007, October 21-26, 2007, Miami, Florida, USA.
- [3.4.7] NUREG/CR-6865, "Parametric Evaluation of Seismic Behavior of Freestanding Spent Fuel Dry Storage Systems," 2005.
- [3.4.8] NUREG-0800, "Standard Review Plan for the Review of Safety Analysis Reports for Nuclear Power Plants," Section No. 3.7.1, 1989.
- [3.4.9] Bechtel Topical Report BC-TOP-9A, "Design of Structures for Missile Impact", Revision 2 (September 1974).
- [3.4.10] 10CFR71, Waste Confidence Decision Review, USNRC, September 11, 1990.
- [3.4.11] Holtec Proprietary Report HI-2094353, "Analysis of the Non-Mechanistic Tipover Event of the Loaded HI-STORM FW Storage Cask", Latest Revision.

HOLTEC INTERNATIONAL COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL

REPORT HI-2114830

- [3.4.12] Oberg, E. et. al., Machinery's Handbook, Industrial Press Inc., 27th Edition.
- [3.4.13] Holtec Proprietary Report HI-2094418, "Structural Calculation Package for HI-STORM FW System", Latest Revision.
- [3.4.14] EPRI NP-440, Full Scale Tornado Missile Impact Tests, 1977.
- [3.4.15] Holtec Proprietary Report HI-2094392, "Tornado Missile Analysis for HI-STORM FW System", Latest Revision.
- [3.4.16] Young, W., Roark's Formulas for Stress & Strain, McGraw Hill Book Company, 6th Edition.
- [3.4.17] Interim Staff Guidance 15, "Materials Evaluation", Revision 0.
- [3.4.18] Timoshenko, S., Strength of Materials (Part II), Third Edition, 1958.
- [3.4.19] "Mechanical Testing and Evaluation", ASM Handbook, Volume 8, 2000.
- [3.4.20] Adkins, H.E., Koeppel, B.J., Tang, D.T., "Spent Nuclear Fuel Structural Response When Subject to an End Drop Impact Accident," Proceedings ASME/JSME Pressure Vessels and Piping Conference, PVP-Vol. 483, American Society of Mechanical Engineers, New York, New York, 2004.
- [3.4.21] Chun, R., Witte, M., Schwartz, M., "Dynamic Impact Effects on Spent Fuel Assemblies", Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory, Report UCID-21246, 1987.
- [3.4.22] Rust, J.H., Nuclear Power Plant Engineering, Haralson Publishing Company, 1979.
- [3.4.23] NUREG/CR-1864, "A Pilot Probabilistic Risk Assessment of a Dry Cask Storage System at a Nuclear Power Plant", USNRC, Washington D.C., 2007.
- [3.4.24] EPRI TR-103949, "Temperature Limit Determination for the Inert Dry Storage of Spent Nuclear Fuel", May 1994.
- [3.6.1] Visual Nastran 2004, MSC Software, 2004.

HOLTEC INTERNATIONAL COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL

REPORT HI-2114830

APPENDIX 3.A - RESPONSE OF HI-STORM FW AND HI-TRAC VW TO TORNADO WIND LOAD AND LARGE MISSILE IMPACT

Withheld in Accordance with 10 CFR 2.390

APPENDIX 3.B - MISSILE PENETRATION ANALYSES FOR HI-STORM FW AND HI-TRAC VW

Withheld in Accordance with 10 CFR 2.390

APPENDIX 3.C - CODE CASE N-284-2 STABILITY CALCULATIONS FOR MPC SHELL

Withheld in Accordance with 10 CFR 2.390

CHAPTER 4^{*} THERMAL EVALUATION

4.0 **OVERVIEW**

The HI-STORM FW system is designed for long-term storage of spent nuclear fuel (SNF) in a vertical orientation. The design envisages an array of HI-STORM FW systems laid out in a rectilinear pattern stored on a concrete ISFSI pad in an open environment. In this chapter, compliance of HI-STORM FW system's thermal performance to 10CFR72 requirements for outdoor storage at an ISFSI using 3-D thermal simulation models is established. The analyses consider passive rejection of decay heat from the stored SNF assemblies to the environment under normal, off-normal, and accident conditions of storage. Finally, the thermal margins of safety for long-term storage of both moderate burnup (up to 45,000 MWD/MTU) and high burnup spent nuclear fuel (greater than 45,000 MWD/MTU) in the HI-STORM FW system are quantified. Safe thermal performance during on-site loading, unloading and transfer operations, collectively referred to as "short-term operations" utilizing the HI-TRAC VW transfer cask is also evaluated.

The HI-STORM FW thermal evaluation follows the guidelines of NUREG-1536 [4.4.1] and ISG-11 [4.1.4]. These guidelines provide specific limits on the permissible maximum cladding temperature in the stored commercial spent fuel (CSF)[†] and other Confinement Boundary components, and on the maximum permissible pressure in the confinement space under certain operating scenarios. Specifically, the requirements are:

- 1. The fuel cladding temperature must meet the temperature limit appropriate to its burnup level and condition of storage or handling set forth in Table 4.3.1.
- 2. The maximum internal pressure of the MPC should remain within its design pressures for normal, off-normal, and accident conditions set forth in Table 2.2.1.
- 3. The temperatures of the cask materials shall remain below their allowable limits set forth in Table 2.2.3 under all scenarios.

As demonstrated in this chapter, the HI-STORM FW system is designed to comply with all of the criteria listed above. Sections 4.1 through 4.3 describe thermal analyses and input data that are common to all conditions of storage, handling and on-site transfer operations. All thermal analyses to evaluate normal conditions of storage in a HI-STORM FW storage module are described in Section 4.4. All thermal analyses to evaluate normal handling and on-site transfer in a HI-TRAC VW transfer cask are described in Section 4.5. All thermal analyses to evaluate offnormal and accident conditions are described in Section 4.6. This SAR chapter is in full compliance with ISG-11 and with NUREG-1536 guidelines, subject to the exceptions and

REPORT HI-2114830

This chapter has been prepared in the format and section organization set forth in Regulatory Guide 3.61. However, the material content of this chapter also fulfills the requirements of NUREG-1536. Pagination and numbering of sections, figures, and tables are consistent with the convention set down in Chapter 1, Section 1.0, herein. All terms-of-art used in this chapter are consistent with the terminology of the Glossary. Finally, all evaluations and results presented in this Chapter are supported by calculation packages cited herein (References [4.1.9] and [4.1.10]).

Defined as nuclear fuel that is used to produce energy in a commercial nuclear reactor (See Glossary). HOLTEC INTERNATIONAL COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL Rev. 2

clarifications discussed in Chapter 1, Table 1.0.3.

As explained in Section 1.2, the storage of SNF in the fuel baskets in the HI-STORM FW system is configured for a three-region storage system. Figures 1.2.1 and 1.2.2 provide the information on the location of the regions and Tables 1.2.3 and 1.2.4 provide the permissible specific heat load (heat load per fuel assembly) in each region for the PWR and BWR MPCs, respectively. The Specific Heat Load (SHL) values in each region are guided by the following considerations of ALARA and preservation of fuel integrity in long-term storage.

- Region I: Located in the core region of the basket is permitted to store fuel with medium specific heat load.
- Region II: This is the intermediate region flanked by the core region (Region I) from the inside and the peripheral region (Region III) on the outside. This region has the maximum SHL in the basket.
- Region III: Located in the peripheral region of the basket, this region has the smallest SHL. Because a low SHL means a low radiation dose emitted by the fuel, the low heat emitting fuel around the periphery of the basket serves to block the radiation from the Region II fuel, thus reducing the total quantity of radiation emanating from the MPC in the lateral direction.

Thus, the 3-region arrangement serves to minimize the peak fuel cladding temperature in the MPC as well as the radiation dose from the MPC. By limiting the SHL in the core region of the basket, core temperature gradients in the radial direction are minimized, thus minimizing thermal stresses in the fuel and fuel basket. The salutary consequences of this regionalized loading arrangement become evident from the computed peak cladding temperatures in this chapter, which show a large margin to the ISG-11 limit discussed earlier.

4.1 DISCUSSION

The aboveground HI-STORM FW system consists of a sealed MPC situated inside a verticallyoriented, ventilated storage overpack. Air inlet and outlet ducts that allow for air cooling of the stored MPC are located at the bottom and top, respectively, of the cylindrical overpack (see Figure 4.1.1). The SNF assemblies reside inside the MPC, which is sealed with a welded lid to form the Confinement Boundary. The MPC contains a Metamic-HT egg-crate fuel basket structure with square-shaped compartments of appropriate dimensions to allow insertion of the fuel assemblies prior to welding of the MPC lid and closure ring. The MPC is backfilled with helium to the design-basis pressures (Table 4.4.8). This provides a stable, inert environment for long-term storage of the SNF. Heat is rejected from the SNF in the HI-STORM FW system to the environment by passive heat transport mechanisms only.

The helium backfill gas plays an important role in the MPC's thermal performance. The helium fills all the spaces between solid components and provides an improved conduction medium (compared to air) for dissipating decay heat in the MPC. Within the MPC the pressurized helium environment sustains a closed loop thermosiphon action, removing SNF heat by an upward flow of helium through the storage cells. This MPC internal convection heat dissipation mechanism is illustrated in Figure 4.1.2. On the outside of the MPC a ducted overpack construction with a vertical annulus facilitates an upward flow of air by buoyancy forces. The annulus ventilation flow cools the hot MPC surfaces and safely transports heat to the outside environment. The annulus ventilation cooling mechanism is illustrated in Figure 4.1.1. To ensure that the helium gas is retained and is not diluted by lower conductivity air, the MPC Confinement Boundary is designed as an all-seal-welded pressure vessel with redundant closures. It is demonstrated in Section 12.1 that the failure of one field-welded pressure boundary seal will not result in a undiluted state, and is credited in the thermal analyses.

An important thermal design criterion imposed on the HI-STORM FW system is to limit the maximum fuel cladding temperature as well as the fuel basket temperature to within design basis limits for long-term storage of design basis SNF assemblies. An equally important requirement is to minimize temperature gradients in the MPC so as to minimize thermal stresses. In order to meet these design objectives, the MPC baskets are designed to possess certain distinctive characteristics, as summarized below.

The MPC design minimizes resistance to heat transfer within the basket and basket periphery regions. This is ensured by an uninterrupted panel-to-panel connectivity realized in the egg-crate basket structure. The MPC design incorporates top and bottom plenums with interconnected downcomer paths formed by the annulus gap in the aluminum shims. The top plenum is formed by the gap between the bottom of the MPC lid and the top of the honeycomb fuel basket. The bottom plenum is formed by flow holes near the base of all cell walls. The MPC basket is designed to minimize structural discontinuities (i.e., gaps) which introduce added thermal resistances to heat flow. Consequently, temperature gradients are minimized in the design, which results in lower thermal stresses within the basket. Low thermal stresses are also ensured by an MPC design that permits unrestrained axial and radial growth of the basket. The possibility of

4-3

HOLTEC INTERNATIONAL COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL REPORT HI-2114830

HI-STORM FW FSAR -Non-Proprietary Revision 2, June 24, 2013

stresses due to restraint on basket periphery thermal growth is eliminated by providing adequate basket-to-canister shell gaps to allow for basket thermal growth during all operational modes.

Withheld in Accordance with 10 CFR 2.390

The MPCs regionalized fuel storage scenarios are defined in Figures 1.2.1 and 1.2.2 in Chapter 1 and design maximum decay heat loads for storage of zircaloy clad fuel are listed in Tables 1.2.3 and 1.2.4. The axial heat distribution in each fuel assembly is conservatively assumed to be non-uniformly distributed with peaking in the active fuel mid-height region (see axial burnup profiles in Figures 2.1.3 and 2.1.4). Table 4.1.1 summarizes the principal operating parameters of the HI-STORM FW system.

The fuel cladding temperature limits that the HI-STORM FW system is required to meet are discussed in Section 4.3 and given in Table 2.2.3. Additionally, when the MPCs are deployed for storing High Burnup Fuel (HBF) further restrictions during certain fuel loading operations (vacuum drying) are set forth herein to preclude fuel temperatures from exceeding the normal temperature limits. To ensure explicit compliance, a specific term "short-term operations" is defined in Chapter 2 to cover all fuel loading activities. ISG-11 fuel cladding temperature limits are applied for short-term operations.

The HI-STORM FW system (i.e., HI-STORM FW overpack, HI-TRAC VW transfer cask and MPC) is evaluated under normal storage (HI-STORM FW overpack), during off-normal and accident events and during short-term operations in a HI-TRAC VW. Results of HI-STORM FW thermal analysis during normal (long-term) storage are obtained and reported in Section 4.4. Results of HI-TRAC VW short-term operations (fuel loading, on-site transfer and vacuum drying) are reported in Section 4.5. Results of off-normal and accident events are reported in Section 4.6.

HOLTEC INTERNATIONAL COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL REPORT HI-2114830

Table 4.1.1			
HI-STORM FW OPERATING CONDITION PARAMETERS			
Condition Value			
MPC Decay Heat, max.	Tables 1.2.3 and 1.2.4		
MPC Operating Pressure	7 atm (absolute)		
Normal Ambient Temperature	Table 2.2.2		
Helium Backfill Pressure	Table 4.4.8		

•

HOLTEC INTERNATIONAL COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL REPORT HI-2114830



Figure 4.1.1: Ventilation Flow in the HI-STORM FW System

HOLTEC INTERNATIONAL COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL REPORT HI-2114830



Figure 4.1.2: MPC Internal Helium Circulation

4-7

HOLTEC INTERNATIONAL COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL REPORT HI-2114830

Rev. 2

HI-STORM FW FSAR -Non-Proprietary Revision 2, June 24, 2013

4.2 SUMMARY OF THERMAL PROPERTIES OF MATERIALS

The thermo-physical properties listed in the tables in this section are identical to those used in the HI-STORM 100 FSAR [4.1.8], except for Metamic-HT and aluminum shims. Materials present in the MPCs include Alloy X^* , Metamic-HT, aluminum, and helium. Materials present in the HI-STORM FW storage overpack include carbon steels and concrete. Materials present in the HI-TRAC VW transfer cask include carbon steel, lead, air, and demineralized water. In Table 4.2.1, a summary of references used to obtain cask material properties for performing all thermal analyses is presented.

Individual thermal conductivities of the alloys that comprise the Alloy X materials and the bounding Alloy X thermal conductivity are reported in Appendix 1.A of this report. Tables 4.2.2 and 4.2.3 provide numerical thermal conductivity data of materials at several representative temperatures.

Surface emissivity data for key materials of construction are provided in Table 4.2.4. The emissivity properties of painted external surfaces are generally excellent. Kern [4.2.5] reports an emissivity range of 0.8 to 0.98 for a wide variety of paints. In the HI-STORM FW thermal analysis, an emissivity of 0.85^{\dagger} is applied to painted surfaces. The solar absorbtivity, α_s of paints are generally low. The NASA technical publication [4.2.20] reports α_s in the range of 0.03 to 0.54. For a robustly bounding analysis α_s equal to 0.85 is applied to all exposed overpack surfaces.

In Table 4.2.5, the heat capacity and density of the MPC, overpack and CSF materials are presented. These properties are used in performing transient (i.e., hypothetical fire accident condition) analyses. The temperature-dependent values of the viscosities of helium and air are provided in Table 4.2.6.

The heat transfer coefficient for exposed surfaces is calculated by accounting for both natural convection and thermal radiation heat transfer. The natural convection coefficient depends upon the product of Grashof (Gr) and Prandtl (Pr) numbers. Following the approach developed by Jakob and Hawkins [4.2.9], the product Gr×Pr is expressed as $L^3\Delta TZ$, where L is height of the overpack, ΔT is overpack surface temperature differential and Z is a parameter based on air properties, which are known functions of temperature, evaluated at the average film temperature. The temperature dependent values of Z are provided in Table 4.2.7.

^{*} Alloy X is defined in Appendix 1.A to designate a group of stainless steel alloys permitted for use in the HI-

STORM FW system. In this chapter the terms Alloy X and stainless steel are used interchangeably.

[†] This is conservative with respect to prior cask industry practice, which has historically utilized higher emissivities [4.2.16].

Table 4.2.1					
SUMMARY OF HI-STORM FW SYSTEM MATERIALS THERMAL PROPERTY REFERENCES					
Material Emissivity Conductivity Density Heat Capacity					
Helium	N/A	Handbook [4.2.2]	ldeal Gas Law	Handbook [4.2.2]	
Air	N/A	Handbook [4.2.2]	Ideal Gas Law	Handbook [4.2.2]	
Zircaloy	[4.2.3], [4.2.17], [4.2.18], [4.2.7]	NUREG [4.2.17]	Rust [4.2.4]	Rust [4.2.4]	
UO ₂	Note 1	NUREG [4.2.17]	Rust [4.2.4]	Rust [4.2.4]	
Stainless Steel (machined forgings) ^{Note 2}	Kern [4.2.5]	ASME [4.2.8]	Marks' [4.2.1]	Marks' [4.2.1]	
Stainless Steel Plates ^{Note 3}	ORNL [4.2.11], [4.2.12]	ASME [4.2.8]	Marks' [4.2.1]	Marks' [4.2.1]	
Carbon Steel	Kern [4.2.5]	ASME [4.2.8]	Marks' [4.2.1]	Marks' [4.2.1]	
Concrete	Note I	Marks' [4.2.1]	Appendix 1.D of HI-STORM 100 FSAR [4.1.8]	Handbook [4.2.2]	
Lead	Note 1	Handbook [4.2.2]	Handbook [4.2.2]	Handbook [4.2.2]	
Water	Note 1	ASME [4.2.10]	ASME [4.2.10]	ASME [4.2.10]	
Metamic-HT	Test Data Table 1.2.8	Test Data Table 1.2.8	Test Data Table 1.2.8	Test Data Table 1.2.8	
Aluminum	Test Data Table 1.2.8	ASM [4.2.19]	ASM [4.2.19]	ASM [4.2.19]	
Note 1: Emissivity not reported as radiation heat dissipation from these surfaces is conservatively neglected. Note 2: Used in the MPC lid.					

