

RULES AND DIRECTIVES  
BRANCH  
USNRC

# PUBLIC SUBMISSION

2013 JUN 11 PM 3: 41

As of: June 11, 2013  
Received: May 30, 2013  
Status: Pending Post  
Tracking No. 1jx-85m6-918h  
Comments Due: June 03, 2013  
Submission Type: Web

**Docket:** NRC-2013-0063

Draft Environmental Assessment and Finding of No Significant Impact

RECEIVED

**Comment On:** NRC-2013-0063-0002

Entergy Nuclear Operations, Inc., Indian Point Nuclear Generating Unit No. 3; Extension of Public Comment Period

**Document:** NRC-2013-0063-DRAFT-0060

Comment on FR Doc # 2013-10792

## Submitter Information

**Name:** Michael W Evans

**Address:**

3731 S Sepulveda Blvd

Apt 1

Los Angeles, CA, 90034-6888

5/7/2013

78 FR 26662

71

## General Comment

The NRC should deny the exemption and require Entergy to comply with the regulations, which were written to protect the plant and the public from the very real risk of a fire that could lead to a meltdown at Indian Point.

The NRC failed to do an Environmental Impact Study (EIS) of the risks and impacts of granting the exemption, including looking at alternatives, such as replacing the insulation. At a minimum the NRC must conduct a full EIS, look at all alternatives and allow time for public comment.

The NRC should hold a public hearing in the vicinity of Indian Point to fully explain its exemption process, and take public input on Entergy's failure to comply with fire safety regulations that were established over 20 years ago.

SUNSI Review Complete  
Template = ADM - 013  
E-RIDS= ADM-03  
Add= D. Pickett (dvp1)