

May 1, 2013

Ms Cindy Bladey
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, DC 20555-0001

Dear Ms Bladey,

The comments that follow are regarding Docket ID NRC20130070.

We ask that the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) take no action that could lead to a restart of the San Onofre nuclear power plant before the Commission completes a comprehensive investigation and provides full opportunity for public participation and independent expert testimony.

Southern California Edison (SCE) is attempting to shortcut the license review process by calling on the NRC to make a fast-track restart decision. We and other environmental groups have joined with U.S. Senator Boxer and U.S. Representative Markey in urging the NRC not to follow such a course.

We were deeply disturbed when on April 10 the NRC staff disregarded numerous statements of concern and announced a "preliminary finding" that a San Onofre restart at 70% power posed no significant safety risk.

We join a wide range of concerned citizens and public officials in believing that a full and transparent review of the failed San Onofre generators is essential before the NRC considers any potential restart at either partial or full power of the failed generator.

We support Senator Boxer, Chair of the Environment and Public Works Committee, who stated on April 10, 2013: "The NRC staff proposal, which could pave the way for the restart of the San Onofre nuclear power plant before the investigations of the crippled plant are completed, is dangerous and premature."

Given the recent failure of tubes that carry radioactive water, speeding restart of San Onofre through a so-called "license amendment" that shortcuts procedures is totally inappropriate. SCE's request to weaken its license requirements was made despite evidence showing that there could be a significant hazard from the operation of the deficient steam generators.

Given the troubled history and current condition of the plant and the raised level of public concern, the public deserves a full review by the NRC of conditions at San Onofre before it considers a restart of either failed generator.

I support the call by the Sierra Club for the NRC to block any restart of the failed San Onofre generators at this time, and to conduct a comprehensive and open review process.

Thank you for your attention to these concerns.

Kent Minault
13214 Magnolia Blvd
Sherman Oaks, CA 91423-1531

May 1, 2013

Ms Cindy Bladey
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, DC 20555-0001

Dear Ms Bladey,

The comments that follow are regarding Docket ID NRC20130070.

We ask that the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) take no action that could lead to a restart of the San Onofre nuclear power plant before the Commission completes a comprehensive investigation and provides full opportunity for public participation and independent expert testimony.

Southern California Edison (SCE) is attempting to shortcut the license review process by calling on the NRC to make a fast-track restart decision. We and other environmental groups have joined with U.S. Senator Boxer and U.S. Representative Markey in urging the NRC not to follow such a course.

We were deeply disturbed when on April 10 the NRC staff disregarded numerous statements of concern and announced a "preliminary finding" that a San Onofre restart at 70% power posed no significant safety risk.

We join a wide range of concerned citizens and public officials in believing that a full and transparent review of the failed San Onofre generators is essential before the NRC considers any potential restart at either partial or full power of the failed generator.

We support Senator Boxer, Chair of the Environment and Public Works Committee, who stated on April 10, 2013: "The NRC staff proposal, which could pave the way for the restart of the San Onofre nuclear power plant before the investigations of the crippled plant are completed, is dangerous and premature."

Given the recent failure of tubes that carry radioactive water, speeding restart of San Onofre through a so-called "license amendment" that shortcuts procedures is totally inappropriate. SCE's request to weaken its license requirements was made despite evidence showing that there could be a significant hazard from the operation of the deficient steam generators.

Given the troubled history and current condition of the plant and the raised level of public concern, the public deserves a full review by the NRC of conditions at San Onofre before it considers a restart of either failed generator.

I support the call by the Sierra Club for the NRC to block any restart of the failed San Onofre generators at this time, and to conduct a comprehensive and open review process.

Thank you for your attention to these concerns.

Binh Tang
20126 Runnymede St Unit 24
Winnetka, CA 91306-4600

May 1, 2013

Ms Cindy Bladey
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, DC 20555-0001

Dear Ms Bladey,

The comments that follow are regarding Docket ID NRC20130070.

We ask that the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) take no action that could lead to a restart of the San Onofre nuclear power plant before the Commission completes a comprehensive investigation and provides full opportunity for public participation and independent expert testimony.

Southern California Edison (SCE) is attempting to shortcut the license review process by calling on the NRC to make a fast-track restart decision. We and other environmental groups have joined with U.S. Senator Boxer and U.S. Representative Markey in urging the NRC not to follow such a course.

We were deeply disturbed when on April 10 the NRC staff disregarded numerous statements of concern and announced a "preliminary finding" that a San Onofre restart at 70% power posed no significant safety risk.

We join a wide range of concerned citizens and public officials in believing that a full and transparent review of the failed San Onofre generators is essential before the NRC considers any potential restart at either partial or full power of the failed generator.

We support Senator Boxer, Chair of the Environment and Public Works Committee, who stated on April 10, 2013: "The NRC staff proposal, which could pave the way for the restart of the San Onofre nuclear power plant before the investigations of the crippled plant are completed, is dangerous and premature."

Given the recent failure of tubes that carry radioactive water, speeding restart of San Onofre through a so-called "license amendment" that shortcuts procedures is totally inappropriate. SCE's request to weaken its license requirements was made despite evidence showing that there could be a significant hazard from the operation of the deficient steam generators.

Given the troubled history and current condition of the plant and the raised level of public concern, the public deserves a full review by the NRC of conditions at San Onofre before it considers a restart of either failed generator.

I support the call by the Sierra Club for the NRC to BLOCK ANY RESTART OF THE FAILED SAN ONOFRE GENERATORS AT THIS TIME, AND CONDUCT A COMPREHENSIVE AND OPEN REVIEW PROCESS !!!

Thank you for your attention to these concerns.

Joan Weaver
22351 Mission Cir
Chatsworth, CA 91311-1257

May 1, 2013

Ms Cindy Bladey
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, DC 20555-0001

Dear Ms Bladey,

The comments that follow are regarding Docket ID NRC20130070.

We ask that the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) take no action that could lead to a restart of the San Onofre nuclear power plant before the Commission completes a comprehensive investigation and provides full opportunity for public participation and independent expert testimony.

Southern California Edison (SCE) is attempting to shortcut the license review process by calling on the NRC to make a fast-track restart decision. We and other environmental groups have joined with U.S. Senator Boxer and U.S. Representative Markey in urging the NRC not to follow such a course.

We were deeply disturbed when on April 10 the NRC staff disregarded numerous statements of concern and announced a "preliminary finding" that a San Onofre restart at 70% power posed no significant safety risk.

We join a wide range of concerned citizens and public officials in believing that a full and transparent review of the failed San Onofre generators is essential before the NRC considers any potential restart at either partial or full power of the failed generator.

We support Senator Boxer, Chair of the Environment and Public Works Committee, who stated on April 10, 2013: "The NRC staff proposal, which could pave the way for the restart of the San Onofre nuclear power plant before the investigations of the crippled plant are completed, is dangerous and premature."

Given the recent failure of tubes that carry radioactive water, speeding restart of San Onofre through a so-called "license amendment" that shortcuts procedures is totally inappropriate. SCE's request to weaken its license requirements was made despite evidence showing that there could be a significant hazard from the operation of the deficient steam generators.

Given the troubled history and current condition of the plant and the raised level of public concern, the public deserves a full review by the NRC of conditions at San Onofre before it considers a restart of either failed generator.

I support the call by the Sierra Club for the NRC to block any restart of the failed San Onofre generators at this time, and to conduct a comprehensive and open review process.

Thank you for your attention to these concerns.

Andrea Anaya
14802 Newport Ave
Tustin, CA 92780-6184

May 1, 2013

Ms Cindy Bladey
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, DC 20555-0001

Dear Ms Bladey,

The comments that follow are regarding Docket ID NRC20130070.

We ask that the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) take no action that could lead to a restart of the San Onofre nuclear power plant before the Commission completes a comprehensive investigation and provides full opportunity for public participation and independent expert testimony.

Southern California Edison (SCE) is attempting to shortcut the license review process by calling on the NRC to make a fast-track restart decision. We and other environmental groups have joined with U.S. Senator Boxer and U.S. Representative Markey in urging the NRC not to follow such a course.

We were deeply disturbed when on April 10 the NRC staff disregarded numerous statements of concern and announced a "preliminary finding" that a San Onofre restart at 70% power posed no significant safety risk.

We join a wide range of concerned citizens and public officials in believing that a full and transparent review of the failed San Onofre generators is essential before the NRC considers any potential restart at either partial or full power of the failed generator.

We support Senator Boxer, Chair of the Environment and Public Works Committee, who stated on April 10, 2013: "The NRC staff proposal, which could pave the way for the restart of the San Onofre nuclear power plant before the investigations of the crippled plant are completed, is dangerous and premature."

Given the recent failure of tubes that carry radioactive water, speeding restart of San Onofre through a so-called "license amendment" that shortcuts procedures is totally inappropriate. SCE's request to weaken its license requirements was made despite evidence showing that there could be a significant hazard from the operation of the deficient steam generators.

Given the troubled history and current condition of the plant and the raised level of public concern, the public deserves a full review by the NRC of conditions at San Onofre before it considers a restart of either failed generator.

I support the call by the Sierra Club for the NRC to block any restart of the failed San Onofre generators at this time, and to conduct a comprehensive and open review process.

Thank you for your attention to these concerns.

Jessica Ramirez
6621 W 86th Pl Apt 108
Westchester, CA 90045-3763

May 1, 2013

Ms Cindy Bladey
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, DC 20555-0001

Dear Ms Bladey,

The comments that follow are regarding Docket ID NRC20130070.

We ask that the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) take no action that could lead to a restart of the San Onofre nuclear power plant before the Commission completes a comprehensive investigation and provides full opportunity for public participation and independent expert testimony.

Southern California Edison (SCE) is attempting to shortcut the license review process by calling on the NRC to make a fast-track restart decision. We and other environmental groups have joined with U.S. Senator Boxer and U.S. Representative Markey in urging the NRC not to follow such a course.

We were deeply disturbed when on April 10 the NRC staff disregarded numerous statements of concern and announced a "preliminary finding" that a San Onofre restart at 70% power posed no significant safety risk.

We join a wide range of concerned citizens and public officials in believing that a full and transparent review of the failed San Onofre generators is essential before the NRC considers any potential restart at either partial or full power of the failed generator.

We support Senator Boxer, Chair of the Environment and Public Works Committee, who stated on April 10, 2013: "The NRC staff proposal, which could pave the way for the restart of the San Onofre nuclear power plant before the investigations of the crippled plant are completed, is dangerous and premature."

Given the recent failure of tubes that carry radioactive water, speeding restart of San Onofre through a so-called "license amendment" that shortcuts procedures is totally inappropriate. SCE's request to weaken its license requirements was made despite evidence showing that there could be a significant hazard from the operation of the deficient steam generators.

Given the troubled history and current condition of the plant and the raised level of public concern, the public deserves a full review by the NRC of conditions at San Onofre before it considers a restart of either failed generator.

I support the call by the Sierra Club for the NRC to block any restart of the failed San Onofre generators at this time, and to conduct a comprehensive and open review process.

Thank you for your attention to these concerns.

Wendy & Robert Greene
21815 Ybarra Rd
Woodland Hills, CA 91364-4236

May 1, 2013

Ms Cindy Bladey
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, DC 20555-0001

Dear Ms Bladey,

The comments that follow are regarding Docket ID NRC20130070.

We ask that the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) take no action that could lead to a restart of the San Onofre nuclear power plant before the Commission completes a comprehensive investigation and provides full opportunity for public participation and independent expert testimony.

Southern California Edison (SCE) is attempting to shortcut the license review process by calling on the NRC to make a fast-track restart decision. We and other environmental groups have joined with U.S. Senator Boxer and U.S. Representative Markey in urging the NRC not to follow such a course.

We were deeply disturbed when on April 10 the NRC staff disregarded numerous statements of concern and announced a "preliminary finding" that a San Onofre restart at 70% power posed no significant safety risk.

We join a wide range of concerned citizens and public officials in believing that a full and transparent review of the failed San Onofre generators is essential before the NRC considers any potential restart at either partial or full power of the failed generator.

We support Senator Boxer, Chair of the Environment and Public Works Committee, who stated on April 10, 2013: "The NRC staff proposal, which could pave the way for the restart of the San Onofre nuclear power plant before the investigations of the crippled plant are completed, is dangerous and premature."

Given the recent failure of tubes that carry radioactive water, speeding restart of San Onofre through a so-called "license amendment" that shortcuts procedures is totally inappropriate. SCE's request to weaken its license requirements was made despite evidence showing that there could be a significant hazard from the operation of the deficient steam generators.

Given the troubled history and current condition of the plant and the raised level of public concern, the public deserves a full review by the NRC of conditions at San Onofre before it considers a restart of either failed generator.

I support the call by the Sierra Club for the NRC to block any restart of the failed San Onofre generators at this time, and to conduct a comprehensive and open review process.

Thank you for your attention to these concerns.

Chris Laughlin
22770 Calabash St
Woodland Hills, CA 91364-1323

May 1, 2013

Ms Cindy Bladey
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, DC 20555-0001

Dear Ms Bladey,

The comments that follow are regarding Docket ID NRC20130070.

We ask that the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) take no action that could lead to a restart of the San Onofre nuclear power plant before the Commission completes a comprehensive investigation and provides full opportunity for public participation and independent expert testimony.

Southern California Edison (SCE) is attempting to shortcut the license review process by calling on the NRC to make a fast-track restart decision. We and other environmental groups have joined with U.S. Senator Boxer and U.S. Representative Markey in urging the NRC not to follow such a course.

We were deeply disturbed when on April 10 the NRC staff disregarded numerous statements of concern and announced a "preliminary finding" that a San Onofre restart at 70% power posed no significant safety risk.

We join a wide range of concerned citizens and public officials in believing that a full and transparent review of the failed San Onofre generators is essential before the NRC considers any potential restart at either partial or full power of the failed generator.

We support Senator Boxer, Chair of the Environment and Public Works Committee, who stated on April 10, 2013: "The NRC staff proposal, which could pave the way for the restart of the San Onofre nuclear power plant before the investigations of the crippled plant are completed, is dangerous and premature."

Given the recent failure of tubes that carry radioactive water, speeding restart of San Onofre through a so-called "license amendment" that shortcuts procedures is totally inappropriate. SCE's request to weaken its license requirements was made despite evidence showing that there could be a significant hazard from the operation of the deficient steam generators.

Given the troubled history and current condition of the plant and the raised level of public concern, the public deserves a full review by the NRC of conditions at San Onofre before it considers a restart of either failed generator.

I support the call by the Sierra Club for the NRC to block any restart of the failed San Onofre generators at this time, and to conduct a comprehensive and open review process.

Thank you for your attention to these concerns.

Kyle Petlock
6200 Annan Way
Los Angeles, CA 90042-1346

May 1, 2013

Ms Cindy Bladey
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, DC 20555-0001

Dear Ms Bladey,

The comments that follow are regarding Docket ID NRC20130070.

We ask that the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) take no action that could lead to a restart of the San Onofre nuclear power plant before the Commission completes a comprehensive investigation and provides full opportunity for public participation and independent expert testimony.

Southern California Edison (SCE) is attempting to shortcut the license review process by calling on the NRC to make a fast-track restart decision. We and other environmental groups have joined with U.S. Senator Boxer and U.S. Representative Markey in urging the NRC not to follow such a course.

We were deeply disturbed when on April 10 the NRC staff disregarded numerous statements of concern and announced a "preliminary finding" that a San Onofre restart at 70% power posed no significant safety risk.

We join a wide range of concerned citizens and public officials in believing that a full and transparent review of the failed San Onofre generators is essential before the NRC considers any potential restart at either partial or full power of the failed generator.

We support Senator Boxer, Chair of the Environment and Public Works Committee, who stated on April 10, 2013: "The NRC staff proposal, which could pave the way for the restart of the San Onofre nuclear power plant before the investigations of the crippled plant are completed, is dangerous and premature."

Given the recent failure of tubes that carry radioactive water, speeding restart of San Onofre through a so-called "license amendment" that shortcuts procedures is totally inappropriate. SCE's request to weaken its license requirements was made despite evidence showing that there could be a significant hazard from the operation of the deficient steam generators.

Given the troubled history and current condition of the plant and the raised level of public concern, the public deserves a full review by the NRC of conditions at San Onofre before it considers a restart of either failed generator.

I support the call by the Sierra Club for the NRC to block any restart of the failed San Onofre generators at this time, and to conduct a comprehensive and open review process.

Thank you for your attention to these concerns.

Kent Livesay
21311 Huntknoll Ln
Trabuco Canyon, CA 92679-4304

May 1, 2013

Ms Cindy Bladey
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, DC 20555-0001

Dear Ms Bladey,

The comments that follow are regarding Docket ID NRC20130070.

We ask that the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) take no action that could lead to a restart of the San Onofre nuclear power plant before the Commission completes a comprehensive investigation and provides full opportunity for public participation and independent expert testimony.

Southern California Edison (SCE) is attempting to shortcut the license review process by calling on the NRC to make a fast-track restart decision. We and other environmental groups have joined with U.S. Senator Boxer and U.S. Representative Markey in urging the NRC not to follow such a course.

We were deeply disturbed when on April 10 the NRC staff disregarded numerous statements of concern and announced a "preliminary finding" that a San Onofre restart at 70% power posed no significant safety risk.

We join a wide range of concerned citizens and public officials in believing that a full and transparent review of the failed San Onofre generators is essential before the NRC considers any potential restart at either partial or full power of the failed generator.

We support Senator Boxer, Chair of the Environment and Public Works Committee, who stated on April 10, 2013: "The NRC staff proposal, which could pave the way for the restart of the San Onofre nuclear power plant before the investigations of the crippled plant are completed, is dangerous and premature."

Given the recent failure of tubes that carry radioactive water, speeding restart of San Onofre through a so-called "license amendment" that shortcuts procedures is totally inappropriate. SCE's request to weaken its license requirements was made despite evidence showing that there could be a significant hazard from the operation of the deficient steam generators.

Given the troubled history and current condition of the plant and the raised level of public concern, the public deserves a full review by the NRC of conditions at San Onofre before it considers a restart of either failed generator.

I support the call by the Sierra Club for the NRC to block any restart of the failed San Onofre generators at this time, and to conduct a comprehensive and open review process.

Thank you for your attention to these concerns.

Cynthia Frederick
xxx
Pasadena, CA 91104-2122

May 1, 2013

Ms Cindy Bladey
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, DC 20555-0001

Dear Ms Bladey,

The comments that follow are regarding Docket ID NRC20130070.

We ask that the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) take no action that could lead to a restart of the San Onofre nuclear power plant before the Commission completes a comprehensive investigation and provides full opportunity for public participation and independent expert testimony.

Southern California Edison (SCE) is attempting to shortcut the license review process by calling on the NRC to make a fast-track restart decision. We and other environmental groups have joined with U.S. Senator Boxer and U.S. Representative Markey in urging the NRC not to follow such a course.

We were deeply disturbed when on April 10 the NRC staff disregarded numerous statements of concern and announced a "preliminary finding" that a San Onofre restart at 70% power posed no significant safety risk.

We join a wide range of concerned citizens and public officials in believing that a full and transparent review of the failed San Onofre generators is essential before the NRC considers any potential restart at either partial or full power of the failed generator.

We support Senator Boxer, Chair of the Environment and Public Works Committee, who stated on April 10, 2013: "The NRC staff proposal, which could pave the way for the restart of the San Onofre nuclear power plant before the investigations of the crippled plant are completed, is dangerous and premature."

Given the recent failure of tubes that carry radioactive water, speeding restart of San Onofre through a so-called "license amendment" that shortcuts procedures is totally inappropriate. SCE's request to weaken its license requirements was made despite evidence showing that there could be a significant hazard from the operation of the deficient steam generators.

Given the troubled history and current condition of the plant and the raised level of public concern, the public deserves a full review by the NRC of conditions at San Onofre before it considers a restart of either failed generator.

I support the call by the Sierra Club for the NRC to block any restart of the failed San Onofre generators at this time, and to conduct a comprehensive and open review process.

Thank you for your attention to these concerns.

William Nagle
1526 Westerly Ter Apt 1
Los Angeles, CA 90026-1243

May 1, 2013

Ms Cindy Bladey
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, DC 20555-0001

Dear Ms Bladey,

The comments that follow are regarding Docket ID NRC20130070.

We ask that the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) take no action that could lead to a restart of the San Onofre nuclear power plant before the Commission completes a comprehensive investigation and provides full opportunity for public participation and independent expert testimony.

Southern California Edison (SCE) is attempting to shortcut the license review process by calling on the NRC to make a fast-track restart decision. We and other environmental groups have joined with U.S. Senator Boxer and U.S. Representative Markey in urging the NRC not to follow such a course.

We were deeply disturbed when on April 10 the NRC staff disregarded numerous statements of concern and announced a "preliminary finding" that a San Onofre restart at 70% power posed no significant safety risk.

We join a wide range of concerned citizens and public officials in believing that a full and transparent review of the failed San Onofre generators is essential before the NRC considers any potential restart at either partial or full power of the failed generator.

We support Senator Boxer, Chair of the Environment and Public Works Committee, who stated on April 10, 2013: "The NRC staff proposal, which could pave the way for the restart of the San Onofre nuclear power plant before the investigations of the crippled plant are completed, is dangerous and premature."

Given the recent failure of tubes that carry radioactive water, speeding restart of San Onofre through a so-called "license amendment" that shortcuts procedures is totally inappropriate. SCE's request to weaken its license requirements was made despite evidence showing that there could be a significant hazard from the operation of the deficient steam generators.

Given the troubled history and current condition of the plant and the raised level of public concern, the public deserves a full review by the NRC of conditions at San Onofre before it considers a restart of either failed generator.

I support the call by the Sierra Club for the NRC to block any restart of the failed San Onofre generators at this time, and to conduct a comprehensive and open review process.

Thank you for your attention to these concerns.

Shirley Camfield
2007 Pullman Ln
Redondo Beach, CA 90278-4935

May 1, 2013

Ms Cindy Bladey
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, DC 20555-0001

Dear Ms Bladey,

The comments that follow are regarding Docket ID NRC20130070.

We ask that the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) take no action that could lead to a restart of the San Onofre nuclear power plant before the Commission completes a comprehensive investigation and provides full opportunity for public participation and independent expert testimony.

Southern California Edison (SCE) is attempting to shortcut the license review process by calling on the NRC to make a fast-track restart decision. We and other environmental groups have joined with U.S. Senator Boxer and U.S. Representative Markey in urging the NRC not to follow such a course.

We were deeply disturbed when on April 10 the NRC staff disregarded numerous statements of concern and announced a "preliminary finding" that a San Onofre restart at 70% power posed no significant safety risk.

