

RULES AND DIRECTIVES
BRANCH
USNRC

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

2013 JUN 11 PM 3: 41

As of: June 11, 2013
Received: May 29, 2013
Status: Pending Post
Tracking No. 1jx-85m3-9rqf
Comments Due: June 03, 2013
Submission Type: Web

RECEIVED

Docket: NRC-2013-0063

Draft Environmental Assessment and Finding of No Significant Impact

Comment On: NRC-2013-0063-0002

Entergy Nuclear Operations, Inc., Indian Point Nuclear Generating Unit No. 3; Extension of Public Comment Period

Document: NRC-2013-0063-DRAFT-0059

Comment on FR Doc # 2013-10792

Submitter Information

Name: Caroline Rider

Address:

42 E Market St
Red Hook, NY, 12571

5/7/2013
178 FR 26662
70

General Comment

As the parent and grandparent of precious lives in the fallout footprint of Indian Point, I worry that you are thinking more about politics than you are about actual safety.

It's probably easier for you, down there in Washington, to hear the voices of lobbyists and politicians than the voices of the individual people who will be killed or sickened if you let Indian Point get too close to the safety line.

And you MUST know, from decades of experience, that plant operators are ALWAYS more optimistic than reality on the ground warrants. Some of them....gasp....actually LIE and OBFUSCATE. But more usually they just make "reasonable assumptions" that are all slanted in the direction of them getting to do what they want to do.....and where is our Lorax? To make the case for the OTHER, more pessimistic assumptions?

Don't let the plant operator's muling about cost affect you. If they don't want to pay the money to make the plant SAFE, then they should not operate the plant. If it's not cost effective to run it at a high safety level, then close it.

Thank you.
Caroline Rider

SUNSI Review Complete
Template = ADM – 013
E-RIDS= ADM-03
Add= D. Pickett (dvp1)