EXAM ASSIGNMENT TICKLER

Chief:	Garchow	Facility:	GG	Date	of Writte	en Exam:	NA :
		Start of Op Test:	5/15/2013	100 mm	End of	Op Test:	5/16/2013
Written Exa	m Developed By: NRC / F	acility	Operating Tes	t Develo	ped By:	NRC /	Facility
Due Date	Description		Date Complete	Initials		Notes	
	Written Exam & Op Test Dates Confire	ned	2/11/13	mo			
	NRC Examiners & Facility Contact Ass	signed	2/11/13	mo			
	Facility Contact Briefed on Security &	Other Req's	2/11/13	mo			
	Corporate Notification Letter sent		2/18/3	mo	ES-201 At	t-4 produced	by CE
2/28/13-	Reference material due (if NRC author	red)	NA	Mo	ES-201 At	t-3	
2/28/13	Integrated exam outlines due		3/1/13	mo			
3/13/13	Outlines reviewed by CE; feedback ap	proved by BC	3/13/13	mo	ES-201-2	signed by Cl	E & BC
	Feedback on integrated outlines provid	led to facility	3/13/13	102			
	DRAFT exam / docs / support reference	e material due	4/12//3	TOF			
	Peer review of written exam complete		NA	10.8	Document	review on E	S-401-9
	Preliminary license applications due		4/29/13	m	NRC Form	s 398/396	
	Preliminary license applications and wa	aivers reviewed	4/29/13	No			
	DRAFT exam reviewed by CE; feedba	ck approved by BC	4/17/13	135			
	Feedback on DRAFT exam provided to	facility	4/17/13	100			
	On-site validation & 10% audit of licens	se applications	NA.	115			
	Final applications due & List of Applica	nts prepared	5/6/13	m	ES-201-4	orepared by	LA
	Final applications approved & waiver le	etters sent	5/9/13	mo	-		
	Branch Chief approves FINAL exam (V	Vritten & Op Test)	4/29/13	no		es / BC signs etter (ES-20	
	Proctoring/written exam admin guidelin	es reviewed w/ facility	NA	m	,		
	Exam material to exam team		NA	Mo			
	Administer Operating Test		5/15-16/13	no	•		
	Facility post-exam documentation due		NA	M			
	NRC written exam grading completed		NA	m	ES-403-1 t	o BC	
	Examiner's document op test results or	ES 303's	5/28/13	108			
	Chief Examiner review of written exam	& op test completed	5/28/13	no	Signed ES	303's to BC	
	Branch Chief review of exam results co	mpleted	5/29/13	M			
1	Waivers/deferrals reviewed for impact o	on licensing decision	5/28/13	90			
	License/Denial letters mailed; Facility n	otified of results	5/29/13	200	•		
1	RPS/IP number of examinees updated		5/29/13	20	print Repor	t-21	
	Examination Report Issued		6/1/13	816	produced b	y CE	
	SUNSI checklist complete and exam do	cs to ADAMS	6/7/13	INB	SUNSI che	cklist to LA	
F	Ref Mat'l Returned after Final Resolutio	n of Appeals	NA.	08			
	Replaces NUREG-10	21, Revision 9, Supp 1,	Forms ES-201-1 a	nd ES-50	1-1		

