NRC FORM 591M PART 1 U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION								
10 CFR 2.201 SAFETY INSPECTION REPORT AND COMPLIANCE INSPECTION								
1. LICENSEE/LOCATION INSPECTED: 2. NRC/REGIONAL OFFICE								
Advanced PET I	maging Network	Region III						
4005 Orchard Dr			U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission					
Midland, Michigan			2443 Warrenville Road, Suite 210					
			Lisle, IL 60532-4352					
REPORT NUMBER								
3. DOCKET NUMBER(S	<b>)</b>	4. LICENSE NUMBE	ER(S)	5. DATE(S) OF INSPECTIO	N			
030-38146		21-32763-01	May 22, 2013					
LICENSEE:								
The inspection was an examination of the activities conducted under your license as they relate to radiation safety and to compliance with the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) rules and regulations and the conditions of your license. The inspection consisted of selective examinations of								
procedures and representative records, interviews with personnel, and observations by the inspector. The inspection findings are as follows:								
1	2. Previous violation(s) closed.							
<ol> <li>The violations(s), specifically described to you by the inspector as non-cited violations, are not being cited because they were self-identified, non-repetitive, and corrective action was or is being taken, and the remaining criteria in the NRC Enforcement Policy, to exercise discretion, were satisfied.</li> </ol>								
Non-cited violation(s) were discussed involving the following requirement(s):								
4. During thi	s inspection, certain of your activities, a	as described below	and/or attached, were in violation	n of NRC requirements ar	nd are being			
cited in ac	ccordance with NRC Enforcement Polic	cy. This form is a N	OTICE OF VIOLATION, which ma	ay be subject to posting in	accordance			
with 10 CFR 19.11. (Violations and Corrective Actions)								
h.								
Statement of Corrective Actions								
I hereby state that, within 30 days, the actions described by me to the Inspector will be taken to correct the violations identified. This statement of corrective actions is made in accordance with the requirements of 10 CFR 2.201 (corrective steps already taken, corrective steps which will be taken, date when full compliance will be achieved). I understand that no further written response to NRC will be required, unless specifically requested.								
TITLE	PRINTED NAME		SIGNATURE		DATE			
LICENSEE'S REPRESENTATIVE	·····		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
NRC INSPECTOR	Geoffrey M. Warren		Dy W-		5/22/13			
BRANCH CHIEF	Aaron T. McCraw		ATML	/	5/30/17			
NRC FORM 591M PART	1 (07 2012)		Jan the second s		····/·····			

	i							
NRC FORM 591M PART 3 (07-2012) 10 CFR 2.201								
	ETY INSPECT	TION REPORT ANI		NCE INSPECTION				
1. LICENSEE/LOCATION INSPEC	CTED:	<u></u>	2. NRC/REGIONAL	OFFICE				
Advanced PET Imaging	Network		Region III					
4005 Orchard Drive			-	ar Regulatory Commission				
Midland, Michigan			2443 Warrenville Road, Suite 210 Lisle, IL 60532-4352					
REPORT NUMBER(S) 2013	3001							
3. DOCKET NUMBER(S)		4. LICENSE NUMBER	.(S)	5. DATE(S) OF INSPECTION				
030-38146		21-32763-01		May 22, 2013				
6. INSPECTION PROCEDURES U	JSED	7. INSPECTION FOCU	7. INSPECTION FOCUS AREAS					
87130		03.01 - 03.08						
		PLEMENTAL INSPECT						
1. PROGRAM CODE(S)	2. PRIORITY	3. LICENSEE CONTAG		4. TELEPHONE NUMBER				
02220	3	James Botti, M.	S., RSO	(989) 839-1479				
✓ Main Office Inspective	ection	Next Inspection	n Date:	May 2016				
Field Office Inspe	ection							
Temporary Job S	Site Inspection							
		PROGRAM S	COPE					
The licensee was a mobile PET coach headquartered in Midland, Michigan. The licensee provided PET imaging services using fluorine-18, primarily tumor imaging, to Mid-Michigan Medical Center in Midland, St. Mary's Town Center in Saginaw, and other area medical facilities. The coach was staffed with two nuclear medicine technologists during normal operating hours. Five technologists worked part-time for the licensee, and also worked at other area hospitals. Two drivers transported the coach between sites. Technologists typically performed 140 PET imaging procedures monthly. Doses were received as unit doses at the coach from a licensed radiopharmacy. The licensee was considering adding a second coach in the future.								
Performance Observations: The inspector observed two diagnostic administrations of licensed materials, including dose preparation and disposal. Licensee personnel demonstrated dose calibrator constancy checks, survey meter and wipe counter QC, package receipt and return surveys and wipes, and daily contamination surveys and wipes. The inspector noted no concerns with these activities. Interviews with licensee personnel indicated adequate knowledge of radiation safety concepts and procedures. Review of dosimetry and survey records indicated no exposures of regulatory concern. The inspector performed independent and confirmatory radiation measurements which indicated results consistent with licensee survey records and postings.								
The licensee's RSO is a contract physicist. Licensee management work at Mid-Michigan Medical Center. Additional contacts include Mike VanderPol, System Imaging Manager, at (989) 839-1384.								
ĺ								
1								