We join a wide range of concerned citizens and public officials in believing that a full and transparent review of the failed San Onofre generators is essential before the NRC considers any potential restart at either partial or full power of the failed generator.

We support Senator Boxer, Chair of the Environment and Public Works Committee, who stated on April 10, 2013: "The NRC staff proposal, which could pave the way for the restart of the San Onofre nuclear power plant before the investigations of the crippled plant are completed, is dangerous and premature."

Given the recent failure of tubes that carry radioactive water, speeding restart of San Onofre through a so-called "license amendment" that shortcuts procedures is totally inappropriate. SCE's request to weaken its license requirements was made despite evidence showing that there could be a significant hazard from the operation of the deficient steam generators.

Given the troubled history and current condition of the plant and the raised level of public concern, the public deserves a full review by the NRC of conditions at San Onofre before it considers a restart of either failed generator.

I support the call by the Sierra Club for the NRC to block any restart of the failed San Onofre generators at this time, and to conduct a comprehensive and open review process.

Thank you for your attention to these concerns.

Lydia Moore
584 High Dr
Laguna Beach, CA 92651-1558

May 1, 2013

Ms Cindy Bladey
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, DC 20555-0001

Dear Ms Bladey,

The comments that follow are regarding Docket ID NRC20130070.

We ask that the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) take no action that could lead to a restart of the San Onofre nuclear power plant before the Commission completes a comprehensive investigation and provides full opportunity for public participation and independent expert testimony.

Southern California Edison (SCE) is attempting to shortcut the license review process by calling on the NRC to make a fast-track restart decision. We and other environmental groups have joined with U.S. Senator Boxer and U.S. Representative Markey in urging the NRC not to follow such a course.

We were deeply disturbed when on April 10 the NRC staff disregarded numerous statements of concern and announced a "preliminary finding" that a San Onofre restart at 70% power posed no significant safety risk.

We join a wide range of concerned citizens and public officials in believing that a full and transparent review of the failed San Onofre generators is essential before the NRC considers any potential restart at either partial or full power of the failed generator.

We support Senator Boxer, Chair of the Environment and Public Works Committee, who stated on April 10, 2013: "The NRC staff proposal, which could pave the way for the restart of the San Onofre nuclear power plant before the investigations of the crippled plant are completed, is dangerous and premature."

Given the recent failure of tubes that carry radioactive water, speeding restart of San Onofre through a so-called "license amendment" that shortcuts procedures is totally inappropriate. SCE's request to weaken its license requirements was made despite evidence showing that there could be a significant hazard from the operation of the deficient steam generators.

Given the troubled history and current condition of the plant and the raised level of public concern, the public deserves a full review by the NRC of conditions at San Onofre before it considers a restart of either failed generator.

I support the call by the Sierra Club for the NRC to block any restart of the failed San Onofre generators at this time, and to conduct a comprehensive and open review process.

Thank you for your attention to these concerns.

Jennifer Gehrich
7 Junction Ct
Trabuco Canyon, CA 92679-5348

May 1, 2013

Ms Cindy Bladey
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, DC 20555-0001

Dear Ms Bladey,

The comments that follow are regarding Docket ID NRC20130070.

We ask that the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) take no action that could lead to a restart of the San Onofre nuclear power plant before the Commission completes a comprehensive investigation and provides full opportunity for public participation and independent expert testimony.

Southern California Edison (SCE) is attempting to shortcut the license review process by calling on the NRC to make a fast-track restart decision. We and other environmental groups have joined with U.S. Senator Boxer and U.S. Representative Markey in urging the NRC not to follow such a course.

We were deeply disturbed when on April 10 the NRC staff disregarded numerous statements of concern and announced a "preliminary finding" that a San Onofre restart at 70% power posed no significant safety risk.

We join a wide range of concerned citizens and public officials in believing that a full and transparent review of the failed San Onofre generators is essential before the NRC considers any potential restart at either partial or full power of the failed generator.

We support Senator Boxer, Chair of the Environment and Public Works Committee, who stated on April 10, 2013: "The NRC staff proposal, which could pave the way for the restart of the San Onofre nuclear power plant before the investigations of the crippled plant are completed, is dangerous and premature."

Given the recent failure of tubes that carry radioactive water, speeding restart of San Onofre through a so-called "license amendment" that shortcuts procedures is totally inappropriate. SCE's request to weaken its license requirements was made despite evidence showing that there could be a significant hazard from the operation of the deficient steam generators.

Given the troubled history and current condition of the plant and the raised level of public concern, the public deserves a full review by the NRC of conditions at San Onofre before it considers a restart of either failed generator.

I support the call by the Sierra Club for the NRC to block any restart of the failed San Onofre generators at this time, and to conduct a comprehensive and open review process.

Thank you for your attention to these concerns.

Albert Johnson
4536 Alcorn Dr
La Canada, CA 91011-1929

May 1, 2013

Ms Cindy Bladey
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, DC 20555-0001

Dear Ms Bladey,

The comments that follow are regarding Docket ID NRC20130070.

We ask that the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) take no action that could lead to a restart of the San Onofre nuclear power plant before the Commission completes a comprehensive investigation and provides full opportunity for public participation and independent expert testimony.

Southern California Edison (SCE) is attempting to shortcut the license review process by calling on the NRC to make a fast-track restart decision. We and other environmental groups have joined with U.S. Senator Boxer and U.S. Representative Markey in urging the NRC not to follow such a course.

We were deeply disturbed when on April 10 the NRC staff disregarded numerous statements of concern and announced a "preliminary finding" that a San Onofre restart at 70% power posed no significant safety risk.

We join a wide range of concerned citizens and public officials in believing that a full and transparent review of the failed San Onofre generators is essential before the NRC considers any potential restart at either partial or full power of the failed generator.

We support Senator Boxer, Chair of the Environment and Public Works Committee, who stated on April 10, 2013: "The NRC staff proposal, which could pave the way for the restart of the San Onofre nuclear power plant before the investigations of the crippled plant are completed, is dangerous and premature."

Given the recent failure of tubes that carry radioactive water, speeding restart of San Onofre through a so-called "license amendment" that shortcuts procedures is totally inappropriate. SCE's request to weaken its license requirements was made despite evidence showing that there could be a significant hazard from the operation of the deficient steam generators.

Given the troubled history and current condition of the plant and the raised level of public concern, the public deserves a full review by the NRC of conditions at San Onofre before it considers a restart of either failed generator.

I support the call by the Sierra Club for the NRC to block any restart of the failed San Onofre generators at this time, and to conduct a comprehensive and open review process.

Thank you for your attention to these concerns.

Andy Manoff
11243 Culver Blvd
Culver City, CA 90230-4729

May 1, 2013

Ms Cindy Bladey
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, DC 20555-0001

Dear Ms Bladey,

The comments that follow are regarding Docket ID NRC20130070.

We ask that the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) take no action that could lead to a restart of the San Onofre nuclear power plant before the Commission completes a comprehensive investigation and provides full opportunity for public participation and independent expert testimony.

Southern California Edison (SCE) is attempting to shortcut the license review process by calling on the NRC to make a fast-track restart decision. We and other environmental groups have joined with U.S. Senator Boxer and U.S. Representative Markey in urging the NRC not to follow such a course.

We were deeply disturbed when on April 10 the NRC staff disregarded numerous statements of concern and announced a "preliminary finding" that a San Onofre restart at 70% power posed no significant safety risk.

We join a wide range of concerned citizens and public officials in believing that a full and transparent review of the failed San Onofre generators is essential before the NRC considers any potential restart at either partial or full power of the failed generator.

We support Senator Boxer, Chair of the Environment and Public Works Committee, who stated on April 10, 2013: "The NRC staff proposal, which could pave the way for the restart of the San Onofre nuclear power plant before the investigations of the crippled plant are completed, is dangerous and premature."

Given the recent failure of tubes that carry radioactive water, speeding restart of San Onofre through a so-called "license amendment" that shortcuts procedures is totally inappropriate. SCE's request to weaken its license requirements was made despite evidence showing that there could be a significant hazard from the operation of the deficient steam generators.

Given the troubled history and current condition of the plant and the raised level of public concern, the public deserves a full review by the NRC of conditions at San Onofre before it considers a restart of either failed generator.

I support the call by the Sierra Club for the NRC to block any restart of the failed San Onofre generators at this time, and to conduct a comprehensive and open review process.

Thank you for your attention to these concerns.

Diane Preston
34145 Pch .
Dana Point, CA 92629

May 1, 2013

Ms Cindy Bladey
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, DC 20555-0001

Dear Ms Bladey,

The comments that follow are regarding Docket ID NRC20130070.

We ask that the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) take no action that could lead to a restart of the San Onofre nuclear power plant before the Commission completes a comprehensive investigation and provides full opportunity for public participation and independent expert testimony.

Southern California Edison (SCE) is attempting to shortcut the license review process by calling on the NRC to make a fast-track restart decision. We and other environmental groups have joined with U.S. Senator Boxer and U.S. Representative Markey in urging the NRC not to follow such a course.

We were deeply disturbed when on April 10 the NRC staff disregarded numerous statements of concern and announced a "preliminary finding" that a San Onofre restart at 70% power posed no significant safety risk.

We join a wide range of concerned citizens and public officials in believing that a full and transparent review of the failed San Onofre generators is essential before the NRC considers any potential restart at either partial or full power of the failed generator.

We support Senator Boxer, Chair of the Environment and Public Works Committee, who stated on April 10, 2013: "The NRC staff proposal, which could pave the way for the restart of the San Onofre nuclear power plant before the investigations of the crippled plant are completed, is dangerous and premature."

Given the recent failure of tubes that carry radioactive water, speeding restart of San Onofre through a so-called "license amendment" that shortcuts procedures is totally inappropriate. SCE's request to weaken its license requirements was made despite evidence showing that there could be a significant hazard from the operation of the deficient steam generators.

Given the troubled history and current condition of the plant and the raised level of public concern, the public deserves a full review by the NRC of conditions at San Onofre before it considers a restart of either failed generator.

I support the call by the Sierra Club for the NRC to block any restart of the failed San Onofre generators at this time, and to conduct a comprehensive and open review process.

Thank you for your attention to these concerns.

Michael Wagner
845 W Colorado Blvd
Monrovia, CA 91016-2517

May 1, 2013

Ms Cindy Bladey
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, DC 20555-0001

Dear Ms Bladey,

The comments that follow are regarding Docket ID NRC20130070.

We ask that the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) take no action that could lead to a restart of the San Onofre nuclear power plant before the Commission completes a comprehensive investigation and provides full opportunity for public participation and independent expert testimony.

Southern California Edison (SCE) is attempting to shortcut the license review process by calling on the NRC to make a fast-track restart decision. We and other environmental groups have joined with U.S. Senator Boxer and U.S. Representative Markey in urging the NRC not to follow such a course.

We were deeply disturbed when on April 10 the NRC staff disregarded numerous statements of concern and announced a "preliminary finding" that a San Onofre restart at 70% power posed no significant safety risk.

We join a wide range of concerned citizens and public officials in believing that a full and transparent review of the failed San Onofre generators is essential before the NRC considers any potential restart at either partial or full power of the failed generator.

We support Senator Boxer, Chair of the Environment and Public Works Committee, who stated on April 10, 2013: "The NRC staff proposal, which could pave the way for the restart of the San Onofre nuclear power plant before the investigations of the crippled plant are completed, is dangerous and premature."

Given the recent failure of tubes that carry radioactive water, speeding restart of San Onofre through a so-called "license amendment" that shortcuts procedures is totally inappropriate. SCE's request to weaken its license requirements was made despite evidence showing that there could be a significant hazard from the operation of the deficient steam generators.

Given the troubled history and current condition of the plant and the raised level of public concern, the public deserves a full review by the NRC of conditions at San Onofre before it considers a restart of either failed generator.

I support the call by the Sierra Club for the NRC to block any restart of the failed San Onofre generators at this time, and to conduct a comprehensive and open review process.

Thank you for your attention to these concerns.

John Geist
PO Box 1599
Hawaiian Gardens, CA 90716-0599

May 1, 2013

Ms Cindy Bladey
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, DC 20555-0001

Dear Ms Bladey,

The comments that follow are regarding Docket ID NRC20130070.

We ask that the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) take no action that could lead to a restart of the San Onofre nuclear power plant before the Commission completes a comprehensive investigation and provides full opportunity for public participation and independent expert testimony.

Southern California Edison (SCE) is attempting to shortcut the license review process by calling on the NRC to make a fast-track restart decision. We and other environmental groups have joined with U.S. Senator Boxer and U.S. Representative Markey in urging the NRC not to follow such a course.

We were deeply disturbed when on April 10 the NRC staff disregarded numerous statements of concern and announced a "preliminary finding" that a San Onofre restart at 70% power posed no significant safety risk.

We join a wide range of concerned citizens and public officials in believing that a full and transparent review of the failed San Onofre generators is essential before the NRC considers any potential restart at either partial or full power of the failed generator.

We support Senator Boxer, Chair of the Environment and Public Works Committee, who stated on April 10, 2013: "The NRC staff proposal, which could pave the way for the restart of the San Onofre nuclear power plant before the investigations of the crippled plant are completed, is dangerous and premature."

Given the recent failure of tubes that carry radioactive water, speeding restart of San Onofre through a so-called "license amendment" that shortcuts procedures is totally inappropriate. SCE's request to weaken its license requirements was made despite evidence showing that there could be a significant hazard from the operation of the deficient steam generators.

Given the troubled history and current condition of the plant and the raised level of public concern, the public deserves a full review by the NRC of conditions at San Onofre before it considers a restart of either failed generator.

I support the call by the Sierra Club for the NRC to block any restart of the failed San Onofre generators at this time, and to conduct a comprehensive and open review process.

Thank you for your attention to these concerns.

Yosh Yamanaka
4720 Lincoln Blvd
Marina Del Rey, CA 90292-6902

May 1, 2013

Ms Cindy Bladey
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, DC 20555-0001

Dear Ms Bladey,

The comments that follow are regarding Docket ID NRC20130070.

We ask that the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) take no action that could lead to a restart of the San Onofre nuclear power plant before the Commission completes a comprehensive investigation and provides full opportunity for public participation and independent expert testimony.

Southern California Edison (SCE) is attempting to shortcut the license review process by calling on the NRC to make a fast-track restart decision. We and other environmental groups have joined with U.S. Senator Boxer and U.S. Representative Markey in urging the NRC not to follow such a course.

We were deeply disturbed when on April 10 the NRC staff disregarded numerous statements of concern and announced a "preliminary finding" that a San Onofre restart at 70% power posed no significant safety risk.

We join a wide range of concerned citizens and public officials in believing that a full and transparent review of the failed San Onofre generators is essential before the NRC considers any potential restart at either partial or full power of the failed generator.

We support Senator Boxer, Chair of the Environment and Public Works Committee, who stated on April 10, 2013: "The NRC staff proposal, which could pave the way for the restart of the San Onofre nuclear power plant before the investigations of the crippled plant are completed, is dangerous and premature."

Given the recent failure of tubes that carry radioactive water, speeding restart of San Onofre through a so-called "license amendment" that shortcuts procedures is totally inappropriate. SCE's request to weaken its license requirements was made despite evidence showing that there could be a significant hazard from the operation of the deficient steam generators.

Given the troubled history and current condition of the plant and the raised level of public concern, the public deserves a full review by the NRC of conditions at San Onofre before it considers a restart of either failed generator.

I support the call by the Sierra Club for the NRC to block any restart of the failed San Onofre generators at this time, and to conduct a comprehensive and open review process.

Thank you for your attention to these concerns.

Jon Blaze
18014 Sherman Way
Reseda, CA 91335-4643

May 1, 2013

Ms Cindy Bladey
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, DC 20555-0001

Dear Ms Bladey,

The comments that follow are regarding Docket ID NRC20130070.

We ask that the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) take no action that could lead to a restart of the San Onofre nuclear power plant before the Commission completes a comprehensive investigation and provides full opportunity for public participation and independent expert testimony.

Southern California Edison (SCE) is attempting to shortcut the license review process by calling on the NRC to make a fast-track restart decision. We and other environmental groups have joined with U.S. Senator Boxer and U.S. Representative Markey in urging the NRC not to follow such a course.

We were deeply disturbed when on April 10 the NRC staff disregarded numerous statements of concern and announced a "preliminary finding" that a San Onofre restart at 70% power posed no significant safety risk.

We join a wide range of concerned citizens and public officials in believing that a full and transparent review of the failed San Onofre generators is essential before the NRC considers any potential restart at either partial or full power of the failed generator.

We support Senator Boxer, Chair of the Environment and Public Works Committee, who stated on April 10, 2013: "The NRC staff proposal, which could pave the way for the restart of the San Onofre nuclear power plant before the investigations of the crippled plant are completed, is dangerous and premature."

Given the recent failure of tubes that carry radioactive water, speeding restart of San Onofre through a so-called "license amendment" that shortcuts procedures is totally inappropriate. SCE's request to weaken its license requirements was made despite evidence showing that there could be a significant hazard from the operation of the deficient steam generators.

Given the troubled history and current condition of the plant and the raised level of public concern, the public deserves a full review by the NRC of conditions at San Onofre before it considers a restart of either failed generator.

I support the call by the Sierra Club for the NRC to block any restart of the failed San Onofre generators at this time, and to conduct a comprehensive and open review process.

Thank you for your attention to these concerns.

Shawn Johnson
407 W Avenida De Los Lobos Marinos
San Clemente, CA 92672-4325

May 1, 2013

Ms Cindy Bladey
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, DC 20555-0001

Dear Ms Bladey,

The comments that follow are regarding Docket ID NRC20130070.

We ask that the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) take no action that could lead to a restart of the San Onofre nuclear power plant before the Commission completes a comprehensive investigation and provides full opportunity for public participation and independent expert testimony.

Southern California Edison (SCE) is attempting to shortcut the license review process by calling on the NRC to make a fast-track restart decision. We and other environmental groups have joined with U.S. Senator Boxer and U.S. Representative Markey in urging the NRC not to follow such a course.

We were deeply disturbed when on April 10 the NRC staff disregarded numerous statements of concern and announced a "preliminary finding" that a San Onofre restart at 70% power posed no significant safety risk.

We join a wide range of concerned citizens and public officials in believing that a full and transparent review of the failed San Onofre generators is essential before the NRC considers any potential restart at either partial or full power of the failed generator.

We support Senator Boxer, Chair of the Environment and Public Works Committee, who stated on April 10, 2013: "The NRC staff proposal, which could pave the way for the restart of the San Onofre nuclear power plant before the investigations of the crippled plant are completed, is dangerous and premature."

Given the recent failure of tubes that carry radioactive water, speeding restart of San Onofre through a so-called "license amendment" that shortcuts procedures is totally inappropriate. SCE's request to weaken its license requirements was made despite evidence showing that there could be a significant hazard from the operation of the deficient steam generators.

Given the troubled history and current condition of the plant and the raised level of public concern, the public deserves a full review by the NRC of conditions at San Onofre before it considers a restart of either failed generator.

I support the call by the Sierra Club for the NRC to block any restart of the failed San Onofre generators at this time, and to conduct a comprehensive and open review process.

Thank you for your attention to these concerns.

Nicolas Carr
11539 Laurelcrest Dr
Studio City, CA 91604-3875

May 1, 2013

Ms Cindy Bladey
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, DC 20555-0001

Dear Ms Bladey,

The comments that follow are regarding Docket ID NRC20130070.

We ask that the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) take no action that could lead to a restart of the San Onofre nuclear power plant before the Commission completes a comprehensive investigation and provides full opportunity for public participation and independent expert testimony.

Southern California Edison (SCE) is attempting to shortcut the license review process by calling on the NRC to make a fast-track restart decision. We and other environmental groups have joined with U.S. Senator Boxer and U.S. Representative Markey in urging the NRC not to follow such a course.

We were deeply disturbed when on April 10 the NRC staff disregarded numerous statements of concern and announced a "preliminary finding" that a San Onofre restart at 70% power posed no significant safety risk.

We join a wide range of concerned citizens and public officials in believing that a full and transparent review of the failed San Onofre generators is essential before the NRC considers any potential restart at either partial or full power of the failed generator.

We support Senator Boxer, Chair of the Environment and Public Works Committee, who stated on April 10, 2013: "The NRC staff proposal, which could pave the way for the restart of the San Onofre nuclear power plant before the investigations of the crippled plant are completed, is dangerous and premature."

Given the recent failure of tubes that carry radioactive water, speeding restart of San Onofre through a so-called "license amendment" that shortcuts procedures is totally inappropriate. SCE's request to weaken its license requirements was made despite evidence showing that there could be a significant hazard from the operation of the deficient steam generators.

Given the troubled history and current condition of the plant and the raised level of public concern, the public deserves a full review by the NRC of conditions at San Onofre before it considers a restart of either failed generator.

I support the call by the Sierra Club for the NRC to block any restart of the failed San Onofre generators at this time, and to conduct a comprehensive and open review process.

Thank you for your attention to these concerns.

Scott Milton
331 S Archer St
Anaheim, CA 92804-2417

May 1, 2013

Ms Cindy Bladey
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, DC 20555-0001

Dear Ms Bladey,

The comments that follow are regarding Docket ID NRC20130070.

We ask that the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) take no action that could lead to a restart of the San Onofre nuclear power plant before the Commission completes a comprehensive investigation and provides full opportunity for public participation and independent expert testimony.

Southern California Edison (SCE) is attempting to shortcut the license review process by calling on the NRC to make a fast-track restart decision. We and other environmental groups have joined with U.S. Senator Boxer and U.S. Representative Markey in urging the NRC not to follow such a course.

We were deeply disturbed when on April 10 the NRC staff disregarded numerous statements of concern and announced a "preliminary finding" that a San Onofre restart at 70% power posed no significant safety risk.

We join a wide range of concerned citizens and public officials in believing that a full and transparent review of the failed San Onofre generators is essential before the NRC considers any potential restart at either partial or full power of the failed generator.

We support Senator Boxer, Chair of the Environment and Public Works Committee, who stated on April 10, 2013: "The NRC staff proposal, which could pave the way for the restart of the San Onofre nuclear power plant before the investigations of the crippled plant are completed, is dangerous and premature."

Given the recent failure of tubes that carry radioactive water, speeding restart of San Onofre through a so-called "license amendment" that shortcuts procedures is totally inappropriate. SCE's request to weaken its license requirements was made despite evidence showing that there could be a significant hazard from the operation of the deficient steam generators.

Given the troubled history and current condition of the plant and the raised level of public concern, the public deserves a full review by the NRC of conditions at San Onofre before it considers a restart of either failed generator.

I support the call by the Sierra Club for the NRC to block any restart of the failed San Onofre generators at this time, and to conduct a comprehensive and open review process.

Thank you for your attention to these concerns.

