

Linda Nitti
24 Pleasanton Ln
Ladera Ranch, CA 92694-0222

RULES AND DIRECTIVES
BRANCH
USNRC

2013 MAY 23 AM 10: 31

RECEIVED

Apr 26, 2013

Cindy Bladey
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, DC 20555-0001

Subject: Docket ID NRC20130070, My Comments to the NRC: Do Not Restart San Onofre Unit 2

Dear Cindy Bladey,

The comments that follow are regarding Docket ID NRC20130070.

We ask that the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) take no action that could lead to a restart of the San Onofre nuclear power plant before the Commission completes a comprehensive investigation and provides full opportunity for public participation and independent expert testimony.

Southern California Edison (SCE) is attempting to shortcut the license review process by calling on the NRC to make a fast-track restart decision. We and other environmental groups have joined with U.S. Senator Boxer and U.S. Representative Markey in urging the NRC not to follow such a course.

We were deeply disturbed when on April 10 the NRC staff disregarded numerous statements of concern and announced a "preliminary finding" that a San Onofre restart at 70% power posed no significant safety risk.

We join a wide range of concerned citizens and public officials in believing that a full and transparent review of the failed San Onofre generators is essential before the NRC considers any potential restart at either partial or full power of the failed generator.

We support Senator Boxer, Chair of the Environment and Public Works Committee, who stated on April 10, 2013: "The NRC staff proposal, which could pave the way for the restart of the San Onofre nuclear power plant before the investigations of the crippled plant are completed, is dangerous and premature."

Given the recent failure of tubes that carry radioactive water, speeding restart of San Onofre through a so-called "license amendment" that shortcuts procedures is totally inappropriate. SCE's request to weaken its license requirements was made despite evidence showing that there could be a significant hazard from the operation of the deficient steam generators.

Given the troubled history and current condition of the plant and the raised level of public concern, the public deserves a full review by the NRC of conditions at San Onofre before it considers a restart of either failed generator.

I support the call by the Sierra Club for the NRC to block any restart of the failed San Onofre generators at this time, and to conduct a comprehensive and open review process.

I am appalled that opening this old plant with a history of issues would even be considered. This plant is in a densely populated area with limited access to evacuation routes. There are so many people living in this general area that if there was a Fukushima type disaster the roads would be so severely congested there would be no way out. I live in this area, there are many families with young children in the area and neighboring areas due to all the new construction and children are one of the most susceptible to life long health problems and cancers from radiation incidents like these. Its time to pull the plug permanently on this antiquated plant before a disaster happens here... I can not and will never support anyone that supports re opening this plant. This is an accident waiting to happen, that will cause irreversible damage to human life, the landscape, the economy.. There is no upside worth the risk here... They disassembled the plant in Long

Island NY, we can do the same here. Thanks for your time regarding this
VERY important matter..

Thank you for your attention to these concerns.
Linda Nitti

Karen Stone
25031 Silverleaf Ln
Laguna Hills, CA 92653-4918

Apr 26, 2013

Cindy Bladey
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, DC 20555-0001

Subject: Docket ID NRC20130070, My Comments to the NRC: Do Not Restart San Onofre Unit 2

Dear Cindy Bladey,

The comments that follow are regarding Docket ID NRC20130070.

We ask that the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) take no action that could lead to a restart of the San Onofre nuclear power plant before the Commission completes a comprehensive investigation and provides full opportunity for public participation and independent expert testimony.

Southern California Edison (SCE) is attempting to shortcut the license review process by calling on the NRC to make a fast-track restart decision. We and other environmental groups have joined with U.S. Senator Boxer and U.S. Representative Markey in urging the NRC not to follow such a course.

We were deeply disturbed when on April 10 the NRC staff disregarded numerous statements of concern and announced a "preliminary finding" that a San Onofre restart at 70% power posed no significant safety risk.

We join a wide range of concerned citizens and public officials in believing that a full and transparent review of the failed San Onofre generators is essential before the NRC considers any potential restart at either partial or full power of the failed generator.

We support Senator Boxer, Chair of the Environment and Public Works Committee, who stated on April 10, 2013: "The NRC staff proposal, which could pave the way for the restart of the San Onofre nuclear power plant before the investigations of the crippled plant are completed, is dangerous and premature."

Given the recent failure of tubes that carry radioactive water, speeding restart of San Onofre through a so-called "license amendment" that shortcuts procedures is totally inappropriate. SCE's request to weaken its license requirements was made despite evidence showing that there could be a significant hazard from the operation of the deficient steam generators.

Given the troubled history and current condition of the plant and the raised level of public concern, the public deserves a full review by the NRC of conditions at San Onofre before it considers a restart of either failed generator.

It needs to not only consider the plant itself, but also the factors relating to safety that could make any accident worse: the inadequate evacuation routes, the too low tsunami wall, the consequences to home values just being too close to this potential danger, possible destruction of livable area for homes and businesses, several earthquake faults nearby and possible terrorist activity. The economy of Orange County and even the Los Angeles area could be threatened if there were a major incident...Even some of the employees have concerns about the plant's safety.

I support the call by the Sierra Club for the NRC to block any restart of the failed San Onofre generators at this time, and to conduct a comprehensive and open review process. We want to continue to live and work in our beautiful Orange County without feeling that we have to worry about a major danger that could ruin our lives and livelihoods! We don't want to have to evacuate permanently like they have near the Fukushima plants due to lack of concern for safety! Safety should be number one if we want to preserve our vibrant Orange County economy and

way of life!

Thank you for your attention to these concerns.
Karen Stone

Dr. Deena Metzger

CA 90290-3712

RECEIVED

2013 MAY 23 AM 10:31

RULES AND DIRECTIVES
BRANCH
USNRC

Apr 26, 2013

Cindy Bladey
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, DC 20555-0001

Subject: Docket ID NRC20130070, My Comments to the NRC: Do Not Restart San Onofre Unit 2

Dear Cindy Bladey,

The comments that follow are regarding Docket ID NRC20130070.

We ask that the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) take no action that could lead to a restart of the San Onofre nuclear power plant before the Commission completes a comprehensive investigation and provides full opportunity for public participation and independent expert testimony.

Southern California Edison (SCE) is attempting to shortcut the license review process by calling on the NRC to make a fast-track restart decision. We and other environmental groups have joined with U.S. Senator Boxer and U.S. Representative Markey in urging the NRC not to follow such a course.

We were deeply disturbed when on April 10 the NRC staff disregarded numerous statements of concern and announced a "preliminary finding" that a San Onofre restart at 70% power posed no significant safety risk.

We join a wide range of concerned citizens and public officials in believing that a full and transparent review of the failed San Onofre generators is essential before the NRC considers any potential restart at either partial or full power of the failed generator.

