

RULES AND DIRECTIVES  
BRANCH  
USNRC

As of: June 05, 2013  
Received: May 29, 2013  
Status: Pending\_Post  
Tracking No. 1jx-851z-5utq  
Comments Due: June 03, 2013  
Submission Type: Web

# PUBLIC SUBMISSION

RECEIVED

**Docket:** NRC-2013-0063  
Draft Environmental Assessment and Finding of No Significant Impact

**Comment On:** NRC-2013-0063-0002  
Entergy Nuclear Operations, Inc., Indian Point Nuclear Generating Unit No. 3; Extension of Public Comment Period

**Document:** NRC-2013-0063-DRAFT-0037  
Comment on FR Doc # 2013-10792

---

## Submitter Information

**Name:** Edward Butler  
**Address:**  
New York, 10021

5/7/2013  
78 FR 26467  
47  
2

---

## General Comment

Comment on NRC-2013-0063:

NRC should deny the exemption and require Entergy to comply with the regulations, which were written to protect the plant and the public from the very real risk of a fire that could lead to a meltdown at Indian Point. NRC failed to do an Environmental Impact Study of the risks and impacts of granting the exemption, including looking at alternatives, such as replacing the insulation. At a minimum the NRC must conduct a full EIS, look at all alternatives and allow time for public comment. NRC should hold a public hearing in the vicinity of Indian Point to fully explain its exemption process, and take public input on Entergy's failure to comply with fire safety regulations that were established over 20 years ago.

SUNSI Review Complete  
Template = ADM -- 013  
E-RIDS= ADM-03  
Add= D. Pickett (dvp1)