Note 3: Used in the MPC shell and baseplate.

HOLTEC INTERNATIONAL COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL REPORT HI-2114830

SUMMARY OF HI-STORM FW SYSTEM MATERIALS					
THERMAL CONDUCTIVITY DATA					
Material	At 200°F (Btu/ft-hr-°F)	At 450°F (Btu/ft-hr-°F)	At 700°F (Btu/ft-hr-°F)	At 1000⁰F (Btu/ft-hr-⁰F)	
Helium	0.0976	0.1289	0.1575	0.1890	
Air*	0.0173	0.0225	0.0272	0.0336	
Alloy X	8.4	9.8	11.0	12.4	
Carbon Steel	24.4	23.9	22.4	20.0	
Concrete**	1.05	1.05	1.05	1.05	
Lead	19.4	17.9	16.9	N/A	
Water	0.392	0.368	N/A	N/A	
Metamic-HT	Ietamic-HT Table 1.2.8				
Aluminum **	69.3	69.3	69.3	69.3	

HOLTEC INTERNATIONAL COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL REPORT HI-2114830

Table 4.2.3*				
SUMMARY OF FUEL ELEMENT COMPONENTS THERMAL CONDUCTIVITY DATA				
Zircaloy Cladding Fuel (UO ₂)				
Temperature (°F)	Conductivity (Btu/ft-hr-°F)	Temperature (°F)	Conductivity (Btu/ft-hr-°F)	
392	8.28	100	3.48	
572	8.76	448	3.48	
752	9.60	570	3.24	
932	10.44	793	2.28	

* See Table 4.2.1 for cited references.

,

HOLTEC INTERNATIONAL COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL REPORT HI-2114830

4-11

Table 4.2.4				
SUMMARY OF MATERIALS SURFACE EMISSIVITY DATA*				
Material Emissivity				
Zircaloy	0.80			
Painted surfaces	0.85			
Stainless steel (machined forgings)	0.36			
Stainless Steel Plates 0.587**				
Carbon Steel0.66Metamic-HT***Table 1.2.8				
			Aluminum *** Table 1.2.8	
 * See Table 4.2.1 for cited references. ** Lower bound value from the cited references in Table 4.2.1. *** Withheld in Accordance with 10 CFR 2.390 				

HOLTEC INTERNATIONAL COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL REPORT HI-2114830

Table 4.2.5					
DENSITY AND HEAT CAPACITY PROPERTIES SUMMARY*					
Material	Density (lbm/ft ³)	Heat Capacity (Btu/lbm-°F)			
Helium	(Ideal Gas Law)	1.24			
Air	(Ideal Gas Law)	0.24			
Zircaloy	409	0.0728			
Fuel (UO ₂)	684	0.056			
Carbon steel	489	0.1			
Stainless steel	501	0.12			
Concrete	140**	0.156			
Lead	710	0.031			
Water	62.4	0.999			
Metamic-HT	Table 1.2.8	Table 1.2.8			
Aluminum	177.3	0.207			
* See Table 4.2.1 for cited references.					
** Conservatively understated value.					

HOLTEC INTERNATIONAL COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL REPORT HI-2114830

Table 4.2.6 GASES VISCOSITY* VARIATION WITH TEMPERATURE				
167.4	220.5	32.0	172.0	
200.3	228.2	70.5	182.4	
297.4	250.6	260.3	229.4	
346.9	261.8	338.4	246.3	
463.0	288.7	567.1	293.0	
537.8	299.8	701.6	316.7	
737.6	338.8	1078.2	377.6	
921.2	373.0	-	-	
1126.4	409.3	••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••		
Obtained from Rohsenow and Hartnett [4.2.2].				

Table 4.2.7			
VARIATION OF NATURAL CONVECTION PROPERTIES PARAMETER "Z" FOR AIR WITH TEMPERATURE			
Temperature (°F) Z (ft ⁻³ °F ⁻¹)*			
40	2.1×10 ⁶		
140	9.0×10 ⁵		
240	4.6×10 ⁵		
340	2.6×10 ⁵		
440	1.5×10 ⁵		
* Obtained from Jakob and Hawkins [4.2.9]			

HOLTEC INTERNATIONAL COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL REPORT HI-2114830

4.3 SPECIFICATIONS FOR COMPONENTS

HI-STORM FW system materials and components designated as "Important to Safety" (i.e., required to be maintained within their safe operating temperature ranges to ensure their intended function) are summarized in Tables 2.2.3. The thermal bases supporting the temperature limits are provided in Table 4.3.1. Long-term integrity of SNF is ensured by the HI-STORM FW system thermal evaluation which demonstrates that fuel cladding temperatures are maintained below design basis limits. Withheld in Accordance with 10 CFR 2.390.

Compliance to 10CFR72 requires, in part, identification and evaluation of short-term, off-normal and severe hypothetical accident conditions. The inherent mechanical characteristics of cask materials and components ensure that no significant functional degradation is possible due to exposure to short-term temperature excursions outside the normal long-term temperature limits. For evaluation of HI-STORM FW system thermal performance, material temperature limits under normal, short-term operations, and off-normal and accident conditions are provided in Table 2.2.3. Fuel temperature limits mandated by ISG-11 [4.1.4] are adopted for evaluation of cladding integrity under normal, short term operations, off-normal and accident conditions. These limits are applicable to all fuel types, burnup levels and cladding materials approved by the NRC for power generation.

Table 4.3.1				
TEMPERATURE LIMITS OF CRITICAL COMPONENTS, °F				
Fuel C	Cladding (Note 1)			
Condition MBF HBF				
Normal storage	Table 2.2.3	Table 2.2.3		
Short-term operations	Table 2.2.3	Table 2.2.3		
Off-normal and Accident conditions	Table 2.2.3	Table 2.2.3		
Meta	mic-HT (Note 2)			
Normal storage Table 2.2.3				
Short term operations, Off-Normal and Table 2.2.3 Accident conditions				
Alumin	um Shims (Note 3)			
Normal storage Table 2.2.3				
Short term operations, Off-normal and Accident conditions	Short term operations, Off-normal and Table 2.2.3			
HI-T	RAC VW Jacket			
Short term operations and off-normal Table 2.2.3 (Note 4)				
Accident condition	NA	A (Note 5)		
 Notes: Temperature limits per ISG-11, Rev. 3 [4.1.4]. The B₄C component in Metamic-HT is a refractory material that is unaffected by high temperature (on the order of 1000°F) and the aluminum component is solid at temperatures in excess of 1000°F. To preclude melting the temperature limits are set well below the melting temperature of Aluminum Alloys. 				
4. Temperature limit is defined by the saturation temperature of water at water jacket design pressure specified in Table 2.2.1.				

5. The jacket water is assumed to be lost under accident conditions.
4.4 THERMAL EVALUATION FOR NORMAL CONDITIONS OF STORAGE

The HI-STORM FW System (i.e., HI-STORM FW overpack and MPC) and HI-TRAC VW transfer cask thermal evaluation is performed in accordance with the guidelines of NUREG-1536 [4.4.1] and ISG-11 [4.1.4]. To ensure a high level of confidence in the thermal evaluation, 3-dimensional models of the MPC, HI-STORM FW overpack and HI-TRAC VW transfer cask are constructed to evaluate fuel integrity under normal (long-term storage), off-normal and accident conditions and in the HI-TRAC VW transfer cask under short-term operation and hypothetical accidents. The principal features of the thermal models are described in this section for HI-STORM FW and Section 4.5 for HI-TRAC VW. Thermal analyses results for the long-term storage scenarios are obtained and reported in this section.

4.4.1 Overview of the Thermal Model

As illustrated in the drawings in Section 1.5, the basket is a matrix of interconnected square compartments designed to hold the fuel assemblies in a vertical position under long term storage conditions. The basket is a honeycomb structure of Metamic-HT plates that are slotted and arrayed in an orthogonal configuration to form an integral basket structure. Withheld in Accordance with 10 CFR 2.390

Thermal analysis of the HI-STORM FW System is performed for limiting heat load scenarios defined in Chapter 1 for regionalized storage (Figures 1.2.1 and 1.2.2). Each fuel assembly is *assumed to be generating heat at the maximum permissible rate (Tables 1.2.3 and 1.2.4)*. While the assumption of limiting heat generation in each storage cell imputes a certain symmetry to the cask thermal problem, it grossly overstates the total heat duty of the system in most cases because it is unlikely that any basket would be loaded with fuel emitting heat at their limiting values in *each* storage cell. Thus, the thermal model for the HI-STORM FW system is inherently conservative for real life applications. Other noteworthy features of the thermal analyses are:

- i. While the rate of heat conduction through metals is a relatively weak function of temperature, radiation heat exchange increases rapidly as the fourth power of absolute temperature.
- ii. Heat generation in the MPC is axially non-uniform due to non-uniform axial burnup profiles in the fuel assemblies.
- iii. Inasmuch as the transfer of heat occurs from inside the basket region to the outside, the temperature field in the MPC is spatially distributed with the lowest values reached at the periphery of the basket.

As noted in Chapter 1 and in Section 3.2, the height of the PWR MPC cavity can vary within a rather large range to accommodate spent nuclear fuel of different lengths. The heat load limits in

4 - 17

Table 1.2.3 (PWR MPC) and Table 1.2.4 (BWR MPC) for regionalized storage are, however, fixed regardless of the fuel (and hence MPC cavity) length. Because it is not a'priori obvious whether the shortest or the longest fuel case will govern, thermal analyses are performed for the lowerbound, upperbound and reference-height MPCs.

4.4.1.1 **Description of the 3-D Thermal Model**

i. Overview

The HI-STORM FW System is equipped with two MPC designs, MPC-37 and MPC-89 engineered to store 37 and 89 PWR and BWR fuel assemblies respectively. The interior of the MPC is a 3-D array of square shaped cells inside an irregularly shaped basket outline confined inside the cylindrical space of the MPC cavity. To ensure an adequate representation of these features, a 3-D geometric model of the MPC is constructed using the FLUENT CFD code preprocessor [4.1.2]. Because the fuel basket is made of a single isotropic material (Metamic-HT), the 3-D thermal model requires no idealizations of the fuel basket structure. However, since it is impractical to model every fuel rod in every stored fuel assembly explicitly, the cross-section bounded by the inside of the storage cell (inside of the fuel channel in the case of BWR MPCs), which surrounds the assemblage of fuel rods and the interstitial helium gas (also called the "rodded region"), is replaced with an "equivalent" square homogeneous section characterized by an effective thermal conductivity. Homogenization of the cell cross-section is discussed under item (ii) below. For thermal-hydraulic simulation, each fuel assembly in its storage cell is represented by an equivalent porous medium. For BWR fuel, the presence of the fuel channel divides the storage cell space into two distinct axial flow regions, namely, the in-channel (rodded) region and the square prismatic annulus region (in the case of PWR fuel this modeling complication does not exist). The methodology to represent the spent fuel storage space as a homogeneous region with equivalent conductivities is identical to that used in the HI-STORM 100 Docket No. 72-1014 [4.1.8].

ii. Details of the 3-D Model

The HI-STORM FW fuel basket is modeled in the same manner as the model described in the HI-STAR 180 SAR (NRC Docket No. 71-9325) [4.1.11]. Modeling details are provided in the following:

Fuel Basket 3D Model

The MPC-37 and MPC-89 fuel baskets are essentially an array of square cells within an irregularly shaped basket outline. The fuel basket is confined inside a cylindrical cavity of the MPC shell. Between the fuel basket-to-shell spaces, thick Aluminum basket shims are installed to facilitate heat dissipation. To ensure an adequate representation of the fuel basket a geometrically accurate 3D model of the array of square cells and Metamic-HT plates is constructed using the FLUENT pre-processor. Other than the representation of fuel assemblies inside the storage cell spaces as porous region with effective thermal-hydraulic properties as

4 - 18

described in the next paragraph, the 3D model includes an explicit articulation of other canister parts... The basket shims are explicitly modeled in the peripheral spaces. The fuel basket is surrounded by the MPC shell and outfitted with a solid welded lid above and a baseplate below. All of these physical details are explicitly articulated in a quarter-symmetric 3D thermal model of the HI-STORM FW.

Fuel Region Effective Planar Conductivity

In the HI-STORM FW thermal modeling, the cross section bounded by the inside of a PWR storage cell and the channeled area of a BWR storage cell is replaced with an "equivalent" square section characterized by an effective thermal conductivity in the planar and axial directions. Figure 4.4.1 pictorially illustrates this concept. The two conductivities are unequal because while in the planar direction heat dissipation is interrupted by inter-rod gaps; in the axial direction heat is dissipated through a continuous medium (fuel cladding). The equivalent planar conductivity of the storage cell space is obtained using a 2D conduction-radiation model of the bounding PWR and BWR fuel storage scenarios defined in the table below. The fuel geometry, consisting of an array of fuel rods with helium gaps between them residing in a storage cell, is constructed using the ANSYS code [4.1.1] and lowerbound conductivities under the assumed condition of stagnant helium (no-helium-flow-condition) are obtained. In the axial direction, an area-weighted average of the cladding and helium conductivities is computed. Axial heat conduction in the fuel pellets is conservatively ignored.

The effective fuel conductivity is computed under three bounding fuel storage configurations for PWR fueled MPC-37 and one bounding scenario for BWR fueled MPC-89. The fuel storage configurations are defined below:

Storage Scenario	MPC	Fuel
PWR: Short Fuel	Minimum Height MPC-37	14x14 Ft. Calhoun
PWR: Standard Fuel	Reference Height MPC-37	W-17x17
PWR: XL Fuel	Maximum Height MPC-37	AP1000
BWR	MPC-89	GE-10x10

The fuel region effective conductivity is defined as the calculated equivalent conductivity of the fuel storage cell due to the combined effect of conduction and radiation heat transfer in the manner of the approach used in the HI-STORM 100 system (Docket No. 72-1014). Because radiation is proportional to the fourth power of absolute temperature, the effective conductivity is a strong function of temperature. The ANSYS finite element model is used to characterize fuel resistance at several representative storage cell temperatures and the effective thermal conductivity as a function of temperature obtained for all storage configurations defined above and tabulated in Table 4.4.1.

Heat Rejection from External Surfaces

The exposed surfaces of the HI-STORM FW dissipate heat by radiation and external natural convection heat transfer. Radiation is modeled using classical equations for radiation heat

HOLTEC INTERNATIONAL COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL REPORT HI-2114830

HI-STORM FW FSAR -Non-Proprietary Revision 2, June 24, 2013 transfer (Rohsenow & Hartnett [4.2.2]). Jakob and Hawkins [4.2.9] recommend the following correlations for natural convection heat transfer to air from heated vertical and horizontal surfaces:

Turbulent range:

h =
$$0.19 (\Delta T)^{1/3}$$
 (Vertical, GrPr > 10^9)
h = $0.18 (\Delta T)^{1/3}$ (Horizontal Cylinder, GrPr > 10^9)
(in conventional U.S. units)

Laminar range:

$$h = 0.29 \left(\frac{\Delta T}{L}\right)^{1/4} (\text{Vertical, GrPr} < 10^9)$$

$$h = 0.27 \left(\frac{\Delta T}{D}\right)^{1/4} (\text{Horizontal Cylinder, GrPr} < 10^9)$$

(in conventional U.S. Units)

where ΔT is the temperature differential between the cask's exterior surface and ambient air and GrPr is the product of Grashof and Prandtl numbers. During storage conditions, the cask cylinder and top surfaces are cooled by natural convection. The corresponding length scales L for these surfaces are the cask diameter and length, respectively. As described in Section 4.2, Gr×Pr can be expressed as L³ Δ TZ, where Z (from Table 4.2.7) is at least 2.6×10⁵ at a conservatively high surface temperature of 340°F. Thus the turbulent condition is always satisfied assuming a lowerbound L (8 ft) and a small Δ T (~10°F).

Determination of Solar Heat Input

The intensity of solar radiation incident on exposed surfaces depends on a number of time varying parameters. The solar heat flux strongly depends upon the time of the day as well as on latitude and day of the year. Also, the presence of clouds and other atmospheric conditions (dust, haze, etc.) can significantly attenuate solar intensity levels. In the interest of conservatism, the effects of dust, haze, angle of incidence, latitude, etc. that act to reduce insolation, are neglected.

The insolation energy absorbed by the HI-STORM FW is the product of incident insolation and surface absorbtivity. To model insolation heating a reasonably bounding absorbtivity equal to 0.85 is incorporated in the thermal models. The HI-STORM FW thermal analysis is based on 12-hour daytime insolation specified in Article 71.71(c) (1) of the Transport Regulations [4.6.1]. During long-term storage, the HI-STORM FW Overpack is cyclically subjected to solar heating during the 12-hour daytime period followed by cooling during the 12-hour nighttime. Due to the large mass of metal and the size of the cask, the dynamic time lag exceeds the 12-hour heating

HOLTEC INTERNATIONAL COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL REPORT HI-2114830

Rev. 2

HI-STORM FW FSAR -Non-Proprietary Revision 2, June 24, 2013

period. Accordingly, the HI-STORM FW model includes insolation on exposed surfaces averaged over a 24-hour time period.