M

Facility:	Grand Gulf Nuclear Station Date of Examination: 5/8/2013			
Item	Task Description	—	Initial	T
1.	Verify that the outline(s) fit(s) the appropriate model, in accordance with ES-401.	l a	b*	C#
W Ft	Assess whether the outline was systematically and randomly prepared in accordance with Section D.1 of ES-401 and whether all K/A categories are appropriately sampled.	N/A	N/A	N/A
T	c. Assess whether the outline over-emphasizes any systems, evolutions, or generic topics.	N/A	N/A	N/A
E N	d. Assess whether the justifications for deselected or rejected K/A statements are appropriate.	N/A	N/A	N/A
2.	 Using Form ES-301-5, verify that the proposed scenario sets cover the required number of normal evolutions, instrument and component failures, technical specifications, and major transients. 	50R	B	M
M U L A	b. Assess whether there are enough scenario sets (and spares) to test the projected number and mix of applicants in accordance with the expected crew composition and rotation schedule without compromising exam integrity, and ensure that each applicant can be tested using at least one new or significantly modified scenario, that no scenarios are duplicated from the applicants' audit test(s), and that scenarios will not be repeated on subsequent days.	50R	B	M
O R	c. To the extent possible, assess whether the outline(s) conform(s) with the qualitative and quantitative criteria specified on Form ES-301-4 and described in Appendix D.	SOR	1	M
3. W / T	 a. Verify that the systems walk-through outline meets the criteria specified on Form ES-301-2: (1) the outline(s) contain(s) the required number of control room and in-plant tasks distributed among the safety functions as specified on the form task repetition from the last two NRC examinations is within the limits specified on the form no tasks are duplicated from the applicants' audit test(s) (4) the number of new or modified tasks meets or exceeds the minimums specified on the form the number of alternate path, low-power, emergency, and RCA tasks meet the criteria on the form. 	sol	В	Smb
	 b. Verify that the administrative outline meets the criteria specified on Form ES-301-1: (1) the tasks are distributed among the topics as specified on the form (2) at least one task is new or significantly modified (3) no more than one task is repeated from the last two NRC licensing examinations 	SOR	В	816
	 Determine if there are enough different outlines to test the projected number and mix of applicants and ensure that no items are duplicated on subsequent days. 	SOR	В	mb
4. G	 Assess whether plant-specific priorities (including PRA and IPE insights) are covered in the appropriate exam sections. 	sol	3	RNB
EZ	b. Assess whether the 10 CFR 55.41/43 and 55.45 sampling is appropriate.	500	B	SME
ER	c. Ensure that K/A importance ratings (except for plant-specific priorities) are at least 2.5.	SOR	B	Smb
A -	d. Check for duplication and overlap among exam sections.	SOR	8	mb
- }	e. Check the entire exam for balance of coverage.	SDR	8	SMG
c. NRC (Chief Examiner (#) Supervisor MICHAEL BACON IMPROVING STORY Supervisor MICHAEL BACON IMPROVING STORY MICHAEL BACON IMPR	SOR	3/1/ 3/4/ 4/25	100 13 13 13 13 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14
Note:	# Independent NRC reviewer initial items in Column "c"; chief examiner concurrence requirements applicable for NRC-prepared examination outlines.	Jired.		

Faci	lity: GGNS	Date of Examination: 5/8/2013 Operating Test Numb	er: LOT	Г-2012	·-1
				Initia	s
		1. General Criteria	а	b*	c#
а.	The operating tes sampling requirer	of conforms with the previously approved outline; changes are consistent with ments (e.g., 10 CFR 55.45, operational importance, safety function distribution).	SOR	B	pro
b.	There is no day-to during this exami	o-day repetition between this and other operating tests to be administered nation.	50R	B	M
C.	The operating test	shall not duplicate items from the applicants' audit test(s). (see Section D.1.a.)	SOR	B	M
d.	Overlap with the vacceptable limits.	written examination and between different parts of the operating test is within	SOL	B	M
е.	It appears that the applicants at the o	e operating test will differentiate between competent and less-than-competent designated license level.	SDR	B	M
		2. Walk-Through Criteria			
a. b.	 initial condition initiating cuesting references and esignation in the condition operationally and detailed and esignation in the condition operationally and estatled and estatled and estatled and estatled and estatled in the condition of the condition of	-·· ·	50R	B	pp
	criteria (e.g., item on those forms an	distribution, bank use, repetition from the last 2 NRC examinations) specified	sor	D	11
		3. Simulator Criteria		_	Nova
The a	associated simulator ope ES-301-4 and a copy is	erating tests (scenario sets) have been reviewed in accordance with attached.	SOR	B	M
a. /	Author	Steve Reeves / Steve Reeves 4/8	/13	te	
b. I	Facility Reviewer(*)	MIKE BACON / Marana B 4-8	3-13	Notes in the last of the last	
	NRC Chief Examiner (#)		T I	- 3	
NOTE		nature is not applicable for NRC-developed tests. IRC reviewer initial items in Column "c"; chief examiner concurrence required.			