Moe Stavnezer
9112c E Fairview Ave
San Gabriel, CA 91775-1308

May 1, 2013

Ms Cindy Bladey
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, DC 20555-0001

Dear Ms Bladey,

The comments that follow are regarding Docket ID NRC20130070.

We ask that the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) take no action that could lead to a restart of the San Onofre nuclear power plant before the Commission completes a comprehensive investigation and provides full opportunity for public participation and independent expert testimony.

Southern California Edison (SCE) is attempting to shortcut the license review process by calling on the NRC to make a fast-track restart decision. We and other environmental groups have joined with U.S. Senator Boxer and U.S. Representative Markey in urging the NRC not to follow such a course.

We were deeply disturbed when on April 10 the NRC staff disregarded numerous statements of concern and announced a "preliminary finding" that a San Onofre restart at 70% power posed no significant safety risk.

We join a wide range of concerned citizens and public officials in believing that a full and transparent review of the failed San Onofre generators is essential before the NRC considers any potential restart at either partial or full power of the failed generator.

We support Senator Boxer, Chair of the Environment and Public Works Committee, who stated on April 10, 2013: "The NRC staff proposal, which could pave the way for the restart of the San Onofre nuclear power plant before the investigations of the crippled plant are completed, is dangerous and premature."

Given the recent failure of tubes that carry radioactive water, speeding restart of San Onofre through a so-called "license amendment" that shortcuts procedures is totally inappropriate. SCE's request to weaken its license requirements was made despite evidence showing that there could be a significant hazard from the operation of the deficient steam generators.

Given the troubled history and current condition of the plant and the raised level of public concern, the public deserves a full review by the NRC of conditions at San Onofre before it considers a restart of either failed generator.

I support the call by the Sierra Club for the NRC to block any restart of the failed San Onofre generators at this time, and to conduct a comprehensive and open review process.

Thank you for your attention to these concerns.

Patty Gray
6012 Sierra Siena Rd
Irvine, CA 92603-3912

May 1, 2013

Ms Cindy Bladey
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, DC 20555-0001

Dear Ms Bladey,

The comments that follow are regarding Docket ID NRC20130070.

We ask that the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) take no action that could lead to a restart of the San Onofre nuclear power plant before the Commission completes a comprehensive investigation and provides full opportunity for public participation and independent expert testimony.

Southern California Edison (SCE) is attempting to shortcut the license review process by calling on the NRC to make a fast-track restart decision. We and other environmental groups have joined with U.S. Senator Boxer and U.S. Representative Markey in urging the NRC not to follow such a course.

We were deeply disturbed when on April 10 the NRC staff disregarded numerous statements of concern and announced a "preliminary finding" that a San Onofre restart at 70% power posed no significant safety risk.

We join a wide range of concerned citizens and public officials in believing that a full and transparent review of the failed San Onofre generators is essential before the NRC considers any potential restart at either partial or full power of the failed generator.

We support Senator Boxer, Chair of the Environment and Public Works Committee, who stated on April 10, 2013: "The NRC staff proposal, which could pave the way for the restart of the San Onofre nuclear power plant before the investigations of the crippled plant are completed, is dangerous and premature."

Given the recent failure of tubes that carry radioactive water, speeding restart of San Onofre through a so-called "license amendment" that shortcuts procedures is totally inappropriate. SCE's request to weaken its license requirements was made despite evidence showing that there could be a significant hazard from the operation of the deficient steam generators.

Given the troubled history and current condition of the plant and the raised level of public concern, the public deserves a full review by the NRC of conditions at San Onofre before it considers a restart of either failed generator.

I support the call by the Sierra Club for the NRC to block any restart of the failed San Onofre generators at this time, and to conduct a comprehensive and open review process.

Thank you for your attention to these concerns.

Sarah Nolan
5530 E Mezzanine Way
Long Beach, CA 90808-3621

May 1, 2013

Ms Cindy Bladey
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, DC 20555-0001

Dear Ms Bladey,

The comments that follow are regarding Docket ID NRC20130070.

We ask that the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) take no action that could lead to a restart of the San Onofre nuclear power plant before the Commission completes a comprehensive investigation and provides full opportunity for public participation and independent expert testimony.

Southern California Edison (SCE) is attempting to shortcut the license review process by calling on the NRC to make a fast-track restart decision. We and other environmental groups have joined with U.S. Senator Boxer and U.S. Representative Markey in urging the NRC not to follow such a course.

We were deeply disturbed when on April 10 the NRC staff disregarded numerous statements of concern and announced a "preliminary finding" that a San Onofre restart at 70% power posed no significant safety risk.

We join a wide range of concerned citizens and public officials in believing that a full and transparent review of the failed San Onofre generators is essential before the NRC considers any potential restart at either partial or full power of the failed generator.

We support Senator Boxer, Chair of the Environment and Public Works Committee, who stated on April 10, 2013: "The NRC staff proposal, which could pave the way for the restart of the San Onofre nuclear power plant before the investigations of the crippled plant are completed, is dangerous and premature."

Given the recent failure of tubes that carry radioactive water, speeding restart of San Onofre through a so-called "license amendment" that shortcuts procedures is totally inappropriate. SCE's request to weaken its license requirements was made despite evidence showing that there could be a significant hazard from the operation of the deficient steam generators.

Given the troubled history and current condition of the plant and the raised level of public concern, the public deserves a full review by the NRC of conditions at San Onofre before it considers a restart of either failed generator.

I support the call by the Sierra Club for the NRC to block any restart of the failed San Onofre generators at this time, and to conduct a comprehensive and open review process.

Thank you for your attention to these concerns.

Maureen Besancon
4329 Ensenada Dr
Woodland Hills, CA 91364-5406

May 1, 2013

Ms Cindy Bladey
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, DC 20555-0001

Dear Ms Bladey,

The comments that follow are regarding Docket ID NRC20130070.

We ask that the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) take no action that could lead to a restart of the San Onofre nuclear power plant before the Commission completes a comprehensive investigation and provides full opportunity for public participation and independent expert testimony.

Southern California Edison (SCE) is attempting to shortcut the license review process by calling on the NRC to make a fast-track restart decision. We and other environmental groups have joined with U.S. Senator Boxer and U.S. Representative Markey in urging the NRC not to follow such a course.

We were deeply disturbed when on April 10 the NRC staff disregarded numerous statements of concern and announced a "preliminary finding" that a San Onofre restart at 70% power posed no significant safety risk.

We join a wide range of concerned citizens and public officials in believing that a full and transparent review of the failed San Onofre generators is essential before the NRC considers any potential restart at either partial or full power of the failed generator.

We support Senator Boxer, Chair of the Environment and Public Works Committee, who stated on April 10, 2013: "The NRC staff proposal, which could pave the way for the restart of the San Onofre nuclear power plant before the investigations of the crippled plant are completed, is dangerous and premature."

Given the recent failure of tubes that carry radioactive water, speeding restart of San Onofre through a so-called "license amendment" that shortcuts procedures is totally inappropriate. SCE's request to weaken its license requirements was made despite evidence showing that there could be a significant hazard from the operation of the deficient steam generators.

Given the troubled history and current condition of the plant and the raised level of public concern, the public deserves a full review by the NRC of conditions at San Onofre before it considers a restart of either failed generator.

I support the call by the Sierra Club and others for the NRC to block any restart of the failed San Onofre generators at this time, and to conduct a comprehensive and open review process.

Thank you for your attention to these concerns.

Charles Wolfe
13376 Dronfield Ave
Sylmar, CA 91342-1401

May 1, 2013

Ms Cindy Bladey
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, DC 20555-0001

Dear Ms Bladey,

The comments that follow are regarding Docket ID NRC20130070.

We ask that the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) take no action that could lead to a restart of the San Onofre nuclear power plant before the Commission completes a comprehensive investigation and provides full opportunity for public participation and independent expert testimony.

Southern California Edison (SCE) is attempting to shortcut the license review process by calling on the NRC to make a fast-track restart decision. We and other environmental groups have joined with U.S. Senator Boxer and U.S. Representative Markey in urging the NRC not to follow such a course.

We were deeply disturbed when on April 10 the NRC staff disregarded numerous statements of concern and announced a "preliminary finding" that a San Onofre restart at 70% power posed no significant safety risk.

We join a wide range of concerned citizens and public officials in believing that a full and transparent review of the failed San Onofre generators is essential before the NRC considers any potential restart at either partial or full power of the failed generator.

We support Senator Boxer, Chair of the Environment and Public Works Committee, who stated on April 10, 2013: "The NRC staff proposal, which could pave the way for the restart of the San Onofre nuclear power plant before the investigations of the crippled plant are completed, is dangerous and premature."

Given the recent failure of tubes that carry radioactive water, speeding restart of San Onofre through a so-called "license amendment" that shortcuts procedures is totally inappropriate. SCE's request to weaken its license requirements was made despite evidence showing that there could be a significant hazard from the operation of the deficient steam generators.

Given the troubled history and current condition of the plant and the raised level of public concern, the public deserves a full review by the NRC of conditions at San Onofre before it considers a restart of either failed generator.

I support the call by the Sierra Club for the NRC to block any restart of the failed San Onofre generators at this time, and to conduct a comprehensive and open review process.

Thank you for your attention to these concerns.

Heidi Bean
100 Scholz Plz Apt 110
Newport Beach, CA 92663-2615

May 1, 2013

Ms Cindy Bladey
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, DC 20555-0001

Dear Ms Bladey,

The comments that follow are regarding Docket ID NRC20130070.

We ask that the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) take no action that could lead to a restart of the San Onofre nuclear power plant before the Commission completes a comprehensive investigation and provides full opportunity for public participation and independent expert testimony.

Southern California Edison (SCE) is attempting to shortcut the license review process by calling on the NRC to make a fast-track restart decision. We and other environmental groups have joined with U.S. Senator Boxer and U.S. Representative Markey in urging the NRC not to follow such a course.

We were deeply disturbed when on April 10 the NRC staff disregarded numerous statements of concern and announced a "preliminary finding" that a San Onofre restart at 70% power posed no significant safety risk.

We join a wide range of concerned citizens and public officials in believing that a full and transparent review of the failed San Onofre generators is essential before the NRC considers any potential restart at either partial or full power of the failed generator.

We support Senator Boxer, Chair of the Environment and Public Works Committee, who stated on April 10, 2013: "The NRC staff proposal, which could pave the way for the restart of the San Onofre nuclear power plant before the investigations of the crippled plant are completed, is dangerous and premature."

Given the recent failure of tubes that carry radioactive water, speeding restart of San Onofre through a so-called "license amendment" that shortcuts procedures is totally inappropriate. SCE's request to weaken its license requirements was made despite evidence showing that there could be a significant hazard from the operation of the deficient steam generators.

Given the troubled history and current condition of the plant and the raised level of public concern, the public deserves a full review by the NRC of conditions at San Onofre before it considers a restart of either failed generator.

I support the call by the Sierra Club for the NRC to block any restart of the failed San Onofre generators at this time, and to conduct a comprehensive and open review process.

Thank you for your attention to these concerns.

Alice Riley
6262 E Monita St
Long Beach, CA 90803-2100

May 1, 2013

Ms Cindy Bladey
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, DC 20555-0001

Dear Ms Bladey,

The comments that follow are regarding Docket ID NRC20130070.

We ask that the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) take no action that could lead to a restart of the San Onofre nuclear power plant before the Commission completes a comprehensive investigation and provides full opportunity for public participation and independent expert testimony.

Southern California Edison (SCE) is attempting to shortcut the license review process by calling on the NRC to make a fast-track restart decision. We and other environmental groups have joined with U.S. Senator Boxer and U.S. Representative Markey in urging the NRC not to follow such a course.

We were deeply disturbed when on April 10 the NRC staff disregarded numerous statements of concern and announced a "preliminary finding" that a San Onofre restart at 70% power posed no significant safety risk.

We join a wide range of concerned citizens and public officials in believing that a full and transparent review of the failed San Onofre generators is essential before the NRC considers any potential restart at either partial or full power of the failed generator.

We support Senator Boxer, Chair of the Environment and Public Works Committee, who stated on April 10, 2013: "The NRC staff proposal, which could pave the way for the restart of the San Onofre nuclear power plant before the investigations of the crippled plant are completed, is dangerous and premature."

Given the recent failure of tubes that carry radioactive water, speeding restart of San Onofre through a so-called "license amendment" that shortcuts procedures is totally inappropriate. SCE's request to weaken its license requirements was made despite evidence showing that there could be a significant hazard from the operation of the deficient steam generators.

Given the troubled history and current condition of the plant and the raised level of public concern, the public deserves a full review by the NRC of conditions at San Onofre before it considers a restart of either failed generator.

I support the call by the Sierra Club for the NRC to block any restart of the failed San Onofre generators at this time, and to conduct a comprehensive and open review process.

Thank you for your attention to these concerns.

Victoria Brandon
9311 Bianca Ave
Northridge, CA 91325-2407

May 1, 2013

Ms Cindy Bladey
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, DC 20555-0001

Dear Ms Bladey,

The comments that follow are regarding Docket ID NRC20130070.

We ask that the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) take no action that could lead to a restart of the San Onofre nuclear power plant before the Commission completes a comprehensive investigation and provides full opportunity for public participation and independent expert testimony.

Southern California Edison (SCE) is attempting to shortcut the license review process by calling on the NRC to make a fast-track restart decision. We and other environmental groups have joined with U.S. Senator Boxer and U.S. Representative Markey in urging the NRC not to follow such a course.

We were deeply disturbed when on April 10 the NRC staff disregarded numerous statements of concern and announced a "preliminary finding" that a San Onofre restart at 70% power posed no significant safety risk.

We join a wide range of concerned citizens and public officials in believing that a full and transparent review of the failed San Onofre generators is essential before the NRC considers any potential restart at either partial or full power of the failed generator.

We support Senator Boxer, Chair of the Environment and Public Works Committee, who stated on April 10, 2013: "The NRC staff proposal, which could pave the way for the restart of the San Onofre nuclear power plant before the investigations of the crippled plant are completed, is dangerous and premature."

Given the recent failure of tubes that carry radioactive water, speeding restart of San Onofre through a so-called "license amendment" that shortcuts procedures is totally inappropriate. SCE's request to weaken its license requirements was made despite evidence showing that there could be a significant hazard from the operation of the deficient steam generators.

Given the troubled history and current condition of the plant and the raised level of public concern, the public deserves a full review by the NRC of conditions at San Onofre before it considers a restart of either failed generator.

I support the call by the Sierra Club for the NRC to block any restart of the failed San Onofre generators at this time, and to conduct a comprehensive and open review process.

Thank you for your attention to these concerns.

David Leitch
2554 Lincoln Blvd
Venice, CA 90291-5082

May 1, 2013

Ms Cindy Bladey
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, DC 20555-0001

Dear Ms Bladey,

The comments that follow are regarding Docket ID NRC20130070.

We ask that the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) take no action that could lead to a restart of the San Onofre nuclear power plant before the Commission completes a comprehensive investigation and provides full opportunity for public participation and independent expert testimony.

Southern California Edison (SCE) is attempting to shortcut the license review process by calling on the NRC to make a fast-track restart decision. We and other environmental groups have joined with U.S. Senator Boxer and U.S. Representative Markey in urging the NRC not to follow such a course.

We were deeply disturbed when on April 10 the NRC staff disregarded numerous statements of concern and announced a "preliminary finding" that a San Onofre restart at 70% power posed no significant safety risk.

We join a wide range of concerned citizens and public officials in believing that a full and transparent review of the failed San Onofre generators is essential before the NRC considers any potential restart at either partial or full power of the failed generator.

We support Senator Boxer, Chair of the Environment and Public Works Committee, who stated on April 10, 2013: "The NRC staff proposal, which could pave the way for the restart of the San Onofre nuclear power plant before the investigations of the crippled plant are completed, is dangerous and premature."

Given the recent failure of tubes that carry radioactive water, speeding restart of San Onofre through a so-called "license amendment" that shortcuts procedures is totally inappropriate. SCE's request to weaken its license requirements was made despite evidence showing that there could be a significant hazard from the operation of the deficient steam generators.

Given the troubled history and current condition of the plant and the raised level of public concern, the public deserves a full review by the NRC of conditions at San Onofre before it considers a restart of either failed generator.

I support the call by the Sierra Club for the NRC to block any restart of the failed San Onofre generators at this time, and to conduct a comprehensive and open review process.

Thank you for your attention to these concerns.

LESLIE Jean KOCH

May 1, 2013

Ms Cindy Bladey
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, DC 20555-0001

Dear Ms Bladey,

The comments that follow are regarding Docket ID NRC20130070.

We ask that the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) take no action that could lead to a restart of the San Onofre nuclear power plant before the Commission completes a comprehensive investigation and provides full opportunity for public participation and independent expert testimony.

Southern California Edison (SCE) is attempting to shortcut the license review process by calling on the NRC to make a fast-track restart decision. We and other environmental groups have joined with U.S. Senator Boxer and U.S. Representative Markey in urging the NRC not to follow such a course.

We were deeply disturbed when on April 10 the NRC staff disregarded numerous statements of concern and announced a "preliminary finding" that a San Onofre restart at 70% power posed no significant safety risk.

We join a wide range of concerned citizens and public officials in believing that a full and transparent review of the failed San Onofre generators is essential before the NRC considers any potential restart at either partial or full power of the failed generator.

We support Senator Boxer, Chair of the Environment and Public Works Committee, who stated on April 10, 2013: "The NRC staff proposal, which could pave the way for the restart of the San Onofre nuclear power plant before the investigations of the crippled plant are completed, is dangerous and premature."

Given the recent failure of tubes that carry radioactive water, speeding restart of San Onofre through a so-called "license amendment" that shortcuts procedures is totally inappropriate. SCE's request to weaken its license requirements was made despite evidence showing that there could be a significant hazard from the operation of the deficient steam generators.

Given the troubled history and current condition of the plant and the raised level of public concern, the public deserves a full review by the NRC of conditions at San Onofre before it considers a restart of either failed generator.

I support the call by the Sierra Club for the NRC to block any restart of the failed San Onofre generators at this time, and to conduct a comprehensive and open review process.

Thank you for your attention to these concerns.

Linda Kay
5747 Waring Ave Apt 3
Los Angeles, CA 90038-3977

May 1, 2013

Ms Cindy Bladey
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, DC 20555-0001

Dear Ms Bladey,

The comments that follow are regarding Docket ID NRC20130070.

We ask that the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) take no action that could lead to a restart of the San Onofre nuclear power plant before the Commission completes a comprehensive investigation and provides full opportunity for public participation and independent expert testimony.

Southern California Edison (SCE) is attempting to shortcut the license review process by calling on the NRC to make a fast-track restart decision. We and other environmental groups have joined with U.S. Senator Boxer and U.S. Representative Markey in urging the NRC not to follow such a course.

We were deeply disturbed when on April 10 the NRC staff disregarded numerous statements of concern and announced a "preliminary finding" that a San Onofre restart at 70% power posed no significant safety risk.

We join a wide range of concerned citizens and public officials in believing that a full and transparent review of the failed San Onofre generators is essential before the NRC considers any potential restart at either partial or full power of the failed generator.

We support Senator Boxer, Chair of the Environment and Public Works Committee, who stated on April 10, 2013: "The NRC staff proposal, which could pave the way for the restart of the San Onofre nuclear power plant before the investigations of the crippled plant are completed, is dangerous and premature."

Given the recent failure of tubes that carry radioactive water, speeding restart of San Onofre through a so-called "license amendment" that shortcuts procedures is totally inappropriate. SCE's request to weaken its license requirements was made despite evidence showing that there could be a significant hazard from the operation of the deficient steam generators.

Given the troubled history and current condition of the plant and the raised level of public concern, the public deserves a full review by the NRC of conditions at San Onofre before it considers a restart of either failed generator.

I support the call by the Sierra Club for the NRC to block any restart of the failed San Onofre generators at this time, and to conduct a comprehensive and open review process.

Thank you for your attention to these concerns.

Alison Frankel
4261 Reyes Dr
Tarzana, CA 91356-5125

May 1, 2013

Ms Cindy Bladey
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, DC 20555-0001

Dear Ms Bladey,

The comments that follow are regarding Docket ID NRC20130070.

We ask that the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) take no action that could lead to a restart of the San Onofre nuclear power plant before the Commission completes a comprehensive investigation and provides full opportunity for public participation and independent expert testimony.

Southern California Edison (SCE) is attempting to shortcut the license review process by calling on the NRC to make a fast-track restart decision. We and other environmental groups have joined with U.S. Senator Boxer and U.S. Representative Markey in urging the NRC not to follow such a course.

We were deeply disturbed when on April 10 the NRC staff disregarded numerous statements of concern and announced a "preliminary finding" that a San Onofre restart at 70% power posed no significant safety risk.

We join a wide range of concerned citizens and public officials in believing that a full and transparent review of the failed San Onofre generators is essential before the NRC considers any potential restart at either partial or full power of the failed generator.

We support Senator Boxer, Chair of the Environment and Public Works Committee, who stated on April 10, 2013: "The NRC staff proposal, which could pave the way for the restart of the San Onofre nuclear power plant before the investigations of the crippled plant are completed, is dangerous and premature."

Given the recent failure of tubes that carry radioactive water, speeding restart of San Onofre through a so-called "license amendment" that shortcuts procedures is totally inappropriate. SCE's request to weaken its license requirements was made despite evidence showing that there could be a significant hazard from the operation of the deficient steam generators.

Given the troubled history and current condition of the plant and the raised level of public concern, the public deserves a full review by the NRC of conditions at San Onofre before it considers a restart of either failed generator.

I support the call by the Sierra Club for the NRC to block any restart of the failed San Onofre generators at this time, and to conduct a comprehensive and open review process.

Thank you for your attention to these concerns.

Fred Irwin
2510 Nelson Ave # 3
Redondo Beach, CA 90278-2513

May 1, 2013

Ms Cindy Bladey
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, DC 20555-0001

Dear Ms Bladey,

The comments that follow are regarding Docket ID NRC20130070.

We ask that the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) take no action that could lead to a restart of the San Onofre nuclear power plant before the Commission completes a comprehensive investigation and provides full opportunity for public participation and independent expert testimony.

Southern California Edison (SCE) is attempting to shortcut the license review process by calling on the NRC to make a fast-track restart decision. We and other environmental groups have joined with U.S. Senator Boxer and U.S. Representative Markey in urging the NRC not to follow such a course.

We were deeply disturbed when on April 10 the NRC staff disregarded numerous statements of concern and announced a "preliminary finding" that a San Onofre restart at 70% power posed no significant safety risk.

We join a wide range of concerned citizens and public officials in believing that a full and transparent review of the failed San Onofre generators is essential before the NRC considers any potential restart at either partial or full power of the failed generator.