HI-STORM FW Annulus

The HI-STORM FW is engineered with internal flow passages to facilitate heat dissipation by ventilation action. During fuel storage ambient air is drawn from intake ducts by buoyancy forces generated by the heated column of air in the HI-STORM FW annulus. The upward moving air extracts heat from the MPC external surfaces by convection heat transfer. As great bulk of the heat is removed by the annulus air, the adequacy of the grid deployed to model annulus heat transfer must be confirmed prior to performing design basis calculations. To this end a grid sensitivity study is conducted in Subsection 4.4.1.6 to define the converged grid discretization of the annulus region. The converged grid is deployed to evaluate the thermal state of the HI-STORM FW system under normal, off-normal and accident conditions of storage.

iii. Principal Attributes of the 3D Model

The 3-D model implemented to analyze the HI-STORM FW system is entirely based on the HI-STORM 100 thermal model except that the radiation effect is simulated by the more precise "DO" model (in lieu of the DTRM model used in HI-STORM 100) in FLUENT in the manner of HI-STAR 180 in docket 71-9325. This model has the following key attributes:

- a) The fuel storage spaces are modeled as porous media having effective thermalhydraulic properties.
- b) In the case of BWR MPC-89, the fuel bundle and the small surrounding spaces inside the fuel "channel" are replaced by an equivalent porous media having the flow impedance properties computed using a conservatively articulated 3-D CFD model [4.4.2]. The space between the BWR fuel channel and the storage cell is represented as an open flow annulus. The fuel channel is also explicitly modeled. The channeled space within is also referred to as the "rodded region" that is modeled as a porous medium. The fuel assembly is assumed to be positioned coaxially with respect to its storage cell. The MPC-89 storage cell occupied with channeled BWR fuel is shown in Figure 4.4.4.

In the case of the PWR CSF, the porous medium extends to the entire crosssection of the storage cell. As described in [4.4.2], the CFD models for both the BWR and PWR storage geometries are constructed for the Design Basis fuel defined in Table 2.1.4. The model contains comprehensive details of the fuel which includes grid straps, BWR water rods and PWR guide and instrument tubes (assumed to be plugged for conservatism).

c) The effective conductivities of the MPC storage spaces are computed for bounding fuel storage configurations defined in Paragraph 4.4.1.1(ii). The in-

plane thermal conductivities are obtained using ANSYS [4.1.1] finite element models of an array of fuel rods enclosed by a square box. Radiation heat transfer from solid surfaces (cladding and box walls) is enabled in these models. Using these models the effective conduction-radiation conductivities are obtained and reported in Table 4.4.1. For heat transfer in the axial direction an area weighted mean of cladding and helium conductivities are computed (see Table 4.4.1). Axial conduction heat transfer in the fuel pellets and radiation heat dissipation in the axial direction are conservatively ignored. Thus, the thermal conductivity of the rodded region, like the porous media simulation for helium flow, is represented by a 3-D continuum having effective planar and axial conductivities.

- d) The internals of the MPC, including the basket cross-section, aluminum shims, bottom flow holes, top plenum, and circumferentially irregular downcomer formed by the annulus gap in the aluminum shims are modeled explicitly. For simplicity, the flow holes are modeled as rectangular openings with an understated flow area.
- e) The inlet and outlet vents in the HI-STORM FW overpack are modeled explicitly to incorporate any effects of non-axisymmetry of inlet air passages on the system's thermal performance.
- f) The air flow in the HI-STORM FW/MPC annulus is simulated by the $k-\omega$ turbulence model with the transitional option enabled. The adequacy of this turbulence model is confirmed in the Holtec benchmarking report [4.1.6]. The annulus grid size is selected to ensure a converged solution. (See Section 4.4.1.6).
- g) A limited number of fuel assemblies (upto 12 in MPC-37 and upto 16 in MPC-89) classified as damaged fuel are permitted to be stored in the MPC inside Damaged Fuel Containers (DFCs). A DFC can be stored in the outer peripheral locations of both MPC-37 and MPC-89 as shown in Figures 2.1.1 and 2.1.2, respectively. DFC emplaced fuel assemblies have a higher resistance to helium flow because of the debris screens. However, DFC fuel storage does not affect temperature of hot fuel stored in the core of the basket because DFC storage is limited by Technical Specifications for placement in the peripheral storage locations away from hot fuel. For this reason the thermal modeling of the fuel basket under the assumption of all storage spaces populated with intact fuel is justified.
- h) As shown in HI-STORM FW drawings in Section 1.5 the HI-STORM FW overpack is equipped with a heat shield to protect the inner shell and concrete from radiation heating by the emplaced MPC. The heat shield, inner and outer shells and concrete are explicitly modeled.

- i) To maximize lateral resistance to heat dissipation in the fuel basket, 0.4 mm full length inter- panel gaps are conservatively assumed to exist at all intersections. This approach is identical to that used in the thermal analysis of the HI-STAR 180 Package in Docket 71-9325. This panel gap is revised to 0.8 mm and a thermal evaluation is performed to evaluate the effect of this gap increase in Subsection 4.4.4.4. The shims installed in the MPC peripheral spaces (See MPC-37 and MPC-89 drawings in Section 1.5) are explicitly modeled. For conservatism bounding as-built gaps (3 mm basket-to-shims and 3 mm shims-to-shell) are assumed to exist and incorporated in the thermal models.
- j) The thermal models incorporate all modes of heat transfer (conduction, convection and radiation) in a conservative manner.
- k) The Discrete Ordinates (DO) model, previously utilized in the HI-STAR 180 docket (Docket 71-9325), is deployed to compute radiation heat transfer.
- 1) Laminar flow conditions are applied in the MPC internal spaces to obtain a lowerbound rate of heat dissipation.

The 3-D model described above is illustrated in the cross-section for the MPC-89 and MPC-37 in Figures 4.4.2 and 4.4.3, respectively. A closeup of the fuel cell spaces which explicitly include the channel-to-cell gap in the 3-D model applicable to BWR fueled basket (MPC-89) is shown in Figure 4.4.4. The principal 3-D modeling conservatisms are listed below:

- 1) The storage cell spaces are loaded with high flow resistance design basis fuel assemblies (See Table 2.1.4).
- 2) Each storage cell is generating heat at its limiting value under the regionalized storage scenarios defined in Chapter 2, Section 2.1.
- 3) Axial dissipation of heat by conduction in the fuel pellets is neglected.
- 4) Dissipation of heat from the fuel rods by radiation in the axial direction is neglected.
- 5) The fuel assembly channel length for BWR fuel is overstated.
- 6) The most severe environmental factors for long-term normal storage ambient temperature of 80°F and 10CFR71 insolation levels were coincidentally imposed on the system.
- 7) Reasonably bounding solar absorbtivity of HI-STORM FW overpack external surfaces is applied to the thermal models.
- 8) To understate MPC internal convection heat transfer, the helium pressure is understated.
- 9) No credit is taken for contact between fuel assemblies and the MPC basket wall or between the MPC basket and the basket supports.
- 10) Heat dissipation by fuel basket peripheral supports is neglected.
- 11) Lowerbound fuel basket emissivity function defined in the Metamic-HT Sourcebook [4.2.6] is adopted in the thermal analysis.

- 12) Lowerbound stainless steel emissivity obtained from cited references (See Table 4.2.1) are applied to MPC shell.
- 13) The k- ω model used for simulating the HI-STORM FW annulus flow yields uniformly conservative results [4.1.6].
- 14) Fuel assembly length is conservatively modeled equal to the height of the fuel basket.

The effect of crud resistance on fuel cladding surfaces has been evaluated and found to be negligible [4.1.8]. The evaluation assumes a thick crud layer (130 μ m) with a bounding low conductivity (conductivity of helium). The crud resistance increases the clad temperature by a very small amount (~0.1°F) [4.1.8]. Accordingly this effect is neglected in the thermal evaluations.

4.4.1.2 Fuel Assembly 3-Zone Flow Resistance Model*

The HI-STORM FW System is evaluated for storage of representative PWR and BWR fuel assemblies determined by a separate analysis, to provide maximum resistance to the axial flow of helium. These are (i) PWR fuel: W17x17 and (ii) BWR fuel: GE10x10. During fuel storage helium enters the MPC fuel cells from the bottom plenum and flows upwards through the open spaces in the fuel storage cells and exits in the top plenum. Because of the low flow velocities the helium flow in the fuel storage cells and MPC spaces is in the laminar regime (Re < 100). The bottom and top plenums are essentially open spaces engineered in the fuel basket ends to facilitate helium circulation. In the case of BWR fuel storage, a channel enveloping the fuel bundle divides the flow in two parallel paths. One flow path is through the in-channel or rodded region of the storage cell and the other flow path is in the square annulus area outside the channel. In the global thermal modeling of the HI-STORM FW System the following approach is adopted:

- (i) In BWR fueled MPCs, an explicit channel-to-cell gap is modeled.
- (ii) The fuel assembly enclosed in a square envelope (fuel channel for BWR fuel or fuel storage cell for PWR fuel) is replaced by porous media with equivalent flow resistance.

The above modeling approach is illustrated in Figure 4.4.4.

In the FLUENT program, porous media flow resistance is modeled as follows:

$$\Delta P/L = D\mu V \tag{Eq. 1}$$

where $\Delta P/L$ is the hydraulic pressure loss per unit length, D is the flow resistance coefficient, μ is the fluid viscosity and V is the superficial fluid velocity. In the HI-STORM FW thermal

4-24

^{*} This Sub- section duplicates the methodology used in the HI-STORM FSAR, Rev. 7, supporting CoC Amendment # 5 in Docket 72-1014 [4.1.8].

models the fuel storage cell length between the bottom and top plenums^{*} is replaced by porous media. As discussed below the porous media length is partitioned in three zones with discrete flow resistances.

To characterize the flow resistance of fuel assemblies inside square envelopes (fuel channel for BWR fuel or fuel storage cell for PWR fuel) 3D models of W-17x17 and GE-10x10 fuel assemblies are constructed using the FLUENT CFD program. These models are embedded with several pessimistic assumptions to overstate flow resistance. These are:

- (a) Water rods (BWR fuel) and guide tubes (PWR fuel) are assumed to be blocked
- (b) Fuel rods assumed to be full length
- (c) Channel length (BWR fuel) overstated
- (d) Bounding grid thickness used
- (e) Bottom fittings resistance overstated
- (f) Bottom nozzle lateral flow holes (BWR fuel) assumed to be blocked

The flow resistance coefficients computed from the 3D flow models [4.4.2] are adopted herein.

4.4.1.3 Bounding Flow Resistance Data

Holtec report [4.4.2] has identified W17x17 OFA and GE 12/14 10x10 fuel assemblies as the design basis fuel for computing the flow resistance coefficients required to compute the in-cell flow of helium in PWR storage cells and of in-channel flow of channeled BWR assemblies placed in a BWR storage cell (See Figure 4.4.4). These resistance coefficients form the basis for the thermal-hydraulic analyses in Docket 72-1014 in the CoC amendments 5. These resistance coefficients are appropriate and conservative for HI-STORM FW analysis because of the following reasons:

- i. The coefficients define the upperbound pressure drop per unit length of fueled space (Eq. 1 in Section 4.4.1.2).
- ii. The storage cell opening in the MPC-37 (PWR fuel) is equal to or greater than the cell openings of the PWR MPCs (such as MPC-32) licensed in the HI-STORM 100 System in Docket 72-1014 [4.1.8]. In the case of BWR fuel storage the channeled fuel located inside the storage cell is modeled explicitly as shown in Figure 4.4.4. The bounding flow resistance coefficients obtained from the cited reference above is applied to the channeled space porous media.
- iii. The length of porous media incorporated in the HI-STORM FW FLUENT models meets or exceeds the fuel assembly length of the longest fuel listed in this SAR.

^{*} These are the flow hole openings at the lower end of the fuel basket and a top axial gap to facilitate helium circulation. The flow holes are explicitly included in the 3D thermal models with an understated flow area.

Thus the flow resistance defined in the manner above is significantly conservative for modeling the Ft. Calhoun 14x14 fuel placed in the limiting minimum height MPC-37 (See Table 4.4.2). In the following, explicit calculations for the case of MPC-37 are performed to quantify the conservatism introduced by using the "bounding" resistance data in the FLUENT analysis.

4.4.1.4 Evaluation of Flow Resistance in Enlarged Cell MPCs

The flow resistance factors used in the porous media model are bounding for all fuel types and MPC baskets. This was accomplished for the PWR fueled MPC-37 by placing the most resistive Westinghouse 17x17 fuel assembly in the smaller cell opening MPC-32 approved under the HI-STORM 100 Docket 72-1014, CoC Amendment No. 5 and computing the flow resistance factors. In the case of BWR fueled MPC-89 the most resistive GE-10x10 fuel assembly in the channeled configuration is explicitly modeled in the MPC-89 fuel storage spaces as shown in Figure 4.4.4. The channeled space occupied by the GE-10x10 fuel assembly is modeled as a porous region with effective flow resistance properties computed by deploying an independent 3D FLUENT model of the array of fuel rods and grid spacers.

In the PWR fuel resistance modeling case physical reasoning suggests that the flow resistance of a fuel assembly placed in the larger MPC-37 storage cell will be less than that computed using the (smaller) counterpart cells cavities in the MPC-32. However to provide numerical substantiation FLUENT calculations are performed for the case of W-17x17 fuel placed inside the MPC-32 cell opening of 8.79" and the enlarged MPC-37 cell opening of 8.94". The FLUENT results for the cell pressure drops under the baseline (MPC-32) and enlarged cell opening (MPC-37) scenarios are shown plotted in Figure 4-4-7. The plot shows that, as expected, the larger cell cross section case (MPC-37) yields a smaller pressure loss. Therefore, the MPC-37 flow resistance is bounded by the MPC-32 flow resistance used in the FLUENT simulations in the SAR. This evaluation is significant because the MPC-37 basket is determined as the limiting MPC and therefore the licensing basis HI-STORM FW temperatures by use of higher-than-actual resistance are overstated.

4.4.1.5 Screening Calculations to Ascertain Limiting Storage Scenario

To define the thermally most limiting HI-STORM FW storage scenario the following cases are evaluated under the design maximum heat load defined in Tables 1.2.3 and 1.2.4:

(i) MPC-89(ii) Minimum height MPC-37(iii) Reference height MPC-37(iv) Maximum height MPC-37

To evaluate the above scenarios, 3D FLUENT screening models of the HI-STORM FW cask are constructed, Peak Cladding Temperatures (PCT) computed and tabulated in Table 4.4.2. The results of the calculations yield the following:

4 - 26

- (a) Fuel storage in MPC-37 produces a higher peak cladding temperature than that in MPC-89
- (b) Fuel storage in the minimum height MPC-37 is limiting (produces the highest peak cladding temperature).

To bound the HI-STORM FW storage temperatures the limiting scenario ascertained above is adopted for evaluation of all normal, off-normal and accident conditions.

4.4.1.6 Grid Sensitivity Studies

The discretization of the MPC and the HI-STORM/MPC annulus region must be sufficiently dense to insure a converged solution. Because the flow field in the annulus is in the transition and turbulent regimes, the grid size and layout are critical to insuring a converged solution. In the MPC internal space, however, the flow is uniformly laminar (no laminar boundary layer to turbulent zone transition effects) and therefore, the grid size is relatively unimportant. The sensitivity study was accordingly performed on the annulus region outside the MPC and the grid size in the axial direction within the MPC. All sensitivity analyses were carried out for the case of 47.05 kW design maximum heat load for the (limiting) MPC-37 canister.

Run No	Number of	y ⁺	PCT (°C)	Permissible	Clad
	Radial Cells			Limit (°C)	Temperature
					Margin (°C)
1	6	21	353	400	47
2	10	5	357	400	43
3	11	4	364	400	36
4	12	3	376	400	24
5	17	0.7	375	400	25

a. The HI-STORM FW annulus grid sensitivity results are tabulated below.

Note 1: The y^+ reported in the third column above is a measure of grid adequacy provided by the FLUENT code. Values of $y^+ \sim 1$ indicate an adequate level of mesh refinement is reached to resolve the viscosity affected region near the wall.

Note 2: The annulus grid is refined in two ways, namely, by increasing the number of radial cells and also by clustering the cells near the MPC and overpack innershell walls.

As can be seen from the above table, the thermal solution is quite sensitive to the grid density in the annulus region. The above results show that Run No 5 is reasonably converged. To

provide further assurance of convergence, the sensitivity results are evaluated in accordance with the ASME Journal procedure for control of numerical accuracy [4.4.3]. Towards this end the Grid Convergence Index (GCI), which is a measure of the solution uncertainty, is computed. The GCI for the finest grid (i.e. 17 radial cells) computes to be 1.3×10^{-5} % which provides further

4-27

assurance of grid convergence. Having obtained grid convergence in the annulus region, the Run No 5 grid is adopted for further grid sensitivity studies below.

Grid Refinement	Number of Axial Cells	PCT (°C)	Permissible Limit (°C)	Clad Temperature Margin (°C)
Baseline run (Run No 5 adopted from above)	84 ^{Note 1}	375	400	25
Refined Grid	101	376	400	24
Note 1: As explained below the baseline grid is adopted for thermal evaluation of the				
HI-STORM FW.				

b. The results of axial grid refinement in the fueled region are summarized below.

The above results show that the solution is essentially unchanged by further grid refinement in the axial direction. This result is in keeping with the fact that the flow field in the MPC internal space is uniformly laminar. Based on the above results, Run No 5 grid layout is adopted for the thermal analysis of the HI-STORM FW.