We support Senator Boxer, Chair of the Environment and Public Works Committee, who stated on April 10, 2013: "The NRC staff proposal, which could pave the way for the restart of the San Onofre nuclear power plant before the investigations of the crippled plant are completed, is dangerous and premature."

Given the recent failure of tubes that carry radioactive water, speeding restart of San Onofre through a so-called "license amendment" that shortcuts procedures is totally inappropriate. SCE's request to weaken its license requirements was made despite evidence showing that there could be a significant hazard from the operation of the deficient steam generators.

San Onofre must not be restarted!!!

San Onofre Whistleblower Cites "Potentially Catastrophic"
Design Flaw

Inside source tells local news channel that cracked generator pipes at nuclear power plant could cause a full or partial meltdown

- Lauren McCauley, staff writer

A former safety engineer with the San Onofre Nuclear Generating Station is blowing the whistle on a "potentially catastrophic problem" at the currently offline Southern California Edison plant.

Surfers catch waves in the shadows of the San Onofre nuclear plant.
(Photo: digitizedchaos/ Flickr)

According to a report by San Diego's Channel 10 News, an unnamed source--who has 25 years working in the field of nuclear safety--said that a faulty redesign of the plant's steam generators has put the system at risk of a "full or partial meltdown."

"There is something grossly wrong," he told the news station.

Since Mitsubishi Heavy Industries (MHI) undertook a redesign of the generators in 2010 and 2011, the tubes that carry scalding water and steam from the generator have been crashing into one another creating cracks and "unprecedented tube failure."

Of 19,400 tubes, a Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) report found more than 17 percent were damaged.

Dr. Joe Hopenfled, a former employee of the NRC, explained to Channel 10 that "the worst case scenario is a main steam line break," which could be caused by tubes cracking, the tube walls thinning or metal fatigue.

Both the unnamed safety engineer and Hopenfled agree that if such a thing occurs, "there is potential for the reactor core to overheat--which could mean a full or partial meltdown."

To restart the plant would be a criminal act which could well kill thousands of people and destroy California. There is no legal, ethical, conscientious way you can approve the restart.

Thank you for your attention to these concerns.
Dr. Deena Metzger

Geneva Jackson
9512 Pacific Ave
Anaheim, CA 92804-5942

RECEIVED

2013 MAY 23 AM 10:31

RULES AND DIRECTIVES
BRANCH
USNRC

Apr 28, 2013

Cindy Bladey
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, DC 20555-0001

Subject: Docket ID NRC20130070, My Comments to the NRC: Do Not Restart San Onofre Unit 2

Dear Cindy Bladey,

The comments that follow are regarding Docket ID NRC20130070.

We ask that the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) take no action that could lead to a restart of the San Onofre nuclear power plant before the Commission completes a comprehensive investigation and provides full opportunity for public participation and independent expert testimony.

Southern California Edison (SCE) is attempting to shortcut the license review process by calling on the NRC to make a fast-track restart decision. We and other environmental groups have joined with U.S. Senator Boxer and U.S. Representative Markey in urging the NRC not to follow such a course.

We were deeply disturbed when on April 10 the NRC staff disregarded numerous statements of concern and announced a "preliminary finding" that a San Onofre restart at 70% power posed no significant safety risk.

We join a wide range of concerned citizens and public officials in believing that a full and transparent review of the failed San Onofre generators is essential before the NRC considers any potential restart at either partial or full power of the failed generator.

We support Senator Boxer, Chair of the Environment and Public Works Committee, who stated on April 10, 2013: "The NRC staff proposal, which could pave the way for the restart of the San Onofre nuclear power plant before the investigations of the crippled plant are completed, is dangerous and premature."

Given the recent failure of tubes that carry radioactive water, speeding restart of San Onofre through a so-called "license amendment" that shortcuts procedures is totally inappropriate. SCE's request to weaken its license requirements was made despite evidence showing that there could be a significant hazard from the operation of the deficient steam generators.

We live in Orange County never did i imagine i would in my lifetime be so closely surrounded by not one but 2 nuclear plants.

When i was about 3 living in Pennsylvania 3 mile Island had its meltdown I was so young i could not fathom the stress my mother endured as we lived across the river from the plant and it was within view at all times.

Now as an adult getting ready to get married this year i think will my children have to be raised around a melt down? will i get to experience what my mother had in the past..

I can only imagine what horror and devastation the Japanese people when through after the tsunami hit. I have recieved pics from friends in Oregeon of debris that has washed ashore and im amazed this is a conversation about reopening a plant.

Please do not reopen. create earth safer energy and jobs another way

please

Given the troubled history and current condition of the plant and the raised level of public concern, the public deserves a full review by the NRC of conditions at San Onofre before it considers a restart of either failed generator.

I support the call by the Sierra Club for the NRC to block any restart of the failed San Onofre generators at this time, and to conduct a comprehensive and open review process.

Thank you for your attention to these concerns.
Geneva Jackson

Ruth Peck
1405 Afton St
Pasadena, CA 91103-2702

RECEIVED

2013 MAY 23 AM 10:31

RULES AND DIRECTIVES
BRANCH
USNRC

Apr 29, 2013

Cindy Bladey
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, DC 20555-0001

Subject: Docket ID NRC20130070, My Comments to the NRC: Do Not Restart San Onofre Unit 2

Dear Cindy Bladey,

The comments that follow are regarding Docket ID NRC20130070.

We ask that the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) take no action that could lead to a restart of the San Onofre nuclear power plant before the Commission completes a comprehensive investigation and provides full opportunity for public participation and independent expert testimony.

Southern California Edison (SCE) is attempting to shortcut the license review process by calling on the NRC to make a fast-track restart decision. We and other environmental groups have joined with U.S. Senator Boxer and U.S. Representative Markey in urging the NRC not to follow such a course.

We were deeply disturbed when on April 10 the NRC staff disregarded numerous statements of concern and announced a "preliminary finding" that a San Onofre restart at 70% power posed no significant safety risk.

We join a wide range of concerned citizens and public officials in believing that a full and transparent review of the failed San Onofre generators is essential before the NRC considers any potential restart at either partial or full power of the failed generator.

We support Senator Boxer, Chair of the Environment and Public Works Committee, who stated on April 10, 2013: "The NRC staff proposal, which could pave the way for the restart of the San Onofre nuclear power plant before the investigations of the crippled plant are completed, is dangerous and premature."

Given the recent failure of tubes that carry radioactive water, speeding restart of San Onofre through a so-called "license amendment" that shortcuts procedures is totally inappropriate. SCE's request to weaken its license requirements was made despite evidence showing that there could be a significant hazard from the operation of the deficient steam generators.

Given the troubled history and current condition of the plant and the raised level of public concern, the public deserves a full review by the NRC of conditions at San Onofre before it considers a restart of either failed generator.