We support Senator Boxer, Chair of the Environment and Public Works Committee, who stated on April 10, 2013: "The NRC staff proposal, which could pave the way for the restart of the San Onofre nuclear power plant before the investigations of the crippled plant are completed, is dangerous and premature."

Given the recent failure of tubes that carry radioactive water, speeding restart of San Onofre through a so-called "license amendment" that shortcuts procedures is totally inappropriate. SCE's request to weaken its license requirements was made despite evidence showing that there could be a significant hazard from the operation of the deficient steam generators.

Given the troubled history and current condition of the plant and the raised level of public concern, the public deserves a full review by the NRC of conditions at San Onofre before it considers a restart of either failed generator.

I support the call by the Sierra Club for the NRC to block any restart of the failed San Onofre generators at this time, and to conduct a comprehensive and open review process.

Thank you for your attention to these concerns.

Laszlo Kurucz
26571 Normandale Dr Apt 25j
Lake Forest, CA 92630-7971

May 1, 2013

Ms Cindy Bladey
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, DC 20555-0001

Dear Ms Bladey,

The comments that follow are regarding Docket ID NRC20130070.

We ask that the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) take no action that could lead to a restart of the San Onofre nuclear power plant before the Commission completes a comprehensive investigation and provides full opportunity for public participation and independent expert testimony.

Southern California Edison (SCE) is attempting to shortcut the license review process by calling on the NRC to make a fast-track restart decision. We and other environmental groups have joined with U.S. Senator Boxer and U.S. Representative Markey in urging the NRC not to follow such a course.

We were deeply disturbed when on April 10 the NRC staff disregarded numerous statements of concern and announced a "preliminary finding" that a San Onofre restart at 70% power posed no significant safety risk.

We join a wide range of concerned citizens and public officials in believing that a full and transparent review of the failed San Onofre generators is essential before the NRC considers any potential restart at either partial or full power of the failed generator.

We support Senator Boxer, Chair of the Environment and Public Works Committee, who stated on April 10, 2013: "The NRC staff proposal, which could pave the way for the restart of the San Onofre nuclear power plant before the investigations of the crippled plant are completed, is dangerous and premature."

Given the recent failure of tubes that carry radioactive water, speeding restart of San Onofre through a so-called "license amendment" that shortcuts procedures is totally inappropriate. SCE's request to weaken its license requirements was made despite evidence showing that there could be a significant hazard from the operation of the deficient steam generators.

Given the troubled history and current condition of the plant and the raised level of public concern, the public deserves a full review by the NRC of conditions at San Onofre before it considers a restart of either failed generator.

I support the call by the Sierra Club for the NRC to block any restart of the failed San Onofre generators at this time, and to conduct a comprehensive and open review process.

Thank you for your attention to these concerns.

Joanna Rash
525 E Seaside Way Unit 910
Long Beach, CA 90802-8008

May 1, 2013

Ms Cindy Bladey
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, DC 20555-0001

Dear Ms Bladey,

The comments that follow are regarding Docket ID NRC20130070.

We ask that the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) take no action that could lead to a restart of the San Onofre nuclear power plant before the Commission completes a comprehensive investigation and provides full opportunity for public participation and independent expert testimony.

Southern California Edison (SCE) is attempting to shortcut the license review process by calling on the NRC to make a fast-track restart decision. We and other environmental groups have joined with U.S. Senator Boxer and U.S. Representative Markey in urging the NRC not to follow such a course.

We were deeply disturbed when on April 10 the NRC staff disregarded numerous statements of concern and announced a "preliminary finding" that a San Onofre restart at 70% power posed no significant safety risk.

We join a wide range of concerned citizens and public officials in believing that a full and transparent review of the failed San Onofre generators is essential before the NRC considers any potential restart at either partial or full power of the failed generator.

We support Senator Boxer, Chair of the Environment and Public Works Committee, who stated on April 10, 2013: "The NRC staff proposal, which could pave the way for the restart of the San Onofre nuclear power plant before the investigations of the crippled plant are completed, is dangerous and premature."

Given the recent failure of tubes that carry radioactive water, speeding restart of San Onofre through a so-called "license amendment" that shortcuts procedures is totally inappropriate. SCE's request to weaken its license requirements was made despite evidence showing that there could be a significant hazard from the operation of the deficient steam generators.

Given the troubled history and current condition of the plant and the raised level of public concern, the public deserves a full review by the NRC of conditions at San Onofre before it considers a restart of either failed generator.

I support the call by the Sierra Club for the NRC to block any restart of the failed San Onofre generators at this time, and to conduct a comprehensive and open review process.

Thank you for your attention to these concerns.

Ron Hansel
307 N Astell Ave
West Covina, CA 91790-1802

May 1, 2013

Ms Cindy Bladey
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, DC 20555-0001

Dear Ms Bladey,

The comments that follow are regarding Docket ID NRC20130070.

We ask that the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) take no action that could lead to a restart of the San Onofre nuclear power plant before the Commission completes a comprehensive investigation and provides full opportunity for public participation and independent expert testimony.

Southern California Edison (SCE) is attempting to shortcut the license review process by calling on the NRC to make a fast-track restart decision. We and other environmental groups have joined with U.S. Senator Boxer and U.S. Representative Markey in urging the NRC not to follow such a course.

We were deeply disturbed when on April 10 the NRC staff disregarded numerous statements of concern and announced a "preliminary finding" that a San Onofre restart at 70% power posed no significant safety risk.

We join a wide range of concerned citizens and public officials in believing that a full and transparent review of the failed San Onofre generators is essential before the NRC considers any potential restart at either partial or full power of the failed generator.

We support Senator Boxer, Chair of the Environment and Public Works Committee, who stated on April 10, 2013: "The NRC staff proposal, which could pave the way for the restart of the San Onofre nuclear power plant before the investigations of the crippled plant are completed, is dangerous and premature."

Given the recent failure of tubes that carry radioactive water, speeding restart of San Onofre through a so-called "license amendment" that shortcuts procedures is totally inappropriate. SCE's request to weaken its license requirements was made despite evidence showing that there could be a significant hazard from the operation of the deficient steam generators.

Given the troubled history and current condition of the plant and the raised level of public concern, the public deserves a full review by the NRC of conditions at San Onofre before it considers a restart of either failed generator.

I support the call by the Sierra Club for the NRC to block any restart of the failed San Onofre generators at this time, and to conduct a comprehensive and open review process.

Thank you for your attention to these concerns.

Jerold Gorski
PO Box 60740
Irvine, CA 92602-6024

May 1, 2013

Ms Cindy Bladey
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, DC 20555-0001

Dear Ms Bladey,

The comments that follow are regarding Docket ID NRC20130070.

We ask that the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) take no action that could lead to a restart of the San Onofre nuclear power plant before the Commission completes a comprehensive investigation and provides full opportunity for public participation and independent expert testimony.

Southern California Edison (SCE) is attempting to shortcut the license review process by calling on the NRC to make a fast-track restart decision. We and other environmental groups have joined with U.S. Senator Boxer and U.S. Representative Markey in urging the NRC not to follow such a course.

We were deeply disturbed when on April 10 the NRC staff disregarded numerous statements of concern and announced a "preliminary finding" that a San Onofre restart at 70% power posed no significant safety risk.

We join a wide range of concerned citizens and public officials in believing that a full and transparent review of the failed San Onofre generators is essential before the NRC considers any potential restart at either partial or full power of the failed generator.

We support Senator Boxer, Chair of the Environment and Public Works Committee, who stated on April 10, 2013: "The NRC staff proposal, which could pave the way for the restart of the San Onofre nuclear power plant before the investigations of the crippled plant are completed, is dangerous and premature."

Given the recent failure of tubes that carry radioactive water, speeding restart of San Onofre through a so-called "license amendment" that shortcuts procedures is totally inappropriate. SCE's request to weaken its license requirements was made despite evidence showing that there could be a significant hazard from the operation of the deficient steam generators.

Given the troubled history and current condition of the plant and the raised level of public concern, the public deserves a full review by the NRC of conditions at San Onofre before it considers a restart of either failed generator.

I support the call by the Sierra Club for the NRC to block any restart of the failed San Onofre generators at this time, and to conduct a comprehensive and open review process.

Thank you for your attention to these concerns.

Elsa Gerard
529 3rd St
Manhattan Beach, CA 90266-6414

May 1, 2013

Ms Cindy Bladey
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, DC 20555-0001

Dear Ms Bladey,

The comments that follow are regarding Docket ID NRC20130070.

We ask that the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) take no action that could lead to a restart of the San Onofre nuclear power plant before the Commission completes a comprehensive investigation and provides full opportunity for public participation and independent expert testimony.

Southern California Edison (SCE) is attempting to shortcut the license review process by calling on the NRC to make a fast-track restart decision. We and other environmental groups have joined with U.S. Senator Boxer and U.S. Representative Markey in urging the NRC not to follow such a course.

We were deeply disturbed when on April 10 the NRC staff disregarded numerous statements of concern and announced a "preliminary finding" that a San Onofre restart at 70% power posed no significant safety risk.

We join a wide range of concerned citizens and public officials in believing that a full and transparent review of the failed San Onofre generators is essential before the NRC considers any potential restart at either partial or full power of the failed generator.

We support Senator Boxer, Chair of the Environment and Public Works Committee, who stated on April 10, 2013: "The NRC staff proposal, which could pave the way for the restart of the San Onofre nuclear power plant before the investigations of the crippled plant are completed, is dangerous and premature."

Given the recent failure of tubes that carry radioactive water, speeding restart of San Onofre through a so-called "license amendment" that shortcuts procedures is totally inappropriate. SCE's request to weaken its license requirements was made despite evidence showing that there could be a significant hazard from the operation of the deficient steam generators.

Given the troubled history and current condition of the plant and the raised level of public concern, the public deserves a full review by the NRC of conditions at San Onofre before it considers a restart of either failed generator.

I support the call by the Sierra Club for the NRC to block any restart of the failed San Onofre generators at this time, and to conduct a comprehensive and open review process.

I used to live in San Clemente and always lived in fear of that reactor.

Thank you for your attention to these concerns.

Arnold McMahon
1115 Highland Oaks Dr Apt 21
Arcadia, CA 91006-2427

May 1, 2013

Ms Cindy Bladey
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, DC 20555-0001

Dear Ms Bladey,

The comments that follow are regarding Docket ID NRC20130070.

We ask that the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) take no action that could lead to a restart of the San Onofre nuclear power plant before the Commission completes a comprehensive investigation and provides full opportunity for public participation and independent expert testimony.

Southern California Edison (SCE) is attempting to shortcut the license review process by calling on the NRC to make a fast-track restart decision. We and other environmental groups have joined with U.S. Senator Boxer and U.S. Representative Markey in urging the NRC not to follow such a course.

We were deeply disturbed when on April 10 the NRC staff disregarded numerous statements of concern and announced a "preliminary finding" that a San Onofre restart at 70% power posed no significant safety risk.

We join a wide range of concerned citizens and public officials in believing that a full and transparent review of the failed San Onofre generators is essential before the NRC considers any potential restart at either partial or full power of the failed generator.

We support Senator Boxer, Chair of the Environment and Public Works Committee, who stated on April 10, 2013: "The NRC staff proposal, which could pave the way for the restart of the San Onofre nuclear power plant before the investigations of the crippled plant are completed, is dangerous and premature."

Given the recent failure of tubes that carry radioactive water, speeding restart of San Onofre through a so-called "license amendment" that shortcuts procedures is totally inappropriate. SCE's request to weaken its license requirements was made despite evidence showing that there could be a significant hazard from the operation of the deficient steam generators.

Given the troubled history and current condition of the plant and the raised level of public concern, the public deserves a full review by the NRC of conditions at San Onofre before it considers a restart of either failed generator.

I support the call by the Sierra Club for the NRC to block any restart of the failed San Onofre generators at this time, and to conduct a comprehensive and open review process.

Thank you for your attention to these concerns.

Alan Zorthian
3990 Fair Oaks Ave
Altadena, CA 91001-3911

May 1, 2013

Ms Cindy Bladey
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, DC 20555-0001

Dear Ms Bladey,

The comments that follow are regarding Docket ID NRC20130070.

We ask that the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) take no action that could lead to a restart of the San Onofre nuclear power plant before the Commission completes a comprehensive investigation and provides full opportunity for public participation and independent expert testimony.

Southern California Edison (SCE) is attempting to shortcut the license review process by calling on the NRC to make a fast-track restart decision. We and other environmental groups have joined with U.S. Senator Boxer and U.S. Representative Markey in urging the NRC not to follow such a course.

We were deeply disturbed when on April 10 the NRC staff disregarded numerous statements of concern and announced a "preliminary finding" that a San Onofre restart at 70% power posed no significant safety risk.

We join a wide range of concerned citizens and public officials in believing that a full and transparent review of the failed San Onofre generators is essential before the NRC considers any potential restart at either partial or full power of the failed generator.

We support Senator Boxer, Chair of the Environment and Public Works Committee, who stated on April 10, 2013: "The NRC staff proposal, which could pave the way for the restart of the San Onofre nuclear power plant before the investigations of the crippled plant are completed, is dangerous and premature."

Given the recent failure of tubes that carry radioactive water, speeding restart of San Onofre through a so-called "license amendment" that shortcuts procedures is totally inappropriate. SCE's request to weaken its license requirements was made despite evidence showing that there could be a significant hazard from the operation of the deficient steam generators.

Given the troubled history and current condition of the plant and the raised level of public concern, the public deserves a full review by the NRC of conditions at San Onofre before it considers a restart of either failed generator.

I support the call by the Sierra Club for the NRC to block any restart of the failed San Onofre generators at this time, and to conduct a comprehensive and open review process.

Thank you for your attention to these concerns.

Rob Gallinger
5053 Montezuma St
Los Angeles, CA 90042-3228

May 1, 2013

Ms Cindy Bladey
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, DC 20555-0001

Dear Ms Bladey,

The comments that follow are regarding Docket ID NRC20130070.

We ask that the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) take no action that could lead to a restart of the San Onofre nuclear power plant before the Commission completes a comprehensive investigation and provides full opportunity for public participation and independent expert testimony.

Southern California Edison (SCE) is attempting to shortcut the license review process by calling on the NRC to make a fast-track restart decision. We and other environmental groups have joined with U.S. Senator Boxer and U.S. Representative Markey in urging the NRC not to follow such a course.

We were deeply disturbed when on April 10 the NRC staff disregarded numerous statements of concern and announced a "preliminary finding" that a San Onofre restart at 70% power posed no significant safety risk.

We join a wide range of concerned citizens and public officials in believing that a full and transparent review of the failed San Onofre generators is essential before the NRC considers any potential restart at either partial or full power of the failed generator.

We support Senator Boxer, Chair of the Environment and Public Works Committee, who stated on April 10, 2013: "The NRC staff proposal, which could pave the way for the restart of the San Onofre nuclear power plant before the investigations of the crippled plant are completed, is dangerous and premature."

Given the recent failure of tubes that carry radioactive water, speeding restart of San Onofre through a so-called "license amendment" that shortcuts procedures is totally inappropriate. SCE's request to weaken its license requirements was made despite evidence showing that there could be a significant hazard from the operation of the deficient steam generators.

Given the troubled history and current condition of the plant and the raised level of public concern, the public deserves a full review by the NRC of conditions at San Onofre before it considers a restart of either failed generator.

I support the call by the Sierra Club for the NRC to block any restart of the failed San Onofre generators at this time, and to conduct a comprehensive and open review process.

Thank you for your attention to these concerns.

Charis Williams
25036 Sanoria St
Laguna Niguel, CA 92677-8812

May 1, 2013

Ms Cindy Bladey
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, DC 20555-0001

Dear Ms Bladey,

The comments that follow are regarding Docket ID NRC20130070.

We ask that the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) take no action that could lead to a restart of the San Onofre nuclear power plant before the Commission completes a comprehensive investigation and provides full opportunity for public participation and independent expert testimony.

Southern California Edison (SCE) is attempting to shortcut the license review process by calling on the NRC to make a fast-track restart decision. We and other environmental groups have joined with U.S. Senator Boxer and U.S. Representative Markey in urging the NRC not to follow such a course.

We were deeply disturbed when on April 10 the NRC staff disregarded numerous statements of concern and announced a "preliminary finding" that a San Onofre restart at 70% power posed no significant safety risk.

We join a wide range of concerned citizens and public officials in believing that a full and transparent review of the failed San Onofre generators is essential before the NRC considers any potential restart at either partial or full power of the failed generator.

We support Senator Boxer, Chair of the Environment and Public Works Committee, who stated on April 10, 2013: "The NRC staff proposal, which could pave the way for the restart of the San Onofre nuclear power plant before the investigations of the crippled plant are completed, is dangerous and premature."

Given the recent failure of tubes that carry radioactive water, speeding restart of San Onofre through a so-called "license amendment" that shortcuts procedures is totally inappropriate. SCE's request to weaken its license requirements was made despite evidence showing that there could be a significant hazard from the operation of the deficient steam generators.

Given the troubled history and current condition of the plant and the raised level of public concern, the public deserves a full review by the NRC of conditions at San Onofre before it considers a restart of either failed generator.

I support the call by the Sierra Club for the NRC to block any restart of the failed San Onofre generators at this time, and to conduct a comprehensive and open review process.

Thank you for your attention to these concerns.

Dorrit Ragosine
3855 Fredonia Dr
Los Angeles, CA 90068-1211

May 1, 2013

Ms Cindy Bladey
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, DC 20555-0001

Dear Ms Bladey,

The comments that follow are regarding Docket ID NRC20130070.

We ask that the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) take no action that could lead to a restart of the San Onofre nuclear power plant before the Commission completes a comprehensive investigation and provides full opportunity for public participation and independent expert testimony.

Southern California Edison (SCE) is attempting to shortcut the license review process by calling on the NRC to make a fast-track restart decision. We and other environmental groups have joined with U.S. Senator Boxer and U.S. Representative Markey in urging the NRC not to follow such a course.

We were deeply disturbed when on April 10 the NRC staff disregarded numerous statements of concern and announced a "preliminary finding" that a San Onofre restart at 70% power posed no significant safety risk.

We join a wide range of concerned citizens and public officials in believing that a full and transparent review of the failed San Onofre generators is essential before the NRC considers any potential restart at either partial or full power of the failed generator.

We support Senator Boxer, Chair of the Environment and Public Works Committee, who stated on April 10, 2013: "The NRC staff proposal, which could pave the way for the restart of the San Onofre nuclear power plant before the investigations of the crippled plant are completed, is dangerous and premature."

Given the recent failure of tubes that carry radioactive water, speeding restart of San Onofre through a so-called "license amendment" that shortcuts procedures is totally inappropriate. SCE's request to weaken its license requirements was made despite evidence showing that there could be a significant hazard from the operation of the deficient steam generators.

Given the troubled history and current condition of the plant and the raised level of public concern, the public deserves a full review by the NRC of conditions at San Onofre before it considers a restart of either failed generator.

I support the call by the Sierra Club for the NRC to block any restart of the failed San Onofre generators at this time, and to conduct a comprehensive and open review process.

Thank you for your attention to these concerns.

Jesus Jornacion
3460 S Centinela Ave Apt 407
Los Angeles, CA 90066-1800

May 1, 2013

Ms Cindy Bladey
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, DC 20555-0001

Dear Ms Bladey,

The comments that follow are regarding Docket ID NRC20130070.

We ask that the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) take no action that could lead to a restart of the San Onofre nuclear power plant before the Commission completes a comprehensive investigation and provides full opportunity for public participation and independent expert testimony.

Southern California Edison (SCE) is attempting to shortcut the license review process by calling on the NRC to make a fast-track restart decision. We and other environmental groups have joined with U.S. Senator Boxer and U.S. Representative Markey in urging the NRC not to follow such a course.

We were deeply disturbed when on April 10 the NRC staff disregarded numerous statements of concern and announced a "preliminary finding" that a San Onofre restart at 70% power posed no significant safety risk.

We join a wide range of concerned citizens and public officials in believing that a full and transparent review of the failed San Onofre generators is essential before the NRC considers any potential restart at either partial or full power of the failed generator.

We support Senator Boxer, Chair of the Environment and Public Works Committee, who stated on April 10, 2013: "The NRC staff proposal, which could pave the way for the restart of the San Onofre nuclear power plant before the investigations of the crippled plant are completed, is dangerous and premature."

Given the recent failure of tubes that carry radioactive water, speeding restart of San Onofre through a so-called "license amendment" that shortcuts procedures is totally inappropriate. SCE's request to weaken its license requirements was made despite evidence showing that there could be a significant hazard from the operation of the deficient steam generators.

Given the troubled history and current condition of the plant and the raised level of public concern, the public deserves a full review by the NRC of conditions at San Onofre before it considers a restart of either failed generator.

I support the call by the Sierra Club for the NRC to block any restart of the failed San Onofre generators at this time, and to conduct a comprehensive and open review process.

Thank you for your attention to these concerns.

Sara Snyder
8048 Willis Ave
Panorama City, CA 91402-5806

May 1, 2013

Ms Cindy Bladey
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, DC 20555-0001

Dear Ms Bladey,

The comments that follow are regarding Docket ID NRC20130070.

We ask that the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) take no action that could lead to a restart of the San Onofre nuclear power plant before the Commission completes a comprehensive investigation and provides full opportunity for public participation and independent expert testimony.

Southern California Edison (SCE) is attempting to shortcut the license review process by calling on the NRC to make a fast-track restart decision. We and other environmental groups have joined with U.S. Senator Boxer and U.S. Representative Markey in urging the NRC not to follow such a course.

We were deeply disturbed when on April 10 the NRC staff disregarded numerous statements of concern and announced a "preliminary finding" that a San Onofre restart at 70% power posed no significant safety risk.

We join a wide range of concerned citizens and public officials in believing that a full and transparent review of the failed San Onofre generators is essential before the NRC considers any potential restart at either partial or full power of the failed generator.

We support Senator Boxer, Chair of the Environment and Public Works Committee, who stated on April 10, 2013: "The NRC staff proposal, which could pave the way for the restart of the San Onofre nuclear power plant before the investigations of the crippled plant are completed, is dangerous and premature."

Given the recent failure of tubes that carry radioactive water, speeding restart of San Onofre through a so-called "license amendment" that shortcuts procedures is totally inappropriate. SCE's request to weaken its license requirements was made despite evidence showing that there could be a significant hazard from the operation of the deficient steam generators.

Given the troubled history and current condition of the plant and the raised level of public concern, the public deserves a full review by the NRC of conditions at San Onofre before it considers a restart of either failed generator.

I support the call by the Sierra Club for the NRC to block any restart of the failed San Onofre generators at this time, and to conduct a comprehensive and open review process.

Thank you for your attention to these concerns.