4.4.2 Effect of Neighboring Casks

HI-STORM FW casks are typically stored on an ISFSI pad in regularly spaced arrays (See Section 1.4, Figures 1.4.1 and 1.4.2). Relative to an isolated HI-STORM FW the heat dissipation from a HI-STORM FW cask placed in an array is somewhat disadvantaged. However, as the analysis in this Sub-section shows, the effect of the neighboring casks on the peak cladding temperature in the "surrounded" cask is insignificant.

(i) Effect of Insolation

The HI-STORM FW casks are subject to insolation heating during daytime hours. Presence of surrounding casks has the salutary effect of partially blocking insolation flux. This effect, results in lower temperatures and in the interest of conservatism is ignored in the analysis.

(ii) Effect of Radiation Blocking

The presence of surrounding casks has the effect of partially blocking radiation heat dissipation from the Overpack cylindrical surfaces. Its effect is evaluated in Sub-section 4.4.2.1.

(iii) Effect of Flow Area Reduction

The presence of surrounding casks have the effect of reducing the access flow area around the casks from an essentially unbounded space around it to certain lateral flow passages defined by the spacing between casks (See Figures 1.4.1 and 1.4.2). A reduction in flow area for ventilated casks is not acceptable if the access area falls below the critical flow area in the ventilation flow

4 - 28

HOLTEC INTERNATIONAL COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL REPORT HI-2114830

Rev. 2

HI-STORM FW FSAR -Non-Proprietary Revision 2, June 24, 2013

passages. The HI-STORM FW critical flow area is reached in the narrow annular passage. The lateral flow passages access flow area defined by the product of minimum gap between casks and cask height is computed below. The calculation uses the lowerbound 180 inch cask pitch defined in Table 1.4.1.

Annulus Area (A_{min}): MPC OD: 75.5 in Overpack ID: 81 in A_{min} : 676.0 in² Lateral Access Area (A_0): Cask Pitch: 180 in Overpack OD: 139 in Overpack Body Height: 187.25 in Min. cask spacing: 180 – 139 = 41 in A_0 : 7677.2 in²

The above numerical exercise shows that $A_0 >> A_{min}$ and therefore there is an adequate access area surrounding the interior casks for the ventilation air to feed the inlet ducts.

4.4.2.1 Analytical Evaluation of the Effect of Surrounding Casks

In a rectilinear array of HI-STORM FW casks the unit situated in the center of the grid is evidently hydraulically most disadvantaged, because of potential interference to air intake from surrounding casks. Furthermore, the presence of surrounding casks has the effect of partially blocking radiation heat dissipation from the centrally located cask. This situation is illustrated in Figure 4.4.5. To simulate these effects in a conservative manner, a hypothetical square cavity defined by the tributary area A_0 of cask shown in Figure 4.4.5 is erected around the centrally located HI-STORM FW. The hypothetical square cavity has the following attributes:

- 1. The hypothetical square cavity (with the subject HI-STORM FW situated coaxially in it) is constructed for the15 ft minimum cask pitch defined in Section 1.4.1.
- 2. The cavity walls are impervious to air. In this manner as shown in Figure 4.4.6 lateral access to ambient air is choked.
- 3. The cavity walls are defined as reflecting surfaces from the inside and insulated from the outside. In this manner lateral dissipation of heat by radiation is blocked.
- 4. The hypothetical square cavity is open at the top as shown in Figure 4.4.6 to allow ambient air access for ventilation cooling in a conservative manner.

The principal results of the hypothetical square cavity thermal model are tabulated below and compared with the baseline thermal results tabulated in Section 4.4.4.

Model	Peak Clad	Margin-to-Limit (°F)	
-------	-----------	----------------------	--

	Temperature (°F)	
Single Cask Model	707	45
Hypothetical Square Cavity Thermal	705*	47
Model		

The results show that the presence of surrounding casks has essentially no effect on the fuel cladding temperatures (the difference in the results is within the range of numerical round-off). These results are in line with prior thermal evaluations of the effect of surrounding casks in the NRC approved HI-STORM 100 System in Docket 72-1014.

4.4.3 Test Model

The HI-STORM FW thermal analysis is performed on the FLUENT [4.1.2] Computational Fluid Dynamics (CFD) program. To ensure a high degree of confidence in the HI-STORM FW thermal evaluations, the FLUENT code has been benchmarked using data from tests conducted with casks loaded with irradiated SNF ([4.1.3],[4.1.7]). The benchmark work is archived in QA validated Holtec reports ([4.1.5],[4.1.6]). These evaluations show that the FLUENT solutions are conservative in all cases. In view of these considerations, additional experimental verification of the thermal design is not necessary. FLUENT has also been used in all Holtec International Part 71 and Part 72 dockets since 1996.

4.4.4 Maximum and Minimum Temperatures

4.4.4.1 Maximum Temperatures

The 3-D model from the previous subsection is used to determine temperature distributions under long-term normal storage conditions for both MPC-89 and MPC-37. Tables 4.4.2, 4.4.3 and 4.4.5 provide key thermal and pressure results from the FLUENT simulations, respectively. The peak fuel cladding result in these tables is actually overstated by the fact that the 3-D FLUENT cask model incorporates the effective conductivity of the fuel assembly sub-model. Therefore the FLUENT models report the peak temperature *in the fuel storage cells*. Thus, as the fuel assembly models include the fuel pellets, the FLUENT calculated peak temperatures are actually peak pellet centerline temperatures which bound the peak cladding temperatures with a modest margin.

HOLTEC INTERNATIONAL COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL REPORT HI-2114830 4-30

^{*} The lower computed temperature is an artifact of the use of overstated inlet and outlet loss coefficients in the single cask model. The result supports the conclusion that surrounding casks have essentially no effect on the Peak Cladding Temperatures.

The following observations can be derived by inspecting the temperature field obtained from the thermal models:

- The fuel cladding temperatures are below the regulatory limit (ISG-11 [4.1.4]) under all regionalized storage scenarios defined in Chapter 1 (Figures 1.2.1 and 1.2.2).
- The limiting fuel temperatures are reached under the Pattern A thermal loading condition defined in Table 1.2.3(a) in the MPC-37. Accordingly this scenario is adopted for thermal evaluation under on-site transfer (Section 4.5) and under off-normal and accident conditions (Section 4.6).
- The maximum temperature of the basket structural material is within its design limit.
- The maximum temperatures of the MPC pressure boundary materials are below their design limits.
- The maximum temperatures of concrete are within the guidance of the governing ACI Code (see Table 2.2.3).

The above observations lead us to conclude that the temperature field in the HI-STORM FW System with a loaded MPC containing heat emitting SNF complies with all regulatory temperature limits (Table 2.2.3). In other words, the thermal environment in the HI-STORM FW System is in compliance with Chapter 2 Design Criteria.

4.4.4.2 Minimum Temperatures

In Table 2.2.2 of this report, the minimum ambient temperature condition for the HI-STORM FW storage overpack and MPC is specified to be -40° F. If, conservatively, a zero decay heat load with no solar input is applied to the stored fuel assemblies, then every component of the system at steady state would be at a temperature of -40° F. Low service temperature (-40° F) evaluation of the HI-STORM FW is provided in Chapter 3. All HI-STORM FW storage overpack and MPC materials of construction will satisfactorily perform their intended function in the storage mode under this minimum temperature condition.

4.4.4.3 Effect of Elevation

The reduced ambient pressure at site elevations significantly above the sea level will act to reduce the ventilation air mass flow, resulting in a net elevation of the peak cladding temperature. However, the ambient temperature (i.e., temperature of the feed air entering the overpack) also drops with the increase in elevation. Because the peak cladding temperature also depends on the feed air temperature (the effect is one-for-one within a small range, i.e., $1^{\circ}F$ drop in the feed air temperature results in $\sim 1^{\circ}F$ drop in the peak cladding temperature), the adverse

ambient pressure effect of increased elevation is partially offset by the ambient air temperature decrease. The table below illustrates the variation of air pressure and corresponding ambient temperature as a function of elevation.

Elevation (ft)	Pressure (psia)	Ambient Temperature Reduction versus Sea Level
Sea Level (0)	14.70	0°F
2000	13.66	7.1°F
4000	12.69	14.3°F

A survey of the elevation of nuclear plants in the U.S. shows that nuclear plants are situated near about sea level or elevated slightly (~1000 ft). The effect of the elevation on peak fuel cladding temperatures is evaluated by performing calculations for a HI-STORM FW system situated at an elevation of 1500 feet. At this elevation the ambient temperature would decrease by approximately 5° F (See Table above). The peak cladding temperatures are calculated under the reduced ambient temperature and pressure at 1500 feet elevation for the limiting regionalized storage scenario evaluated in Table 4.4.2. The results are presented in Table 4.4.9.

These results show that the PCT, including the effects of site elevation, continues to be well below the regulatory cladding temperature limit of 752°F. In light of the above evaluation, it is not necessary to place ISFSI elevation constraints for HI-STORM FW deployment at elevations up to 1500 feet. If, however, an ISFSI is sited at an elevation greater than 1500 feet, the effect of altitude on the PCT shall be quantified as part of the 10 CFR 72.212 evaluation for the site using the site ambient conditions.

4.4.4.4 Effect of Increase in Basket Panel Gap

The fuel basket is constructed by interlocking Metamic-HT honeycomb panels. Gaps might be present between the panel thickness and notch width of intersecting panels, where the panels are assembled together. These gaps introduce additional thermal resistance and thus reduce the heat conduction through the basket panels. During assembly, the gaps are minimized so that the gaps exist only along a part of the basket height. In the thermal evaluation discussed in this chapter, these gaps are modeled as a uniform gap of 0.4 mm on each side of the intersection basket panel along the entire basket height, which conservatively decreases the thermal conduction along panel and therefore maximizes the peak cladding temperature.

However, this gap is increased to 0.8 mm and warrants a thermal analysis to ensure there are no safety concerns. The thermal model conservatively includes a higher panel gap to provide bounding results. To determine the influence of a larger basket panel gap, a steady state thermal analysis is performed for the most limiting thermal scenario i.e. MPC-37 with short fuel under

HOLTEC INTERNATIONAL COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL

REPORT HI-2114830

Rev. 2

HI-STORM FW FSAR -Non-Proprietary Revision 2, June 24, 2013

Heat Load Pattern A and results summarized in Table 4.4.12. The peak cladding temperature and all other cask component temperatures are still well below their respective temperature limits. The results demonstrate that the effect of increasing the basket panel notch gaps is small and well within the safety margins available. Therefore, all the safety conclusions made for the HI-STORM remain unaffected.

4.4.5 Maximum Internal Pressure

4.4.5.1 MPC Helium Backfill Pressure

The quantity of helium emplaced in the MPC cavity shall be sufficient to produce an operating pressure of 7 atmospheres (absolute) during normal storage conditions defined in Table 4.1.1. Thermal analyses performed on the different MPC designs indicate that this operating pressure requires a certain minimum helium backfill pressure (P_b) specified at a reference temperature (70°F). The minimum backfill pressure for each MPC type is provided in Table 4.4.7. A theoretical upper limit on the helium backfill pressure also exists and is defined by the design pressure of the MPC vessel (Table 2.2.1). The upper limit of P_b is also reported in Table 4.4.7. To bound the minimum and maximum backfill pressures listed in Table 4.4.7 with a margin, a helium backfill specification is set forth in Table 4.4.8.

To provide additional helium backfill range for less than design basis heat load canisters a Sub-Design-Basis (SDB) heat load scenario is defined wherein each fuel storage location is assumed to be generating heat at 80% of the Design Basis fuel assembly heats defined in Tables 1.2.3 and 1.2.4 and the MPC sufficiently backfilled to yield 6 atmospheres absolute pressure. The storage cell and MPC heat load limits under the SDB scenario are specified in Table 4.4.11. Calculations show that the maximum cladding temperature under the SDB scenario meet the ISG-11 temperature limits. The helium backfill pressure limits supporting this scenario are defined in Table 4.4.10. These backfill limits maybe optionally adopted by a cask user if the decay heats of the loaded fuel assemblies meet the SDB decay heat limits stipulated above.

Two methods are available for ensuring that the appropriate quantity of helium has been placed in an MPC:

- i. By pressure measurement
- ii. By measurement of helium backfill volume (in standard cubic feet)

The direct pressure measurement approach is more convenient if the FHD method of MPC drying is used. In this case, a certain quantity of helium is already in the MPC. Because the helium is mixed inside the MPC during the FHD operation, the temperature and pressure of the helium gas at the MPC's exit provides a reliable means to compute the inventory of helium. A shortfall or excess of helium is adjusted by a calculated raising or lowering of the MPC pressure such that the reference MPC backfill pressure is within the range specified in Table 4.4.8 or Table 4.4.10 (as applicable).

4-33

When vacuum drying is used as the method for MPC drying, then it is more convenient to fill the MPC by introducing a known quantity of helium (in standard cubic feet) by measuring the quantity of helium introduced using a calibrated mass flow meter or other measuring apparatus. The required quantity of helium is computed by the product of net free volume and helium specific volume at the reference temperature $(70^{\circ}F)$ and a target pressure that lies in the midrange of the Table 4.4.8 pressures.

The net free volume of the MPC is obtained by subtracting B from A, where

- A = MPC cavity volume in the absence of contents (fuel and non-fuel hardware) computed from nominal design dimensions
- B = Total volume of the contents (fuel including DFCs, if used) based on nominal design dimensions

Using commercially available mass flow totalizers or other appropriate measuring devices, an MPC cavity is filled with the computed quantity of helium.

4.4.5.2 MPC Pressure Calculations

The MPC is initially filled with dry helium after fuel loading and drying prior to installing the MPC closure ring. During normal storage, the gas temperature within the MPC rises to its maximum operating basis temperature. The gas pressure inside the MPC will also increase with rising temperature. The pressure rise is determined using the ideal gas law. The MPC gas pressure is also subject to substantial pressure rise under hypothetical rupture of fuel rods and large gas inventory non-fuel hardware (PWR BPRAs). To minimize MPC gas pressures the number of BPRA containing fuel assemblies must be limited to 30.

Table 4.4.4 presents a summary of the MPC free volumes determined for the fixed height MPC-89 and lowerbound height MPC-37 fuel storage scenarios. The MPC maximum gas pressure is computed for a postulated release of fission product gases from fuel rods into this free space. For these scenarios, the amounts of each of the release gas constituents in the MPC cavity are summed and the resulting total pressures determined from the ideal gas law. A concomitant effect of rod ruptures is the increased pressure and molecular weight of the cavity gases with enhanced rate of heat dissipation by internal helium convection and lower cavity temperatures. As these effects are substantial under large rod ruptures the 100% rod rupture accident is evaluated with due credit for increased heat dissipation under increased pressure and molecular weight of the cavity gases. Based on fission gases release fractions (NUREG 1536 criteria [4.4.1]), rods' net free volume and initial fill gas pressure, maximum gas pressures with 1% (normal), 10% (off-normal) and 100% (accident condition) rod rupture are given in Table 4.4.5. The maximum computed gas pressures reported in Table 4.4.5 are all below the MPC internal design pressures for normal, off-normal and accident conditions specified in Table 2.2.1.

HOLTEC INTERNATIONAL COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL REPORT HI-2114830

Rev. 2

HI-STORM FW FSAR -Non-Proprietary Revision 2, June 24, 2013

Evaluation of Non-Fuel Hardware

The inclusion of PWR non-fuel hardware (BPRA control elements and thimble plugs) to the PWR basket influences the MPC internal pressure through two distinct effects. The presence of non-fuel hardware increases the effective basket conductivity, thus enhancing heat dissipation and lowering fuel temperatures as well as the temperature of the gas filling the space between fuel rods. The gas volume displaced by the mass of non-fuel hardware lowers the cavity free volume. These two effects, namely, temperature lowering and free volume reduction, have opposing influence on the MPC cavity pressure. The first effect lowers gas pressure while the second effect raises it. In the HI-STORM FW thermal analysis, the computed temperature field (with non-fuel hardware <u>excluded</u>) has been determined to provide a conservatively bounding temperature field for the PWR baskets. The MPC cavity free space is computed based on conservatively computed volume displacement by fuel with non-fuel hardware <u>included</u>. This approach ensures conservative bounding pressures.

During in-core irradiation of BPRAs, neutron capture by the B-10 isotope in the neutron absorbing material produces helium. Two different forms of the neutron absorbing material are used in BPRAs: Borosilicate glass and B_4C in a refractory solid matrix (At₂O₃). Borosilicate glass (primarily a constituent of Westinghouse BPRAs) is used in the shape of hollow pyrex glass tubes sealed within steel rods and supported on the inside by a thin-walled steel liner. To accommodate helium diffusion from the glass rod into the rod internal space, a relatively high void volume (~40%) is engineered in this type of rod design. The rod internal pressure is thus designed to remain below reactor operation conditions (2,300 psia and approximately 600°F coolant temperature). The B₄C- Al₂O₃ neutron absorber material is principally used in B&W and CE fuel BPRA designs. The relatively low temperatures of the poison material in BPRA rods (relative to fuel pellets) favor the entrapment of helium atoms in the solid matrix.

Several BPRA designs are used in PWR fuel. They differ in the number, diameter, and length of poison rods. The older Westinghouse fuel (W-14x14 and W-15x15) has used 6, 12, 16, and 20 rods per assembly BPRAs and the later (W-17x17) fuel uses up to 24 rods per BPRA. The BPRA rods in the older fuel are much larger than the later fuel and, therefore, the B-10 isotope inventory in the 20-rod BPRAs bounds the newer W-17x17 fuel. Based on bounding BPRA rods internal pressure, a large hypothetical quantity of helium (7.2 g-moles/BPRA) is assumed to be available for release into the MPC cavity from each BPRA containing fuel assembly. For a bounding evaluation the maximum permissible number of BPRA containing fuel assemblies (see discussion at the beginning of this Section) are assumed to be loaded. The MPC cavity pressures (including helium from BPRAs) are summarized in Table 4.4.5 for the bounding MPC-37 (shortest MPC height and design heat load) and MPC-89 (design heat load) storage scenarios.