I support the call by the Sierra Club for the NRC to block any restart of the failed San Onofre generators at this time, and to conduct a comprehensive and open review process.

San Onofre nuclear plant is very much like the plant in Fukushima, Japan which was destroyed and emitted large amounts of nuclear material. The precipitating causes were a large earthquake and resultant tsunami. Both of these conditions may strike San Onofre.

We urgently need to transfer vast money from nuclear to solar, wind, and other sources of renewable energy.

Sincerely,

Robert M. Peck, MD, FACC, Associate Clinical Professor of Medicine,
Keck/USC School of Medicine

Thank you for your attention to these concerns.



Ruth Peck

2013 MAY 23 AM 10:31

RECEIVED

Gail Noon

CA

Apr 26, 2013

Cindy Bladey
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, DC 20555-0001

Subject: Docket ID NRC20130070, My Comments to the NRC: Do Not Restart San Onofre Unit 2

Dear Cindy Bladey,

The comments that follow are regarding Docket ID NRC20130070.

We ask that the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) take no action that could lead to a restart of the San Onofre nuclear power plant before the Commission completes a comprehensive investigation and provides full opportunity for public participation and independent expert testimony.

Southern California Edison (SCE) is attempting to shortcut the license review process by calling on the NRC to make a fast-track restart decision. We and other environmental groups have joined with U.S. Senator Boxer and U.S. Representative Markey in urging the NRC not to follow such a course.

We were deeply disturbed when on April 10 the NRC staff disregarded numerous statements of concern and announced a "preliminary finding" that a San Onofre restart at 70% power posed no significant safety risk.

We join a wide range of concerned citizens and public officials in believing that a full and transparent review of the failed San Onofre generators is essential before the NRC considers any potential restart at either partial or full power of the failed generator.

We support Senator Boxer, Chair of the Environment and Public Works Committee, who stated on April 10, 2013: "The NRC staff proposal, which could pave the way for the restart of the San Onofre nuclear power plant before the investigations of the crippled plant are completed, is dangerous and premature."

Given the recent failure of tubes that carry radioactive water, speeding restart of San Onofre through a so-called "license amendment" that shortcuts procedures is totally inappropriate. SCE's request to weaken its license requirements was made despite evidence showing that there could be a significant hazard from the operation of the deficient steam generators.

Dear Cindy Bladey,

Re: Docket ID NRC20130070

We ask that the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) take NO action that could lead to a restart of the San Onofre nuclear power plant before the Commission completes a COMPREHENSIVE investigation, and provides FULL opportunity for public participation and INDEPENDENT expert testimony !

Southern California Edison (SCE) is attempting to shortcut the license review process by calling on the NRC to make a fast-track restart decision. We and other environmental groups have joined with U.S. Senator Boxer and U.S. Representative Markey in urging the NRC NOT to follow such a course.

We were DEEPLY disturbed when on April 10, the NRC staff disregarded numerous statements of concern, and announced a "preliminary finding" that a San Onofre restart at 70% power posed no significant safety risk.

We join a WIDE range of concerned citizens and public officials in believing that a FULL and TRANSPARENT review of the failed San Onofre

generators is ESSENTIAL, before the NRC considers ANY potential restart at either partial or full power of the failed generator.

Given the recent failure of tubes that carry radioactive water, speeding restart of San Onofre through a so-called "license amendment" that short cuts procedures is TOTALLY INAPPROPRIATE !!! . SCE's request to weaken its license REQUIRMENTS was made despite evidence showing that there could be a SIGNIFICANT hazard from the operation of the deficient steam generators.

Given the troubled history and current condition of the plant, and the raised level of public concern. the public deserves a FULL review by the NRC of conditions at San Onofre BEFORE it considers ANY restart of either failed generator.

I SUPPORT the call by the Sierra Club for the NRC to BLOCK ANY restart of the failed San Onofre generators at this time, and to conduct a COMPREHENSIVE and OPEN review process !

Angeles Chapter
angeles.sanonofre@gmail.com

Thank you for your attention to these concerns.
Gail Noon

Robert Atkinson
13659 Victory Blvd
Van Nuys, CA 91401-1735

RECEIVED

2013 MAY 23 AM 10:31

RULES AND DIRECTIVES
BRANCH
USNRC

Apr 26, 2013

Cindy Bladey
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, DC 20555-0001

Subject: Docket ID NRC20130070, My Comments to the NRC: Do Not Restart San Onofre Unit 2

Dear Cindy Bladey,

The comments that follow are regarding Docket ID NRC20130070.

We ask that the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) take no action that could lead to a restart of the San Onofre nuclear power plant before the Commission completes a comprehensive investigation and provides full opportunity for public participation and independent expert testimony.

Southern California Edison (SCE) is attempting to shortcut the license review process by calling on the NRC to make a fast-track restart decision. We and other environmental groups have joined with U.S. Senator Boxer and U.S. Representative Markey in urging the NRC not to follow such a course.

We were deeply disturbed when on April 10 the NRC staff disregarded numerous statements of concern and announced a "preliminary finding" that a San Onofre restart at 70% power posed no significant safety risk.

We join a wide range of concerned citizens and public officials in believing that a full and transparent review of the failed San Onofre generators is essential before the NRC considers any potential restart at either partial or full power of the failed generator.

We support Senator Boxer, Chair of the Environment and Public Works Committee, who stated on April 10, 2013: "The NRC staff proposal, which could pave the way for the restart of the San Onofre nuclear power plant before the investigations of the crippled plant are completed, is dangerous and premature."

Given the recent failure of tubes that carry radioactive water, speeding restart of San Onofre through a so-called "license amendment" that shortcuts procedures is totally inappropriate. SCE's request to weaken its license requirements was made despite evidence showing that there could be a significant hazard from the operation of the deficient steam generators.

I'M A PROPERTY OWNER JUST A FEW MILES FROM THIS PLANT. THE DISASTER EXAMPLE OF FUKUSHIMA IS A BLATANT LIVING EXAMPLE OF WHY THIS IS A BAD IDEA!!

The location and condition of FAILURE of the San Onofre plant is the perfect setup for a horrendous disaster for California.

ARE PROFIT AND GREED SO OVERWHELMING THAT IT'S WORTH THE TIME-BOMB RISK OF THAT MUCH DESTRUCTION AND RADIOACTIVITY TO THIS STATE?? OF COURSE NOT.

Following the March 2011 Fukushima nuclear disaster, Germany has permanently shut down eight of its reactors and pledged to close the rest by 2022. The Italians have voted overwhelmingly to keep their country non-nuclear. Switzerland and Spain have banned the construction of new reactors. Japan's prime minister has called for a dramatic reduction in Japan's reliance on nuclear power. Taiwan's president did the same. Mexico has sidelined construction of 10 reactors in favor of developing natural-gas-fired plants. Belgium is considering phasing out its nuclear plants, perhaps as early as 2015.