Marek Minecki
3208 Hackett Ave
Long Beach, CA 90808-4116

May 1, 2013

Ms Cindy Bladey
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, DC 20555-0001

Dear Ms Bladey,

The comments that follow are regarding Docket ID NRC20130070.

We ask that the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) take no action that could lead to a restart of the San Onofre nuclear power plant before the Commission completes a comprehensive investigation and provides full opportunity for public participation and independent expert testimony.

Southern California Edison (SCE) is attempting to shortcut the license review process by calling on the NRC to make a fast-track restart decision. We and other environmental groups have joined with U.S. Senator Boxer and U.S. Representative Markey in urging the NRC not to follow such a course.

We were deeply disturbed when on April 10 the NRC staff disregarded numerous statements of concern and announced a "preliminary finding" that a San Onofre restart at 70% power posed no significant safety risk.

We join a wide range of concerned citizens and public officials in believing that a full and transparent review of the failed San Onofre generators is essential before the NRC considers any potential restart at either partial or full power of the failed generator.

We support Senator Boxer, Chair of the Environment and Public Works Committee, who stated on April 10, 2013: "The NRC staff proposal, which could pave the way for the restart of the San Onofre nuclear power plant before the investigations of the crippled plant are completed, is dangerous and premature."

Given the recent failure of tubes that carry radioactive water, speeding restart of San Onofre through a so-called "license amendment" that shortcuts procedures is totally inappropriate. SCE's request to weaken its license requirements was made despite evidence showing that there could be a significant hazard from the operation of the deficient steam generators.

Solar, wind and geothermal offer safe, affordable options. Germany had the good sense, after the Japan nuclear disaster to close their plants permanently. Let's do the same.

Thank you for your attention to these concerns.

Jeanette Vosburg
4124 East Blvd
Los Angeles, CA 90066-4610

May 1, 2013

Ms Cindy Bladey
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, DC 20555-0001

Dear Ms Bladey,

The comments that follow are regarding Docket ID NRC20130070.

We ask that the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) take no action that could lead to a restart of the San Onofre nuclear power plant before the Commission completes a comprehensive investigation and provides full opportunity for public participation and independent expert testimony.

Southern California Edison (SCE) is attempting to shortcut the license review process by calling on the NRC to make a fast-track restart decision. We and other environmental groups have joined with U.S. Senator Boxer and U.S. Representative Markey in urging the NRC not to follow such a course.

We were deeply disturbed when on April 10 the NRC staff disregarded numerous statements of concern and announced a "preliminary finding" that a San Onofre restart at 70% power posed no significant safety risk.

We join a wide range of concerned citizens and public officials in believing that a full and transparent review of the failed San Onofre generators is essential before the NRC considers any potential restart at either partial or full power of the failed generator.

We support Senator Boxer, Chair of the Environment and Public Works Committee, who stated on April 10, 2013: "The NRC staff proposal, which could pave the way for the restart of the San Onofre nuclear power plant before the investigations of the crippled plant are completed, is dangerous and premature."

Given the recent failure of tubes that carry radioactive water, speeding restart of San Onofre through a so-called "license amendment" that shortcuts procedures is totally inappropriate. SCE's request to weaken its license requirements was made despite evidence showing that there could be a significant hazard from the operation of the deficient steam generators.

Given the troubled history and current condition of the plant and the raised level of public concern, the public deserves a full review by the NRC of conditions at San Onofre before it considers a restart of either failed generator.

I support the call by the Sierra Club for the NRC to block any restart of the failed San Onofre generators at this time, and to conduct a comprehensive and open review process.

Thank you for your attention to these concerns.

Roberta Kansteiner
190 Canyon Acres Dr
Laguna Beach, CA 92651-1104

May 1, 2013

Ms Cindy Bladey
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, DC 20555-0001

Dear Ms Bladey,

The comments that follow are regarding Docket ID NRC20130070.

We ask that the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) take no action that could lead to a restart of the San Onofre nuclear power plant before the Commission completes a comprehensive investigation and provides full opportunity for public participation and independent expert testimony.

Southern California Edison (SCE) is attempting to shortcut the license review process by calling on the NRC to make a fast-track restart decision. We and other environmental groups have joined with U.S. Senator Boxer and U.S. Representative Markey in urging the NRC not to follow such a course.

We were deeply disturbed when on April 10 the NRC staff disregarded numerous statements of concern and announced a "preliminary finding" that a San Onofre restart at 70% power posed no significant safety risk.

We join a wide range of concerned citizens and public officials in believing that a full and transparent review of the failed San Onofre generators is essential before the NRC considers any potential restart at either partial or full power of the failed generator.

We support Senator Boxer, Chair of the Environment and Public Works Committee, who stated on April 10, 2013: "The NRC staff proposal, which could pave the way for the restart of the San Onofre nuclear power plant before the investigations of the crippled plant are completed, is dangerous and premature."

Given the recent failure of tubes that carry radioactive water, speeding restart of San Onofre through a so-called "license amendment" that shortcuts procedures is totally inappropriate. SCE's request to weaken its license requirements was made despite evidence showing that there could be a significant hazard from the operation of the deficient steam generators.

Given the troubled history and current condition of the plant and the raised level of public concern, the public deserves a full review by the NRC of conditions at San Onofre before it considers a restart of either failed generator.

I support the call by the Sierra Club for the NRC to block any restart of the failed San Onofre generators at this time, and to conduct a comprehensive and open review process.

Thank you for your attention to these concerns.

Jim Somberg
31388 W Nine Dr
Laguna Niguel, CA 92677-2949

May 1, 2013

Ms Cindy Bladey
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, DC 20555-0001

Dear Ms Bladey,

The comments that follow are regarding Docket ID NRC20130070.

We ask that the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) take no action that could lead to a restart of the San Onofre nuclear power plant before the Commission completes a comprehensive investigation and provides full opportunity for public participation and independent expert testimony.

Southern California Edison (SCE) is attempting to shortcut the license review process by calling on the NRC to make a fast-track restart decision. We and other environmental groups have joined with U.S. Senator Boxer and U.S. Representative Markey in urging the NRC not to follow such a course.

We were deeply disturbed when on April 10 the NRC staff disregarded numerous statements of concern and announced a "preliminary finding" that a San Onofre restart at 70% power posed no significant safety risk.

We join a wide range of concerned citizens and public officials in believing that a full and transparent review of the failed San Onofre generators is essential before the NRC considers any potential restart at either partial or full power of the failed generator.

We support Senator Boxer, Chair of the Environment and Public Works Committee, who stated on April 10, 2013: "The NRC staff proposal, which could pave the way for the restart of the San Onofre nuclear power plant before the investigations of the crippled plant are completed, is dangerous and premature."

Given the recent failure of tubes that carry radioactive water, speeding restart of San Onofre through a so-called "license amendment" that shortcuts procedures is totally inappropriate. SCE's request to weaken its license requirements was made despite evidence showing that there could be a significant hazard from the operation of the deficient steam generators.

Given the troubled history and current condition of the plant and the raised level of public concern, the public deserves a full review by the NRC of conditions at San Onofre before it considers a restart of either failed generator.

I support the call by the Sierra Club for the NRC to block any restart of the failed San Onofre generators at this time, and to conduct a comprehensive and open review process.

Thank you for your attention to these concerns.

Trina Aurin
19 Massier Ln
Foothill Ranch, CA 92610-2305

May 1, 2013

Ms Cindy Bladey
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, DC 20555-0001

Dear Ms Bladey,

The comments that follow are regarding Docket ID NRC20130070.

We ask that the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) take no action that could lead to a restart of the San Onofre nuclear power plant before the Commission completes a comprehensive investigation and provides full opportunity for public participation and independent expert testimony.

Southern California Edison (SCE) is attempting to shortcut the license review process by calling on the NRC to make a fast-track restart decision. We and other environmental groups have joined with U.S. Senator Boxer and U.S. Representative Markey in urging the NRC not to follow such a course.

We were deeply disturbed when on April 10 the NRC staff disregarded numerous statements of concern and announced a "preliminary finding" that a San Onofre restart at 70% power posed no significant safety risk.

We join a wide range of concerned citizens and public officials in believing that a full and transparent review of the failed San Onofre generators is essential before the NRC considers any potential restart at either partial or full power of the failed generator.

We support Senator Boxer, Chair of the Environment and Public Works Committee, who stated on April 10, 2013: "The NRC staff proposal, which could pave the way for the restart of the San Onofre nuclear power plant before the investigations of the crippled plant are completed, is dangerous and premature."

Given the recent failure of tubes that carry radioactive water, speeding restart of San Onofre through a so-called "license amendment" that shortcuts procedures is totally inappropriate. SCE's request to weaken its license requirements was made despite evidence showing that there could be a significant hazard from the operation of the deficient steam generators.

Given the troubled history and current condition of the plant and the raised level of public concern, the public deserves a full review by the NRC of conditions at San Onofre before it considers a restart of either failed generator.

I support the call by the Sierra Club for the NRC to block any restart of the failed San Onofre generators at this time, and to conduct a comprehensive and open review process.

Thank you for your attention to these concerns.

Sally Scott
12552 Hinton Way
Santa Ana, CA 92705-1499

May 1, 2013

Ms Cindy Bladey
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, DC 20555-0001

Dear Ms Bladey,

The comments that follow are regarding Docket ID NRC20130070.

We ask that the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) take no action that could lead to a restart of the San Onofre nuclear power plant before the Commission completes a comprehensive investigation and provides full opportunity for public participation and independent expert testimony.

Southern California Edison (SCE) is attempting to shortcut the license review process by calling on the NRC to make a fast-track restart decision. We and other environmental groups have joined with U.S. Senator Boxer and U.S. Representative Markey in urging the NRC not to follow such a course.

We were deeply disturbed when on April 10 the NRC staff disregarded numerous statements of concern and announced a "preliminary finding" that a San Onofre restart at 70% power posed no significant safety risk.

We join a wide range of concerned citizens and public officials in believing that a full and transparent review of the failed San Onofre generators is essential before the NRC considers any potential restart at either partial or full power of the failed generator.

We support Senator Boxer, Chair of the Environment and Public Works Committee, who stated on April 10, 2013: "The NRC staff proposal, which could pave the way for the restart of the San Onofre nuclear power plant before the investigations of the crippled plant are completed, is dangerous and premature."

Given the recent failure of tubes that carry radioactive water, speeding restart of San Onofre through a so-called "license amendment" that shortcuts procedures is totally inappropriate. SCE's request to weaken its license requirements was made despite evidence showing that there could be a significant hazard from the operation of the deficient steam generators.

Given the troubled history and current condition of the plant and the raised level of public concern, the public deserves a full review by the NRC of conditions at San Onofre before it considers a restart of either failed generator.

I support the call by the Sierra Club for the NRC to block any restart of the failed San Onofre generators at this time, and to conduct a comprehensive and open review process.

Thank you for your attention to these concerns.

Cathy Mccann
5 Via Lantana
Rancho Santa Margarita, CA 92688-5427

May 1, 2013

Ms Cindy Bladey
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, DC 20555-0001

Dear Ms Bladey,

The comments that follow are regarding Docket ID NRC20130070.

We ask that the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) take no action that could lead to a restart of the San Onofre nuclear power plant before the Commission completes a comprehensive investigation and provides full opportunity for public participation and independent expert testimony.

Southern California Edison (SCE) is attempting to shortcut the license review process by calling on the NRC to make a fast-track restart decision. We and other environmental groups have joined with U.S. Senator Boxer and U.S. Representative Markey in urging the NRC not to follow such a course.

We were deeply disturbed when on April 10 the NRC staff disregarded numerous statements of concern and announced a "preliminary finding" that a San Onofre restart at 70% power posed no significant safety risk.

We join a wide range of concerned citizens and public officials in believing that a full and transparent review of the failed San Onofre generators is essential before the NRC considers any potential restart at either partial or full power of the failed generator.

We support Senator Boxer, Chair of the Environment and Public Works Committee, who stated on April 10, 2013: "The NRC staff proposal, which could pave the way for the restart of the San Onofre nuclear power plant before the investigations of the crippled plant are completed, is dangerous and premature."

Given the recent failure of tubes that carry radioactive water, speeding restart of San Onofre through a so-called "license amendment" that shortcuts procedures is totally inappropriate. SCE's request to weaken its license requirements was made despite evidence showing that there could be a significant hazard from the operation of the deficient steam generators.

Given the troubled history and current condition of the plant and the raised level of public concern, the public deserves a full review by the NRC of conditions at San Onofre before it considers a restart of either failed generator.

I support the call by the Sierra Club for the NRC to block any restart of the failed San Onofre generators at this time, and to conduct a comprehensive and open review process.

Thank you for your attention to these concerns.

Marion Elliott
1405 Randall St
Glendale, CA 91201-2725

May 1, 2013

Ms Cindy Bladey
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, DC 20555-0001

Dear Ms Bladey,

The comments that follow are regarding Docket ID NRC20130070.

We ask that the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) take no action that could lead to a restart of the San Onofre nuclear power plant before the Commission completes a comprehensive investigation and provides full opportunity for public participation and independent expert testimony.

Southern California Edison (SCE) is attempting to shortcut the license review process by calling on the NRC to make a fast-track restart decision. We and other environmental groups have joined with U.S. Senator Boxer and U.S. Representative Markey in urging the NRC not to follow such a course.

We were deeply disturbed when on April 10 the NRC staff disregarded numerous statements of concern and announced a "preliminary finding" that a San Onofre restart at 70% power posed no significant safety risk.

We join a wide range of concerned citizens and public officials in believing that a full and transparent review of the failed San Onofre generators is essential before the NRC considers any potential restart at either partial or full power of the failed generator.

We support Senator Boxer, Chair of the Environment and Public Works Committee, who stated on April 10, 2013: "The NRC staff proposal, which could pave the way for the restart of the San Onofre nuclear power plant before the investigations of the crippled plant are completed, is dangerous and premature."

Given the recent failure of tubes that carry radioactive water, speeding restart of San Onofre through a so-called "license amendment" that shortcuts procedures is totally inappropriate. SCE's request to weaken its license requirements was made despite evidence showing that there could be a significant hazard from the operation of the deficient steam generators.

Given the troubled history and current condition of the plant and the raised level of public concern, the public deserves a full review by the NRC of conditions at San Onofre before it considers a restart of either failed generator.

I support the call by the Sierra Club for the NRC to block any restart of the failed San Onofre generators at this time, and to conduct a comprehensive and open review process.

Thank you for your attention to these concerns.

Richard Oliver
27082 Calle Dolores
Capistrano Beach, CA 92624-1612

May 1, 2013

Ms Cindy Bladey
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, DC 20555-0001

Dear Ms Bladey,

The comments that follow are regarding Docket ID NRC20130070.

We ask that the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) take no action that could lead to a restart of the San Onofre nuclear power plant before the Commission completes a comprehensive investigation and provides full opportunity for public participation and independent expert testimony.

Southern California Edison (SCE) is attempting to shortcut the license review process by calling on the NRC to make a fast-track restart decision. We and other environmental groups have joined with U.S. Senator Boxer and U.S. Representative Markey in urging the NRC not to follow such a course.

We were deeply disturbed when on April 10 the NRC staff disregarded numerous statements of concern and announced a "preliminary finding" that a San Onofre restart at 70% power posed no significant safety risk.

We join a wide range of concerned citizens and public officials in believing that a full and transparent review of the failed San Onofre generators is essential before the NRC considers any potential restart at either partial or full power of the failed generator.

We support Senator Boxer, Chair of the Environment and Public Works Committee, who stated on April 10, 2013: "The NRC staff proposal, which could pave the way for the restart of the San Onofre nuclear power plant before the investigations of the crippled plant are completed, is dangerous and premature."

Given the recent failure of tubes that carry radioactive water, speeding restart of San Onofre through a so-called "license amendment" that shortcuts procedures is totally inappropriate. SCE's request to weaken its license requirements was made despite evidence showing that there could be a significant hazard from the operation of the deficient steam generators.

Given the troubled history and current condition of the plant and the raised level of public concern, the public deserves a full review by the NRC of conditions at San Onofre before it considers a restart of either failed generator.

I support the call by the Sierra Club for the NRC to block any restart of the failed San Onofre generators at this time, and to conduct a comprehensive and open review process.

Thank you for your attention to these concerns.

Jason Hashimoto
4058 Michael Ave
Los Angeles, CA 90066-5116

May 1, 2013

Ms Cindy Bladey
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, DC 20555-0001

Dear Ms Bladey,

The comments that follow are regarding Docket ID NRC20130070.

We ask that the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) take no action that could lead to a restart of the San Onofre nuclear power plant before the Commission completes a comprehensive investigation and provides full opportunity for public participation and independent expert testimony.

Southern California Edison (SCE) is attempting to shortcut the license review process by calling on the NRC to make a fast-track restart decision. We and other environmental groups have joined with U.S. Senator Boxer and U.S. Representative Markey in urging the NRC not to follow such a course.

We were deeply disturbed when on April 10 the NRC staff disregarded numerous statements of concern and announced a "preliminary finding" that a San Onofre restart at 70% power posed no significant safety risk.

We join a wide range of concerned citizens and public officials in believing that a full and transparent review of the failed San Onofre generators is essential before the NRC considers any potential restart at either partial or full power of the failed generator.

We support Senator Boxer, Chair of the Environment and Public Works Committee, who stated on April 10, 2013: "The NRC staff proposal, which could pave the way for the restart of the San Onofre nuclear power plant before the investigations of the crippled plant are completed, is dangerous and premature."

Given the recent failure of tubes that carry radioactive water, speeding restart of San Onofre through a so-called "license amendment" that shortcuts procedures is totally inappropriate. SCE's request to weaken its license requirements was made despite evidence showing that there could be a significant hazard from the operation of the deficient steam generators.

Given the troubled history and current condition of the plant and the raised level of public concern, the public deserves a full review by the NRC of conditions at San Onofre before it considers a restart of either failed generator.

I support the call by the Sierra Club for the NRC to block any restart of the failed San Onofre generators at this time, and to conduct a comprehensive and open review process.

Thank you for your attention to these concerns.

Leigh Adams
1045 Dolores Dr
Altadena, CA 91001-1742

May 1, 2013

Ms Cindy Bladey
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, DC 20555-0001

Dear Ms Bladey,

The comments that follow are regarding Docket ID NRC20130070.

We ask that the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) take no action that could lead to a restart of the San Onofre nuclear power plant before the Commission completes a comprehensive investigation and provides full opportunity for public participation and independent expert testimony.

Southern California Edison (SCE) is attempting to shortcut the license review process by calling on the NRC to make a fast-track restart decision. We and other environmental groups have joined with U.S. Senator Boxer and U.S. Representative Markey in urging the NRC not to follow such a course.

We were deeply disturbed when on April 10 the NRC staff disregarded numerous statements of concern and announced a "preliminary finding" that a San Onofre restart at 70% power posed no significant safety risk.

We join a wide range of concerned citizens and public officials in believing that a full and transparent review of the failed San Onofre generators is essential before the NRC considers any potential restart at either partial or full power of the failed generator.

We support Senator Boxer, Chair of the Environment and Public Works Committee, who stated on April 10, 2013: "The NRC staff proposal, which could pave the way for the restart of the San Onofre nuclear power plant before the investigations of the crippled plant are completed, is dangerous and premature."

Given the recent failure of tubes that carry radioactive water, speeding restart of San Onofre through a so-called "license amendment" that shortcuts procedures is totally inappropriate. SCE's request to weaken its license requirements was made despite evidence showing that there could be a significant hazard from the operation of the deficient steam generators.

Given the troubled history and current condition of the plant and the raised level of public concern, the public deserves a full review by the NRC of conditions at San Onofre before it considers a restart of either failed generator.

I support the call by the Sierra Club for the NRC to block any restart of the failed San Onofre generators at this time, and to conduct a comprehensive and open review process.

Thank you for your attention to these concerns.

Katherine Doberne
20253 Keswick St Apt 329
Winnetka, CA 91306-4432

May 1, 2013

Ms Cindy Bladey
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, DC 20555-0001

Dear Ms Bladey,

The comments that follow are regarding Docket ID NRC20130070.

We ask that the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) take no action that could lead to a restart of the San Onofre nuclear power plant before the Commission completes a comprehensive investigation and provides full opportunity for public participation and independent expert testimony.

Southern California Edison (SCE) is attempting to shortcut the license review process by calling on the NRC to make a fast-track restart decision. We and other environmental groups have joined with U.S. Senator Boxer and U.S. Representative Markey in urging the NRC not to follow such a course.

We were deeply disturbed when on April 10 the NRC staff disregarded numerous statements of concern and announced a "preliminary finding" that a San Onofre restart at 70% power posed no significant safety risk.

We join a wide range of concerned citizens and public officials in believing that a full and transparent review of the failed San Onofre generators is essential before the NRC considers any potential restart at either partial or full power of the failed generator.

We support Senator Boxer, Chair of the Environment and Public Works Committee, who stated on April 10, 2013: "The NRC staff proposal, which could pave the way for the restart of the San Onofre nuclear power plant before the investigations of the crippled plant are completed, is dangerous and premature."

Given the recent failure of tubes that carry radioactive water, speeding restart of San Onofre through a so-called "license amendment" that shortcuts procedures is totally inappropriate. SCE's request to weaken its license requirements was made despite evidence showing that there could be a significant hazard from the operation of the deficient steam generators.

Given the troubled history and current condition of the plant and the raised level of public concern, the public deserves a full review by the NRC of conditions at San Onofre before it considers a restart of either failed generator.

I support the call by the Sierra Club for the NRC to block any restart of the failed San Onofre generators at this time, and to conduct a comprehensive and open review process.

Thank you for your attention to these concerns.

Adam Makhluf
1433 S Bentley Ave
Los Angeles, CA 90025-3406

May 1, 2013

Ms Cindy Bladey
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, DC 20555-0001

Dear Ms Bladey,

The comments that follow are regarding Docket ID NRC20130070.

We ask that the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) take no action that could lead to a restart of the San Onofre nuclear power plant before the Commission completes a comprehensive investigation and provides full opportunity for public participation and independent expert testimony.

Southern California Edison (SCE) is attempting to shortcut the license review process by calling on the NRC to make a fast-track restart decision. We and other environmental groups have joined with U.S. Senator Boxer and U.S. Representative Markey in urging the NRC not to follow such a course.

We were deeply disturbed when on April 10 the NRC staff disregarded numerous statements of concern and announced a "preliminary finding" that a San Onofre restart at 70% power posed no significant safety risk.

We join a wide range of concerned citizens and public officials in believing that a full and transparent review of the failed San Onofre generators is essential before the NRC considers any potential restart at either partial or full power of the failed generator.

We support Senator Boxer, Chair of the Environment and Public Works Committee, who stated on April 10, 2013: "The NRC staff proposal, which could pave the way for the restart of the San Onofre nuclear power plant before the investigations of the crippled plant are completed, is dangerous and premature."

