



Indian Point Energy Center
450 Broadway, GSB
P.O. Box 249
Buchanan, N.Y. 10511-0249
Tel (914) 254-6700

John A. Ventosa
Site Vice President

NL-13-083

May 23, 2013

U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Attn: Document Control Desk
Washington, D.C. 20555-0001

SUBJECT: Licensee Event Report # 2013-005-00, "Automatic Actuation of Safety Injection and Engineered Safety Features During Reactor Protection System Functional Testing"
Indian Point Unit No. 3
Docket No. 50-286
DPR-64

Dear Sir or Madam:

Pursuant to 10 CFR 50.73(a)(1), Entergy Nuclear Operations Inc. (ENO) hereby provides Licensee Event Report (LER) 2013-005-00. The attached LER identifies an event where the safety injection system actuated along with engineered safety features during Reactor Protection System functional testing, which is reportable under 10 CFR 50.73(a)(2)(iv)(A). This condition was recorded in the Entergy Corrective Action Program as Condition Report CR-IP3-2013-02115.

There are no new commitments identified in this letter. Should you have any questions regarding this submittal, please contact Mr. Robert Walpole, Manager, Licensing at (914) 254-6710.

Sincerely,

JAV/cbr

cc: Mr. William Dean, Regional Administrator, NRC Region I
NRC Resident Inspector's Office, Indian Point 3
Ms. Bridget Frymire, New York State Public Service Commission
LEREvents@inpo.org

IE22
NR

LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER)

Estimated burden per response to comply with this mandatory collection request: 50 hours. Reported lessons learned are incorporated into the licensing process and fed back to industry. Send comments regarding burden estimate to the Records and FOIA/Privacy Service Branch (T-5 F52), U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555-0001, or by internet e-mail to infocollects@nrc.gov, and to the Desk Officer, Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, NEOB-10202, (3150-0104), Office of Management and Budget, Washington, DC 20503. If a means used to impose an information collection does not display a currently valid OMB control number, the NRC may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, the information collection.

1. FACILITY NAME: INDIAN POINT 3

2. DOCKET NUMBER
05000-286

3. PAGE
1 OF 4

4. TITLE: Automatic Actuation of Safety Injection and Engineered Safety Features During Reactor Protection System Functional Testing

5. EVENT DATE			6. LER NUMBER			7. REPORT DATE			8. OTHER FACILITIES INVOLVED	
MONTH	DAY	YEAR	YEAR	SEQUENTIAL NUMBER	REV. NO.	MONTH	DAY	YEAR	FACILITY NAME	DOCKET NUMBER
3	27	2013	2013-	005 -	00	5	23	2013		05000
9. OPERATING MODE			11. THIS REPORT IS SUBMITTED PURSUANT TO THE REQUIREMENTS OF 10 CFR §: (Check all that apply)							
3			<input type="checkbox"/> 20.2201(b)	<input type="checkbox"/> 20.2203(a)(3)(i)	<input type="checkbox"/> 50.73(a)(2)(i)(C)	<input type="checkbox"/> 50.73(a)(2)(vii)				
			<input type="checkbox"/> 20.2201(d)	<input type="checkbox"/> 20.2203(a)(3)(ii)	<input type="checkbox"/> 50.73(a)(2)(ii)(A)	<input type="checkbox"/> 50.73(a)(2)(viii)(A)				
			<input type="checkbox"/> 20.2203(a)(1)	<input type="checkbox"/> 20.2203(a)(4)	<input type="checkbox"/> 50.73(a)(2)(ii)(B)	<input type="checkbox"/> 50.73(a)(2)(viii)(B)				
			<input type="checkbox"/> 20.2203(a)(2)(i)	<input type="checkbox"/> 50.36(c)(1)(i)(A)	<input type="checkbox"/> 50.73(a)(2)(iii)	<input type="checkbox"/> 50.73(a)(2)(ix)(A)				
			<input type="checkbox"/> 20.2203(a)(2)(ii)	<input type="checkbox"/> 50.36(c)(1)(ii)(A)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 50.73(a)(2)(iv)(A)	<input type="checkbox"/> 50.73(a)(2)(x)				
10. POWER LEVEL			<input type="checkbox"/> 20.2203(a)(2)(iii)	<input type="checkbox"/> 50.36(c)(2)	<input type="checkbox"/> 50.73(a)(2)(v)(A)	<input type="checkbox"/> 73.71(a)(4)				
0%			<input type="checkbox"/> 20.2203(a)(2)(iv)	<input type="checkbox"/> 50.46(a)(3)(ii)	<input type="checkbox"/> 50.73(a)(2)(v)(B)	<input type="checkbox"/> 73.71(a)(5)				
			<input type="checkbox"/> 20.2203(a)(2)(v)	<input type="checkbox"/> 50.73(a)(2)(i)(A)	<input type="checkbox"/> 50.73(a)(2)(v)(C)	<input type="checkbox"/> OTHER				
			<input type="checkbox"/> 20.2203(a)(2)(vi)	<input type="checkbox"/> 50.73(a)(2)(i)(B)	<input type="checkbox"/> 50.73(a)(2)(v)(D)	Specify in Abstract below or in NRC Form 366A				