4-35

4.4.6 Engineered Clearances to Eliminate Thermal Interferences

Thermal stress in a structural component is the resultant sum of two factors, namely: (i) restraint of free end expansion and (ii) non-uniform temperature distribution. To minimize thermal stresses in load bearing members, the HI-STORM FW system is engineered with adequate gaps to permit free thermal expansion of the fuel basket and MPC in axial and radial directions. In this subsection, differential thermal expansion calculations are performed to demonstrate that engineered gaps in the HI-STORM FW System are adequate to accommodate thermal expansion of the fuel basket and MPC.

The HI-STORM FW System is engineered with gaps for the fuel basket and MPC to expand thermally without restraint of free end expansion. The following gaps are evaluated:

- a. Fuel Basket-to-MPC Radial Gap
- b. Fuel Basket-to-MPC Axial Gap
- c. MPC-to-Overpack Radial Gap
- d. MPC-to-Overpack Axial Gap

The FLUENT thermal model provides the 3-D temperature field in the HI-STORM FW system from which the changes in the above gaps are directly computed. Table 4.4.6 provides the initial minimum gaps and their corresponding value during long-term storage conditions. Significant margins against restraint to free-end expansion are available in the design.

4.4.7 Evaluation of System Performance for Normal Conditions of Storage

The HI-STORM FW System thermal analysis is based on a detailed 3-D heat transfer model that conservatively accounts for all modes of heat transfer in the MPC and overpack. The thermal model incorporates conservative assumptions that render the results for long-term storage to be conservative.

Temperature distribution results obtained from this thermal model show that the maximum fuel cladding temperature limits are met with adequate margins. Expected margins during normal storage will be much greater due to the conservative assumptions incorporated in the analysis. As justified next the long-term impact of elevated temperatures reached in the HI-STORM FW system is minimal. The maximum MPC basket temperatures are below the recommended limits for susceptibility to stress, corrosion and creep-induced degradation. A complete evaluation of all material failure modes and causative mechanisms has been performed in Chapter 8 which shows that all selected materials for use in the HI-STORM FW system will render their intended function for the service life of the system. Furthermore, stresses induced due to the associated temperature gradients are modestly low (See Structural Evaluation Chapter 3).

4-36

Table 4.4.1				
EFFECT	IVE FUEL PROPE CC	RTIES UNDER BO	OUNDING FUEL ST	FORAGE
		Conductivit	y (Btu/hr-ft-°F)	
	PWR: S	hort Fuel	PWR: Sta	ndard Fuel
Temperature (°F)	Planar	Axial	Planar	Axial
200	0.247	0.813	0.231	0.759
450	0.443	0.903	0.387	0.845
700	0.730	1.016	0.601	0.951
	PWR: XL Fuel BWR Fuel			۲ Fuel
	Planar	Axial	Planar	Axial
200	0.239	0.787	0.283	0.897
450	0.393	0.875	0.426	0.988
700	0.599	0.984	0.607	1.104
	Th	ermal Inertia Prope	erties	
	Density	y (lb/ft ³)	Heat Capacity	(Btu/lb-°F) ^{Note 2}
PWR: Short Fuel	16	5.8	0.0	056
PWR: Standard Fuel	17	6.2	0.0	056
PWR: XL Fuel	18	7.5	0.0	056
BWR Fuel	25	5.6	0.0	056
Note 1: Bounding f	Note 1: Bounding fuel storage configurations defined in 4.4.1.1(ii).			
Note 2: The lowerbound heat capacity of principal fuel assembly construction materials tabulated in Table 4.2.5 (UO ₂ heat capacity) is conservatively adopted.				
Note 3: The fuel properties tabulated herein are used in screening calculations to define the limiting scenario for fuel storage (See Table 4.4.2).				

Table 4.4.2				
RESULTS OF SCREENING CALCULATIONS UNDER NORMAL STORAGE CONDITIONS				
Storage Scenario Peak Cladding Temperature, °C (°F)				
MPC-37 Minimum Height* Reference Height Maximum Height	353 (667) 342 (648) 316 (601)			
MPC-89	333 (631)			
 Notes: (1) The highest temperature highlighted above is reached under the case of minimum height MPC-37 designed to store the short height Ft. Calhoun 14x14 fuel. This scenario is adopted in Chapter 4 for the licensing basis evaluation of fuel storage in the HI-STORM FW system. (2) All the screening calculations were performed using a baseline mesh. 				

* Bounding scenario adopted in this Chapter for all thermal evaluations.

HOLTEC INTERNATIONAL COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL REPORT HI-2114830

4-38

Table 4.4.3			
MAXIMUM TEMPERATURES IN THE LIMITING HI-STORM FW STORAGE SCENARIO UNDER LONG-TERM NORMAL STORAGE [*]			
Component	Temperature, °C (°F)		
Fuel Cladding	375 (707)		
MPC Basket	361 (682)		
Basket Periphery	297 (567)		
Aluminum Basket Shims	276 (529)		
MPC Shell	246 (475)		
MPC Lid ^{Note I}	243 (469)		
Overpack Inner Shell	128 (262)		
Overpack Outer Shell	60 (140)		
Overpack Body Concrete ^{Note 1}	88 (190)		
Overpack Lid Concrete ^{Note I}	113 (235)		
Area Averaged Air outlet [†]	104 (219)		
Note 1: Maximum section average temperature is reported.			

^{*} The temperatures reported in this table (all for shortest fuel scenario of MPC-37) are below the design temperatures specified in Table 2.2.3, Chapter 2.

^{*} Reported herein for the option of temperature measurement surveillance of outlet ducts air temperature as set forth in the Technical Specifications.

HOLTEC INTERNATIONAL COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL REPORT HI-2114830 4-39

Table 4.4.4				
	MINIMUM MPC FREE VOL	UMES		
Item Lowerbound Height MPC-89 MPC-37 (ft ³)				
Net Free 211.89 210.12				
*Net free volumes are obtained by subtracting basket, fuel, aluminum shims, spacers, basket supports and DFCs metal volume from the MPC cavity volume.				

Table 4.4.5				
SUMMARY OF MPC INTERNAL PRESSURES UNDER LONG-TERM STORAGE*				
Condition	MPC-37	MPC-89***		
	(psig)	(psig)		
Initial backfill** (at 70°F)	45.5	45.5		
Normal:				
intact rods	98.0	98.0		
1% rods rupture	99.1	98.6		
Off-Normal (10% rods rupture)	109.1	103.9		
Accident (100% rods rupture)	195.5	156.3		
* Per NUREG-1536, pressure analyses with ruptured fuel rods (including BPRA rods for PWR fuel) is performed with release of 100% of the ruptured fuel rod fill gas and 30% of the significant radioactive gaseous fission products.				
** Conservatively assumed at the Tech. Spec. maximum value (see Table				
 4.4.8). *** Conservatively the MPC-37 cavity average temperature is adopted for pressure calculations. 				

se .

4-40

Table 4.4.6						
SUMMARY O	SUMMARY OF HI-STORM FW DIFFERENTIAL THERMAL EXPANSIONS					
Gap Description	Cold Gap U (in)	Differential Expansion δ _i (in)	Is Free Expansion Criterion Satisfied (i.e., U > δ _i)			
Fuel Basket-to-MPC Radial Gap	0.125	0.112	Yes			
Fuel Basket-to-MPC Axial Gap	1.5	0.421	Yes			
MPC-to-Overpack Radial Gap	5.5	0.128	Yes			
MPC-to-Overpack Minimum Axial Gap	3.5	0.372	Yes			

Table 4.4.7 THEORETICAL LIMITS* OF MPC HELIUM BACKFILL PRESSURE ^{**}		
MPC	Minimum Backfill Pressure (psig)	Maximum Backfill Pressure (psig)
MPC-37	40.3	46.6
MPC-89***	40.3	46.6

* The helium backfill pressures are set forth in the Technical Specifications with a margin (see Table 4.4.8).

** The pressures tabulated herein are at 70° F reference gas temperature.

*** Conservatively the MPC-37 cavity average temperature is adopted for pressure calculations.

Table 4.4.8		
MPC HELIUM BACKFILL PRESSURE SPECIFICATIONS		
MPC	Item	Specification
MPC-37	Minimum Pressure	42.5 psig @ 70°F Reference Temperature
	Maximum Pressure	45.5 psig @ 70°F Reference Temperature
MPC-89*	Minimum Pressure	42.5 psig @ 70°F Reference Temperature
	Maximum Pressure	45.5 psig @ 70°F Reference Temperature
* Conservatively the MPC-37 cavity average temperature is adopted for pressure calculations.		

Table 4.4.9				
MAXIMUM HI-STORM FW TEMPERATURES AT ELEVATED SITES [*]				
Component	Temperature, °C (°F)			
Fuel Cladding	374 (705)			
MPC Basket	360 (680)			
Aluminum Basket Shims	275 (527)			
MPC Shell	246 (475)			
MPC Lid ^{Note 1}	242 (468)			
Overpack Inner Shell	126 (259)			
Overpack Body Concrete ^{Note 1}	86 (187)			
Overpack Lid Concrete ^{Note I}	112 (234)			
Note 1: Maximum section average temperature is reported.				

4-42

HOLTEC INTERNATIONAL COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL REPORT HI-2114830

Rev. 2

^{*} The temperatures reported in this table (all for the bounding scenario defined in Table 4.4.2) are below the design temperatures specified in Table 2.2.3, Chapter 2.

Table 4.4.10 MPC HELIUM BACKFILL PRESSURE LIMITS UNDER THE SUB-DESIGN-BASIS HEAT LOAD SCENARIO ^{Note 1}		
МРС	Item	Specification
MDC 27	Minimum Pressure	42.0 psig @ 70°F Reference Temperature
WPC-37	Maximum Pressure	50.0 psig @ 70°F Reference Temperature
MPC-89	Minimum Pressure	42.0 psig @ 70°F Reference Temperature
	Maximum Pressure	50.0 psig @ 70°F Reference Temperature
Note 1: The Sub-Design-Basis heat load scenario is defined in Section 4.4.5.1.		

Table 4.4.11				
SUB-DESIGN BASIS HEAT LOAD LIMITS				
<u>MPC-37</u> Region 1 Cells Region 2 Cells Region 3 Cells Total	0.904 kW/assy 1.424 kW/assy 0.776 kW/assy 37.6 kW			
<u>MPC-89</u> Region 1 Cells Region 2 Cells Region 3 Cells Total	0.352 kW/assy 0.496 kW/assy 0.352 kW/assy 37.1 kW			
Note: The MPC-37 and MPC-89 storage cell reg respectively.	ions are defined in Figures 1.2.1 and 1.2.2			

HOLTEC INTERNATIONAL COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL REPORT H1-2114830

4-43

TABLE 4.4.12 EFFECT OF INCREASE IN BASKET PANEL GAP ON LONG-TERM NORMAL STORAGE			
Component	Temperature ^{Note 1} °C (°F)		
Fuel Cladding	379 (714)		
MPC Basket	366 (691)		
Aluminum Basket Shims	278 (532)		
MPC Shell	248 (478)		
MPC Lid ^{Note 2}	246 (475)		
Overpack Inner Shell	129 (264)		
Overpack Body Concrete ^{Note 2}	89 (192)		
Overpack Lid Concrete ^{Note 2}	114 (237)		
Note-1: The predicted temperatures for the than the licensing basis temperatures reported Note 2: Maximum section average temperatures	increased panel gap are slightly higher d in Table 4.4.3 for Pattern A. are is reported.		



Figure 4.4.1: Homogenization of the Storage Cell Cross-Section

HOLTEC INTERNATIONAL COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL REPORT HI-2114830

Rev. 2



Figure 4.4.2: Planar View of HI-STORM FW-MPC-89 Quarter Symmetric 3-D Model

HOLTEC INTERNATIONAL COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL REPORT HI-2114830

Rev. 2



Figure 4.4.3: Planar View of HI-STORM FW MPC-37 Quarter Symmetric 3-D Model

4-47



Figure 4.4.4: Closeup View of the MPC-89 Channeled Fuel Spaces

HOLTEC INTERNATIONAL COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL REPORT HI-2114830

Rev. 2



Legend: P_s: Cask pitch A_o: Tributary area

Figure 4.4.5: Illustration of a Centrally Located Cask in a Cask Array

HOLTEC INTERNATIONAL COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL REPORT HI-2114830

Rev. 2





Figure 4.4.6: Illustration of the Hypothetical Square Cavity Thermal Model



Figure 4.4.7: Storage Cell Pressure Drop as a Function of In-Cell Helium Velocity

4.5 THERMAL EVALUATION OF SHORT-TERM OPERATIONS

4.5.1 Thermally Limiting Evolutions During Short-Term Operations

Prior to placement in a HI-STORM FW overpack, an MPC must be loaded with fuel, outfitted with closures, dewatered, dried, backfilled with helium and transported to the HI-STORM FW module. In the unlikely event that the fuel needs to be returned to the spent fuel pool, these steps must be performed in reverse. Finally, if required, transfer of a loaded MPC between HI-STORM FW overpacks or between a HI-STAR transport overpack and a HI-STORM FW storage overpack must be carried out in a safe manner. All of the above operations, henceforth referred to as "short-term operations", are short duration events that would likely occur no more than once or twice for an individual MPC.

Chapter 9 provides a description of the typical loading steps involved in moving nuclear fuel from the spent fuel pool to dry storage in the HI-STORM FW system. The transition from a wet to a dry environment, to comply with ISG-11, Rev. 3, must occur without exceeding the short-term operation temperature limits (see Table 4.3.1).

The loading steps that present the limiting thermal condition during short term operations for the fuel are those when either one or both of the following conditions exist:

- i. The MPC's fuel storage space is evacuated of fluids resulting in a significant decease in internal heat transmission rates. This condition obtains if the vacuum drying method for removing moisture from the canister is employed.
- ii. The removal of heat from the external surfaces of the MPC is impeded because of the air gap between the canister and HI-TRAC VW. This condition exists, for example, when the loaded MPC is being moved inside HI-TRAC VW for staging and transfer of the MPC to the HI-STORM FW overpack.

In this section, the thermally limiting scenarios during short-term operations are identified and analyzed.

Because onsite transport of the MPC occurs with the HI-TRAC VW in the vertical orientation, the thermosiphon action within the MPC is preserved at all times. The only (rare) departure from a purely vertical orientation occurs if a tilting of the HI-TRAC VW is needed to clear an obstruction such as a low egress bay door opening at a plant. In such a case the operational imperative for HI-TRAC VW tilting must be ascertained and the permissible duration of non-vertical configuration must be established on a site-specific basis and compliance with the thermal limits of ISG-11 [4.1.4] must be demonstrated as a part of the site-specific safety evaluation under 10CFR72.212.

4-52
4.5.2 HI-TRAC VW Thermal Model

4.5.2.1 On-Site Transfer

The HI-TRAC VW transfer cask is used to load and unload the HI-STORM FW concrete storage overpack, including onsite transport of the MPCs from the loading facility to an ISFSI pad. Within a loaded HI-TRAC VW, heat generated in the MPC is transported from the contained fuel assemblies to the MPC shell through the fuel basket and the basket-to-shell gaps via conduction and thermal radiation. From the outer surface of the MPC to the ambient atmosphere, heat is transported within across multiple concentric layers, representing the air gap, the HI-TRAC VW inner shell, the lead shielding, the HI-TRAC VW outer shell, the water jacket space and the jacket shell. From the surface of the HI-TRAC VW's enclosure shell heat is rejected to the atmosphere by natural convection and radiation.

A small diametral gap exists between the outer surface of the MPC and the inner surface of the HI-TRAC VW overpack which may be filled with water during an operational state to serve as a heat sink and radiation absorber. The water jacket, which provides neutron shielding for the HI-TRAC VW overpack, surrounds the outer cylindrical steel wall of the HI-TRAC VW body. Heat is transported through the water jacket by a combination of conduction through steel ribs and convection heat transfer in the water spaces. The bottom face of the HI-TRAC VW is in contact with a supporting surface which is a thermal heat sink. This face is conservatively modeled as an insulated surface. The HI-TRAC VW is an open top construction which is modeled as an opening to allow air exchange with the ambient.

The HI-TRAC VW Transfer Cask thermal analysis is based on a detailed heat transfer model that conservatively accounts for all modes of heat transfer in the MPC and HI-TRAC VW. The thermal model incorporates several conservative features listed below:

- i. Severe levels of environmental factors bounding ambient temperature, 32.2°C (90°F), and constant solar flux were coincidentally imposed on the thermal design. A bounding solar absorbtivity of 0.85 is applied to all exposed surfaces.
- ii. The HI-TRAC VW Transfer Cask-to-MPC annular gap is analyzed based on the nominal design dimensions. No credit is considered for the gap reduction that would occur as a result of differential thermal expansion with design basis fuel at hot conditions. The MPC is considered to be concentrically aligned with the cask cavity and the annulus is filled with air. This scenario maximizes thermal resistance.
- iii. The HI-TRAC VW baseplate is in thermally communicative contact with supporting surfaces. For conservatism an insulated boundary condition is applied to the baseplate.