Given the recent failure of tubes that carry radioactive water, speeding restart of San Onofre through a so-called "license amendment" that shortcuts procedures is totally inappropriate. SCE's request to weaken its license requirements was made despite evidence showing that there could be a significant hazard from the operation of the deficient steam generators.

Given the troubled history and current condition of the plant and the raised level of public concern, the public deserves a full review by the NRC of conditions at San Onofre before it considers a restart of either failed generator.

I support the call by the Sierra Club for the NRC to block any restart of the failed San Onofre generators at this time, and to conduct a comprehensive and open review process.

Thank you for your attention to these concerns.

Michael Adams
2920 W 7th St Apt 15
Los Angeles, CA 90005-3936

May 1, 2013

Ms Cindy Bladey
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, DC 20555-0001

Dear Ms Bladey,

The comments that follow are regarding Docket ID NRC20130070.

We ask that the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) take no action that could lead to a restart of the San Onofre nuclear power plant before the Commission completes a comprehensive investigation and provides full opportunity for public participation and independent expert testimony.

Southern California Edison (SCE) is attempting to shortcut the license review process by calling on the NRC to make a fast-track restart decision. We and other environmental groups have joined with U.S. Senator Boxer and U.S. Representative Markey in urging the NRC not to follow such a course.

We were deeply disturbed when on April 10 the NRC staff disregarded numerous statements of concern and announced a "preliminary finding" that a San Onofre restart at 70% power posed no significant safety risk.

We join a wide range of concerned citizens and public officials in believing that a full and transparent review of the failed San Onofre generators is essential before the NRC considers any potential restart at either partial or full power of the failed generator.

We support Senator Boxer, Chair of the Environment and Public Works Committee, who stated on April 10, 2013: "The NRC staff proposal, which could pave the way for the restart of the San Onofre nuclear power plant before the investigations of the crippled plant are completed, is dangerous and premature."

Given the recent failure of tubes that carry radioactive water, speeding restart of San Onofre through a so-called "license amendment" that shortcuts procedures is totally inappropriate. SCE's request to weaken its license requirements was made despite evidence showing that there could be a significant hazard from the operation of the deficient steam generators.

Given the troubled history and current condition of the plant and the raised level of public concern, the public deserves a full review by the NRC of conditions at San Onofre before it considers a restart of either failed generator.

I support the call by the Sierra Club for the NRC to block any restart of the failed San Onofre generators at this time, and to conduct a comprehensive and open review process.

Thank you for your attention to these concerns.

Judith Schwafel
4043 Meier St
Los Angeles, CA 90066-5719

May 1, 2013

Ms Cindy Bladey
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, DC 20555-0001

Dear Ms Bladey,

The comments that follow are regarding Docket ID NRC20130070.

We ask that the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) take no action that could lead to a restart of the San Onofre nuclear power plant before the Commission completes a comprehensive investigation and provides full opportunity for public participation and independent expert testimony.

Southern California Edison (SCE) is attempting to shortcut the license review process by calling on the NRC to make a fast-track restart decision. We and other environmental groups have joined with U.S. Senator Boxer and U.S. Representative Markey in urging the NRC not to follow such a course.

We were deeply disturbed when on April 10 the NRC staff disregarded numerous statements of concern and announced a "preliminary finding" that a San Onofre restart at 70% power posed no significant safety risk.

We join a wide range of concerned citizens and public officials in believing that a full and transparent review of the failed San Onofre generators is essential before the NRC considers any potential restart at either partial or full power of the failed generator.

We support Senator Boxer, Chair of the Environment and Public Works Committee, who stated on April 10, 2013: "The NRC staff proposal, which could pave the way for the restart of the San Onofre nuclear power plant before the investigations of the crippled plant are completed, is dangerous and premature."

Given the recent failure of tubes that carry radioactive water, speeding restart of San Onofre through a so-called "license amendment" that shortcuts procedures is totally inappropriate. SCE's request to weaken its license requirements was made despite evidence showing that there could be a significant hazard from the operation of the deficient steam generators.

Given the troubled history and current condition of the plant and the raised level of public concern, the public deserves a full review by the NRC of conditions at San Onofre before it considers a restart of either failed generator.

I support the call by the Sierra Club for the NRC to block any restart of the failed San Onofre generators at this time, and to conduct a comprehensive and open review process.

Thank you for your attention to these concerns.

Rolf Mauermann
3231 Brookhill St
La Crescenta, CA 91214-1202

May 1, 2013

Ms Cindy Bladey
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, DC 20555-0001

Dear Ms Bladey,

The comments that follow are regarding Docket ID NRC20130070.

We ask that the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) take no action that could lead to a restart of the San Onofre nuclear power plant before the Commission completes a comprehensive investigation and provides full opportunity for public participation and independent expert testimony.

Southern California Edison (SCE) is attempting to shortcut the license review process by calling on the NRC to make a fast-track restart decision. We and other environmental groups have joined with U.S. Senator Boxer and U.S. Representative Markey in urging the NRC not to follow such a course.

We were deeply disturbed when on April 10 the NRC staff disregarded numerous statements of concern and announced a "preliminary finding" that a San Onofre restart at 70% power posed no significant safety risk.

We join a wide range of concerned citizens and public officials in believing that a full and transparent review of the failed San Onofre generators is essential before the NRC considers any potential restart at either partial or full power of the failed generator.

We support Senator Boxer, Chair of the Environment and Public Works Committee, who stated on April 10, 2013: "The NRC staff proposal, which could pave the way for the restart of the San Onofre nuclear power plant before the investigations of the crippled plant are completed, is dangerous and premature."

Given the recent failure of tubes that carry radioactive water, speeding restart of San Onofre through a so-called "license amendment" that shortcuts procedures is totally inappropriate. SCE's request to weaken its license requirements was made despite evidence showing that there could be a significant hazard from the operation of the deficient steam generators.

Given the troubled history and current condition of the plant and the raised level of public concern, the public deserves a full review by the NRC of conditions at San Onofre before it considers a restart of either failed generator.

I support the call by the Sierra Club for the NRC to block any restart of the failed San Onofre generators at this time, and to conduct a comprehensive and open review process.

Thank you for your attention to these concerns.

Jered Cargman
2125 Dellwood Ln
Los Angeles, CA 90077-2407

May 1, 2013

Ms Cindy Bladey
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, DC 20555-0001

Dear Ms Bladey,

The comments that follow are regarding Docket ID NRC20130070.

We ask that the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) take no action that could lead to a restart of the San Onofre nuclear power plant before the Commission completes a comprehensive investigation and provides full opportunity for public participation and independent expert testimony.

Southern California Edison (SCE) is attempting to shortcut the license review process by calling on the NRC to make a fast-track restart decision. We and other environmental groups have joined with U.S. Senator Boxer and U.S. Representative Markey in urging the NRC not to follow such a course.

We were deeply disturbed when on April 10 the NRC staff disregarded numerous statements of concern and announced a "preliminary finding" that a San Onofre restart at 70% power posed no significant safety risk.

We join a wide range of concerned citizens and public officials in believing that a full and transparent review of the failed San Onofre generators is essential before the NRC considers any potential restart at either partial or full power of the failed generator.

We support Senator Boxer, Chair of the Environment and Public Works Committee, who stated on April 10, 2013: "The NRC staff proposal, which could pave the way for the restart of the San Onofre nuclear power plant before the investigations of the crippled plant are completed, is dangerous and premature."

Given the recent failure of tubes that carry radioactive water, speeding restart of San Onofre through a so-called "license amendment" that shortcuts procedures is totally inappropriate. SCE's request to weaken its license requirements was made despite evidence showing that there could be a significant hazard from the operation of the deficient steam generators.

Given the troubled history and current condition of the plant and the raised level of public concern, the public deserves a full review by the NRC of conditions at San Onofre before it considers a restart of either failed generator.

I support the call by the Sierra Club for the NRC to block any restart of the failed San Onofre generators at this time, and to conduct a comprehensive and open review process.

Thank you for your attention to these concerns.

Howard Prouty
15401 Beach Blvd # 232
Westminster, CA 92683-6209

May 1, 2013

Ms Cindy Bladey
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, DC 20555-0001

Dear Ms Bladey,

The comments that follow are regarding Docket ID NRC20130070.

We ask that the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) take no action that could lead to a restart of the San Onofre nuclear power plant before the Commission completes a comprehensive investigation and provides full opportunity for public participation and independent expert testimony.

Southern California Edison (SCE) is attempting to shortcut the license review process by calling on the NRC to make a fast-track restart decision. We and other environmental groups have joined with U.S. Senator Boxer and U.S. Representative Markey in urging the NRC not to follow such a course.

We were deeply disturbed when on April 10 the NRC staff disregarded numerous statements of concern and announced a "preliminary finding" that a San Onofre restart at 70% power posed no significant safety risk.

We join a wide range of concerned citizens and public officials in believing that a full and transparent review of the failed San Onofre generators is essential before the NRC considers any potential restart at either partial or full power of the failed generator.

We support Senator Boxer, Chair of the Environment and Public Works Committee, who stated on April 10, 2013: "The NRC staff proposal, which could pave the way for the restart of the San Onofre nuclear power plant before the investigations of the crippled plant are completed, is dangerous and premature."

Given the recent failure of tubes that carry radioactive water, speeding restart of San Onofre through a so-called "license amendment" that shortcuts procedures is totally inappropriate. SCE's request to weaken its license requirements was made despite evidence showing that there could be a significant hazard from the operation of the deficient steam generators.

Given the troubled history and current condition of the plant and the raised level of public concern, the public deserves a full review by the NRC of conditions at San Onofre before it considers a restart of either failed generator.

I support the call by the Sierra Club for the NRC to block any restart of the failed San Onofre generators at this time, and to conduct a comprehensive and open review process.

Thank you for your attention to these concerns.

Jonathan Carpenter
1305 W Chandler Blvd
Burbank, CA 91506-1614

May 1, 2013

Ms Cindy Bladey
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, DC 20555-0001

Dear Ms Bladey,

The comments that follow are regarding Docket ID NRC20130070.

We ask that the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) take no action that could lead to a restart of the San Onofre nuclear power plant before the Commission completes a comprehensive investigation and provides full opportunity for public participation and independent expert testimony.

Southern California Edison (SCE) is attempting to shortcut the license review process by calling on the NRC to make a fast-track restart decision. We and other environmental groups have joined with U.S. Senator Boxer and U.S. Representative Markey in urging the NRC not to follow such a course.

We were deeply disturbed when on April 10 the NRC staff disregarded numerous statements of concern and announced a "preliminary finding" that a San Onofre restart at 70% power posed no significant safety risk.

We join a wide range of concerned citizens and public officials in believing that a full and transparent review of the failed San Onofre generators is essential before the NRC considers any potential restart at either partial or full power of the failed generator.

We support Senator Boxer, Chair of the Environment and Public Works Committee, who stated on April 10, 2013: "The NRC staff proposal, which could pave the way for the restart of the San Onofre nuclear power plant before the investigations of the crippled plant are completed, is dangerous and premature."

Given the recent failure of tubes that carry radioactive water, speeding restart of San Onofre through a so-called "license amendment" that shortcuts procedures is totally inappropriate. SCE's request to weaken its license requirements was made despite evidence showing that there could be a significant hazard from the operation of the deficient steam generators.

Given the troubled history and current condition of the plant and the raised level of public concern, the public deserves a full review by the NRC of conditions at San Onofre before it considers a restart of either failed generator.

I support the call by the Sierra Club for the NRC to block any restart of the failed San Onofre generators at this time, and to conduct a comprehensive and open review process.

Thank you for your attention to these concerns.

Christine Bryant
230 Bethany Rd Apt 302
Burbank, CA 91504-4263

May 1, 2013

Ms Cindy Bladey
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, DC 20555-0001

Dear Ms Bladey,

The comments that follow are regarding Docket ID NRC20130070.

We ask that the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) take no action that could lead to a restart of the San Onofre nuclear power plant before the Commission completes a comprehensive investigation and provides full opportunity for public participation and independent expert testimony.

Southern California Edison (SCE) is attempting to shortcut the license review process by calling on the NRC to make a fast-track restart decision. We and other environmental groups have joined with U.S. Senator Boxer and U.S. Representative Markey in urging the NRC not to follow such a course.

We were deeply disturbed when on April 10 the NRC staff disregarded numerous statements of concern and announced a "preliminary finding" that a San Onofre restart at 70% power posed no significant safety risk.

We join a wide range of concerned citizens and public officials in believing that a full and transparent review of the failed San Onofre generators is essential before the NRC considers any potential restart at either partial or full power of the failed generator.

We support Senator Boxer, Chair of the Environment and Public Works Committee, who stated on April 10, 2013: "The NRC staff proposal, which could pave the way for the restart of the San Onofre nuclear power plant before the investigations of the crippled plant are completed, is dangerous and premature."

Given the recent failure of tubes that carry radioactive water, speeding restart of San Onofre through a so-called "license amendment" that shortcuts procedures is totally inappropriate. SCE's request to weaken its license requirements was made despite evidence showing that there could be a significant hazard from the operation of the deficient steam generators.

Given the troubled history and current condition of the plant and the raised level of public concern, the public deserves a full review by the NRC of conditions at San Onofre before it considers a restart of either failed generator.

I support the call by the Sierra Club for the NRC to block any restart of the failed San Onofre generators at this time, and to conduct a comprehensive and open review process.

Thank you for your attention to these concerns.

Joanne Watchie
330 Cordova St Unit 376
Pasadena, CA 91101-4663

May 1, 2013

Ms Cindy Bladey
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, DC 20555-0001

Dear Ms Bladey,

The comments that follow are regarding Docket ID NRC20130070.

We ask that the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) take no action that could lead to a restart of the San Onofre nuclear power plant before the Commission completes a comprehensive investigation and provides full opportunity for public participation and independent expert testimony.

Southern California Edison (SCE) is attempting to shortcut the license review process by calling on the NRC to make a fast-track restart decision. We and other environmental groups have joined with U.S. Senator Boxer and U.S. Representative Markey in urging the NRC not to follow such a course.

We were deeply disturbed when on April 10 the NRC staff disregarded numerous statements of concern and announced a "preliminary finding" that a San Onofre restart at 70% power posed no significant safety risk.

We join a wide range of concerned citizens and public officials in believing that a full and transparent review of the failed San Onofre generators is essential before the NRC considers any potential restart at either partial or full power of the failed generator.

We support Senator Boxer, Chair of the Environment and Public Works Committee, who stated on April 10, 2013: "The NRC staff proposal, which could pave the way for the restart of the San Onofre nuclear power plant before the investigations of the crippled plant are completed, is dangerous and premature."

Given the recent failure of tubes that carry radioactive water, speeding restart of San Onofre through a so-called "license amendment" that shortcuts procedures is totally inappropriate. SCE's request to weaken its license requirements was made despite evidence showing that there could be a significant hazard from the operation of the deficient steam generators.

Given the troubled history and current condition of the plant and the raised level of public concern, the public deserves a full review by the NRC of conditions at San Onofre before it considers a restart of either failed generator.

I support the call by the Sierra Club for the NRC to block any restart of the failed San Onofre generators at this time, and to conduct a comprehensive and open review process.

Thank you for your attention to these concerns.

Paul Sauser
917 S Bundy Dr
Los Angeles, CA 90049-5828

May 1, 2013

Ms Cindy Bladey
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, DC 20555-0001

Dear Ms Bladey,

The comments that follow are regarding Docket ID NRC20130070.

We ask that the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) take no action that could lead to a restart of the San Onofre nuclear power plant before the Commission completes a comprehensive investigation and provides full opportunity for public participation and independent expert testimony.

Southern California Edison (SCE) is attempting to shortcut the license review process by calling on the NRC to make a fast-track restart decision. We and other environmental groups have joined with U.S. Senator Boxer and U.S. Representative Markey in urging the NRC not to follow such a course.

We were deeply disturbed when on April 10 the NRC staff disregarded numerous statements of concern and announced a "preliminary finding" that a San Onofre restart at 70% power posed no significant safety risk.

We join a wide range of concerned citizens and public officials in believing that a full and transparent review of the failed San Onofre generators is essential before the NRC considers any potential restart at either partial or full power of the failed generator.

We support Senator Boxer, Chair of the Environment and Public Works Committee, who stated on April 10, 2013: "The NRC staff proposal, which could pave the way for the restart of the San Onofre nuclear power plant before the investigations of the crippled plant are completed, is dangerous and premature."

Given the recent failure of tubes that carry radioactive water, speeding restart of San Onofre through a so-called "license amendment" that shortcuts procedures is totally inappropriate. SCE's request to weaken its license requirements was made despite evidence showing that there could be a significant hazard from the operation of the deficient steam generators.

Given the troubled history and current condition of the plant and the raised level of public concern, the public deserves a full review by the NRC of conditions at San Onofre before it considers a restart of either failed generator.

I support the call by the Sierra Club for the NRC to block any restart of the failed San Onofre generators at this time, and to conduct a comprehensive and open review process.

Thank you for your attention to these concerns.

Kirk Attebury
1911 17th St Apt 3
Santa Monica, CA 90404-4725

May 1, 2013

Ms Cindy Bladey
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, DC 20555-0001

Dear Ms Bladey,

The comments that follow are regarding Docket ID NRC20130070.

We ask that the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) take no action that could lead to a restart of the San Onofre nuclear power plant before the Commission completes a comprehensive investigation and provides full opportunity for public participation and independent expert testimony.

Southern California Edison (SCE) is attempting to shortcut the license review process by calling on the NRC to make a fast-track restart decision. We and other environmental groups have joined with U.S. Senator Boxer and U.S. Representative Markey in urging the NRC not to follow such a course.

We were deeply disturbed when on April 10 the NRC staff disregarded numerous statements of concern and announced a "preliminary finding" that a San Onofre restart at 70% power posed no significant safety risk.

We join a wide range of concerned citizens and public officials in believing that a full and transparent review of the failed San Onofre generators is essential before the NRC considers any potential restart at either partial or full power of the failed generator.

We support Senator Boxer, Chair of the Environment and Public Works Committee, who stated on April 10, 2013: "The NRC staff proposal, which could pave the way for the restart of the San Onofre nuclear power plant before the investigations of the crippled plant are completed, is dangerous and premature."

Given the recent failure of tubes that carry radioactive water, speeding restart of San Onofre through a so-called "license amendment" that shortcuts procedures is totally inappropriate. SCE's request to weaken its license requirements was made despite evidence showing that there could be a significant hazard from the operation of the deficient steam generators.

Given the troubled history and current condition of the plant and the raised level of public concern, the public deserves a full review by the NRC of conditions at San Onofre before it considers a restart of either failed generator.

I support the call by the Sierra Club for the NRC to block any restart of the failed San Onofre generators at this time, and to conduct a comprehensive and open review process.

Thank you for your attention to these concerns.

Danny Kleinman
5312 1/2 Village Grn
Los Angeles, CA 90016-5105

May 1, 2013

Ms Cindy Bladey
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, DC 20555-0001

Dear Ms Bladey,

The comments that follow are regarding Docket ID NRC20130070.

We ask that the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) take no action that could lead to a restart of the San Onofre nuclear power plant before the Commission completes a comprehensive investigation and provides full opportunity for public participation and independent expert testimony.

Southern California Edison (SCE) is attempting to shortcut the license review process by calling on the NRC to make a fast-track restart decision. We and other environmental groups have joined with U.S. Senator Boxer and U.S. Representative Markey in urging the NRC not to follow such a course.

We were deeply disturbed when on April 10 the NRC staff disregarded numerous statements of concern and announced a "preliminary finding" that a San Onofre restart at 70% power posed no significant safety risk.

We join a wide range of concerned citizens and public officials in believing that a full and transparent review of the failed San Onofre generators is essential before the NRC considers any potential restart at either partial or full power of the failed generator.

We support Senator Boxer, Chair of the Environment and Public Works Committee, who stated on April 10, 2013: "The NRC staff proposal, which could pave the way for the restart of the San Onofre nuclear power plant before the investigations of the crippled plant are completed, is dangerous and premature."

Given the recent failure of tubes that carry radioactive water, speeding restart of San Onofre through a so-called "license amendment" that shortcuts procedures is totally inappropriate. SCE's request to weaken its license requirements was made despite evidence showing that there could be a significant hazard from the operation of the deficient steam generators.

Given the troubled history and current condition of the plant and the raised level of public concern, the public deserves a full review by the NRC of conditions at San Onofre before it considers a restart of either failed generator.

I support the call by the Sierra Club for the NRC to block any restart of the failed San Onofre generators at this time, and to conduct a comprehensive and open review process.

Thank you for your attention to these concerns.

Stuart Hoffman
1164 Bonilla Dr
Topanga, CA 90290-3601

May 1, 2013

Ms Cindy Bladey
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, DC 20555-0001

Dear Ms Bladey,

The comments that follow are regarding Docket ID NRC20130070.

We ask that the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) take no action that could lead to a restart of the San Onofre nuclear power plant before the Commission completes a comprehensive investigation and provides full opportunity for public participation and independent expert testimony.

Southern California Edison (SCE) is attempting to shortcut the license review process by calling on the NRC to make a fast-track restart decision. We and other environmental groups have joined with U.S. Senator Boxer and U.S. Representative Markey in urging the NRC not to follow such a course.

We were deeply disturbed when on April 10 the NRC staff disregarded numerous statements of concern and announced a "preliminary finding" that a San Onofre restart at 70% power posed no significant safety risk.

We join a wide range of concerned citizens and public officials in believing that a full and transparent review of the failed San Onofre generators is essential before the NRC considers any potential restart at either partial or full power of the failed generator.

We support Senator Boxer, Chair of the Environment and Public Works Committee, who stated on April 10, 2013: "The NRC staff proposal, which could pave the way for the restart of the San Onofre nuclear power plant before the investigations of the crippled plant are completed, is dangerous and premature."

Given the recent failure of tubes that carry radioactive water, speeding restart of San Onofre through a so-called "license amendment" that shortcuts procedures is totally inappropriate. SCE's request to weaken its license requirements was made despite evidence showing that there could be a significant hazard from the operation of the deficient steam generators.

Given the troubled history and current condition of the plant and the raised level of public concern, the public deserves a full review by the NRC of conditions at San Onofre before it considers a restart of either failed generator.

I support the call by the Sierra Club for the NRC to block any restart of the failed San Onofre generators at this time, and to conduct a comprehensive and open review process.

Thank you for your attention to these concerns.

Tony Lombardo
2441 Beverly Ave
Santa Monica, CA 90405-3751

May 1, 2013

Ms Cindy Bladey
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, DC 20555-0001

Dear Ms Bladey,

The comments that follow are regarding Docket ID NRC20130070.

