



CONVERSATION RECORD

6/5/2013

NAME OF PERSON(S) CONTACTED OR IN CONTACT WITH YOU Adrian Heymer		DATE OF CONTACT 06/04/2013	TYPE OF CONVERSATION <input type="checkbox"/> E-MAIL <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> TELEPHONE <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> INCOMING <input type="checkbox"/> OUTGOING
E-MAIL ADDRESS aph@nei.org		TELEPHONE NUMBER (202) 739-8094	
ORGANIZATION Nuclear Energy Institute	DOCKET NUMBER(S) N/A		
LICENSE NUMBER(S) N/A	CONTROL NUMBER(S) N/A		
SUBJECT Cumulative Effects of Regulation			
<p>SUMMARY</p> <p>Adrian Heymer from the Nuclear Energy Institute (NEI) called Tara Inverso of the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) to provide an update on the industry's efforts to obtain volunteer facilities for the cumulative effect of regulation (CER) case studies. He indicated that, as of now, it appears that 9 (or 10) plants will be interested in the case studies, specifically:</p> <p>NFPA 805 – 3 plants Power Reactor Security – 3 or 4 plants Subpart I to Part 26 – 1 plant</p> <p>2 plants are still deciding which regulatory analysis they want to focus on. In addition to this work, some plants may want to analyze the cost estimates of gas accumulation or flooding work. NEI understands that those are not full fledged regulatory analyses or rules, but thinks there could be benefit in providing case study comparisons nonetheless. Ms. Inverso explained that the NRC would appreciate any voluntary information it received.</p> <p>NEI is going to meet with the volunteer facilities next week to finalize the list of facilities/subject regulatory analyses. They will also discuss how to interact with each other and the NRC, how to communicate the results, and how to deal with proprietary issues.</p> <p><b>Continue on Page 2</b></p>			
ACTION REQUIRED (IF ANY)  None.			
<p><b>Continue on Page 3</b></p>			
NAME OF PERSON DOCUMENTING CONVERSATION Tara Inverso			
SIGNATURE 			

**CONVERSATION RECORD (continued)**

SUMMARY: (Continued from page 1)

Mr. Heymer asked whether the NRC is planning to document the findings of the case studies in a report, or if the NRC is expecting the facilities to do that. Ms. Inverso indicated that it was her view that the facilities would document their findings, and that perhaps NEI would combine the case studies into one report to provide to the NRC. The NRC could then use that report to inform the Commission of the outcome of the case studies.

Regardless of whether the industry or NRC will be preparing a report of the findings, Mr. Heymer recommended that the NRC first meet one-on-one with each facility, in a closed setting due to proprietary concerns, before any reports are drafted, to discuss any identified deltas, the reasons why implementation costs were what they were, and the reasons how/why NRC came up with the cost estimates.

Ms. Inverso thanked Mr. Heymer for NEI's quick turnaround in preparing its May 21, 2013, letter to the NRC (ADAMS Accession No. ML13143A299).