

RULES AND DIRECTIVES  
BRANCH  
USNRC

# PUBLIC SUBMISSION

2013 JUN 11 PM 3: 56

<b>As of:</b> June 11, 2013 <b>Received:</b> May 30, 2013 <b>Status:</b> Pending_Post <b>Tracking No.</b> 1jx-85mb-zd44 <b>Comments Due:</b> June 03, 2013 <b>Submission Type:</b> Web
---

RECEIVED

**Docket:** NRC-2013-0063  
Draft Environmental Assessment and Finding of No Significant Impact

**Comment On:** NRC-2013-0063-0002  
Entergy Nuclear Operations, Inc., Indian Point Nuclear Generating Unit No. 3; Extension of Public Comment Period

**Document:** NRC-2013-0063-DRAFT-0063  
Comment on FR Doc # 2013-10792

## Submitter Information

**Name:** Millicent Sims  
**Address:**  
12 Roosevelt Place  
Montclair, NJ, 07042

5/7/2013  
78FR 26662  
74

## General Comment

The Nuclear Regulatory Commission's annual safety review of Indian Point concluded with another rubber stamp for the plant, and a shocking decision to decrease the number of inspectors assigned to the plant. This, despite increasingly well-documented risks ranging from overfilled pools used to store radioactive nuclear waste to dangerously unworkable evacuation plans.

The clear and present danger of Indian Point's operation and unsafe storage of toxic nuclear waste are well proven, despite the NRC's annual pep rally assuring us that the plant is safe,

Actually, as tested by Riverkeeper and a coalition of allies, "unsafe and unacceptable are the plant and its regulator for evacuation planning, nuclear waste storage, fire protection, decommissioning and emergency planning."

SUNSI Review Complete  
Template = ADM - 013  
E-RIDS= ADM-03  
Add= D. Pickett (dvp1)