4-53

- iv. The HI-TRAC VW fluid columns (namely air in the annulus and water in the water jacket) are allowed to move. In other words natural convection heat transfer by annulus air and water is credited in the analysis.
- v. To maximize lateral resistance to heat dissipation in the fuel basket conservatively postulated 0.4 mm full length panel gaps are assumed at all intersections. This approach is similar to the approach in the approved HI-STAR 180 Package in Docket 71-9325. This panel gap is revised to 0.8 mm and a thermal evaluation is performed to evaluate the effect of this gap increase in Subsection 4.5.4.4. The shims installed in the MPC peripheral spaces (See MPC-37 and MPC-89 drawings in Section 1.5) are explicitly modeled. For conservatism reasonably bounding gaps (2.5 mm basket-to-shims and 2.5 mm shims-to-shell) are incorporated in the thermal models.

The grid deployed in the HI-TRAC VW thermal model is confirmed to be grid independent through mesh sensitivity studies. The studies refined the radial mesh in HI-TRAC VW annulus and water jacket regions. The thermal solutions obtained show that the temperatures are essentially unchanged.

To evaluate on-site transfer operations a HI-TRAC VW thermal model is constructed under the limiting scenario of fuel storage in the minimum height MPC-37 (See Section 4.4.1.5) at design maximum heat load specified in Chapter 1, Section 1.2. The model adopts the MPC thermal modeling methodology described in Section 4.4 and the properties of design basis 14x14 Ft. Calhoun fuel defined in Table 4.4.1 under the limiting fuel storage scenario cited above. Results of on-site transfer analyses are provided in Subsection 4.5.4.3.

4.5.2.2 Vacuum Drying

The initial loading of SNF in the MPC requires that the water within the MPC be drained and replaced with helium. For MPCs containing moderate burnup fuel assemblies only, this operation may be carried out using the conventional vacuum drying approach upto design basis heat load. In this method, removal of moisture from the MPC cavity is accomplished by evacuating the MPC after completion of MPC draining operation. Vacuum drying of MPCs containing high burnup fuel assemblies is permitted up to threshold heat loads defined in Table 4.5.1. High burnup fuel drying in MPCs generating greater than threshold heat load is performed by a forced flow helium drying process as discussed in Section 4.5.4.

Prior to the start of the MPC draining operation, both the HI-TRAC VW annulus and the MPC are full of water. The presence of water in the MPC ensures that the fuel cladding temperatures are lower than design basis limits by large margins. As the heat generating active fuel length is uncovered during the draining operation, the fuel and basket mass will undergo a gradual heat up from the initially cold conditions when the heated surfaces were submerged under water. To minimize fuel temperatures during vacuum drying operations the HI-TRAC VW annulus must be

water filled. The necessary operational steps required to ensure this requirement are set forth in Chapter 9.

A 3-D FLUENT thermal model of the MPC is constructed in the same manner as described in Section 4.4. The principal input to this model is the effective conductivity of fuel under vacuum drying operations. To bound the vacuum drying operations the effective conductivity of fuel is computed assuming the MPC is filled with water vapor at a very low pressure (1 torr). The methodology for computing the effective conductivity is given in Section 4.4.1 and effective properties of design basis fuel under vacuum conditions tabulated in Table 4.5.8. To ensure a conservative evaluation the thermal model is incorporated with the following assumptions:

- i. Bounding steady-state condition is reached with the MPC decay heat load set equal to the design heat load (Tables 1.2.3 and 1.2.4) for MPCs fueled with Moderate Burnup Fuel and threshold heat load defined in Table 4.5.1 for MPCs fueled with one or more High Burnup fuel assemblies.
- ii. The external surface of the MPC shell is postulated to be at the boiling temperature of water $100^{\circ}C$ (212°F).
- iii. The bottom surface of the MPC is insulated.
- iv. MPC internal convection heat transfer is suppressed.

Results of vacuum condition analyses are provided in Subsection 4.5.4.1.

4.5.3 Maximum Time Limit During Wet Transfer Operations

Fuel loading operations are typically conducted with the HI-TRAC VW and its contents (water filled MPC) submerged in pool water. Under these conditions, the HI-TRAC VW is essentially at the pool water temperature. When the HI-TRAC VW transfer cask and the loaded MPC under water-flooded conditions is removed from the pool, the water, fuel, MPC and HI-TRAC VW metal absorb the decay heat emitted by the fuel assemblies. This results in a slow temperature rise of the HI-TRAC VW with time, starting from an initial (pool water) temperature. The rate of temperature rise is limited by the thermal inertia of the HI-TRAC VW system.

In accordance with NUREG-1536, water inside the MPC cavity during wet transfer operations is not permitted to boil. This requirement is met by imposing time limits for fuel to remain submerged in water after a loaded HI-TRAC VW cask is removed from the pool. The time limits are conservatively computed under an assumed adiabatic temperature rise of the cask with design heat load and understated thermal inertia of the cask defined in Table 4.5.3. The computed time limits are tabulated in Table 4.5.4.

As set forth in the HI-STORM FW operating procedures, in the unlikely event that the maximum allowable time provided in Table 4.5.3 is found to be insufficient to complete all wet transfer operations, a forced water circulation shall be initiated and maintained to remove the decay heat from the MPC cavity. In this case, relatively cooler water will enter via MPC lid ports and heated

4-55

water will exit from the vent port. The minimum water flow rate required to maintain the MPC cavity water temperature below boiling with an adequate subcooling margin is determined as follows:

$$M_{W} = \frac{Q}{C_{pW}(T_{max} - T_{in})}$$

where:

 M_W = minimum water flow rate (lb/hr)

 C_{pw} = water heat capacity (Btu/lb-°F)

 T_{max} = suitably limiting temperature below boiling (°F)

 T_{in} = water supply temperature to MPC

4.5.4 Analysis of Limiting Thermal States During Short-Term Operations

4.5.4.1 Vacuum Drying

The vacuum drying option is evaluated for the two limiting scenarios defined in Section 4.5.2.2 to address Moderate Burnup Fuel under design basis heat load and High Burnup Fuel under threshold heat load defined in Table 4.5.1. The principle objective of the analysis is to ensure compliance with ISG-11 temperature limits. For this purpose 3-D FLUENT thermal models of the MPC-37 and MPC-89 canisters are constructed as described in Section 4.5.2.2 and bounding steady state temperatures computed. The results are tabulated in Tables 4.5.6 and 4.5.7. The results show that the cladding temperatures comply with the ISG-11 limits for moderate and high burnup fuel in Table 4.3.1 by robust margins.

4.5.4.2 Forced Helium Dehydration

To reduce moisture to trace levels in the MPC using a Forced Helium Dehydration (FHD) system, a conventional, closed loop dehumidification system consisting of a condenser, a demoisturizer, a compressor, and a pre-heater is utilized to extract moisture from the MPC cavity through repeated displacement of its contained helium, accompanied by vigorous flow turbulation. Demoisturization to the3 torr vapor pressure criteria required by NUREG 1536 is assured by verifying that the helium temperature exiting the demoisturizer is maintained at or below the psychrometric threshold of 21°F for a minimum of 30 minutes. Appendix 2.B of [4.1.8] provides a detailed discussion of the design criteria and operation of the FHD system.

The FHD system provides concurrent fuel cooling during the moisture removal process through forced convective heat transfer. The attendant forced convection-aided heat transfer occurring during operation of the FHD system ensures that the fuel cladding temperature will remain below the applicable peak cladding temperature limit in Table 2.2.3. Because the FHD operation induces a state of forced convection heat transfer in the MPC, (in contrast to the quiescent mode of natural convection in long term storage), it is readily concluded that the peak fuel cladding temperature under the latter condition will be greater than that during the FHD operation phase.

HOLTEC INTERNATIONAL COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL

REPORT HI-2114830

Rev. 2

In the event that the FHD system malfunctions, the forced convection state will degenerate to natural convection, which corresponds to the conditions of normal onsite transfer. As a result, if the FHD machine fails then the peak fuel cladding temperatures will approximate the value reached during normal onsite transfer, discussed below.

4.5.4.3 Normal On-site Transfer

An MPC-37 situated inside a HI-TRAC VW is evaluated under the design heat load defined in Section 1.2. The MPC-37 is evaluated because it yields the highest fuel and cask temperatures (See Table 4.4.2). This scenario is analyzed using the same 3D FLUENT model of the MPC-37 articulated in Section 4.4 for normal storage with due recognition of it situated in the HI-TRAC VW transfer cask. The HI-TRAC VW model discussed in Section 4.5.2 is adopted to construct a global model of an MPC-37 situated inside the HI-TRAC VW and dissipating heat by natural convection and radiation to ambient air.

While the duration of onsite transport is generally short to preclude the MPC and HI-TRAC VW from reaching a steady-state, a conservative approach is adopted herein by assuming steady state maximum temperatures are reached. The principle objectives of the HI-TRAC VW analyses are to demonstrate:

- i) Cladding integrity
- ii) Confinement integrity
- iii) Neutron shield integrity

The appropriate criteria are provided in Tables 2.2.1 (pressure limits) and 2.2.3 (temperature limits).

The results of thermal analyses tabulated in Table 4.5.2 show that the cladding temperatures are below the ISG-11 temperature limits of High and Moderate Burnup Fuel (Table 4.3.1). Actual margins during HI-TRAC VW operations will be much larger due to the many conservative assumptions incorporated in the analysis.

The water in the water jacket surrounding the HI-TRAC VW body provides necessary neutron shielding. During normal handling and onsite transfer operations this shielding water is contained within the water jacket at elevated internal pressure. The water jacket is equipped with two pressure relief devices set to an adequately high pressure to prevent boiling. Under HI-TRAC VW operations, the bulk temperature of water remains below the temperature limit specified in Table 2.2.3. Accordingly, water is in the liquid state and the neutron shielding function is maintained. The cladding, neutron shield and HI-TRAC VW component temperatures are provided in Table 4.5.2. The confinement boundary integrity is evaluated in the Section 4.5.6.

4-57

4.5.4.4 Effect of Increase in Basket Panel Gap

As described in Subsection 4.4.4.4, the basket panel notch gap is increased to 0.8 mm. A thermal evaluation is performed for the limiting scenario for both HI-TRAC normal transfer condition and vacuum drying condition to determine the effect of increase in panel gap. The HI-TRAC normal transfer and vacuum drying thermal models include a conservatively higher panel gap. The results of the steady state analysis for HI-TRAC normal transfer and vacuum drying condition are summarized in Tables 4.5.9 and 4.5.10 respectively. All the PCT and cask component temperatures during vacuum drying and normal onsite transfer in HI-TRAC are below their respective temperature limits. Therefore, the effect of increasing the panel gap is small and leaves sufficient safety margins for all the normal, off-normal and accident conditions.

4.5.5 Cask Cooldown and Reflood Analysis During Fuel Unloading Operation

NUREG-1536 requires an evaluation of cask cooldown and reflood procedures to support fuel unloading from a dry condition. Past industry experience generally supports cooldown of cask internals and fuel from hot storage conditions by direct water quenching. Direct MPC cooldown is effectuated by introducing water through the lid drain line. From the drain line, water enters the MPC cavity near the MPC baseplate. Steam produced during the direct quenching process will be vented from the MPC cavity through the lid vent port. To maximize venting capacity, both vent port RVOA connections must remain open for the duration of the fuel unloading operations. As direct water quenching of hot fuel results in steam generation, it is necessary to limit the rate of water addition to avoid MPC overpressurization. For example, steam flow calculations using bounding assumptions (100% steam production and MPC at design pressure) show that the MPC is adequately protected under a reflood rate of 3715 lb/hr. Limiting the water reflood rate to this amount or less would prevent exceeding the MPC design pressure.

4.5.6 Maximum Internal Pressure (Load Case NB in Table 2.2.7)

After fuel loading and vacuum drying, but prior to installing the MPC closure ring, the MPC is initially filled with helium. During handling and on-site transfer operations in the HI-TRAC VW transfer cask, the gas temperature will correspond to the thermal conditions within the MPC analyzed in Section 4.5.4.3. Based on this analysis the MPC internal pressure is computed under the assumption of maximum helium backfill specified in Table 4.4.8 and confirmed to comply with the short term operations pressure limit in Table 2.2.1. The results are tabulated in Table 4.5.5.

Table 4.5.1				
THRESHOLD HEAT LOADS UNDER VACUUM DRYING OF HIGH BURNUP FUEL				
Storage Zone ^{Note 1} MPC-37 (kW) MPC-89 (kW)				
Region 1	0.8	0.35		
Region 2	0.97	0.35		
Region 3	0.97	0.44		
MPC Heat Load	34.36	34.75		
Note 1: Storage zones are defined in Figures 1.2.1 and 1.2.2.				

4-59

Table 4.5.2			
HI-TRAC VW TRANSFER CASK STEADY STATE MAXIMUM TEMPERATURES ^{Note I}			
Component Temperature, °C (°F)			
Fuel Cladding	388 (730)		
MPC Basket	375 (707)		
Basket Periphery	305 (581)		
Aluminum Basket Shims	283 (541)		
MPC Shell	254 (489)		
MPC Lid ^{Note 2}	244 (471)		
HI-TRAC VW Inner Shell	144 (291)		
HI-TRAC VW Radial Lead Gamma Shield	143 (289)		
Water Jacket Bulk Water134 (273)			
Note 1: The temperatures tabulated herein are updated to reflect the changes in helium backfill pressures in Table 4.4.8. Note 2: Maximum section average temperature is reported.			

Table 4.5.3				
HI-TRAC VW TRANS	SFER CASK LOWERE	OUND WEIGHTS AND	THERMAL INERTIAS	
ComponentWeightHeat CapacityThermal Inert(lbs)(Btu/lb-°F)(Btu/°F)				
Lead	45627	0.031	1414	
Carbon Steel	43270	0.1	4327	
Stainless Steel	19561	0.12	2347	
Aluminum	6734	0.207	1394	
Metamic-HT	7349	0.22	1617	
Fuel	46250	0.056	2590	
MPC Cavity Water	6611	0.999	6604	
Total	175402	-	20294	

Table 4.5.4			
MAXIMUM ALLOWABLE TIME FOR WET TRANSFER OPERATIONS			
Initial temperature Time Duration °F (hr)			
100	14.2		
110	12.9		
120	11.6		
130	10.4		
140	9.1		
150	7.8		

4-61

Table 4.5.5			
MPC CONFINEMENT BOUNDARY PRESSURE UNDER ON-SITE TRANSPORT			
Condition Pressure (psig)			
Initial backfill pressure (at 70°F)	45.5		
(Tech. Spec. maximum in Table 4.4.8)			
Maximum pressure 101.9			

Table 4.5.6				
MAXIMUM TEMPERATU	RES OF MPC-37 DURING VAC	UUM DRYING CONDITIONS		
Component	Temperatures @DB Heat Load °C (°F)Temperatures @ Thres Heat Load °C (°F)Temperatures @DB Heat Heat Load °C (°F)Temperatures @ Thres Heat Load °C (°F)			
Fuel Cladding	480 (896)	384 (723)		
MPC Basket	464 (867)	367 (693)		
Basket Periphery	357 (675)	288 (550)		
Aluminum Basket Shims	278 (532)	232 (450)		
MPC Shell	156 (313)	142 (288)		
MPC Lid ^{Note 3}	107 (225)	100 (212)		

Note 1: Addresses vacuum drying of Moderate Burnup Fuel under Design Basis heat load defined in Section 1.2.

Note 2: Addresses vacuum drying of High Burnup Fuel under threshold heat load (Table 4.5.1). Note 3: Maximum section temperature reported.

Table 4.5.7				
MAXIMUM TEMPERATUI	MAXIMUM TEMPERATURES OF MPC-89 DURING VACUUM DRYING CONDITIONS			
Component	Temperatures @DB Heat Load ^{Note 1} °C (°F)	Temperatures @ Threshold Heat Load ^{Note 2} °C (°F)		
Fuel Cladding	464 (867)	376 (709)		
MPC Basket	449 (840)	359 (678)		
Basket Periphery	348 (658)	286 (547)		
Aluminum Basket Shims	275 (527)	232 (450)		
MPC Shell	158 (316)	144 (291)		
MPC Lid ^{Note 3} 127 (261) 110 (230)				
 Note 1: Addresses vacuum drying of Moderate Burnup Fuel under Design Basis heat load defined in Section 1.2. Note 2: Addresses vacuum drying of High Burnup Fuel under threshold heat load (Table 4.5.1). Note 3: Maximum section temperature reported. 				

Table 4.5.8				
EFFECTIVE CONDUCTIVITY OF DESIGN BASIS FUEL ^{Note 1} UNDER VACUUM DRYING OPERATIONS				
(Btu/hr-ft-°F)				
Temperature (°F)	Planar	Axial		
200	0.111	0.737		
450	0.273	0.805		
700 0.538 0.900				
1000 0.977 1.040				
Note 1: Ft. Calhoun $14x14$ fuel is defined as the design basis fuel under the limiting condition of fuel storage in the minimum height MPC-37 (See Table 4.4.2).				

4-63

HOLTEC INTERNATIONAL COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL REPORT HI-2114830

HI-STORM FW FSAR -Non-Proprietary Revision 2, June 24, 2013

Table 4.5.9			
EFFECT OF INCREASE IN BASK TEMPERATURES DURING NORMAL O	KET PANEL GAP ON MAXIMUM INSITE TRANSFER IN HI-TRAC VW		
Component Temperature, °C (°F) ^{Note 1}			
Fuel Cladding393 (739)			
MPC Basket	380 (716)		
Basket Periphery	308 (586)		
Aluminum Basket Shims	285 (545)		
MPC Shell	255 (491)		
HI-TRAC VW Inner Shell	143 (289)		
Note 1: The predicted temperatures for the increased panel gap are slightly higher than the licensing basis temperatures reported in Table 4.5.2.			

