

RULES AND DIRECTIVES
BRANCH
USNRC

As of: June 11, 2013
Received: May 30, 2013
Status: Pending Post
Tracking No. 1jx-85me-g8kx
Comments Due: June 03, 2013
Submission Type: Web

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

2013 JUN 11 PM 3: 57

RECEIVED

Docket: NRC-2013-0063
Draft Environmental Assessment and Finding of No Significant Impact

Comment On: NRC-2013-0063-0002
Entergy Nuclear Operations, Inc., Indian Point Nuclear Generating Unit No. 3; Extension of Public Comment Period

Document: NRC-2013-0063-DRAFT-0078
Comment on FR Doc # 2013-10792

Submitter Information

5/7/2013
76 FR 8662

Name: Bobbie Flowers

General Comment

89

I believe that safety should come first, and the NRC should serve the public, not Entergy, then now is the time to speak out against the NRC's blatant favoritism!

The NRC should deny the exemption and require Entergy to comply with the regulations, which were written to protect the plant and the public from the very real risk of a fire that could lead to a meltdown at Indian Point. The NRC failed to do an Environmental Impact Study (EIS) of the risks and impacts of granting the exemption, including looking at alternatives, such as replacing the insulation. At a minimum the NRC must conduct a full EIS, look at all alternatives and allow time for public comment.

The NRC should hold a public hearing in the vicinity of Indian Point to fully explain its exemption process, and take public input on Entergy's failure to comply with fire safety regulations that were established over 20 years ago.

SUNSI Review Complete
Template = ADM - 013
E-RIDS= ADM -03
Add= D. Pickett (dvp1)