12. LICENSEE CONTACT FOR THIS LER

NAME
John Lijoi, Instrumentation & Control Superintendent

TELEPHONE NUMBER (Include Area Code)
(914) 254-8704

13. COMPLETE ONE LINE FOR EACH COMPONENT FAILURE DESCRIBED IN THIS REPORT

CAUSE	SYSTEM	COMPONENT	MANUFACTURER	REPORTABLE TO EPIX	CAUSE	SYSTEM	COMPONENT	MANUFACTURER	REPORTABLE TO EPIX

14. SUPPLEMENTAL REPORT EXPECTED

YES (If yes, complete 15. EXPECTED SUBMISSION DATE) NO

15. EXPECTED SUBMISSION DATE

MONTH	DAY	YEAR

16. ABSTRACT (Limit to 1400 spaces, i.e., approximately 15 single-spaced type written lines)

On March 27, 2013, while in Mode 3 during startup from a refueling outage, an inadvertent safety injection (SI) occurred during reactor protection logic channel functional testing. The test procedure noted that pressurizer pressure must be above 1930 psig to perform the test but signals may be installed to simulate the condition. In accordance with Appendix A of the test, helipot installation is directed for four pressurizer (PZR) pressure channels to allow PZR pressure signals to be simulated. A helipot was first installed in PT-455 and then simulated pressure raised above 2000 psi. A second helipot was installed for PT-456 and simulated pressure was raised above 2000 psi. SI is automatically enabled below the 1720 psig signal required for SI actuation. During this time the plant is susceptible to an SI actuation if one of the inputted signals is lost. Before pressure could be simulated in the remaining transmitter PT-457, the test lead connected to PT-456 failed open causing the system to register a 2/3 PZR low pressure satisfying the logic for SI actuation. The root causes were 1) The test procedure did not contain steps to preclude a single point failure, 2) Manufacturing defect of a test equipment lead, 3) Insufficient evaluation of the risk of when the test was being performed. Corrective actions include removing the defective lead from service, inspecting and testing remaining leads. Procedure 3-PT-M13B will be revised to remove single point vulnerability. A Training Evaluation & Action Request will be initiated to create a Case Study for this event which will include information associated with performing in depth risk assessments when surveillance tests are added to or removed during an outage. A TEAR will incorporate the Study into operations training, and a review will be performed on acceptability of testing in Mode 3. The event had no significant effect on public health and safety.

LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER)

FACILITY NAME (1)	DOCKET (2)	LER NUMBER (6)			PAGE (3)
		YEAR	SEQUENTIAL NUMBER	REVISION NUMBER	
Indian Point Unit 3	05000-286	2013	- 005	- 00	2 OF 4

NARRATIVE (If more space is required, use additional copies of NRC Form 366A) (17)

Note: The Energy Industry Identification System Codes are identified within the brackets {}.