WHY ARE AMERICAN "POWERS THAT BE" ALWAYS SO BLIND-SIGHTED TO REALITY THAT'S IN THEIR FACES ALREADY BUT STILL REMAIN SO STUPIDLY, ARROGANTLY,

CORPORATELY GREEDILY OUT OF SYNC??? (A RHETORICAL QUESTION)

THIS IS AN OBVIOUS BIG RED FLAG "NO." LEAVE IT SHUT DOWN!!

Given the troubled history and current condition of the plant and the raised level of public concern, the public deserves a full review by the NRC of conditions at San Onofre before it considers a restart of either failed generator.

I support the call by the Sierra Club for the NRC to block any restart of the failed San Onofre generators at this time, and to conduct a comprehensive and open review process.

Thank you for your attention to these concerns.
Robert Atkinson

Robert Taylor
1416 Stanford Dr
Glendale, CA 91205-3615

RECEIVED

2013 MAY 23 AM 10:31

RULES AND DIRECTIVES
BRANCH
USNRC

Apr 26, 2013

Cindy Bladey
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, DC 20555-0001

Subject: Docket ID NRC20130070, My Comments to the NRC: Do Not Restart San Onofre Unit 2

Dear Cindy Bladey,

The comments that follow are regarding Docket ID NRC20130070.

We ask that the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) take no action that could lead to a restart of the San Onofre nuclear power plant before the Commission completes a comprehensive investigation and provides full opportunity for public participation and independent expert testimony.

Southern California Edison (SCE) is attempting to shortcut the license review process by calling on the NRC to make a fast-track restart decision. We and other environmental groups have joined with U.S. Senator Boxer and U.S. Representative Markey in urging the NRC not to follow such a course.

We were deeply disturbed when on April 10 the NRC staff disregarded numerous statements of concern and announced a "preliminary finding" that a San Onofre restart at 70% power posed no significant safety risk.

We join a wide range of concerned citizens and public officials in believing that a full and transparent review of the failed San Onofre generators is essential before the NRC considers any potential restart at either partial or full power of the failed generator.

We support Senator Boxer, Chair of the Environment and Public Works Committee, who stated on April 10, 2013: "The NRC staff proposal, which could pave the way for the restart of the San Onofre nuclear power plant before the investigations of the crippled plant are completed, is dangerous and premature."

Given the recent failure of tubes that carry radioactive water, speeding restart of San Onofre through a so-called "license amendment" that shortcuts procedures is totally inappropriate. SCE's request to weaken its license requirements was made despite evidence showing that there could be a significant hazard from the operation of the deficient steam generators.

Given the troubled history and current condition of the plant and the raised level of public concern, the public deserves a full review by the NRC of conditions at San Onofre before it considers a restart of either failed generator.

I support the call by the Sierra Club for the NRC to block any restart of the failed San Onofre generators at this time, and to conduct a comprehensive and open review process.

We are seeing finally after these many decades Nuclear Power Plant operation that there are significant lapses in engineering design that could at any moment inflict injury or death upon power plant employees, radioactive exposure in surrounding neighborhoods and on adjacent highways, ocean fisheries, and consequences of power failures. How confident are we in this fallible human creation that boils water into steam to then turn electric turbines and produce electricity? I am not confident that in human designs, whether it is a power plant or an electric iron, they both will fail when you need them most. What other facts are yet to be uncovered that might put human life at risk?

Thank you for your attention to these concerns.
Robert Taylor

Debbie Verdugo
210 Avenida Montalvo
San Clemente, CA 92672-4482

RECEIVED

2013 MAY 23 AM 10: 31

RULES AND DIRECTIVES
BRANCH
USNRC

Apr 26, 2013

Cindy Bladey
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, DC 20555-0001

Subject: Docket ID NRC20130070, My Comments to the NRC: Do Not Restart San Onofre Unit 2

Dear Cindy Bladey,

The comments that follow are regarding Docket ID NRC20130070.

We ask that the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) take no action that could lead to a restart of the San Onofre nuclear power plant before the Commission completes a comprehensive investigation and provides full opportunity for public participation and independent expert testimony.

Southern California Edison (SCE) is attempting to shortcut the license review process by calling on the NRC to make a fast-track restart decision. We and other environmental groups have joined with U.S. Senator Boxer and U.S. Representative Markey in urging the NRC not to follow such a course.

We were deeply disturbed when on April 10 the NRC staff disregarded numerous statements of concern and announced a "preliminary finding" that a San Onofre restart at 70% power posed no significant safety risk.

We join a wide range of concerned citizens and public officials in believing that a full and transparent review of the failed San Onofre generators is essential before the NRC considers any potential restart at either partial or full power of the failed generator.

We support Senator Boxer, Chair of the Environment and Public Works Committee, who stated on April 10, 2013: "The NRC staff proposal, which could pave the way for the restart of the San Onofre nuclear power plant before the investigations of the crippled plant are completed, is dangerous and premature."

Given the recent failure of tubes that carry radioactive water, speeding restart of San Onofre through a so-called "license amendment" that shortcuts procedures is totally inappropriate. SCE's request to weaken its license requirements was made despite evidence showing that there could be a significant hazard from the operation of the deficient steam generators.

Given the troubled history and current condition of the plant and the raised level of public concern, the public deserves a full review by the NRC of conditions at San Onofre before it considers a restart of either failed generator.

I support the call by the Sierra Club for the NRC to block any restart of the failed San Onofre generators at this time, and to conduct a comprehensive and open review process.

I live less than 5 miles away from this hazardous monster.....the local surfers love the "warm" water near the plant and are dying off in droves due to various types of cancers.....dead fish wash up on the beach.....my water supply comes from the well just above the plant and has been know to contain nuclear contamination for years now (undrinkable by my standards!).....I'm fearful to go near the surf when I walk the beach and horrified to watch children and adults at play in "God- knows -what".....any time I hear a siren I'm fearful that it might be coming from "a little accident at the plant".

Enough already.....we are talking a highly populated area here and much too big a risk to allow SCE to play Russian roulette with our lives for financial greed related reasons.....lets sort out our priorities here, PLEASE!!!!!!!

Thank you for your attention to these concerns.
Debbie Verdugo

2013 MAY 23 AM 10:32

RECEIVED

Keith Nauls
 287 Atchison St
 Pasadena, CA 91104-1003

Apr 26, 2013

Cindy Bladey
 U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
 Washington, DC 20555-0001

Subject: Docket ID NRC20130070, My Comments to the NRC: Do Not Restart San Onofre Unit 2

Dear Cindy Bladey,

The comments that follow are regarding Docket ID NRC20130070.