Facil	Ity: Grand Gulf Nuclear Station Date of Exam:5/8/2013 Sce	nario Numbers: 1 / 2	Operating Tes	t No.:	LOT-2	012-1
	QUALITATIVE ATTRIBUTES				Initials	.
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		а	b*	c#
1.	The initial conditions are realistic, in that some equipment and of service, but it does not cue the operators into expected ever	d/or instrumentation ments.	ay be out	16	B	M
2.	The scenarios consist mostly of related events.			K	B	M
3.	 Each event description consists of the point in the scenario when it is to be initiated the malfunction(s) that are entered to initiate the event the symptoms/cues that will be visible to the crew the expected operator actions (by shift position) the event termination point (if applicable) 			b	B	fut
4.	No more than one non-mechanistic failure (e.g., pipe break) is without a credible preceding incident such as a seismic event	s incorporated into the	scenario	5	B	Bur
5.	The events are valid with regard to physics and thermodynam	cs.		5	3	8W6
6.	Sequencing and timing of events is reasonable, and allows the complete evaluation results commensurate with the scenario o	examination team to objectives.	obtain	5	B	Int
7.	If time compression techniques are used, the scenario summar Operators have sufficient time to carry out expected activities v Cues are given.	y clearly so indicates. vithout undue time con	straints.	K	B	M
8.	The simulator modeling is not altered.			¥ ≤.	ß	IN
9.	The scenarios have been validated. Pursuant to 10 CFR 55.46 performance deficiencies or deviations from the referenced plate to ensure that functional fidelity is maintained while running the	int have been evaluate	or ed	19	B	M
10.	Every operator will be evaluated using at least one new or sig All other scenarios have been altered in accordance with Sect	K	B	M		
11.	All individual operator competencies can be evaluated, as verified using Form ES-301-6 (submit the form along with the simulator scenarios).					pro
12.	Each applicant will be significantly involved in the minimum number of transients and events specified on Form ES-301-5 (submit the form with the simulator scenarios).				B	for
13.	The level of difficulty is appropriate to support licensing decisions for each crew position.				B	10
	Target Quantitative Attributes (Per Scenario; See Section D	0. 5.d) Act	ual Attributes			
1.	Total malfunctions (5–8)		7 / 7	上	B	Mo
2.	Malfunctions after EOP entry (1–2)		1 / 2	K	B	M
3.	Abnormal events (2–4)		2 / 2	k	В	yno-
4.	Major transients (1–2)		1 / 1	15	B	SM
5.	EOPs entered/requiring substantive actions (1–2)		1 / 2	16	B	1No
6.	EOP contingencies requiring substantive actions (0-2)		1 / 1	45	B	MF
7.	Critical tasks (2–3)		2 / 3	16	B	M

Page 1 of 4 05/29/2013

Operator Licensing Exam Schedule From 05/01/2013 To 06/01/2013

12:50:50

Report 21

Region: 4

Phase Code: Operating Reactors (5)

Exam Week	Exam Week Site/Docket No./Insp Rpt #	# Candidates	Type	Exam Author		Examiners Assigned
03/20/2013	03/20/2013 Grand Gulf / 05000416 / 2013301 TAC #: X02536		Prep		GARCHOW, STEPHEN M.	DEVERCELLY, RICHARD GARCHOW, STEPHEN M
04/07/2013	Grand Gulf / 05000416 / 2013003 Procedure #: 7111111Q				SMITH, RICHARD L.	RICE, BLAKE B.
05/14/2013	Grand Gulf / 05000416 / 2013301 TAC #: X02536	SROI-3	Admin	H H	GARCHOW, STEPHEN M.	DEVERCELLY, RICHARD GARCHOW, STEPHEN M
05/20/2013	Grand Gulf / 05000416 / 2013301 TAC #: X02536		Doc		GARCHOW, STEPHEN M.	DEVERCELLY, RICHARD GARCHOW, STEPHEN M.

Sites: GG Orgs: ALL Exam Author: ALL

Page 2 of 4 05/29/2013

Operator Licensing Exam Schedule From 05/01/2013 To 06/01/2013

12:50:50

Report 21

Region: 4

Phase Code: Operating Reactors (5)

Summary By Date 05/2013 GG - Grand Gulf

RO - 0	SROI - 3	SROU - 0	LSRO-0	Total for Grand Gulf: 3
05/2013				
RO - 0	SROI - 3	SROU - 0	LSRO - 0	Total for 05/2013: 3
03/2013 GG - Grand Gulf				
RO - 0	SROI - 0	SROU - 0	LSRO-0	Total for Grand Gulf: 0
03/2013				
RO - 0	SROI - 0	SROU - 0	LSRO-0	Total for 03/2013: 0
04/2013 GG - Grand Gulf				

Sites: GG Orgs: ALL Exam Author: ALL

12:50:50 Page 3 of 4 05/29/2013

Operator Licensing Exam Schedule

From 05/01/2013 To 06/01/2013

Report 21

Phase Code: Operating Reactors (5)

Region: 4

Summary By Site GG - Grand Gulf RO - 0

SROU-0 SROI - 3

LSRO-0

Total for Grand Gulf: 3

Sites: GG Orgs: ALL Exam Author: ALL

Operator Licensing Exam Schedule From 05/01/2013 To 06/01/2013

12:50:50

05/29/2013 Report 21

Page 4 of 4

Region: 4

Phase Code: Operating Reactors (5)