We ask that the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) take no action that could lead to a restart of the San Onofre nuclear power plant before the Commission completes a comprehensive investigation and provides full opportunity for public participation and independent expert testimony.

Southern California Edison (SCE) is attempting to shortcut the license review process by calling on the NRC to make a fast-track restart decision. We and other environmental groups have joined with U.S. Senator Boxer and U.S. Representative Markey in urging the NRC not to follow such a course.

We were deeply disturbed when on April 10 the NRC staff disregarded numerous statements of concern and announced a "preliminary finding" that a San Onofre restart at 70% power posed no significant safety risk.

We join a wide range of concerned citizens and public officials in believing that a full and transparent review of the failed San Onofre generators is essential before the NRC considers any potential restart at either partial or full power of the failed generator.

We support Senator Boxer, Chair of the Environment and Public Works Committee, who stated on April 10, 2013: "The NRC staff proposal, which could pave the way for the restart of the San Onofre nuclear power plant before the investigations of the crippled plant are completed, is dangerous and premature."

Given the recent failure of tubes that carry radioactive water, speeding restart of San Onofre through a so-called "license amendment" that shortcuts procedures is totally inappropriate. SCE's request to weaken its license requirements was made despite evidence showing that there could be a significant hazard from the operation of the deficient steam generators.

Given the troubled history and current condition of the plant and the raised level of public concern, the public deserves a full review by the NRC of conditions at San Onofre before it considers a restart of either failed generator.

I support the call by the Sierra Club for the NRC to block any restart of the failed San Onofre generators at this time, and to conduct a comprehensive and open review process.

Thank you for your attention to these concerns.

Christina Whiting
1453 Colby Ave Apt 2
Los Angeles, CA 90025-2462

May 1, 2013

Ms Cindy Bladey
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, DC 20555-0001

Dear Ms Bladey,

The comments that follow are regarding Docket ID NRC20130070.

We ask that the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) take no action that could lead to a restart of the San Onofre nuclear power plant before the Commission completes a comprehensive investigation and provides full opportunity for public participation and independent expert testimony.

Southern California Edison (SCE) is attempting to shortcut the license review process by calling on the NRC to make a fast-track restart decision. We and other environmental groups have joined with U.S. Senator Boxer and U.S. Representative Markey in urging the NRC not to follow such a course.

We were deeply disturbed when on April 10 the NRC staff disregarded numerous statements of concern and announced a "preliminary finding" that a San Onofre restart at 70% power posed no significant safety risk.

We join a wide range of concerned citizens and public officials in believing that a full and transparent review of the failed San Onofre generators is essential before the NRC considers any potential restart at either partial or full power of the failed generator.

We support Senator Boxer, Chair of the Environment and Public Works Committee, who stated on April 10, 2013: "The NRC staff proposal, which could pave the way for the restart of the San Onofre nuclear power plant before the investigations of the crippled plant are completed, is dangerous and premature."

Given the recent failure of tubes that carry radioactive water, speeding restart of San Onofre through a so-called "license amendment" that shortcuts procedures is totally inappropriate. SCE's request to weaken its license requirements was made despite evidence showing that there could be a significant hazard from the operation of the deficient steam generators.

Given the troubled history and current condition of the plant and the raised level of public concern, the public deserves a full review by the NRC of conditions at San Onofre before it considers a restart of either failed generator.

I support the call by the Sierra Club for the NRC to block any restart of the failed San Onofre generators at this time, and to conduct a comprehensive and open review process.

Thank you for your attention to these concerns.

Marisa Landsberg
717 26th St
Manhattan Beach, CA 90266-2301

May 1, 2013

Ms Cindy Bladey
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, DC 20555-0001

Dear Ms Bladey,

The comments that follow are regarding Docket ID NRC20130070.

We ask that the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) take no action that could lead to a restart of the San Onofre nuclear power plant before the Commission completes a comprehensive investigation and provides full opportunity for public participation and independent expert testimony.

Southern California Edison (SCE) is attempting to shortcut the license review process by calling on the NRC to make a fast-track restart decision. We and other environmental groups have joined with U.S. Senator Boxer and U.S. Representative Markey in urging the NRC not to follow such a course.

We were deeply disturbed when on April 10 the NRC staff disregarded numerous statements of concern and announced a "preliminary finding" that a San Onofre restart at 70% power posed no significant safety risk.

We join a wide range of concerned citizens and public officials in believing that a full and transparent review of the failed San Onofre generators is essential before the NRC considers any potential restart at either partial or full power of the failed generator.

We support Senator Boxer, Chair of the Environment and Public Works Committee, who stated on April 10, 2013: "The NRC staff proposal, which could pave the way for the restart of the San Onofre nuclear power plant before the investigations of the crippled plant are completed, is dangerous and premature."

Given the recent failure of tubes that carry radioactive water, speeding restart of San Onofre through a so-called "license amendment" that shortcuts procedures is totally inappropriate. SCE's request to weaken its license requirements was made despite evidence showing that there could be a significant hazard from the operation of the deficient steam generators.

Given the troubled history and current condition of the plant and the raised level of public concern, the public deserves a full review by the NRC of conditions at San Onofre before it considers a restart of either failed generator.

I support the call by the Sierra Club for the NRC to block any restart of the failed San Onofre generators at this time, and to conduct a comprehensive and open review process.

Those of us who live near San Onofre nuclear plant know we don't need the plant and don't want it. It is a danger to us and our environment. We have watched as nuclear disasters have occurred throughout the world and as our local sea life has died off as a result of the plant. Please shut it down!

Thank you for your attention in this matter.

Sincerely

Michaela Pond
Pondsanclemente@aol.com

Thank you for your attention to these concerns.

Michaela Pond
1400 Santanella Ter
Corona Del Mar, CA 92625-1743

May 1, 2013

Ms Cindy Bladey
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, DC 20555-0001

Dear Ms Bladey,

The comments that follow are regarding Docket ID NRC20130070.

We ask that the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) take no action that could lead to a restart of the San Onofre nuclear power plant before the Commission completes a comprehensive investigation and provides full opportunity for public participation and independent expert testimony.

Southern California Edison (SCE) is attempting to shortcut the license review process by calling on the NRC to make a fast-track restart decision. We and other environmental groups have joined with U.S. Senator Boxer and U.S. Representative Markey in urging the NRC not to follow such a course.

We were deeply disturbed when on April 10 the NRC staff disregarded numerous statements of concern and announced a "preliminary finding" that a San Onofre restart at 70% power posed no significant safety risk.

We join a wide range of concerned citizens and public officials in believing that a full and transparent review of the failed San Onofre generators is essential before the NRC considers any potential restart at either partial or full power of the failed generator.

We support Senator Boxer, Chair of the Environment and Public Works Committee, who stated on April 10, 2013: "The NRC staff proposal, which could pave the way for the restart of the San Onofre nuclear power plant before the investigations of the crippled plant are completed, is dangerous and premature."

Given the recent failure of tubes that carry radioactive water, speeding restart of San Onofre through a so-called "license amendment" that shortcuts procedures is totally inappropriate. SCE's request to weaken its license requirements was made despite evidence showing that there could be a significant hazard from the operation of the deficient steam generators.

Given the troubled history and current condition of the plant and the raised level of public concern, the public deserves a full review by the NRC of conditions at San Onofre before it considers a restart of either failed generator.

I support the call by the Sierra Club for the NRC to block any restart of the failed San Onofre generators at this time, and to conduct a comprehensive and open review process.

Thank you for your attention to these concerns.

Andrew Rhoades
10240 Camarillo St Apt 209
Toluca Lake, CA 91602-1649

May 1, 2013

Ms Cindy Bladey
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, DC 20555-0001

Dear Ms Bladey,

The comments that follow are regarding Docket ID NRC20130070.

We ask that the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) take no action that could lead to a restart of the San Onofre nuclear power plant before the Commission completes a comprehensive investigation and provides full opportunity for public participation and independent expert testimony.

Southern California Edison (SCE) is attempting to shortcut the license review process by calling on the NRC to make a fast-track restart decision. We and other environmental groups have joined with U.S. Senator Boxer and U.S. Representative Markey in urging the NRC not to follow such a course.

We were deeply disturbed when on April 10 the NRC staff disregarded numerous statements of concern and announced a "preliminary finding" that a San Onofre restart at 70% power posed no significant safety risk.

We join a wide range of concerned citizens and public officials in believing that a full and transparent review of the failed San Onofre generators is essential before the NRC considers any potential restart at either partial or full power of the failed generator.

We support Senator Boxer, Chair of the Environment and Public Works Committee, who stated on April 10, 2013: "The NRC staff proposal, which could pave the way for the restart of the San Onofre nuclear power plant before the investigations of the crippled plant are completed, is dangerous and premature."

Given the recent failure of tubes that carry radioactive water, speeding restart of San Onofre through a so-called "license amendment" that shortcuts procedures is totally inappropriate. SCE's request to weaken its license requirements was made despite evidence showing that there could be a significant hazard from the operation of the deficient steam generators.

Given the troubled history and current condition of the plant and the raised level of public concern, the public deserves a full review by the NRC of conditions at San Onofre before it considers a restart of either failed generator.

I support the call by the Sierra Club for the NRC to block any restart of the failed San Onofre generators at this time, and to conduct a comprehensive and open review process.

Thank you for your attention to these concerns.

Frieda Caplan
11651 Montecito Rd
Los Alamitos, CA 90720-4054

May 1, 2013

Ms Cindy Bladey
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, DC 20555-0001

Dear Ms Bladey,

The comments that follow are regarding Docket ID NRC20130070.

We ask that the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) take no action that could lead to a restart of the San Onofre nuclear power plant before the Commission completes a comprehensive investigation and provides full opportunity for public participation and independent expert testimony.

Southern California Edison (SCE) is attempting to shortcut the license review process by calling on the NRC to make a fast-track restart decision. We and other environmental groups have joined with U.S. Senator Boxer and U.S. Representative Markey in urging the NRC not to follow such a course.

We were deeply disturbed when on April 10 the NRC staff disregarded numerous statements of concern and announced a "preliminary finding" that a San Onofre restart at 70% power posed no significant safety risk.

We join a wide range of concerned citizens and public officials in believing that a full and transparent review of the failed San Onofre generators is essential before the NRC considers any potential restart at either partial or full power of the failed generator.

We support Senator Boxer, Chair of the Environment and Public Works Committee, who stated on April 10, 2013: "The NRC staff proposal, which could pave the way for the restart of the San Onofre nuclear power plant before the investigations of the crippled plant are completed, is dangerous and premature."

Given the recent failure of tubes that carry radioactive water, speeding restart of San Onofre through a so-called "license amendment" that shortcuts procedures is totally inappropriate. SCE's request to weaken its license requirements was made despite evidence showing that there could be a significant hazard from the operation of the deficient steam generators.

Given the troubled history and current condition of the plant and the raised level of public concern, the public deserves a full review by the NRC of conditions at San Onofre before it considers a restart of either failed generator.

I support the call by the Sierra Club for the NRC to block any restart of the failed San Onofre generators at this time, and to conduct a comprehensive and open review process.

Thank you for your attention to these concerns.

Heather Else
1231 S Lucerne Blvd
Los Angeles, CA 90019-6804

May 1, 2013

Ms Cindy Bladey
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, DC 20555-0001

Dear Ms Bladey,

The comments that follow are regarding Docket ID NRC20130070.

We ask that the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) take no action that could lead to a restart of the San Onofre nuclear power plant before the Commission completes a comprehensive investigation and provides full opportunity for public participation and independent expert testimony.

Southern California Edison (SCE) is attempting to shortcut the license review process by calling on the NRC to make a fast-track restart decision. We and other environmental groups have joined with U.S. Senator Boxer and U.S. Representative Markey in urging the NRC not to follow such a course.

We were deeply disturbed when on April 10 the NRC staff disregarded numerous statements of concern and announced a "preliminary finding" that a San Onofre restart at 70% power posed no significant safety risk.

We join a wide range of concerned citizens and public officials in believing that a full and transparent review of the failed San Onofre generators is essential before the NRC considers any potential restart at either partial or full power of the failed generator.

We support Senator Boxer, Chair of the Environment and Public Works Committee, who stated on April 10, 2013: "The NRC staff proposal, which could pave the way for the restart of the San Onofre nuclear power plant before the investigations of the crippled plant are completed, is dangerous and premature."

Given the recent failure of tubes that carry radioactive water, speeding restart of San Onofre through a so-called "license amendment" that shortcuts procedures is totally inappropriate. SCE's request to weaken its license requirements was made despite evidence showing that there could be a significant hazard from the operation of the deficient steam generators.

Given the troubled history and current condition of the plant and the raised level of public concern, the public deserves a full review by the NRC of conditions at San Onofre before it considers a restart of either failed generator.

I support the call by the Sierra Club for the NRC to block any restart of the failed San Onofre generators at this time, and to conduct a comprehensive and open review process.

Thank you for your attention to these concerns.

Timothy Swanson
1222 Amapola Ave
Torrance, CA 90501-2501

May 1, 2013

Ms Cindy Bladey
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, DC 20555-0001

Dear Ms Bladey,

The comments that follow are regarding Docket ID NRC20130070.

We ask that the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) take no action that could lead to a restart of the San Onofre nuclear power plant before the Commission completes a comprehensive investigation and provides full opportunity for public participation and independent expert testimony.

Southern California Edison (SCE) is attempting to shortcut the license review process by calling on the NRC to make a fast-track restart decision. We and other environmental groups have joined with U.S. Senator Boxer and U.S. Representative Markey in urging the NRC not to follow such a course.

We were deeply disturbed when on April 10 the NRC staff disregarded numerous statements of concern and announced a "preliminary finding" that a San Onofre restart at 70% power posed no significant safety risk.

We join a wide range of concerned citizens and public officials in believing that a full and transparent review of the failed San Onofre generators is essential before the NRC considers any potential restart at either partial or full power of the failed generator.

We support Senator Boxer, Chair of the Environment and Public Works Committee, who stated on April 10, 2013: "The NRC staff proposal, which could pave the way for the restart of the San Onofre nuclear power plant before the investigations of the crippled plant are completed, is dangerous and premature."

Given the recent failure of tubes that carry radioactive water, speeding restart of San Onofre through a so-called "license amendment" that shortcuts procedures is totally inappropriate. SCE's request to weaken its license requirements was made despite evidence showing that there could be a significant hazard from the operation of the deficient steam generators.

Given the troubled history and current condition of the plant and the raised level of public concern, the public deserves a full review by the NRC of conditions at San Onofre before it considers a restart of either failed generator.

I support the call by the Sierra Club for the NRC to block any restart of the failed San Onofre generators at this time, and to conduct a comprehensive and open review process.

Thank you for your attention to these concerns.

Linda Avarello
406 Arenoso Ln Apt A
San Clemente, CA 92672-5282

May 1, 2013

Ms Cindy Bladey
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, DC 20555-0001

Dear Ms Bladey,

The comments that follow are regarding Docket ID NRC20130070.

We ask that the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) take no action that could lead to a restart of the San Onofre nuclear power plant before the Commission completes a comprehensive investigation and provides full opportunity for public participation and independent expert testimony.

Southern California Edison (SCE) is attempting to shortcut the license review process by calling on the NRC to make a fast-track restart decision. We and other environmental groups have joined with U.S. Senator Boxer and U.S. Representative Markey in urging the NRC not to follow such a course.

We were deeply disturbed when on April 10 the NRC staff disregarded numerous statements of concern and announced a "preliminary finding" that a San Onofre restart at 70% power posed no significant safety risk.

We join a wide range of concerned citizens and public officials in believing that a full and transparent review of the failed San Onofre generators is essential before the NRC considers any potential restart at either partial or full power of the failed generator.

We support Senator Boxer, Chair of the Environment and Public Works Committee, who stated on April 10, 2013: "The NRC staff proposal, which could pave the way for the restart of the San Onofre nuclear power plant before the investigations of the crippled plant are completed, is dangerous and premature."

Given the recent failure of tubes that carry radioactive water, speeding restart of San Onofre through a so-called "license amendment" that shortcuts procedures is totally inappropriate. SCE's request to weaken its license requirements was made despite evidence showing that there could be a significant hazard from the operation of the deficient steam generators.

Given the troubled history and current condition of the plant and the raised level of public concern, the public deserves a full review by the NRC of conditions at San Onofre before it considers a restart of either failed generator.

I support the call by the Sierra Club for the NRC to block any restart of the failed San Onofre generators at this time, and to conduct a comprehensive and open review process.

Thank you for your attention to these concerns.

Vasuki Nag
1 Solana
Irvine, CA 92612-4678

May 1, 2013

Ms Cindy Bladey
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, DC 20555-0001

Dear Ms Bladey,

The comments that follow are regarding Docket ID NRC20130070.

We ask that the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) take no action that could lead to a restart of the San Onofre nuclear power plant before the Commission completes a comprehensive investigation and provides full opportunity for public participation and independent expert testimony.

Southern California Edison (SCE) is attempting to shortcut the license review process by calling on the NRC to make a fast-track restart decision. We and other environmental groups have joined with U.S. Senator Boxer and U.S. Representative Markey in urging the NRC not to follow such a course.

We were deeply disturbed when on April 10 the NRC staff disregarded numerous statements of concern and announced a "preliminary finding" that a San Onofre restart at 70% power posed no significant safety risk.

We join a wide range of concerned citizens and public officials in believing that a full and transparent review of the failed San Onofre generators is essential before the NRC considers any potential restart at either partial or full power of the failed generator.

We support Senator Boxer, Chair of the Environment and Public Works Committee, who stated on April 10, 2013: "The NRC staff proposal, which could pave the way for the restart of the San Onofre nuclear power plant before the investigations of the crippled plant are completed, is dangerous and premature."

Given the recent failure of tubes that carry radioactive water, speeding restart of San Onofre through a so-called "license amendment" that shortcuts procedures is totally inappropriate. SCE's request to weaken its license requirements was made despite evidence showing that there could be a significant hazard from the operation of the deficient steam generators.

Given the troubled history and current condition of the plant and the raised level of public concern, the public deserves a full review by the NRC of conditions at San Onofre before it considers a restart of either failed generator.

I support the call by the Sierra Club for the NRC to block any restart of the failed San Onofre generators at this time, and to conduct a comprehensive and open review process.

Thank you for your attention to these concerns.

Frank B. Anderson
515 N Meyler St
San Pedro, CA 90731-1840

May 1, 2013

Ms Cindy Bladey
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, DC 20555-0001

Dear Ms Bladey,

The comments that follow are regarding Docket ID NRC20130070.

We ask that the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) take no action that could lead to a restart of the San Onofre nuclear power plant before the Commission completes a comprehensive investigation and provides full opportunity for public participation and independent expert testimony.

Southern California Edison (SCE) is attempting to shortcut the license review process by calling on the NRC to make a fast-track restart decision. We and other environmental groups have joined with U.S. Senator Boxer and U.S. Representative Markey in urging the NRC not to follow such a course.

We were deeply disturbed when on April 10 the NRC staff disregarded numerous statements of concern and announced a "preliminary finding" that a San Onofre restart at 70% power posed no significant safety risk.

We join a wide range of concerned citizens and public officials in believing that a full and transparent review of the failed San Onofre generators is essential before the NRC considers any potential restart at either partial or full power of the failed generator.

We support Senator Boxer, Chair of the Environment and Public Works Committee, who stated on April 10, 2013: "The NRC staff proposal, which could pave the way for the restart of the San Onofre nuclear power plant before the investigations of the crippled plant are completed, is dangerous and premature."

Given the recent failure of tubes that carry radioactive water, speeding restart of San Onofre through a so-called "license amendment" that shortcuts procedures is totally inappropriate. SCE's request to weaken its license requirements was made despite evidence showing that there could be a significant hazard from the operation of the deficient steam generators.

Given the troubled history and current condition of the plant and the raised level of public concern, the public deserves a full review by the NRC of conditions at San Onofre before it considers a restart of either failed generator.

I support the call by the Sierra Club for the NRC to block any restart of the failed San Onofre generators at this time, and to conduct a comprehensive and open review process.

Thank you for your attention to these concerns.

Janet Vernon
22657 Nadine Cir
Torrance, CA 90505-2715

May 1, 2013

Ms Cindy Bladey
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, DC 20555-0001

Dear Ms Bladey,

The comments that follow are regarding Docket ID NRC20130070.

We ask that the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) take no action that could lead to a restart of the San Onofre nuclear power plant before the Commission completes a comprehensive investigation and provides full opportunity for public participation and independent expert testimony.

Southern California Edison (SCE) is attempting to shortcut the license review process by calling on the NRC to make a fast-track restart decision. We and other environmental groups have joined with U.S. Senator Boxer and U.S. Representative Markey in urging the NRC not to follow such a course.

We were deeply disturbed when on April 10 the NRC staff disregarded numerous statements of concern and announced a "preliminary finding" that a San Onofre restart at 70% power posed no significant safety risk.

We join a wide range of concerned citizens and public officials in believing that a full and transparent review of the failed San Onofre generators is essential before the NRC considers any potential restart at either partial or full power of the failed generator.

We support Senator Boxer, Chair of the Environment and Public Works Committee, who stated on April 10, 2013: "The NRC staff proposal, which could pave the way for the restart of the San Onofre nuclear power plant before the investigations of the crippled plant are completed, is dangerous and premature."

Given the recent failure of tubes that carry radioactive water, speeding restart of San Onofre through a so-called "license amendment" that shortcuts procedures is totally inappropriate. SCE's request to weaken its license requirements was made despite evidence showing that there could be a significant hazard from the operation of the deficient steam generators.

Given the troubled history and current condition of the plant and the raised level of public concern, the public deserves a full review by the NRC of conditions at San Onofre before it considers a restart of either failed generator.

I support the call by the Sierra Club for the NRC to block any restart of the failed San Onofre generators at this time, and to conduct a comprehensive and open review process.

Thank you for your attention to these concerns.

Paul Waller
23428 Canzonet St
Woodland Hills, CA 91367-6013

May 1, 2013

Ms Cindy Bladey
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, DC 20555-0001

Dear Ms Bladey,

The comments that follow are regarding Docket ID NRC20130070.

We ask that the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) take no action that could lead to a restart of the San Onofre nuclear power plant before the Commission completes a comprehensive investigation and provides full opportunity for public participation and independent expert testimony.

Southern California Edison (SCE) is attempting to shortcut the license review process by calling on the NRC to make a fast-track restart decision. We and other environmental groups have joined with U.S. Senator Boxer and U.S. Representative Markey in urging the NRC not to follow such a course.

We were deeply disturbed when on April 10 the NRC staff disregarded numerous statements of concern and announced a "preliminary finding" that a San Onofre restart at 70% power posed no significant safety risk.

We join a wide range of concerned citizens and public officials in believing that a full and transparent review of the failed San Onofre generators is essential before the NRC considers any potential restart at either partial or full power of the failed generator.