DESCRIPTION OF EVENT

On March 27, 2013, while in Mode 3 during startup from the Cycle 17 refueling outage (3R17), an inadvertent safety injection (SI) occurred at approximately 6:01 hours, during performance of surveillance 3-PT-M13B (Reactor Protection Logic Channel Functional Test). The SI signal also initiated a safeguards equipment signal, auxiliary feedwater system actuation, containment ventilation isolation, and containment phase A isolation. The safeguards equipment signal initiated Reactor Protection System (RPS) actuation, start of the Emergency Diesel Generators (EDGs), and containment fan cooler unit (FCU) actuation. The EDGs started but did not load as offsite power remained available. The condition was recorded in the Indian Point Energy Center (IPEC) Corrective Action Program (CAP) as Condition Report CR-IP3-2013-02115.

Performance of 3-PT-M13B commenced at 5:40 hours on March 27, 2013, with Reactor Coolant System (RCS) pressure at approximately 1200 psig and RCS temperature at approximately 390 degrees F. Test Section 2.3.2 (Precautions and Limitations) specifies that pressurizer (PZR) pressure must be above 1930 psig to perform the test but if the plant is shutdown, signals may be installed to simulate the condition. The simulation signals are installed and removed under Appendix A to the test. The low pressurizer pressure trip shuts down the reactor in case of an RCS break and serves as a backup to the steam break protection logic for the secondary plant. There are four pressure transmitters but only three of them provide input to the SI function. Three pressure channels are arranged in two out of three (2/3) logic to initiate a SI at 1720 psig. This trip can be manually blocked on an RCS cooldown once below 1900 psig as sensed by 2/3 channels. The signal is automatically removed when pressurizer pressure exceeds 1920 psig.

During implementation of 3-PT-M13B, Appendix A, helipot installation is directed for the PZR pressure channels to allow PZR pressure signals to be simulated. In accordance with Appendix A of the test, a helipot was first installed in pressure transmitter (PT)-455 and then simulated pressure was raised above 2000 psi. A second helipot was installed in PT-456 and again simulated pressure was raised above 2000 psi. SI is automatically enabled with 2 channels above 1900 psig but one of the two out three channel pressure signals remained below the 1720 psig signal required for SI actuation. During this time the plant is susceptible to an SI actuation if one of the inputted signals is lost. Before pressure could be simulated in the remaining transmitter (PT-457), the test lead connected to PT-456 failed open causing the system to register a 2/3 PZR low pressure satisfying the logic for SI actuation.

An Extent of Condition (EOC) review determined the test is normally performed while online where simulation of signals is not required. Therefore, this event can only occur if the test is performed during an outage and the SI is armed. The condition does not apply to unit 2 as the Unit 2 test does not allow the injection of simulated signals.

LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER)

FACILITY NAME (1)	DOCKET (2)	LER NUMBER (6)			PAGE (3)
		YEAR	SEQUENTIAL NUMBER	REVISION NUMBER	
Indian Point Unit 3	05000-286	2013	- 005	- 00	3 OF 4

Cause of Event

The direct cause of the inadvertent SI was a faulty test lead that failed open during testing. The test lead failure occurred when the plant was vulnerable when the signal was raised above the SI enable unblock setpoint. The following root causes (RC) were identified: RC1: The test procedure did not contain steps to preclude nor did it recognize the risk of a single point failure. This condition was a latent procedure issue that was missing critical information associated with inputting simulated signals. The test procedure contains a weakness in the methodology for applying PZR signals in Attachment A. As written, each of the signals are brought up past 1900 psig independently. The SI block is automatically enabled after 2 of the 3 signals exceed 1900 psig. This makes the plant susceptible to an SI actuation if one of the inputted signals is lost prior to the remaining channel has raised above the SI enable setpoint. To prevent this the test was revised to take all three PZR signals above the 1720 psig SI trip point, but not exceed the 1900 psig thereby allowing SI to remain blocked. RC2: Manufacturing defect of a test equipment lead. An intermittent open test lead resulted in a momentary lost signal when the lead was manipulated. RC3: Plant personnel did not sufficiently evaluate the risk of when the test was being performed in relation to the impact on the plant. Performing 3-PT-M13B in Mode 3 at low RCS pressure was not adequately evaluated in terms of the impact on the Technical Specification (TS) and plant. Simulating pressure signals via the helipot resulted in Function 7 of TS Table 3.3.2-1 to be inoperable which was not recognized and consequently not appropriately assessed. In addition, the simulated PZR pressure signals resulted in the loss of automatic actuation capability for both PZR pressure PORV's. Manual operability of PORV operation was unaffected. Had these impacts been recognized, additional oversight and reviews would have been required.

