

ITAAC CLOSURE VERIFICATION EVALUATION FORM (VEF)

An Operations Engineer from the Office of New Reactors (NRO), Division of Construction Inspection and Operational Programs (DCIP) in concert with other reviewer(s) assigned to an ITAAC closure review has completed this form for the listed Inspections, Tests, Analyses, and Acceptance Criteria (ITAAC).

Docket No.: 5200026

Combined License No.: NPF-92

Plant Name: Vogtle Unit 4 Combined License

Licensee Name: Southern Nuclear Operating Company, Inc.

ITAAC ID No.: E.2.5.04.05.05.01

ITAAC Targeting Status: Targeted for NRC Inspection

ITAAC Family Designation: 01C

ITAAC Closure Notification (ICN) Agencywide Document Access and Management System

Accession No.: ML13127A390

Enter "Yes" in the blank at the beginning of a statement below if the whole statement is true, "No" if the whole or part of the statement is not true and "N/A" if the statement is not applicable.

- a. Yes The ICN identifies all of the following: (1) licensee, (2) plant site name, (3) unit number, and (4) plant's docket number.
- b. Yes The ITAAC in the ICN agrees with the version of ITAAC in the combined license.
- c. N/A If ITAAC is a "Reference ITAAC", all the ITAAC it references have been verified as successfully completed. (Enter "N/A" if ITAAC is not a reference ITAAC.)
- d. Yes All ITAAC findings relevant to the ITAAC are listed in the ICN as closed, and any required Region II inspections have been completed for the ITAAC. (Enter "N/A" if the Construction Inspection Program Information Management System has no inspection findings or required inspections for the ITAAC.)
- e. N/A If the licensee performed the inspections, tests, and/or analyses of the Inspections, Tests, Analyses (ITA) at locations other than the final installed location for ITAAC where the ITA is specified as being performed on "as-built" structures, systems, or components, then the licensee has based on the guidance in NEI 08-01, either summarized a technical justification or provided a reference to a generic technical justification in the ITAAC determination basis (IDB) of the ICN or ITAAC Post-Closure Notification (IPCN) that establishes why it was acceptable to perform the ITA at a location other than its final installed location. (Enter N/A if ITA was not performed at a remote location or if the ITA is not specified as "as-built.")
- f. Yes The ICN indicates that the licensee completed the ITAAC as affirmed by the signature of a licensee representative.
- g. Yes Person(s) with the requisite technical and engineering knowledge has/have determined that the IDBs of the original ICN and subsequent ICNs and, if warranted IPCNs, contain sufficient information, including summarizing the methodology for performing the ITAAC, to conclude that the licensee has successfully performed the inspection, test, and/or analysis stated in the ITAAC and the basis for the ITAAC remaining closed if it was reopened.

ITAAC CLOSURE VERIFICATION EVALUATION FORM (VEF)

- h. Yes Person(s) with the requisite technical and engineering knowledge has/have determined that the IDBs of the original ICN and subsequent ICNs and, if warranted IPCNs, contain sufficient information to conclude that the licensee has fully met the entire acceptance criterion stated in the ITAAC and the basis for the ITAAC remaining closed if it was reopened.
- i. No During concurrence review, a potential problem was identified which prevents verifying the completion of the ITAAC
- j. No Additional NRO or NSIR Reviewers were assigned to the ITAAC closure review with their names entered into the blanks at the top of previous page based on their expertise being required.

If steps "a" through "h" are all "Yes" or all are "Yes" except step(s) "c", "d", and/or "e" are "N/A", the ICN or IPCN has sufficient information; otherwise, the ICN or IPCN is rejected, and the licensee must submit a new ICN of record or new IPCN. For reviews of IPCN, the reviewer must consider the IPCN in conjunction with the earlier ICN to determine whether statements "g" and "h" are "Yes". If Statement "i" is "Yes", an evaluation will be performed to determine if there is a material error. If there is a material error, the licensee must submit a new ICN of record and the ITAAC will be classified as not completed. If an ITAAC finding results from Region II inspections for that material error, other ITAAC in the same family will be assessed with appropriate actions taken. For exceedance of a maintenance threshold, the licensee will submit an IPCN to be reviewed by ICVP.

The reviewers may provide integrated comments in the space provided below if the reviewers determined that the licensee did successfully complete the ITAAC including, but not limited to, identifying pertinent ICN or IPCN statements, comments on performance of ITAAC at other than final installed location, listing documents reviewed from the licensee's ITAAC completion, etc. Comments are mandatory in the field "Insufficient ICN/Deficiency Notes" below if reviewers determined that ITAAC was not successfully completed.

Lead Reviewer - Kleeh, Edmund Notes:

The ICN has sufficient information to verify ITAAC E.2.5.04.05.05.01 for Vogtle Unit 4 as completed by the licensee

DCIP Operations Engineer: Kleeh, Edmund

Date: June 05, 2013

DCIP Branch Chief or Designee: Mahmoud Jardaneh for Kowal, Mark

Date: June 05, 2013

NOTE: Enter "N/A" in the next four blanks if no additional reviewers were assigned.

1st additional reviewer: N/A

Reviewer's Branch Chief or Designee: N/A

2nd additional reviewer: N/A

Reviewer's Branch Chief or Designee: N/A