

RULES AND DIRECTIVES
BRANCH
USNRC

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

JUN 11 PM 4:14

As of: June 11, 2013
Received: May 31, 2013
Status: Pending_Post
Tracking No. 1jx-85ne-ipss
Comments Due: June 03, 2013
Submission Type: Web

Docket: NRC-2013-0063
Draft Environmental Assessment and Finding of No Significant Impact

RECEIVED

Comment On: NRC-2013-0063-0002
Entergy Nuclear Operations, Inc., Indian Point Nuclear Generating Unit No. 3; Extension of Public Comment Period

Document: NRC-2013-0063-DRAFT-0103
Comment on FR Doc # 2013-10792

Submitter Information

Name: Brian Fink
Address:
390 2nd St.
Brooklyn, NY, 11215

5/7/2013
78 FR 26662

General Comment

114

Public safety, not pleasing the nuclear industry, must come first at Indian Point.

The NRC should deny the exemption and require Entergy to comply with the regulations, which were written to protect the plant and the public from the very real risk of a fire that could lead to a meltdown at Indian Point.

The NRC failed to do an Environmental Impact Study (EIS) of the risks and impacts of granting the exemption, including looking at alternatives, such as replacing the insulation. At a minimum the NRC must conduct a full EIS, look at all alternatives and allow time for public comment.

The NRC should hold a public hearing in the vicinity of Indian Point to fully explain its exemption process, and take public input on Entergy's failure to comply with fire safety regulations that were established over 20 years ago.

SUNSI Review Complete
Template = ADM - 013
E-RIDS= ADM-03
Add= D. Pickett (dvp1)