We ask that the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) take no action that could lead to a restart of the San Onofre nuclear power plant before the Commission completes a comprehensive investigation and provides full opportunity for public participation and independent expert testimony.

Southern California Edison (SCE) is attempting to shortcut the license review process by calling on the NRC to make a fast-track restart decision. We and other environmental groups have joined with U.S. Senator Boxer and U.S. Representative Markey in urging the NRC not to follow such a course.

We were deeply disturbed when on April 10 the NRC staff disregarded numerous statements of concern and announced a "preliminary finding" that a San Onofre restart at 70% power posed no significant safety risk.

We join a wide range of concerned citizens and public officials in believing that a full and transparent review of the failed San Onofre generators is essential before the NRC considers any potential restart at either partial or full power of the failed generator.

We support Senator Boxer, Chair of the Environment and Public Works Committee, who stated on April 10, 2013: "The NRC staff proposal, which could pave the way for the restart of the San Onofre nuclear power plant before the investigations of the crippled plant are completed, is dangerous and premature."

Given the recent failure of tubes that carry radioactive water, speeding restart of San Onofre through a so-called "license amendment" that shortcuts procedures is totally inappropriate. SCE's request to weaken its license requirements was made despite evidence showing that there could be a significant hazard from the operation of the deficient steam generators.

San Onofre should unequivocally remain Inactive. San Onofre has suffered unprecedented levels of tube damage to its steam generators. Experts disagree with one another as to the cause of the damage, but agree that the reactor's steam tubes will be in danger of bursting in a matter of months.

Given the troubled history and current condition of the plant and the raised level of public concern, the public deserves a full review by the NRC of conditions at San Onofre before it ever considers a restart of either failed generator.

The California Coastal Commission is concerned about the potential damage to sea life. Those studies could ultimately reveal major retrofits are needed at San Onofre.

Another cost is the unresolved issue of where to store the nuclear waste. Thousands of tons of radioactive waste sit on our California coast. The NRC has no plans to get it off our coast, and we've been promised since 1982 that it would be removed to somewhere else "some day."

The absurd cost to redesign the plant's outdated cooling system, to meet new California regulations to protect marine life, will have to be

addressed. So far, Edison and the Nuclear Reactor Regulator have failed to resolve the multiple safety issues with San Onofre.

I support the call by the Sierra Club for the NRC to block any restart of the failed San Onofre generators at this time, and to conduct a comprehensive and open review process.

Thank you for your attention to these concerns.
Keith Nauls

2013 MAY 23 AM 10: 32

RECEIVED

Jae Chang
 33 Rose Trellis
 Irvine, CA 92603-0172

Apr 26, 2013

Cindy Bladey
 U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
 Washington, DC 20555-0001

Subject: Docket ID NRC20130070, My Comments to the NRC: Do Not Restart San Onofre Unit 2

Dear Cindy Bladey,

The comments that follow are regarding Docket ID NRC20130070.

We ask that the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) take no action that could lead to a restart of the San Onofre nuclear power plant before the Commission completes a comprehensive investigation and provides full opportunity for public participation and independent expert testimony.

Southern California Edison (SCE) is attempting to shortcut the license review process by calling on the NRC to make a fast-track restart decision. We and other environmental groups have joined with U.S. Senator Boxer and U.S. Representative Markey in urging the NRC not to follow such a course.

We were deeply disturbed when on April 10 the NRC staff disregarded numerous statements of concern and announced a "preliminary finding" that a San Onofre restart at 70% power posed no significant safety risk.

We join a wide range of concerned citizens and public officials in believing that a full and transparent review of the failed San Onofre generators is essential before the NRC considers any potential restart at either partial or full power of the failed generator.

We support Senator Boxer, Chair of the Environment and Public Works Committee, who stated on April 10, 2013: "The NRC staff proposal, which could pave the way for the restart of the San Onofre nuclear power plant before the investigations of the crippled plant are completed, is dangerous and premature."

Given the recent failure of tubes that carry radioactive water, speeding restart of San Onofre through a so-called "license amendment" that shortcuts procedures is totally inappropriate. SCE's request to weaken its license requirements was made despite evidence showing that there could be a significant hazard from the operation of the deficient steam generators.

Dear Sir:

I am a physician with the specialty training, practice and teaching background in Nuclear Medicine, Hematology and Oncology, and with the understanding of Nuclear Physics, I sincerely object the reactivation of San Onofre Nuclear Reactors. In view of its past troubled history, current condition, and location at major earthquake fault zone it is the best interest for public in Southern California.

Especially, as a nuclear medicine physician, hematologist and cancer specialist, I clearly understand the seriousness of radiation exposure on acute, intermediate and long term, which include death, leukemias, lymphomas, cancers as well as acute multi-organ injury. If there is any need in evaluating the medical aspect of the potential plant disaster, I will make myself available to NRC as well as Southern California Edison.

The public deserves a full review by the NRC of conditions at San Onofre should you consider the reactivation of the failed generator.

I support the call by the Sierra Club for the NRC to block any restart of the failed San Onofre generators at this time, and to conduct a comprehensive and open review process.

Your consideration will be greatly appreciated.

Jae C. Chang, M.D.
33 Rose Trellis
Irvine, CA 92603

Thank you for your attention to these concerns.
Jae Chang

Susan Brown
La Mesa
La Mesa, CA 91942

RECEIVED

2013 MAY 23 AM 10:32

RULES AND DIRECTIVES
BRANCH
USNRC

Apr 29, 2013

Cindy Bladey
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, DC 20555-0001

Subject: Docket ID NRC20130070, My Comments to the NRC: Do Not Restart San Onofre Unit 2

Dear Cindy Bladey,

The comments that follow are regarding Docket ID NRC20130070.

We ask that the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) take no action that could lead to a restart of the San Onofre nuclear power plant before the Commission completes a comprehensive investigation and provides full opportunity for public participation and independent expert testimony.

Southern California Edison (SCE) is attempting to shortcut the license review process by calling on the NRC to make a fast-track restart decision. We and other environmental groups have joined with U.S. Senator Boxer and U.S. Representative Markey in urging the NRC not to follow such a course.

We were deeply disturbed when on April 10 the NRC staff disregarded numerous statements of concern and announced a "preliminary finding" that a San Onofre restart at 70% power posed no significant safety risk.

We join a wide range of concerned citizens and public officials in believing that a full and transparent review of the failed San Onofre generators is essential before the NRC considers any potential restart at either partial or full power of the failed generator.

We support Senator Boxer, Chair of the Environment and Public Works Committee, who stated on April 10, 2013: "The NRC staff proposal, which could pave the way for the restart of the San Onofre nuclear power plant before the investigations of the crippled plant are completed, is dangerous and premature."