Table 4.5.10			
EFFECT OF INCREASE IN BASKET PANEL GAP ON MAXIMUM TEMPERATURES OF MPC-37 DURING VACUUM DRYING CONDITION AT THRESHOLD HEAT LOAD			
Component	Component Temperatures ^{Note 1} , ^o C (^o F)		
Fuel Cladding	389 (732)		
MPC Basket	373 (703)		
Basket Periphery 292 (558)			
Aluminum Basket Shims	234 (453)		
MPC Shell	142 (288)		
Note 1: The predicted temperatures for the increased panel gap are slightly higher than the licensing basis temperatures for threshold heat load reported in Table 4.5.6.			

HOLTEC INTERNATIONAL COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL REPORT HI-2114830

4-64

4.6 OFF-NORMAL AND ACCIDENT EVENTS

4.6.1 Off-Normal Events

4.6.1.1 Off-Normal Pressure (Load Case NB in Table 2.2.7)

This event is defined as a combination of (a) maximum helium backfill pressure (Table 4.4.8), (b) 10% fuel rods rupture, (c) limiting fuel storage configuration and (d) off-normal ambient temperature. The principal objective of the analysis is to demonstrate that the MPC off-normal design pressure (Table 2.2.1) is not exceeded. The MPC off-normal pressures are reported in Table 4.6.7. The result is below the off-normal design pressure (Table 2.2.1).

4.6.1.2 Off-Normal Environmental Temperature

This event is defined by a time averaged ambient temperature of 100° F for a 3-day period (Table 2.2.2). The results of this event (maximum temperatures and pressures) are provided in Table 4.6.1 and 4.6.7. The results are below the off-normal condition temperature and pressure limits (Tables 2.2.3 and 2.2.1).

4.6.1.3 Partial Blockage of Air Inlets

The HI-STORM FW system is designed with debris screens installed on the inlet and outlet openings. These screens ensure the air passages are protected from entry and blockage by foreign objects. As required by the design criteria presented in Chapter 2, it is postulated that the HI-STORM FW air inlet vents are 50% blocked. The resulting decrease in flow area increases the flow resistance of the inlet ducts. The effect of the increased flow resistance on fuel temperature is analyzed for the normal ambient temperature (Table 2.2.2) and a limiting fuel storage configuration. The computed temperatures are reported in Table 4.6.1 and the corresponding MPC internal pressure in Table 4.6.7. The results are confirmed to be below the temperature limits (Table 2.2.3) and pressure limit (Table 2.2.1) for off-normal conditions.

4.6.1.4 FHD Malfunction

This event is defined in Subsection 12.1.5 as stoppage of the FHD machine following loss of power or active component trip. The principal effect of this event is stoppage of helium circulation through the MPC and transitioning of heat dissipation in the MPC from forced convection to natural circulation cooling. To bound this event an array of adverse conditions are assumed to have developed coincidentally, as noted below:

- a. Steady state maximum temperatures have been reached.
- b. Design maximum heat load in the limiting MPC-37 is assumed.
- c. Air (not water) is in the HI-TRAC FW annulus.
- d. The helium pressure in the MPC is at the minimum possible value of 20 psig.

HOLTEC INTERNATIONAL COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL REPORT HI-2114830 4-65

Rev. 2

HI-STORM FW FSAR -Non-Proprietary Revision 2, June 24, 2013

Under the FHD malfunction condition the principal requirement to ensure the off-normal cladding temperature limits mandated by ISG-11, Rev. 3 (see Table 2.2.3) must be demonstrated. For this purpose an array of adverse conditions are defined above and the Peak Cladding Temperature (PCT) computed using the 3D FLUENT model of the transfer cask articulated in Section 4.5. The PCT computes as 433°C which is significantly below the 570°C off-normal temperature limit.

4.6.2 Accident Events

4.6.2.1 Fire Accident (Load Case AB in Table 2.2.13)

Although the probability of a fire accident affecting a HI-STORM FW system during storage operations is low due to the lack of combustible materials at an ISFSI, a conservative fire event has been assumed and analyzed. The only credible concern is a fire from an on-site transport vehicle fuel tank. Under a postulated fuel tank fire, the outer layers of HI-TRAC VW or HI-STORM FW overpacks are heated for the duration of fire by the incident thermal radiation and forced convection heat fluxes. The amount of fuel in the on-site transporter is limited to a volume of 50 gallons. The data necessary to define the fire event is provided in Table 2.2.8.

(a) HI-STORM FW Fire

The fuel tank fire is conservatively assumed to surround the HI-STORM FW overpack. Accordingly, all exposed overpack surfaces are heated by radiation and convection heat transfer from the fire. Based on NUREG-1536 and 10 CFR 71 guidelines [4.6.1], the following fire parameters are assumed:

- 1. The average emissivity coefficient must be at least 0.9. During the entire duration of the fire, the painted outer surfaces of the overpack are assumed to remain intact, with an emissivity of 0.85. It is conservative to assume that the flame emissivity is 1.0, the limiting maximum value corresponding to a perfect blackbody emitter. With a flame emissivity conservatively assumed to be 1.0 and a painted surface emissivity of 0.85, the effective emissivity coefficient is 0.85. Because the minimum required value of 0.9 is greater than the actual value of 0.85, use of an average emissivity coefficient of 0.9 is conservative.
- 2. The average flame temperature must be at least 1475°F (802°C). Open pool fires typically involve the entrainment of large amounts of air, resulting in lower average flame temperatures. Additionally, the same temperature is applied to all exposed cask surfaces, which is very conservative considering the size of the HI-STORM FW cask. It is therefore conservative to use the 1475°F (802°C) temperature.
- 3. The fuel source must extend horizontally at least 1 m (40 in), but may not extend more

4-66

than 3 m (10 ft), beyond the external surface of the cask. Use of the minimum ring width of 1 meter yields a deeper pool for a fixed quantity of combustible fuel, thereby conservatively maximizing the fire duration (specified in Table 2.2.8).

4. The convection coefficient must be that value which may be demonstrated to exist if the cask were exposed to the fire specified. Based upon results of large pool fire thermal measurements [4.6.2], a conservative forced convection heat transfer coefficient of 4.5 Btu/(hr×ft²×°F) is applied to exposed overpack surfaces during the short-duration fire.

Based on the 50 gallon fuel volume, the overpack outer diameter and the 1 m fuel ring width [4.6.1], the fuel ring surrounding the overpack covers 154.1 ft² and has a depth of 0.52 inch. From this depth and the fuel consumption rate of 0.15 in/min, the calculated fire duration is provided in Table 2.2.8. The fuel consumption rate of 0.15 in/min is a lowerbound value from a Sandia National Laboratories report [4.6.2]. Use of a lowerbound fuel consumption rate conservatively maximizes the duration of the fire.

To evaluate the impact of fire heating of the HI-STORM FW overpack, a thermal model of the overpack cylinder was constructed using FLUENT. A transient study is conducted for the duration of fire and post-fire of sufficient duration to reach maximum temperatures. The bounding steady state HI-STORM FW normal storage temperatures (shortest fuel scenario in MPC-37, see Table 4.4.3) are adopted as the initial condition for the fire accident (fire and post-fire) evaluation. The transient study was conducted for a sufficiently long period to allow temperatures in the overpack to reach their maximum values and begin to recede.

Due to the severity of the fire condition radiative heat flux, heat flux from incident solar radiation is negligible and is not included. Furthermore, the smoke plume from the fire would block most of the solar radiation.

The thermal transient response of the storage overpack is determined using FLUENT. Timehistories for points in the storage overpack are monitored for the duration of the fire and the subsequent post-fire equilibrium phase.

Heat input to the HI-STORM FW overpack while it is subjected to the fire is from a combination of incident radiation and convective heat flux to all external surfaces. This can be expressed by the following equation:

$$q_{\rm F} = h_{\rm fc} (T_{\rm A} - T_{\rm S}) + \sigma \varepsilon [(T_{\rm A} + C)^4 - (T_{\rm S} + C)^4]$$

where:

 q_F =Surface Heat Input Flux (Btu/ft²-hr)

 h_{fc} = Forced Convection Heat Transfer Coefficient (4.5 Btu/ft²-hr-°F)

 σ = Stefan-Boltzmann Constant

 $T_A = Fire Temperature (1475^{\circ}F)$

C= Conversion Constant (460 ($^{\circ}$ F to $^{\circ}$ R))

HOLTEC INTERNATIONAL COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL REPORT HI-2114830

Rev. 2

 T_S = Surface Temperature (°F) ϵ = Average Emissivity (0.90 per 10 CFR 71.73)

The forced convection heat transfer coefficient is based on the results of large pool fire thermal measurements [4.6.2].

After the fire event, the ambient temperature is restored and the storage overpack cools down (post-fire temperature relaxation). Heat loss from the outer surfaces of the storage overpack is determined by the following equation:

$$q_{s} = h_{s}(T_{s} - T_{A}) + \sigma \varepsilon [(T_{s} + C)^{4} - (T_{A} + C)^{4}]$$

where:

 $\begin{array}{l} q_{S} = & \text{Surface Heat Loss Flux (W/m^{2} (Btu/ft^{2}-hr))} \\ h_{S} = & \text{Natural Convection Heat Transfer Coefficient (Btu/ft^{2}-hr-^{o}F)} \\ T_{S} = & \text{Surface Temperature (}^{o}F)) \\ T_{A} = & \text{Ambient Temperature (}^{o}F) \\ \sigma = & \text{Stefan-Boltzmann Constant} \\ \epsilon = & \text{Surface Emissivity} \\ C = & \text{Conversion Constant (460 (}^{o}F \text{ to }^{o}R))) \end{array}$

In the post-fire temperature relaxation phase, h_s is obtained using literature correlations for natural convection heat transfer from heated surfaces [4.2.9]. Solar insolation was included during post-fire event. An emissivity of bare carbon steel (see Table 4.2.4) is used for all the cask outer surfaces during post-fire analysis.

The results of the fire and post-fire events are reported in Table 4.6.2. These results demonstrate that the fire accident event has a minor affect on the fuel cladding temperature. Localized regions of concrete upto 1 inch depth are exposed to temperatures in excess of accident temperature limit. The bulk concrete temperature remains below the short-term temperature limit. The temperatures of the basket and components of MPC and HI-STORM FW overpack (see Table 4.6.2) are within the allowable temperature limits.

Table 4.6.2 shows a slight increase in fuel temperature following the fire event. Thus the impact on the MPC internal helium pressure is correspondingly small. Based on a conservative analysis of the HI-STORM FW system response to a hypothetical fire event, it is concluded that the fire event does not adversely affect the temperature of the MPC or contained fuel. Thus, the ability of the HI-STORM FW system to maintain the spent nuclear fuel within design temperature limits during and after fire is assured.

(b) HI-TRAC VW Fire

In this subsection the fuel cladding and MPC pressure boundary integrity under an exposure to a short duration fire event is demonstrated. The HI-TRAC VW is initially (before fire) assumed to be loaded to design basis decay heat and has reached steady-state maximum temperatures. The analysis assumes a fire from a 50 gallon transporter fuel tank spill. The fuel spill, as discussed in Subsection 4.6.2.1(a) is assumed to surround the HI-TRAC VW in a 1 m wide ring. The fire parameters are same as that assumed for the HI-STORM FW fire discussed in this preceding subsection. In this analysis, the HI-TRAC VW and its contents are conservatively postulated to undergo a transient heat-up as a lumped mass from the decay heat and heat input from the fire.

Based on the specified 50 gallon fuel volume, HI-TRAC VW cylinder diameter (7.9 ft) and the 1 m fuel ring width, the fuel ring area is 115.2 ft² and has a depth of 0.696 in. From this depth and the fuel consumption rate of 0.15 in/min, the fire duration τ_f is calculated to be 4.64 minutes (279 seconds). The fuel consumption rate of 0.15 in/min is a lowerbound value from Sandia Report [4.6.1]. Use of a lowerbound fuel consumption rate conservatively maximizes the duration of the fire.

From the HI-TRAC VW fire analysis, a bounding rate of temperature rise $2.722^{\circ}F$ per minute is determined. Therefore, the total temperature rise is computed as the product of the rate of temperature rise and τ_f is $12.6^{\circ}F$. Because the cladding temperature at the start of fire is substantially below the accident temperature limit, the fuel cladding temperature limit during HI-TRAC VW fire is not exceeded. To confirm that the MPC pressure remains below the design accident pressure (Table 2.2.1) the MPC pressure resulting from fire temperature rise is computed using the Ideal Gas Law. The result (see Table 4.6.7) is below the pressure limit (see Table 2.2.1).

4.6.2.2 Jacket Water Loss

In this subsection, the fuel cladding and MPC boundary integrity is evaluated under a postulated (non-mechanistic) loss of water from the HI-TRAC VW water jacket. For a bounding analysis, all water compartments are assumed to lose their water and be replaced with air. The HI-TRAC VW is assumed to have the maximum thermal payload (design heat load) and assumed to have reached steady state (maximum) temperatures. Under these assumed set of adverse conditions, the maximum temperatures are computed and reported in Table 4.6.3. The results of jacket water loss evaluation confirm that the cladding, MPC and HI-TRAC VW component temperatures are below the limits prescribed in Chapter 2 (Table 2.2.3). The co-incident MPC pressure is also computed and compared with the MPC accident design pressure (Table 2.2.1). The result (Table 4.6.7) shows a positive margin of safety.

4.6.2.3 Extreme Environmental Temperatures

HOLTEC INTERNATIONAL COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL

REPORT HI-2114830

HI-STORM FW FSAR -Non-Proprietary Revision 2, June 24, 2013 To evaluate the effect of extreme weather conditions, an extreme ambient temperature (Table 2.2.2) is postulated to persist for a 3-day period. For a conservatively bounding evaluation the extreme temperature is assumed to last for a sufficient duration to allow the HI-STORM FW system to reach steady state conditions. Because of the large mass of the HI-STORM FW system, with its corresponding large thermal inertia and the limited duration for the extreme temperature, this assumption is conservative. Starting from a baseline condition evaluated in Section 4.4 (normal ambient temperature and limiting fuel storage configuration) the temperatures of the HI-STORM FW system are conservatively assumed to rise by the difference between the extreme and normal ambient temperatures (45° F). The HI-STORM FW extreme ambient temperatures computed in this manner are reported in Table 4.6.4. The co-incident MPC pressure is also computed (Table 4.6.7) and compared with the accident design pressure (Table 2.2.1), which shows a positive safety margin. The result is confirmed to be below the accident limit.

4.6.2.4 100% Blockage of Air Inlets

This event is defined as a complete blockage of all eight bottom inlets for a significant duration (32 hours). The immediate consequence of a complete blockage of the air inlets is that the normal circulation of air for cooling the MPC is stopped. An amount of heat will continue to be removed by localized air circulation patterns in the overpack annulus and outlet ducts, and the MPC will continue to radiate heat to the relatively cooler storage overpack. As the temperatures of the MPC and its contents rise, the rate of heat rejection will increase correspondingly. Under this condition, the temperatures of the overpack, the MPC and the stored fuel assemblies will rise as a function of time.

As a result of the considerable inertia of the storage overpack, a significant temperature rise is possible if the inlets are substantially blocked for extended durations. This accident condition is, however, a short duration event that is identified and corrected through scheduled periodic surveillance. Nevertheless, this event is conservatively analyzed assuming a substantial duration of blockage. The HI-STORM FW thermal model is the same 3-Dimensional model constructed for normal storage conditions (see Section 4.4) except for the bottom inlet ducts, which are assumed to be impervious to air. Using this model, a transient thermal solution of the HI-STORM FW system starting from normal storage conditions is obtained. The results of the blocked ducts transient analysis are presented in Table 4.6.5 and compared against the accident temperature limits (Table 2.2.3). The co-incident MPC pressure (Table 4.6.7) is also computed and compared with the accident design pressure (Table 2.2.1). All computed results are well below their respective limits.

4.6.2.5 Burial Under Debris (Load Case AG in Table 2.2.13)

Burial of the HI-STORM FW system under debris is not a credible accident. During storage at the ISFSI there are no structures that loom over the casks whose collapse could completely bury

the casks in debris. Minimum regulatory distances from the ISFSI to the nearest ISFSI security fence precludes the close proximity of substantial amount of vegetation. There is no credible mechanism for the HI-STORM FW system to become completely buried under debris. However, for conservatism, the scenario of complete burial under debris is considered.

For this purpose, an exceedingly conservative analysis that considers the debris to act as a perfect insulator is considered. Under this scenario, the contents of the HI-STORM FW system will undergo a transient heat up under adiabatic conditions. The minimum available time ($\Delta \tau$) for the fuel cladding to reach the accident limit depends on the following: (i) thermal inertia of the cask, (ii) the cask initial conditions, (iii) the spent nuclear fuel decay heat generation and (iv) the margin between the initial cladding temperature and the accident temperature limit. To obtain a lowerbound on $\Delta \tau$, the HI-STORM FW overpack thermal inertia (item i) is understated, the cask initial temperature (item ii) is maximized, decay heat overstated (item iii) and the cladding temperature margin (item iv) is understated. A set of conservatively postulated input parameters for items (i) through (iv) are summarized in Table 4.6.6. Using these parameters $\Delta \tau$ is computed as follows:

$$\Delta \tau = \frac{m \times c_p \times \Delta T}{Q}$$

where:

 $\Delta \tau$ = minimum available burial time (hr) m = Mass of HI-STORM FW System (lb) c_p = Specific heat capacity (Btu/lb-°F) ΔT = Permissible temperature rise (°F) Q = Decay heat load (Btu/hr)

Substituting the parameters in Table 4.6.6, the minimum available burial time is computed as 85.1 hours. The co-incident MPC pressure (see Table 4.6.7) is also computed and compared with the accident design pressure (Table 2.2.1). These results indicate that HI-STORM FW has a substantial thermal sink capacity to withstand complete burial-under-debris events.

