RULES AND DIRECTIVES
BRANCH
USNRC

## PUBLIC SUBMISSION B MAY 31 PM 4: 25

As of: May 31, 2013
Received: May 29, 2013
Status: Pending\_Post
Tracking No. 1jx-85lv-lisq
Comments Due: June 03, 2013
Submission Type: Web

**Docket:** NRC-2013-0063

t and Finding of No Significant Impact

Draft Environmental Assessment and Finding of No Significant Impact

Comment On: NRC-2013-0063-0002

Entergy Nuclear Operations, Inc., Indian Point Nuclear Generating Unit No. 3; Extension of Public Comment

Period

**Document:** NRC-2013-0063-DRAFT-0004

Comment on FR Doc # 2013-10792

**Submitter Information** 

5/7/2013 M8 FR 26662

Name: Edward Keller

Address:

Florida, NY, 10921

## General Comment

The NRC should deny the exemption and require Entergy to comply with the regulations, which were written to protect the plant and the public from the very real risk of a fire that could lead to a meltdown at Indian Point.

The NRC failed to do an Environmental Impact Study (EIS) of the risks and impacts of granting the exemption, including looking at alternatives, such as replacing the insulation. At a minimum the NRC must conduct a full EIS, look at all alternatives and allow time for public comment.

The NRC should hold a public hearing in the vicinity of Indian Point to fully explain its exemption process, and take public input on Entergy's failure to comply with fire safety regulations that were established over 20 years ago.

SUNSI Review Complete Template = ADM - 013 E-RIDS= ADM-03 Add= D. Pickett (dvp1)