Given the recent failure of tubes that carry radioactive water, speeding restart of San Onofre through a so-called "license amendment" that shortcuts procedures is totally inappropriate. SCE's request to weaken its license requirements was made despite evidence showing that there could be a significant hazard from the operation of the deficient steam generators.

Given the troubled history and current condition of the plant and the raised level of public concern, the public deserves a full review by the NRC of conditions at San Onofre before it considers a restart of either failed generator.

I support the call by the Sierra Club for the NRC to block any restart of the failed San Onofre generators at this time, and to conduct a comprehensive and open review process.

Senator Boxer said the ruling was "dangerous and premature," especially since "the damaged plant is located in an area at risk of earthquake and tsunami." She added that "It makes absolutely no sense to even consider taking any steps to reopen San Onofre until these investigations look into every aspect of reopening the plant given the failure of tubes that carry radioactive water."

<http://www.nukefree.org/editorsblog/restart-duel-san-onofre-takes-seismic-leap>

Expert Says Edison Mised Regulators on San Onofre Changes. "Edison's decision to cram an additional 377 tubes into the replacement steam generators was the root cause" of the leak, wrote nuclear engineer Arnie Gunderson (Fairwinds) in a recent report commissioned by the environmental group Friends of the Earth.

http://www.voiceofoc.org/healthy_communities/article_ca8c632a-85bc-11e1-a74c-001a4bcf887a.html

Government Report Exposes Lack of Preparedness for Nuclear Emergency

<http://ecowatch.com/2013/government-report-exposes-lack-of-preparedness-for-nuclear-emergency/>

SoCal Edison's fraudulent behavior regarding the Replacement Steam
Generators at San Onofre

<http://acehoffman.blogspot.com/>

Former US NRC Chairman Gregory Jaczko: "All 104 nuclear power
reactors now in operation in the United States have a safety problem
that cannot be fixed"

<http://ex-skf.blogspot.ca/2013/04/former-us-nrc-chairman-gregory-jaczko.html>

Thank you for your attention to these concerns.

Susan Brown

patricia borchmann
escondido, CA 92026

RECEIVED

2013 MAY 23 AM 11:04

RULES AND DIRECTIVES
BRANCH
USNRC

May 1, 2013

Cindy Bladey
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, DC 20555-0001

Subject: Docket ID NRC20130070, My Comments to the NRC: Do Not Restart San Onofre Unit 2

Dear Cindy Bladey,

The comments that follow are regarding Docket ID NRC20130070.

We ask that the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) take no action that could lead to a restart of the San Onofre nuclear power plant before the Commission completes a comprehensive investigation and provides full opportunity for public participation and independent expert testimony.

Southern California Edison (SCE) is attempting to shortcut the license review process by calling on the NRC to make a fast-track restart decision. We and other environmental groups have joined with U.S. Senator Boxer and U.S. Representative Markey in urging the NRC not to follow such a course.

We were deeply disturbed when on April 10 the NRC staff disregarded numerous statements of concern and announced a "preliminary finding" that a San Onofre restart at 70% power posed no significant safety risk.

We join a wide range of concerned citizens and public officials in believing that a full and transparent review of the failed San Onofre generators is essential before the NRC considers any potential restart at either partial or full power of the failed generator.

We support Senator Boxer, Chair of the Environment and Public Works Committee, who stated on April 10, 2013: "The NRC staff proposal, which could pave the way for the restart of the San Onofre nuclear power plant before the investigations of the crippled plant are completed, is dangerous and premature."

Given the recent failure of tubes that carry radioactive water, speeding restart of San Onofre through a so-called "license amendment" that shortcuts procedures is totally inappropriate. SCE's request to weaken its license requirements was made despite evidence showing that there could be a significant hazard from the operation of the deficient steam generators.

Given the troubled history and current condition of the plant and the raised level of public concern, the public deserves a full SITE SPECIFIC review by the NRC of conditions at San Onofre before it considers a restart of either failed generator.

I support the call by the Sierra Club for the NRC to block any restart of the failed San Onofre generators at this time, and to conduct a SITE SPECIFIC, comprehensive, evidentiary and open review process.

The "DAB Safety Team" prepared an analysis of "Emergency Preparedness at San Onofre (dated April 2012). DAB Findings (p.2): "During the audit of 1Q12 DEP Objective Analysis Files and review of the April Emergency Planning Drill Results, it was discovered that adverse, and declining Drills/Exercise Performance (DEP) Indicator Trends are continuing (at SONGS), despite a Super Expensive Emergency Preparedness Management Reorganization, Change Management and Enhanced Shift Managers/Operations Crew Training."

Extent of Conditions and Impact: (p.2, 3)

"With both SONGS units in shutdown due to leaks in Steam Generator tubes, SONGS Senior Management attention is focused on resolving this problem, and seeking NRC's permission to restart the units. With SONGS under NRC, INPO, SONGS Nuclear Oversight Board, Public and Media

scrutiny, Station cannot afford the luxury of dealing with adverse performance and publicity in Emergency Preparedness caused by declining SONGS Drill/Exercise Performance (DEP) indicator metric."

"A review (p.4) indicates that corrective actions (planned or completed) to date have addressed individual performance and technical issues in a piecemeal manner. Broader organization and technical issues designed to prevent DEP classification failures by Shift Managers/STA/Operations Crew have not been systematically addressed. There has been a continuing decline since 2006 in which SONGS performance has been consistently in the fourth quartile. Actions taken to date have not solved the performance issues."

"This is a condition adverse to quality, a chronic problem that has persisted for more than 5 years. It is a major impact and challenges the safe reliable operation of the plant or personnel safety and reflects adverse organization and human performance behaviors. This is a OPS/EP/NOD finding that warrants immediate actions on part of the SLT, and a Significance Level I NN and a Root Cause Evaluation. (S0123-XV-50.CAP-2)."

DAB Safety Team Conclusions on Emergency Preparedness (p.4):

"Improved Emergency Performance was observed in July 2012. However, this is cyclic, and unpredictable performance improvement, because SONGS Senior Leadership does not own the SONGS Emergency Prepared Program despite years of championing by Institute of Nuclear power Operations and SONGS Nuclear Oversight Board. Last six years Emergency Preparedness Audits between 2006 and 2011 have been Adequate. Out of the 4 Emergency Plan Drills conducted between April 2012 and August 2011, 3 have been ADEQUATE, and 1 has been UNSATISFACTORY. SONGS SVP/CNO Pete Deitrich often publicly states with full confidence: "Public Safety is our Number One Priority and an Overriding Public Obligation". Maybe he does not know the weakness of his own organization, or the RWO Leaders paint a rosy picture to him. According to insiders, one of the Best Shift Managers said, "I do not want to put my license on the line, and risk public lives, by Restarting Unit 2 "As-is". One of the Old and Long Time Plant Operators says, "These SONGS Managers are running this place like a 'Nazi Concentration Camp".