4.6.2.6 Evaluation of Smart Flood (Load Case AD in Table 2.2.13)

A number of design measures are taken in the HI-STORM FW system to limit the fuel cladding temperature rise under a most adverse flood event (i.e., one that is just high enough to block the inlet duct). An unlikely adverse flood accident is assumed to occur with flood water upto the inlet height and is termed as 'smart flood'. The inlet duct is narrow and tall so that blocking the inlet ducts completely would require that flood waters wet the bottom region of the MPC creating a heat sink.

The inlet duct is configured to block radiation efficiently even if the radiation emanating from the MPC is level (coplanar) with the duct penetration. The MPC stands on the base plate, which

is welded to the inner and outer shell of the overpack. Thus, if the flood water rises high enough to block air flow through the bottom ducts, the lower region of the MPC will be submerged in the water. Although heat transport through air circulation is cut off in this scenario, the reduction is substantially offset by flood water cooling.

The MPCs are equipped with the thermosiphon capability, which brings the heat emitted by the fuel to the bottom region of the MPC as the circulating helium flows along the downcomer space around the basket. This places the heated helium in close thermal communication with the flood water, further enhancing convective cooling via the flood water.

The most adverse flood condition exists when the flood waters are high enough to block the inlet ducts but no higher. In this scenario, the MPC surface has minimum submergence in water and the ventilation air is completely blocked. In fact, as the flood water begins to accumulate on the ISFSI pad, the air passage size in the inlet vents is progressively reduced. Therefore, the rate of floodwater rise with time is necessary to analyze the thermal-hydraulic problem. For the reference design basis flood (DBF) analysis in this FSAR, the flood waters are assumed to rise instantaneously to the height to block the inlet vents and stay at that elevation for 32 hours. The consequences of the DBF event is bounded by the 100% blocked ducts events evaluated in Section 4.6.2.4. If the duration of the flood blockage exceeds the DBF blockage duration then a site specific evaluation shall be performed in accordance with the methodology presented in this Chapter and evaluated for compliance with Subsection 2.2.3 criteria.

Table 4.6.1			
OFF-NORMAL CONDITION MAXIMUM HI-STORM FW TEMPERATURES			
Component	Off-Normal Ambient Temperature °C (°F)	Partial Inlets Duct Blockage °C (°F)	
Fuel Cladding	386 (727)	385 (725)	
MPC Basket	372 (702)	371 (700)	
Aluminum Basket Shims	287 (549)	285 (545)	
MPC Shell	257 (495)	257 (495)	
MPC Lid [*]	254 (489)	252 (486)	
Overpack Inner Shell	139 (282)	141 (286)	
Overpack Outer Shell	71 (160)	62 (144)	
Overpack Body Concrete*	99 (210)	95 (203)	
Overpack Lid Concrete*	124 (255)	122 (252)	

HOLTEC INTERNATIONAL COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL REPORT HI-2114830 4-73

Rev. 2

Table 4.6.2 HI-STORM FW FIRE AND POST-FIRE ACCIDENT ANALYSIS RESULTS			
Component	Initial Condition °C (°F)	End of Fire Condition °C (°F)	Post-Fire Cooldown °C (°F)
Fuel Cladding	375 (707)	375 (707)	377 (711)
MPC Basket	361 (682)	361 (682)	363 (685)
Basket Periphery	297 (567)	297 (567)	299 (570)
Aluminum Basket Shims	276 (529)	276 (529)	278 (532)
MPC Shell	246 (475)	251 (484)	251 (484)
MPC Lid ^{Note I}	243 (469)	245 (473)	245 (473)
Overpack Inner Shell	128 (262)	140 (284)	140 (284)
Overpack Outer Shell	60 (140)	340 (644) Note 3	340 (644) Note 3
Overpack Body Concrete ^{Note 1}	88 (190)	100 (212)	100 (212)
Overpack Lid Concrete ^{Note 1}	113 (235)	125 (257)	125 (257)
Note 1: Maximum section average temperature is reported. Note 2: The temperatures tabulated herein are obtained by adding a conservatively postulated temperature increment to a baseline mesh thermal			

conservatively postulated temperature increment to a baseline mesh thermal solution that suitably bounds the effects of grid sensitivity evaluated in Para 4.4.1.6 of Subsection 4.4.1.

4-74

Note 3: Surface average temperature is reported.

Table 4.6.3			
HI-TRAC VW JACKET WATER LOSS MAXIMUM TEMPERATURES			
Component	Temperature °C (°F)		
Fuel Cladding	432 (810)		
MPC Basket	416 (781)		
Basket Periphery	342 (648)		
Aluminum Basket Shims	314 (597)		
MPC Shell	290 (554)		
MPC Lid*	263 (505)		
HI-TRAC VW Inner Shell	205 (401)		
HI-TRAC VW Radial Lead Gamma Shield	204 (399)		

* Maximum section average temperature is reported.

HOLTEC INTERNATIONAL COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL REPORT HI-2114830 4-75

Table 4.6.4			
EXTREME ENVIRONMENTAL CONDITION MAXIMUM HI- STORM FW TEMPERATURES			
Component	Temperature* °C (°F)		
Fuel Cladding	400 (752)		
MPC Basket	386 (727)		
Basket Periphery	322 (612)		
Aluminum Basket Shims	301 (574)		
MPC Shell	271 (520)		
MPC Lid ^{Note 1}	268 (514)		
Overpack Inner Shell	153 (307)		
Overpack Outer Shell	85 (185)		
Overpack Body Concrete ^{Note 1}	113 (235)		
Overpack Lid Concrete ^{Note 1}	138 (280)		
Average Air Outlet	129 (264)		
Note 1: Maximum section average temperature is reported.			

* Obtained by adding the difference between extreme ambient and normal temperature difference (25°C (45°F)) to normal condition temperatures reported in Table 4.4.3.

Table 4.6.5			
RESULTS OF HI-STORM FW 32-HOURS BLOCKED INLET DUCTS THERMAL ANALYSIS			
Component*	Initial Condition °C (°F)	Final Condition °C (°F)	
Fuel Cladding	375 (707)	484 (903)	
MPC Basket	361 (682)	468 (874)	
Basket Periphery	297 (567)	404 (759)	
Aluminum Basket Shims	276 (529)	380 (716)	
MPC Shell	246 (475)	358 (676)	
MPC Lid ^{Note 1}	243 (469)	313 (595)	
Overpack Inner Shell	128 (262)	247 (477)	
Overpack Outer Shell	60 (140)	105 (221)	
Overpack Body Concrete ^{Note 1}	88 (190)	130 (266)	
Overpack Lid Concrete ^{Note I}	113 (235)	165 (329)	
Note 1: Maximum section average temperature is reported. Note 2: The temperatures tabulated herein are obtained by adding a conservatively postulated temperature increment to a baseline mesh thermal			

solution that suitably bounds the effects of grid sensitivity evaluated in Para 4.4.1.6 of Subsection 4.4.1.

* For a bounding evaluation, temperatures are computed at the lowerbound helium backfill pressure defined in Table 4.4.8. Temperatures of limiting components reported.

Table 4.6.6		
SUMMARY OF INPUTS FOR BURIAL UNDER DEBRIS ANALYSIS		
Thermal Inertia Inputs [*] :		
M (Lowerbound HI-STORM FW Weight)	215000 kg	
Cp (Carbon steel heat capacity) [†]	419 J/kg-°C	
Clad initial temperature ^{Note 1}	390°C	
Q (Decay heat)	47.05 kW	
ΔT (clad temperature margin) [*]	160°C	
Note 1: Initial temperature conservatively postulated to bound the maximum cladding temperature.		

4-78

^{*} Thermal inertia of fuel is conservatively neglected.

[†] Used carbon steel's specific heat since it has the lowest heat capacity among the principal materials employed in MPC and overpack construction (carbon steel, stainless steel, Metamic-HT and concrete).

The clad temperature margin is conservatively understated in this table.

HOLTEC INTERNATIONAL COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL REPORT HI-2114830

Table 4.6.7			
OFF-NORMAL AND ACCIDENT CONDITION MAXIMUM MPC PRESSURES			
Condition	Pressure (psig)		
Off-Normal Conditions			
Off-Normal Pressure*	111.6		
Partial Blockage of Inlet Ducts	99.9		
Accident Conditions			
HI-TRAC VW fire accident	103.3		
Extreme Ambient Temperature	103.1		
100% Blockage of Air Inlets	116.4		
Burial Under Debris	130.8		
HI-TRAC VW Jacket Water Loss	109.5		

^{*} The off-normal pressure event defined in Section 4.6.1.1 bounds the off-normal ambient temperature event (Section 4.6.1.2)

4.7 **REGULATORY COMPLIANCE**

4.7.1 Normal Conditions of Storage

NUREG-1536 [4.4.1] and ISG-11 [4.1.4] define several thermal acceptance criteria that must be applied to evaluations of normal conditions of storage. These items are addressed in Sections 4.1 through 4.4. Each of the pertinent criteria and the conclusion of the evaluations are summarized here.

As required by ISG-11 [4.1.4], the fuel cladding temperature at the beginning of dry cask storage is maintained below the anticipated damage-threshold temperatures for normal conditions for the licensed life of the HI-STORM FW System. Maximum clad temperatures for long-term storage conditions are reported in Section 4.4.

As required by NUREG-1536 (4.0,IV,3), the maximum internal pressure of the cask remains within its design pressure for normal conditions, assuming rupture of 1 percent of the fuel rods. Assumptions for pressure calculations include release of 100 percent of the fill gas and 30 percent of the significant radioactive gases in the fuel rods. Maximum internal pressures are reported in Section 4.4 and shown to remain below the normal design pressures specified in Table 2.2.1.

As required by NUREG-1536 (4.0,IV,4), all cask and fuel materials are maintained within their minimum and maximum temperature for normal and off-normal conditions in order to enable components to perform their intended safety functions. Maximum and minimum temperatures for long-term storage conditions are reported in Section 4.4 which are shown to be well below their respective Design temperature limits summarized in Table 2.2.3.

As required by NUREG-1536 (4.0,IV,5), the cask system ensures a very low probability of cladding breach during long-term storage. For long-term normal conditions, the maximum CSF cladding temperature is shown to be below the ISG-11 [4.1.4] limit of 400°C (752°F).

As required by NUREG-1536 (4.0,IV,7), the cask system is passively cooled. All heat rejection mechanisms described in this chapter, including conduction, natural convection, and thermal radiation, are completely passive.

As required by NUREG-1536 (4.0,IV,8), the thermal performance of the cask is within the allowable design criteria specified in SAR Chapters 2 and 3 for normal conditions. All thermal results reported in Section 4.4 are within the design criteria under all normal conditions of storage.

4.7.2 Short-Term Operations

HOLTEC INTERNATIONAL COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL

REPORT HI-2114830

Evaluation of short-term operations is presented in Section 4.5 wherein complete compliance with the provisions of ISG-11 [4.1.4] is demonstrated. In particular, the ISG-11 requirement to ensure that maximum cladding temperatures under all fuel loading and short-term operations be below 400°C (752°F) for high burnup fuel and below 570°C (1058°F) for moderate burnup fuel (Table 4.3.1) is demonstrated.

Further, as required by NUREG-1536 (4.0,IV, 4), all cask and fuel materials are maintained within their minimum and maximum temperature for all short-term operations in order to enable components to perform their intended safety functions.

As required by NUREG-1536 (4.0,IV,8), the thermal performance of the cask is within the allowable design criteria specified in SAR Chapters 2 and 3 for all short-term operations.

4.7.3 Off-Normal and Accident Conditions

As required by NUREG-1536 (4.0,IV,3), the maximum internal pressure of the cask is evaluated in Section 4.6 and shown to remain within its off-normal and accident design pressure, assuming rupture of 10 percent and 100 percent of the fuel rods, respectively. Assumptions for pressure calculations include release of 100 percent of the fill gas and 30 percent of the significant radioactive gases in the fuel rods.

4.8 **REFERENCES**

- [4.1.1] ANSYS Finite Element Modeling Package, Swanson Analysis Systems, Inc., Houston, PA, 1993.
- [4.1.2] FLUENT Computational Fluid Dynamics Software, Fluent, Inc., Centerra Resource Park, 10 Cavendish Court, Lebanon, NH 03766.
- [4.1.3] "The TN-24P PWR Spent-Fuel Storage Cask: Testing and Analyses," EPRI NP-5128, (April 1987).
- [4.1.4] "Cladding Considerations for the Transportation and Storage of Spent Fuel," Interim Staff Guidance 11, Revision 3, USNRC, Washington, DC.
- [4.1.5] "Topical Report on the HI-STAR/HI-STORM Thermal Model and its Benchmarking
- with Full-Size Cask Test Data," Holtec Report HI-992252, Revision 1, Holtec International, Marlton, NJ, 08053.
- [4.1.6] "Identifying the Appropriate Convection Correlation in FLUENT for Ventilation Air Flow in the HI-STORM System", Revision 1, Holtec Report HI-2043258, Holtec International, Marlton, NJ, 08053.
- [4.1.7] "Performance Testing and Analyses of the VSC-17 Ventilated Concrete Cask", EPRI TR-100305, (May 1992).
- [4.1.8] "Holtec International Final Safety Analysis Report for the HI-STORM 100 Cask System", Holtec Report No. 2002444, Revision 7, NRC Docket No. 72-1014.
- [4.1.9] "Thermal Evaluation of HI-STORM FW", Holtec Report HI-2094400, Revision 3.
- [4.1.10] "Effective Thermal Properties of PWR Fuel in MPC-37", Holtec Report HI-2094356, Revision 0.
- [4.1.11] "Safety Analysis Report on the HI-STAR 180 Package", Holtec Report HI-2073681, Latest Revision.
- [4.2.1] Baumeister, T., Avallone, E.A. and Baumeister III, T., "Marks' Standard Handbook for Mechanical Engineers," 8th Edition, McGraw Hill Book Company, (1978).
- [4.2.2] Rohsenow, W.M. and Hartnett, J.P., "Handbook of Heat Transfer," McGraw Hill Book Company, New York, (1973).
- [4.2.3] Creer et al., "The TN-24P Spent Fuel Storage Cask: Testing and Analyses," EPRI NP-

HOLTEC INTERNATIONAL COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL REPORT HI-2114830

Rev. 2

5128, PNL-6054, UC-85, (April 1987).

- [4.2.4] Rust, J.H., "Nuclear Power Plant Engineering," Haralson Publishing Company, (1979).
- [4.2.5] Kern, D.Q., "Process Heat Transfer," McGraw Hill Kogakusha, (1950).
- [4.2.6] "Metamic-HT Qualification Sourcebook", Holtec Report HI-2084122, Latest Revision.
- [4.2.7] "Spent Nuclear Fuel Effective Thermal Conductivity Report," US DOE Report BBA000000-01717-5705-00010 REV 0, (July 11, 1996).
- [4.2.8] ASME Boiler and Pressure Vessel Code, Section II, Part D, (1995).
- [4.2.9] Jakob, M. and Hawkins, G.A., "Elements of Heat Transfer," John Wiley & Sons, New York, (1957).
- [4.2.10] ASME Steam Tables, 3rd Edition (1977).
- [4.2.11] "Nuclear Systems Materials Handbook, Vol. 1, Design Data", ORNL TID 26666.
- [4.2.12] "Scoping Design Analyses for Optimized Shipping Casks Containing 1-, 2-, 3-, 5-, 7-, or 10-Year-Old PWR Spent Fuel", ORNL/CSD/TM-149 TTC-0316, (1983).
- [4.2.13] Not used.
- [4.2.14] Not used.
- [4.2.15] Not used.
- [4.2.16] USNRC Docket no 72-1027, TN-68 FSAR & Docket no 72-1021 TN-32 FSAR.
- [4.2.17] Hagrman, Reymann and Mason, "MATPRO-Version 11 (Revision 2) A Handbook of Materials Properties for Use in the Analysis of Light Water Reactor Fuel Rod Behavior," NUREG/CR-0497, Tree 1280, Rev. 2, EG&G Idaho, August 1981.
- [4.2.18] "Effective Thermal Conductivity and Edge Conductance Model for a Spent-Fuel Assembly," R. D. Manteufel & N. E. Todreas, Nuclear Technology, <u>105</u>, 421- 440, (March 1994).
- [4.2.19] Aluminum Alloy 2219 Material Data Sheet, ASM Aerospace Specification Metals, Inc., Pompano Beach, FL.
- [4.2.20] "Spacecraft Thermal Control Coatings References", NASA Publication NASA/TP-

HOLTEC INTERNATIONAL COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL REPORT HI-2114830 4-83

HI-STORM FW FSAR -Non-Proprietary Revision 2, June 24, 2013 2005-212792, December 2005.

- [4.4.1] NUREG-1536, "Standard Review Plan for Dry Cask Storage Systems," USNRC, (January 1997).
- [4.4.2] "Pressure Loss Charactersistics for In-Cell Flow of Helium in PWR and BWR Storage Cells", Holtec Report HI-2043285, Revision 6, Holtec International, Marlton, NJ, 08053.
- [4.4.3] "Procedure for Estimating and Reporting of Uncertainty due to Discretization in CFD Applications", I.B. Celik, U. Ghia, P.J. Roache and C.J. Freitas (Journal of Fluids Engineering Editorial Policy on the Control of Numerical Accuracy).
- [4.6.1] United States Code of Federal Regulations, Title 10, Part 71.
- [4.6.2] Gregory, J.J. et. al., "Thermal Measurements in a Series of Large Pool Fires", SAND85-1096, Sandia National Laboratories, (August 1987).