

5/7/2013

78 FR 26642

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

52

As of: June 11, 2013
Received: May 29, 2013
Status: Pending Post
Tracking No. 1jx-85m0-dvp9
Comments Due: June 03, 2013
Submission Type: Web

Docket: NRC-2013-0063

Draft Environmental Assessment and Finding of No Significant Impact

Comment On: NRC-2013-0063-0002

Entergy Nuclear Operations, Inc., Indian Point Nuclear Generating Unit No. 3; Extension of Public Comment Period

Document: NRC-2013-0063-DRAFT-0041

Comment on FR Doc # 2013-10792

Submitter Information

Name: Jill Simon

Address:

12 E. 14 St., 3E
NYC, NY, 10003

RECEIVED
 2013 JUN 11 PM 3:40
 RULES AND DIRECTIVES
 BRANCH
 USNRC

General Comment

The NRC should deny the exemption and require Entergy to comply with the regulations, which were written to protect the plant and the public from the very real risk of a fire that could lead to a meltdown at Indian Point.

- -Nuclear power plants pose enormous dangers to the areas they're in and the communities surrounding them.

--It's outrageous that they should be exempt from safety regulations!!

--My family and I are one of the many, many N.Y. households which believe that Indian Point should be totally closed down, but in the meantime, at the very least, they must be made to comply with fire safety regulations!!

--And we're in full agreement with the organization RiverKeeper, N.Y.'s clean water advocate, that-

-The NRC failed to do an Environmental Impact Study (EIS) of the risks and impacts of granting the exemption...At a minimum the NRC must conduct a full EIS, look at all alternatives and allow time for public comment.

-The NRC should hold a public hearing in the vicinity of Indian Point to fully explain its exemption process, and take public input on Entergy's failure to comply with fire safety regulations that were established over 20 years ago.

SUNSI Review Complete
Template = ADM - 013
E-RIDS= ADM-03
Add= D. Pickett (dvp1)