From:

Richard Brodsky <richardbrodsky@msn.com>

Sent:

Thursday, May 30, 2013 2:35 PM

To:

Averbach, Andrew

Subject:

RE: Request to Extend the Public Comment Period for Indian Point Draft EA and FONSI

Our discussion of terrorism issues includes both risk and consequence. The risk discussion involves the intentions and planning used by terrorists. In an abundance of caution we are considering whether such unredacted comments would be appropriate and seek your views.

From: Andrew.Averbach@nrc.gov

To: richardbrodsky@msn.com; Douglas.Pickett@nrc.gov CC: Robert.Rader@nrc.gov; Benjamin.Torrance@usdoj.gov

Date: Thu, 30 May 2013 14:29:23 -0400

Subject: RE: Request to Extend the Public Comment Period for Indian Point Draft EA and FONSI

Dear Mr. Brodsky:

Doug Pickett forwarded me your email about redactions to comments on the EA/FONSI. It might be easier for us to respond to your question if we understood the basis for redacting the comments at issue. Is that something you're in a position to provide to us?

Andrew Averbach

Andrew P. Averbach Solicitor U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (301) 415-1956 andrew.averbach@nrc.gov

From: Averbach, Andrew

Sent: Wednesday, May 29, 2013 5:21 PM **To:** 'Richard Brodsky'; Pickett, Douglas

Cc: Rader, Robert; Torrance, Benjamin (USANYS) (Benjamin.Torrance@usdoj.gov)

Subject: RE: Request to Extend the Public Comment Period for Indian Point Draft EA and FONSI

Mr. Brodsky:

I am responding to your email request of May 28 concerning the submission of comments related to the draft EA/FONSI issued for the Indian Point fire protection exemption, 78 Fed. Reg. 20144 (Apr. 3, 2013).

The Federal Register notice sets forth three ways for comments to be submitted, including electronic submission through www.regulations.gov. Given the late date and the availability of these avenues for submitting comments, we cannot accommodate a request to submit comments by email.

Please feel free to contact me should you have any guestions.

Andrew P. Averbach Solicitor U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (301) 415-1956 andrew.averbach@nrc.gov

From: Richard Brodsky [mailto:richardbrodsky@msn.com]

Sent: Tuesday, May 28, 2013 3:58 PM

To: Pickett, Douglas

Subject: RE: Request to Extend the Public Comment Period for Indian Point Draft EA and FONSI

Dear Mr. Pickett,

I left a telephone message for you earlier today. We've received a number of inquiries from citizens who wish to submit comments in the IP fire safety matter. They tell us that they wish to do so by e-mail. Can arrangements be made for those citizens to do so?

Thanks,

R

From: <u>Douglas.Pickett@nrc.gov</u>
To: richardbrodsky@msn.com

CC: <u>ulrich@ulrichwitte.com</u>; <u>phillip@riverkeeper.org</u>; <u>hf@hamiltonfish.com</u>; <u>Robert.Rader@nrc.gov</u>; <u>Louise.Lund@nrc.gov</u>; <u>Andrew.Averbach@nrc.gov</u>; <u>Sean.Meighan@nrc.gov</u>; <u>SPrussm@entergy.com</u>;

rwalpol@entergy.com; Daniel.Frumkin@nrc.gov

Date: Wed, 24 Apr 2013 10:26:38 -0400

Subject: Request to Extend the Public Comment Period for Indian Point Draft EA and FONSI

Mr. Brodsky -

We are agreeable to extending the time for comments an additional 30 days, but need to discuss the details for doing so with management and our counsel, and coordinate with the U.S. Attorney. I will get back to you early next week on this, as key personnel are out for the rest of this week.

Please feel free to contact me if you have any questions.

Doug

Douglas V. Pickett, Senior Project Manager Indian Point Nuclear Generating Unit U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission 301-415-1364

Email: douglas.pickett@nrc.gov

From: Richard Brodsky [mailto:richardbrodsky@msn.com]

Sent: Tuesday, April 23, 2013 11:08 AM

To: Pickett, Douglas

Cc: ulrich@ulrichwitte.com; phillip@riverkeeper.org; hf@hamiltonfish.com

Subject:

Dear Mr. Pickett,

I write with respect to Docket ID NRC–2013–0063, the reconsideration of an exemption from Fire Safety requirements at the Indian Point facility previously issued on September 28, 2007.

The issues are complex, important and difficult. They require extensive review and analysis of evidence, documents and actions taken over six years ago. Our initial work is well underway, but we have concluded that we, and many others interested in filing comments, cannot complete the drafting and filing of a full and adequate comment within the 30-day period set forth in the Federal Register.

Accordingly, we ask that the comment period be extended for 30 days.

I called at the number set forth in the Register yesterday. Please get back to me as your schedule permits at 914.720.8830.

Thanks.

Best wishes,

Richard Brodsky