Summary By Region

Region 4 RO - 0

SROI - 3

SROU-0

LSRO-0

Total for Region 4: 3

Sites: GG Orgs: ALL Exam Author: ALL

ES-201

Examination Security Agreement

Form ES-201-3

Pre-Examination

suggestions that examination security may have been compromised. enforcement action against me or the facility licensee. I will immediately report to facility management or the NRC chief examiner any indications or licensee's procedures) and understand that violation of the conditions of this agreement may result in cancellation of the examinations and/or an provide direct or indirect feedback). Furthermore, I am aware of the physical security measures and requirements (as documented in the facility authorized by the NRC (e.g., acting as a simulator booth operator or communicator is acceptable if the individual does not select the training content or administered these licensing examinations from this date until completion of examination administration, except as specifically noted below and date of my signature. I agree that I will not knowingly divulge any information about these examinations to any persons who have not been authorized by the NRC chief examiner. I understand that I am not to instruct, evaluate, or provide performance feedback to those applicants scheduled to be acknowledge that I have acquired specialized knowledge about the NRC licensing examinations scheduled for the week(s) of _5/6/2013 _ as of the

Post-Examination

To the best of my knowledge, I did not divulge to any unauthorized persons any information concerning the NRC licensing examinations administered during the week(s) of -5/6/2613 */13 From the date that I entered into this security agreement until the completion of examination administration, I did not below and authorized by the NRC. instruct, evaluate, or provide performance feedback to those applicants who were administered these licensing examinations, except as specifically noted

13. Blento 17/19/19/2000 14. James D. Chrol 15. M. Chrol K. Koscot NOTES:	9. Dust, Lil 10. April Havaraue 11. TEMETHAN SOBELUS 12. MICKEY Ellis	5. MICHAEL BACON 6. EADRIEL HARGRONE 7. 17-MCJ MTCBMC 8. KENLAND	2. Syle Grillis 3. Chert Boldman 4. Robert Brinkmunter	PRINTED NAME
RO RO EVAM Admin	OPS INSTRUCTOR	apacago 'satatus	Ops Inst./Leed Exam writer Cox Inst./exam developer Stan Eng	JOB TITLE / RESPONSIBILITY
The state of the s	Crowle margre some	Way W	Semporer 3092	SIGNATURE (1)
81/2/12 81/4/12 81/4/12 81/4/12	1 5/14/15 61/52-75 61/62/15	3/1/3/ (1/23/c 2/1-26/2	2/4/13	DATE
AND THE PROPERTY OF THE PARTY O	male Elly	THE PARTY OF THE P	150 May 13079	SIGNATURE (2)
5/20/13 5/20/13	5/20 //3 5/21/13	5/16-13 5/16-13	5/16.13 5-16.13 2 5/22/13	DATE NOTE

2012-1 Remedial

110 20

ES-201 **Examination Security Agreement** Form ES-201-3

Pre-Examination

of my signature. I agree that I will not knowingly divulge any information about these examinations to any persons who have not been authorized by the may have been compromised the facility licensee. I will immediately report to facility management or the NRC chief examiner any indications or suggestions that examination security (e.g., acting as a simulator booth operator or communicator is acceptable if the individual does not select the training content or provide direct or indirect understand that violation of the conditions of this agreement may result in cancellation of the examinations and/or an enforcement action against me or feedback). Furthermore, I am aware of the physical security measures and requirements (as documented in the facility licensee's procedures) and NRC chief examiner. I understand that I am not to instruct, evaluate, or provide performance feedback to those applicants scheduled to be administered these licensing examinations from this date until completion of examination administration, except as specifically noted below and authorized by the NRC as of the date -US-15-13

Post-Examination

during the week(s) of 515-13. From the date that I entered into this security agreement until the completion of examination administration, I did not below and authorized by the NRC. instruct, evaluate, or provide performance feedback to those applicants who were administered these licensing examinations, except as specifically noted To the best of my knowledge, I did not divulge to any unauthorized persons any information concerning the NRC licensing examinations administered

14. 15. NOTES:	\(\frac{1}{12}\) \(\fra	9. 10.	7. Softw/13	6. CARTER MOLLOWINE	4. Jan Bareswill	1. Mailes Kaberts 2. Rogen Bono	PRINTED NAME
			/ 3. , 0	OPS Shiff Morager	OPS INSTRUCTOR	OPS INSTRUCTOR/ SURLOGATE	JOB TITLE / RESPONSIBILITY
			MD.	level of the	W OW OW	Chank Our	SIGNATURE (1)
			7.7	5/10/13 Permy Line	5-15-13 MARCH	3 Mark Brys	DATE 5.15:13 5.15.13 W /
			13.1	5-2013	5.21-203	5-2013	DATE NOTE