

RULES AND DIRECTIVES
BRANCH
USNRC

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

2013 MAY 31 PM 4: 24

As of: May 31, 2013
Received: May 29, 2013
Status: Pending Post
Tracking No. 1jx-85lv-mtx9
Comments Due: June 03, 2013
Submission Type: Web

Docket: NRC-2013-0063

Draft Environmental Assessment and Finding of No Significant Impact

RECEIVED

Comment On: NRC-2013-0063-0002

Entergy Nuclear Operations, Inc., Indian Point Nuclear Generating Unit No. 3; Extension of Public Comment Period

Document: NRC-2013-0063-DRAFT-0002

Comment on FR Doc # 2013-10792

Submitter Information

Name: Amy Goldsmith

Address:

132 Cleveland Drive
Croton on Hudson, NY, 10520

5/7/2013
78 FR 26662
12

General Comment

- The NRC should deny the exemption and require Entergy to comply with the regulations, which were written to protect the plant and the public from the very real risk of a fire that could lead to a meltdown at Indian Point.
- The NRC failed to do an Environmental Impact Study (EIS) of the risks and impacts of granting the exemption, including looking at alternatives, such as replacing the insulation. At a minimum the NRC must conduct a full EIS, look at all alternatives and allow time for public comment.
- The NRC should hold a public hearing in the vicinity of Indian Point to fully explain its exemption process, and take public input on Entergy's failure to comply with fire safety regulations that were established over 20 years ago.

• Rather than upgrading the insulation to comply with the federal requirements, Entergy asked the NRC for an exemption from the standards, and the NRC granted it in 2007. When asked at a public meeting how many exemptions it had given to Indian Point over the years, a NRC official literally said "I don't know, we don't keep a list."

This is important. We live in the 10 mile zone. My son is a volunteer firefighter and would be called to a fire.

SUNSI Review Complete
Template = ADM - 013
E-RIDS= ADM-03
Add= D. Pickett (dvp1)