SONGS has a long way to become an INPO 1 Plant and achieve "Edison's Vision" of Excellence in Regulatory Compliance, Fiscal Discipline, Plant Operations, and Emergency Preparedness. All the Trainings, Six-week Leadership Academy, Be Here Now, Facilitative and Open Leadership and Crucial Conversations are a waste of Ratepayers funds, SONGS Workers and EIX Shareholders Money. Maybe it is time, EIX Chairman starts seriously thinking of retiring/firing the existing Senior Leadership Team w/700 SONGS employees in October. The Chairman will be well off by hiring a new Leadership Team which has the ability, training, and foresight to solve the SONGS chronic emergency preparedness, other safety, fire, maintenance and worker retaliation problems. This way, EIX/SCE Management and Board of Directors can start the recovery phase of regaining the severely damaged and lost public trust by creating a safe, open and transparent environment for ALL parties.

The history of SONGS Performance on Emergency Drills reflects repeated significant deficiencies over 5 years, with little improvement.

Thank you for your attention to these concerns.
patricia borchmann

patricia borchmann
escondido, CA 92026

RECEIVED

2013 MAY 23 AM 11:04

RULES AND DIRECTIVES
BRANCH
UNITED

May 1, 2013

Cindy Bladey
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, DC 20555-0001

Subject: Docket ID NRC20130070, My Comments to the NRC: Do Not Restart San Onofre Unit 2

Dear Cindy Bladey,

The comments that follow are regarding Docket ID NRC20130070.

We ask that the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) take no action that could lead to a restart of the San Onofre nuclear power plant before the Commission completes a comprehensive investigation and provides full opportunity for public participation and independent expert testimony.

Southern California Edison (SCE) is attempting to shortcut the license review process by calling on the NRC to make a fast-track restart decision. We and other environmental groups have joined with U.S. Senator Boxer and U.S. Representative Markey in urging the NRC not to follow such a course.

We were deeply disturbed when on April 10 the NRC staff disregarded numerous statements of concern and announced a "preliminary finding" that a San Onofre restart at 70% power posed no significant safety risk.

We join a wide range of concerned citizens and public officials in believing that a full and transparent review of the failed San Onofre generators is essential before the NRC considers any potential restart at either partial or full power of the failed generator.

We support Senator Boxer, Chair of the Environment and Public Works Committee, who stated on April 10, 2013: "The NRC staff proposal, which could pave the way for the restart of the San Onofre nuclear power plant before the investigations of the crippled plant are completed, is dangerous and premature."

Given the recent failure of tubes that carry radioactive water, speeding restart of San Onofre through a so-called "license amendment" that shortcuts procedures is totally inappropriate. SCE's request to weaken its license requirements was made despite evidence showing that there could be a significant hazard from the operation of the deficient steam generators.

Given the troubled history and current condition of the plant and the raised level of public concern, the public deserves a full SITE SPECIFIC review by the NRC of conditions at San Onofre before it considers a restart of either failed generator.

I support the call by the Sierra Club for the NRC to block any restart of the failed San Onofre generators at this time, and to conduct a SITE SPECIFIC, comprehensive, evidentiary and open review process.

The "DAB Safety Team" prepared an analysis of "Emergency Preparedness at San Onofre (dated April 2012). DAB Findings (p.2): "During the audit of 1Q12 DEP Objective Analysis Files and review of the April Emergency Planning Drill Results, it was discovered that adverse, and declining Drills/Exercise Performance (DEP) Indicator Trends are continuing (at SONGS), despite a Super Expensive Emergency Preparedness Management Reorganization, Change Management and Enhanced Shift Managers/Operations Crew Training."

Extent of Conditions and Impact: (p.2. 3)
"With both SONGS units in shutdown due to leaks in Steam Generator tubes, SONGS Senior Management attention is focused on resolving this problem, and seeking NRC's permission to restart the units. With SONGS under NRC, INPO, SONGS Nuclear Oversight Board, Public and Media

Ms. Susan Brown
La Mesa
La Mesa, CA 91942

RECEIVED

2013 MAY 23 AM 10:31

RULES AND DIRECTIVES
BRANCH
USNRC

Apr 24, 2013

Cindy Bladey
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, DC 20555-0001

Subject: Docket ID NRC20130070, My Comments to the NRC: Do Not Restart San Onofre Unit 2

Dear Cindy Bladey,

The comments that follow are regarding Docket ID NRC20130070.

We ask that the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) take no action that could lead to a restart of the San Onofre nuclear power plant before the Commission completes a comprehensive investigation and provides full opportunity for public participation and independent expert testimony.

Southern California Edison (SCE) is attempting to shortcut the license review process by calling on the NRC to make a fast-track restart decision. We and other environmental groups have joined with U.S. Senator Boxer and U.S. Representative Markey in urging the NRC not to follow such a course.

We were deeply disturbed when on April 10 the NRC staff disregarded numerous statements of concern and announced a "preliminary finding" that a San Onofre restart at 70% power posed no significant safety risk.

We join a wide range of concerned citizens and public officials in believing that a full and transparent review of the failed San Onofre generators is essential before the NRC considers any potential restart at either partial or full power of the failed generator.

We support Senator Boxer, Chair of the Environment and Public Works Committee, who stated on April 10, 2013: "The NRC staff proposal, which could pave the way for the restart of the San Onofre nuclear power plant before the investigations of the crippled plant are completed, is dangerous and premature."

Given the recent failure of tubes that carry radioactive water, speeding restart of San Onofre through a so-called "license amendment" that shortcuts procedures is totally inappropriate. SCE's request to weaken its license requirements was made despite evidence showing that there could be a significant hazard from the operation of the deficient steam generators.

I support the call by the Sierra Club for the NRC to block any restart of the failed San Onofre generators at this time, and to conduct a comprehensive and open review process.

Given the troubled history and current condition of the plant and the raised level of public concern, the public deserves a full review by the NRC of conditions at San Onofre before it considers a restart of either failed generator.

Dear NRC Members,

I respectfully request that you postpone any restart of the San Onofre nuclear plant until full open public meetings are held. Actually I would prefer if you just bite the bullet and say NO to Edison on any restart and begin decommissioning..

Some information (and a few questions) below in case you aren't aware..

"There is evidence that SCE was aware that the steam generators might be flawed.."