We support Senator Boxer, Chair of the Environment and Public Works Committee, who stated on April 10, 2013: "The NRC staff proposal, which could pave the way for the restart of the San Onofre nuclear power plant before the investigations of the crippled plant are completed, is dangerous and premature."

Given the recent failure of tubes that carry radioactive water, speeding restart of San Onofre through a so-called "license amendment" that shortcuts procedures is totally inappropriate. SCE's request to weaken its license requirements was made despite evidence showing that there could be a significant hazard from the operation of the deficient steam generators.

Given the troubled history and current condition of the plant and the raised level of public concern, the public deserves a full review by the NRC of conditions at San Onofre before it considers a restart of either failed generator.

I support the call by the Sierra Club for the NRC to block any restart of the failed San Onofre generators at this time, and to conduct a comprehensive and open review process.

Thank you for your attention to these concerns.

Caryn Cowin
317 Monterey Rd Apt 15
South Pasadena, CA 91030-3517

May 1, 2013

Ms Cindy Bladey
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, DC 20555-0001

Dear Ms Bladey,

The comments that follow are regarding Docket ID NRC20130070.

We ask that the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) take no action that could lead to a restart of the San Onofre nuclear power plant before the Commission completes a comprehensive investigation and provides full opportunity for public participation and independent expert testimony.

Southern California Edison (SCE) is attempting to shortcut the license review process by calling on the NRC to make a fast-track restart decision. We and other environmental groups have joined with U.S. Senator Boxer and U.S. Representative Markey in urging the NRC not to follow such a course.

We were deeply disturbed when on April 10 the NRC staff disregarded numerous statements of concern and announced a "preliminary finding" that a San Onofre restart at 70% power posed no significant safety risk.

We join a wide range of concerned citizens and public officials in believing that a full and transparent review of the failed San Onofre generators is essential before the NRC considers any potential restart at either partial or full power of the failed generator.

We support Senator Boxer, Chair of the Environment and Public Works Committee, who stated on April 10, 2013: "The NRC staff proposal, which could pave the way for the restart of the San Onofre nuclear power plant before the investigations of the crippled plant are completed, is dangerous and premature."

Given the recent failure of tubes that carry radioactive water, speeding restart of San Onofre through a so-called "license amendment" that shortcuts procedures is totally inappropriate. SCE's request to weaken its license requirements was made despite evidence showing that there could be a significant hazard from the operation of the deficient steam generators.

Given the troubled history and current condition of the plant and the raised level of public concern, the public deserves a full review by the NRC of conditions at San Onofre before it considers a restart of either failed generator.

I support the call by the Sierra Club for the NRC to block any restart of the failed San Onofre generators at this time, and to conduct a comprehensive and open review process.

Thank you for your attention to these concerns.

Dee Ann Newkirk
Abc st
Los Angeles, CA 90048

May 1, 2013

Ms Cindy Bladey
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, DC 20555-0001

Dear Ms Bladey,

The comments that follow are regarding Docket ID NRC20130070.

We ask that the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) take no action that could lead to a restart of the San Onofre nuclear power plant before the Commission completes a comprehensive investigation and provides full opportunity for public participation and independent expert testimony.

Southern California Edison (SCE) is attempting to shortcut the license review process by calling on the NRC to make a fast-track restart decision. We and other environmental groups have joined with U.S. Senator Boxer and U.S. Representative Markey in urging the NRC not to follow such a course.

We were deeply disturbed when on April 10 the NRC staff disregarded numerous statements of concern and announced a "preliminary finding" that a San Onofre restart at 70% power posed no significant safety risk.

We join a wide range of concerned citizens and public officials in believing that a full and transparent review of the failed San Onofre generators is essential before the NRC considers any potential restart at either partial or full power of the failed generator.

We support Senator Boxer, Chair of the Environment and Public Works Committee, who stated on April 10, 2013: "The NRC staff proposal, which could pave the way for the restart of the San Onofre nuclear power plant before the investigations of the crippled plant are completed, is dangerous and premature."

Given the recent failure of tubes that carry radioactive water, speeding restart of San Onofre through a so-called "license amendment" that shortcuts procedures is totally inappropriate. SCE's request to weaken its license requirements was made despite evidence showing that there could be a significant hazard from the operation of the deficient steam generators.

Given the troubled history and current condition of the plant and the raised level of public concern, the public deserves a full review by the NRC of conditions at San Onofre before it considers a restart of either failed generator.

I support the call by the Sierra Club for the NRC to block any restart of the failed San Onofre generators at this time, and to conduct a comprehensive and open review process.

Thank you for your attention to these concerns.

Suzanne Cooper
2348 W 30th St
Los Angeles, CA 90018-2948

May 1, 2013

Ms Cindy Bladey
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, DC 20555-0001

Dear Ms Bladey,

The comments that follow are regarding Docket ID NRC20130070.

We ask that the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) take no action that could lead to a restart of the San Onofre nuclear power plant before the Commission completes a comprehensive investigation and provides full opportunity for public participation and independent expert testimony.

Southern California Edison (SCE) is attempting to shortcut the license review process by calling on the NRC to make a fast-track restart decision. We and other environmental groups have joined with U.S. Senator Boxer and U.S. Representative Markey in urging the NRC not to follow such a course.

We were deeply disturbed when on April 10 the NRC staff disregarded numerous statements of concern and announced a "preliminary finding" that a San Onofre restart at 70% power posed no significant safety risk.

We join a wide range of concerned citizens and public officials in believing that a full and transparent review of the failed San Onofre generators is essential before the NRC considers any potential restart at either partial or full power of the failed generator.

We support Senator Boxer, Chair of the Environment and Public Works Committee, who stated on April 10, 2013: "The NRC staff proposal, which could pave the way for the restart of the San Onofre nuclear power plant before the investigations of the crippled plant are completed, is dangerous and premature."

Given the recent failure of tubes that carry radioactive water, speeding restart of San Onofre through a so-called "license amendment" that shortcuts procedures is totally inappropriate. SCE's request to weaken its license requirements was made despite evidence showing that there could be a significant hazard from the operation of the deficient steam generators.

Given the troubled history and current condition of the plant and the raised level of public concern, the public deserves a full review by the NRC of conditions at San Onofre before it considers a restart of either failed generator.

I support the call by the Sierra Club for the NRC to block any restart of the failed San Onofre generators at this time, and to conduct a comprehensive and open review process.

Thank you for your attention to these concerns.

Arlynn & Steve Bottomley
214 E Elm St
Brea, CA 92821-5432

May 1, 2013

Ms Cindy Bladey
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, DC 20555-0001

Dear Ms Bladey,

The comments that follow are regarding Docket ID NRC20130070.

We ask that the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) take no action that could lead to a restart of the San Onofre nuclear power plant before the Commission completes a comprehensive investigation and provides full opportunity for public participation and independent expert testimony.

Southern California Edison (SCE) is attempting to shortcut the license review process by calling on the NRC to make a fast-track restart decision. We and other environmental groups have joined with U.S. Senator Boxer and U.S. Representative Markey in urging the NRC not to follow such a course.

We were deeply disturbed when on April 10 the NRC staff disregarded numerous statements of concern and announced a "preliminary finding" that a San Onofre restart at 70% power posed no significant safety risk.

We join a wide range of concerned citizens and public officials in believing that a full and transparent review of the failed San Onofre generators is essential before the NRC considers any potential restart at either partial or full power of the failed generator.

We support Senator Boxer, Chair of the Environment and Public Works Committee, who stated on April 10, 2013: "The NRC staff proposal, which could pave the way for the restart of the San Onofre nuclear power plant before the investigations of the crippled plant are completed, is dangerous and premature."

Given the recent failure of tubes that carry radioactive water, speeding restart of San Onofre through a so-called "license amendment" that shortcuts procedures is totally inappropriate. SCE's request to weaken its license requirements was made despite evidence showing that there could be a significant hazard from the operation of the deficient steam generators.

Given the troubled history and current condition of the plant and the raised level of public concern, the public deserves a full review by the NRC of conditions at San Onofre before it considers a restart of either failed generator.

I support the call by the Sierra Club for the NRC to block any restart of the failed San Onofre generators at this time, and to conduct a comprehensive and open review process.

Thank you for your attention to these concerns.

Vivian Angelini
6149 W 78th St
Los Angeles, CA 90045-2902

May 1, 2013

Ms Cindy Bladey
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, DC 20555-0001

Dear Ms Bladey,

The comments that follow are regarding Docket ID NRC20130070.

We ask that the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) take no action that could lead to a restart of the San Onofre nuclear power plant before the Commission completes a comprehensive investigation and provides full opportunity for public participation and independent expert testimony.

Southern California Edison (SCE) is attempting to shortcut the license review process by calling on the NRC to make a fast-track restart decision. We and other environmental groups have joined with U.S. Senator Boxer and U.S. Representative Markey in urging the NRC not to follow such a course.

We were deeply disturbed when on April 10 the NRC staff disregarded numerous statements of concern and announced a "preliminary finding" that a San Onofre restart at 70% power posed no significant safety risk.

We join a wide range of concerned citizens and public officials in believing that a full and transparent review of the failed San Onofre generators is essential before the NRC considers any potential restart at either partial or full power of the failed generator.

We support Senator Boxer, Chair of the Environment and Public Works Committee, who stated on April 10, 2013: "The NRC staff proposal, which could pave the way for the restart of the San Onofre nuclear power plant before the investigations of the crippled plant are completed, is dangerous and premature."

Given the recent failure of tubes that carry radioactive water, speeding restart of San Onofre through a so-called "license amendment" that shortcuts procedures is totally inappropriate. SCE's request to weaken its license requirements was made despite evidence showing that there could be a significant hazard from the operation of the deficient steam generators.

Given the troubled history and current condition of the plant and the raised level of public concern, the public deserves a full review by the NRC of conditions at San Onofre before it considers a restart of either failed generator.

I support the call by the Sierra Club for the NRC to block any restart of the failed San Onofre generators at this time, and to conduct a comprehensive and open review process.

Thank you for your attention to these concerns.

Drew Irby
26855 Via San Jose
Mission Viejo, CA 92691-1812

May 1, 2013

Ms Cindy Bladey
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, DC 20555-0001

Dear Ms Bladey,

The comments that follow are regarding Docket ID NRC20130070.

We ask that the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) take no action that could lead to a restart of the San Onofre nuclear power plant before the Commission completes a comprehensive investigation and provides full opportunity for public participation and independent expert testimony.

Southern California Edison (SCE) is attempting to shortcut the license review process by calling on the NRC to make a fast-track restart decision. We and other environmental groups have joined with U.S. Senator Boxer and U.S. Representative Markey in urging the NRC not to follow such a course.

We were deeply disturbed when on April 10 the NRC staff disregarded numerous statements of concern and announced a "preliminary finding" that a San Onofre restart at 70% power posed no significant safety risk.

We join a wide range of concerned citizens and public officials in believing that a full and transparent review of the failed San Onofre generators is essential before the NRC considers any potential restart at either partial or full power of the failed generator.

We support Senator Boxer, Chair of the Environment and Public Works Committee, who stated on April 10, 2013: "The NRC staff proposal, which could pave the way for the restart of the San Onofre nuclear power plant before the investigations of the crippled plant are completed, is dangerous and premature."

Given the recent failure of tubes that carry radioactive water, speeding restart of San Onofre through a so-called "license amendment" that shortcuts procedures is totally inappropriate. SCE's request to weaken its license requirements was made despite evidence showing that there could be a significant hazard from the operation of the deficient steam generators.

Given the troubled history and current condition of the plant and the raised level of public concern, the public deserves a full review by the NRC of conditions at San Onofre before it considers a restart of either failed generator.

I support the call by the Sierra Club for the NRC to block any restart of the failed San Onofre generators at this time, and to conduct a comprehensive and open review process.

Thank you for your attention to these concerns.

John Andari
17678 Wren Dr
Canyon Country, CA 91387-3826

May 1, 2013

Ms Cindy Bladey
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, DC 20555-0001

Dear Ms Bladey,

The comments that follow are regarding Docket ID NRC20130070.

We ask that the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) take no action that could lead to a restart of the San Onofre nuclear power plant before the Commission completes a comprehensive investigation and provides full opportunity for public participation and independent expert testimony.

Southern California Edison (SCE) is attempting to shortcut the license review process by calling on the NRC to make a fast-track restart decision. We and other environmental groups have joined with U.S. Senator Boxer and U.S. Representative Markey in urging the NRC not to follow such a course.

We were deeply disturbed when on April 10 the NRC staff disregarded numerous statements of concern and announced a "preliminary finding" that a San Onofre restart at 70% power posed no significant safety risk.

We join a wide range of concerned citizens and public officials in believing that a full and transparent review of the failed San Onofre generators is essential before the NRC considers any potential restart at either partial or full power of the failed generator.

We support Senator Boxer, Chair of the Environment and Public Works Committee, who stated on April 10, 2013: "The NRC staff proposal, which could pave the way for the restart of the San Onofre nuclear power plant before the investigations of the crippled plant are completed, is dangerous and premature."

Given the recent failure of tubes that carry radioactive water, speeding restart of San Onofre through a so-called "license amendment" that shortcuts procedures is totally inappropriate. SCE's request to weaken its license requirements was made despite evidence showing that there could be a significant hazard from the operation of the deficient steam generators.

Given the troubled history and current condition of the plant and the raised level of public concern, the public deserves a full review by the NRC of conditions at San Onofre before it considers a restart of either failed generator.

I support the call by the Sierra Club for the NRC to block any restart of the failed San Onofre generators at this time, and to conduct a comprehensive and open review process.

Thank you for your attention to these concerns.

Joshua Stamberg
2291 Moreno Dr
Los Angeles, CA 90039-3049

May 1, 2013

Ms Cindy Bladey
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, DC 20555-0001

Dear Ms Bladey,

The comments that follow are regarding Docket ID NRC20130070.

We ask that the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) take no action that could lead to a restart of the San Onofre nuclear power plant before the Commission completes a comprehensive investigation and provides full opportunity for public participation and independent expert testimony.

Southern California Edison (SCE) is attempting to shortcut the license review process by calling on the NRC to make a fast-track restart decision. We and other environmental groups have joined with U.S. Senator Boxer and U.S. Representative Markey in urging the NRC not to follow such a course.

We were deeply disturbed when on April 10 the NRC staff disregarded numerous statements of concern and announced a "preliminary finding" that a San Onofre restart at 70% power posed no significant safety risk.

We join a wide range of concerned citizens and public officials in believing that a full and transparent review of the failed San Onofre generators is essential before the NRC considers any potential restart at either partial or full power of the failed generator.

We support Senator Boxer, Chair of the Environment and Public Works Committee, who stated on April 10, 2013: "The NRC staff proposal, which could pave the way for the restart of the San Onofre nuclear power plant before the investigations of the crippled plant are completed, is dangerous and premature."

Given the recent failure of tubes that carry radioactive water, speeding restart of San Onofre through a so-called "license amendment" that shortcuts procedures is totally inappropriate. SCE's request to weaken its license requirements was made despite evidence showing that there could be a significant hazard from the operation of the deficient steam generators.

Given the troubled history and current condition of the plant and the raised level of public concern, the public deserves a full review by the NRC of conditions at San Onofre before it considers a restart of either failed generator.

I support the call by the Sierra Club for the NRC to block any restart of the failed San Onofre generators at this time, and to conduct a comprehensive and open review process.

Thank you for your attention to these concerns.

Faye Stapleton
312 N Arden Blvd
Los Angeles, CA 90004-3022

May 1, 2013

Ms Cindy Bladey
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, DC 20555-0001

Dear Ms Bladey,

The comments that follow are regarding Docket ID NRC20130070.

We ask that the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) take no action that could lead to a restart of the San Onofre nuclear power plant before the Commission completes a comprehensive investigation and provides full opportunity for public participation and independent expert testimony.

Southern California Edison (SCE) is attempting to shortcut the license review process by calling on the NRC to make a fast-track restart decision. We and other environmental groups have joined with U.S. Senator Boxer and U.S. Representative Markey in urging the NRC not to follow such a course.

We were deeply disturbed when on April 10 the NRC staff disregarded numerous statements of concern and announced a "preliminary finding" that a San Onofre restart at 70% power posed no significant safety risk.

We join a wide range of concerned citizens and public officials in believing that a full and transparent review of the failed San Onofre generators is essential before the NRC considers any potential restart at either partial or full power of the failed generator.

We support Senator Boxer, Chair of the Environment and Public Works Committee, who stated on April 10, 2013: "The NRC staff proposal, which could pave the way for the restart of the San Onofre nuclear power plant before the investigations of the crippled plant are completed, is dangerous and premature."

Given the recent failure of tubes that carry radioactive water, speeding restart of San Onofre through a so-called "license amendment" that shortcuts procedures is totally inappropriate. SCE's request to weaken its license requirements was made despite evidence showing that there could be a significant hazard from the operation of the deficient steam generators.

Given the troubled history and current condition of the plant and the raised level of public concern, the public deserves a full review by the NRC of conditions at San Onofre before it considers a restart of either failed generator.

I support the call by the Sierra Club for the NRC to block any restart of the failed San Onofre generators at this time, and to conduct a comprehensive and open review process.

Thank you for your attention to these concerns.

Darlene Brinley
130 Palisades Ave Apt B
Santa Monica, CA 90402-2748

May 1, 2013

Ms Cindy Bladey
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, DC 20555-0001

Dear Ms Bladey,

The comments that follow are regarding Docket ID NRC20130070.

We ask that the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) take no action that could lead to a restart of the San Onofre nuclear power plant before the Commission completes a comprehensive investigation and provides full opportunity for public participation and independent expert testimony.

Southern California Edison (SCE) is attempting to shortcut the license review process by calling on the NRC to make a fast-track restart decision. We and other environmental groups have joined with U.S. Senator Boxer and U.S. Representative Markey in urging the NRC not to follow such a course.

We were deeply disturbed when on April 10 the NRC staff disregarded numerous statements of concern and announced a "preliminary finding" that a San Onofre restart at 70% power posed no significant safety risk.

We join a wide range of concerned citizens and public officials in believing that a full and transparent review of the failed San Onofre generators is essential before the NRC considers any potential restart at either partial or full power of the failed generator.

We support Senator Boxer, Chair of the Environment and Public Works Committee, who stated on April 10, 2013: "The NRC staff proposal, which could pave the way for the restart of the San Onofre nuclear power plant before the investigations of the crippled plant are completed, is dangerous and premature."

Given the recent failure of tubes that carry radioactive water, speeding restart of San Onofre through a so-called "license amendment" that shortcuts procedures is totally inappropriate. SCE's request to weaken its license requirements was made despite evidence showing that there could be a significant hazard from the operation of the deficient steam generators.

Given the troubled history and current condition of the plant and the raised level of public concern, the public deserves a full review by the NRC of conditions at San Onofre before it considers a restart of either failed generator.

I support the call by the Sierra Club for the NRC to block any restart of the failed San Onofre generators at this time, and to conduct a comprehensive and open review process.

Thank you for your attention to these concerns.

Michael Nielsen
18453 Santa Eugenia St
Fountain Valley, CA 92708-5601

May 1, 2013

Ms Cindy Bladey
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, DC 20555-0001

Dear Ms Bladey,

The comments that follow are regarding Docket ID NRC20130070.

We ask that the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) take no action that could lead to a restart of the San Onofre nuclear power plant before the Commission completes a comprehensive investigation and provides full opportunity for public participation and independent expert testimony.

Southern California Edison (SCE) is attempting to shortcut the license review process by calling on the NRC to make a fast-track restart decision. We and other environmental groups have joined with U.S. Senator Boxer and U.S. Representative Markey in urging the NRC not to follow such a course.

We were deeply disturbed when on April 10 the NRC staff disregarded numerous statements of concern and announced a "preliminary finding" that a San Onofre restart at 70% power posed no significant safety risk.

We join a wide range of concerned citizens and public officials in believing that a full and transparent review of the failed San Onofre generators is essential before the NRC considers any potential restart at either partial or full power of the failed generator.

We support Senator Boxer, Chair of the Environment and Public Works Committee, who stated on April 10, 2013: "The NRC staff proposal, which could pave the way for the restart of the San Onofre nuclear power plant before the investigations of the crippled plant are completed, is dangerous and premature."

Given the recent failure of tubes that carry radioactive water, speeding restart of San Onofre through a so-called "license amendment" that shortcuts procedures is totally inappropriate. SCE's request to weaken its license requirements was made despite evidence showing that there could be a significant hazard from the operation of the deficient steam generators.

Given the troubled history and current condition of the plant and the raised level of public concern, the public deserves a full review by the NRC of conditions at San Onofre before it considers a restart of either failed generator.

I support the call by the Sierra Club for the NRC to block any restart of the failed San Onofre generators at this time, and to conduct a comprehensive and open review process.

Thank you for your attention to these concerns.

Leslie Brashear
841 N Greenpark Ave
Covina, CA 91724-2613

May 1, 2013

Ms Cindy Bladey
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, DC 20555-0001

Dear Ms Bladey,

The comments that follow are regarding Docket ID NRC20130070.

We ask that the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) take no action that could lead to a restart of the San Onofre nuclear power plant before the Commission completes a comprehensive investigation and provides full opportunity for public participation and independent expert testimony.

Southern California Edison (SCE) is attempting to shortcut the license review process by calling on the NRC to make a fast-track restart decision. We and other environmental groups have joined with U.S. Senator Boxer and U.S. Representative Markey in urging the NRC not to follow such a course.

We were deeply disturbed when on April 10 the NRC staff disregarded numerous statements of concern and announced a "preliminary finding" that a San Onofre restart at 70% power posed no significant safety risk.

We join a wide range of concerned citizens and public officials in believing that a full and transparent review of the failed San Onofre generators is essential before the NRC considers any potential restart at either partial or full power of the failed generator.

We support Senator Boxer, Chair of the Environment and Public Works Committee, who stated on April 10, 2013: "The NRC staff proposal, which could pave the way for the restart of the San Onofre nuclear power plant before the investigations of the crippled plant are completed, is dangerous and premature."

Given the recent failure of tubes that carry radioactive water, speeding restart of San Onofre through a so-called "license amendment" that shortcuts procedures is totally inappropriate. SCE's request to weaken its license requirements was made despite evidence showing that there could be a significant hazard from the operation of the deficient steam generators.

Given the troubled history and current condition of the plant and the raised level of public concern, the public deserves a full review by the NRC of conditions at San Onofre before it considers a restart of either failed generator.

I support the call by the Sierra Club for the NRC to block any restart of the failed San Onofre generators at this time, and to conduct a comprehensive and open review process.

Thank you for your attention to these concerns.

Felix Just
480 S Batavia St
Orange, CA 92868-3907