Corrective Actions

The following corrective actions have been or will be performed under the Corrective Action Program (CAP) to address the cause of this event.

- The defective test lead was removed from service.
- The remaining test leads were inspected and tested to ensure there are no other defective test leads.
- Procedure 3-PT-M13B will be revised to remove single point vulnerability.
- A TEAR will be initiated to create a Case Study for this event which will include information associated with performing in depth risk assessments when surveillance tests are added to or removed during an outage.
- The TEAR initiated for the Case Study will be incorporated into operations continuing training.
- A review will be performed on the acceptability of performing the test in Mode 3 at low press.

Event Analysis

The event is reportable under 10CFR50.73(a)(2)(iv)(A), any event or condition that resulted in manual or automatic actuation of any of the systems listed in 10CFR50.73(a)(2)(iv)(B). Systems to which the requirements of 10CFR50.73(a)(2)(iv)(A) apply for this event include the Reactor Protection System (RPS), general containment isolation signals affecting containment isolation valves in more than one system or multiple main steam isolation valves, Emergency core cooling systems (ECCS) including intermediate head and low pressure injection function of the residual heat removal system, auxiliary feedwater system, containment heat removal and depressurization systems including containment spray and fan cooler systems and emergency ac electric power systems including emergency diesel generators.

LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER)

FACILITY NAME (1)	DOCKET (2)	LER NUMBER (6)			PAGE (3)
		YEAR	SEQUENTIAL NUMBER	REVISION NUMBER	
Indian Point Unit 3	05000-286	2013	- 005	- 00	4 OF 4

NARRATIVE (If more space is required, use additional copies of NRC Form 366A) (17)

This event meets the reporting criteria because an SI actuation was initiated. The SI signal also initiated a safeguards equipment signal, auxiliary feedwater system actuation, containment ventilation isolation, and containment phase A isolation. The safeguards equipment signal initiated start of the Emergency Diesel Generators (EDGs), Reactor Protection System (RPS) actuation, and containment fan cooler unit (FCU) actuation. The EDGs started but did not load as offsite power remained available. An immediate notification was provided by EN# 48854 on March 27, 2013 at 7:30 hours.

Past Similar Events

A review of the past three years of Licensee Event Reports (LERs) for events that involved inadvertent SI actuation did not identify any events.

Safety Significance

This event had no significant effect on the health and safety of the public. There were no actual safety consequences for the event because there were no accidents or transients during the time of the event and the SI actuation was not in response to an actual condition or event requiring mitigation. Due to the SI signal, the SI pumps automatically started and water from the Refueling Water Storage Tank was injected into the RCS cold legs. Actual pressurizer level was maintained less than 100% and the steam bubble along with RCS pressure control was maintained. The pressurizer safety valves did not lift during the transient. For this event SI was terminated with RCS temperature at approximately 390 degrees F which is above the 10CFR50, Appendix G limit of 380 degrees F. The primary to secondary side differential pressure limit of 1700 psid was not exceeded as a result of the event. Following the inadvertent SI, the pressurizer heatup rate exceeded the 100 degree F/ hour limit associated with Technical Requirements Manual (TRM) TRO 3.4.D during system restoration. An evaluation of this condition concluded the structural integrity of the pressurizer was acceptable.