<http://eon3emfblog.net/?p=5943>

Expert Says Edison Misled Regulators on San Onofre Changes.. "Edison's decision to cram an additional 377 tubes into the replacement steam generators was the root cause" of the leak, wrote nuclear engineer Arnie Gundersen in a recent report commissioned by the environmental group Friends of the Earth.
http://www.voiceofoc.org/healthy_communities/article_ca8c632a-85bc-11e1-a74c-001a4bcf887a.html

http://libcloud.s3.amazonaws.com/93/86/5/1285/Fairewinds_FOE_Cascading_Steam_Generator_Failures_San_Onofre_2012_4_12.pdf

Activists Grill NRC on San Onofre Restart - Updated
<http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=GswNqQ82bW4>

THE NRC HAS NEVER NOT APPROVED A LICENSE EXTENSION RENEWAL
Senator (now vp) Joe Biden on Nuclear Power From 2007
<http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=OcnpjF5dxiw&feature=youtu.be>

The Risks of San Onofre - Harvey Wasserman
<http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=coyJDlgioxA&feature=youtu.be>

Have no fear the NRC is here! 10 miles evacuation zone???
<http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=gD9khDiWT94>

GAO finds NRC needs better understanding of emergency preparedness beyond 10-mile zone
http://www.epw.senate.gov/public/index.cfm?FuseAction=PressRoom.PressReleases&ContentRecord_id=f5fcec2-0546-1cb0-0e39-edc13c2a7964

CA Senator Blasts Gov't Agency Overseeing San Onofre Thursday, April 11, 2013 KPBS-TV (San Diego Rochelle Becker, Director Alliance for Nuclear Responsibility <http://a4nr.org/>)
<http://www.kpbs.org/news/2013/apr/11/nrc-signals-possible-restart-san-onofre-bill/>

QUESTION: Did you ever respond to the Boxer/Markey letter? If so I would like to see your response.

"California nuclear plant (San Onofre) a 'time bomb' if restarted -- Chance of nuke disaster in U.S. very, very, very high... not if, but when" -Dr. Helen Caldicott, Nobel Laureate
<http://enenews.com/caldicott-san-onofre-a-time-bomb-if-restarted-chance-of-nuclear-disaster-in-u-s-very-high-its-not-if-but-when-vid>
eo

"Will San Onofre Be "Our" Fukushima?
<http://www.blogtalkradio.com/universalvoice/2013/04/03/will-san-onofre-be-our-fukushima-1>

Solar is 5 times cheaper than Nuclear
<http://www.nbcnews.com/id/21134540/vp/43222728#43222728>

Videos discussing the dangers of San Onofre
<http://committeetobridgethegap.org/category/san-onofre/>

Senator Boxer said the ruling was "dangerous and premature," especially since "the damaged plant is located in an area at risk of earthquake and tsunami." She added that "It makes absolutely no sense to even consider taking any steps to reopen San Onofre until these investigations look into every aspect of reopening the plant given the failure of tubes that carry radioactive water."
<http://www.nukefree.org/editorsblog/restart-duel-san-onofre-takes-seismic-leap>

QUESTION: Is San Onofre prepared for an EMP? If so, details please.

Government Report Exposes Lack of Preparedness for Nuclear Emergency
<http://ecowatch.com/2013/government-report-exposes-lack-of-preparedness-for-nuclear-emergency/>

SoCal Edison's fraudulent behavior regarding the Replacement Steam Generators at San Onofre
<http://acehoffman.blogspot.com/>

Former US NRC Chairman Gregory Jaczko: "All 104 nuclear power reactors now in operation in the United States have a safety problem that cannot be fixed"
<http://ex-skf.blogspot.ca/2013/04/former-us-nrc-chairman-gregory-jaczko.html>

San Onofre to Boxer, Markey & the Public: DROP DEAD!
<http://progressive.org/san-onofre>

Oldie but goodie - Obama - "we just dislike the fact that IT MIGHT BLOW UP AND RADIATE US AND KILL US (LAUGHTER) THAT'S THE PROBLEM"
<http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=3MCtlnuMgqY>

Economics and Reliability of California Nuclear Reactors
<http://solarshirley.wordpress.com/2013/04/20/economics-and-reliability-of-california-nuclear-reactors/>

The San Onofre Nuclear Power Plant has the worst safety complaint record of all U.S. nuclear reactors according to Nuclear Regulatory Commission, and more.
<http://sanonofresafety.org/>

U.S. nuclear companies fight new safety measures 4 Mar 2013 Filter that could be fitted to a reactor's vent to capture radiation during an accident.
<http://grist.org/news/u-s-nuclear-companies-fight-new-safety-measures/>

"NRC is also contemplating requiring filters to capture vented radioactive material."
<http://cen.acs.org/articles/91/i13/Nuclear-Retirement-Anxiety.html>

NRC Delays Action on Reactor-Vents..
<http://washpost.bloomberg.com/Story?docId=1376-MI4ICU6TTDUU01-4NAEOD9JK8E1LQAQ4M89K06213>

QUESTION: Could we have this filter installed before any restart please??

California's Unnecessary Nukes Barbara George -The State has an Excess of Generating Capacity WITHOUT San Onofre and Diablo Canyon. We don't need them.
<http://residentsorganizedforasafeenvironment.wordpress.com/2013/04/18/californias-unnecessary-nukes-barbara-george/>
<http://eon3emfblog.net/?p=5953>

Blatant Disregard For Public Safety: Crippled Nuclear Power Plant in California Is Being Fast-Tracked for Reopening
<http://www.alternet.org/environment/blatant-disregard-public-safety-crippled-nuclear-power-plant-california-being-fast?akid=10335.156167.xV220X&rd=1&src=newsletter826315&t=12>

Edison loves obscurity and runs from transparency. What are they hiding?
<http://myemail.constantcontact.com/Edison-loves-obscurity-and-runs-from-transparency--What-are-they-hiding-.html?soid=1109660143359&aid=GqksdREBuDI>

Nuclear is not a low carbon source of energy
<http://www.washingtonsblog.com/2013/04/nuclear-is-not-a-low-carbon-source-of-energy.html>

Does nuclear power produce no CO2 ? Proponents of nuclear power always

say that one of the big benefits of nuclear power is that it produces no Carbon dioxide (CO2). This is completely untrue
<http://www.resilience.org/stories/2006-05-11/does-nuclear-power-produce-no-co2>

350 layoffs pending at San Onofre nuke plant - HUH????
<http://www.utsandiego.com/news/2013/apr/12/nuke-plant-more-layoffs/>

How Should We Deal With Nuclear Waste?
<http://online.wsj.com/article/SB10001424127887324789504578382722051659686.html>

Almost one-in-six U.S. nuclear reactors experienced safety breaches last year due in part to poor oversight by federal regulators
<http://www.bloomberg.com/news/2013-03-07/safety-breaches-seen-plaguing-u-s-reactors-in-report.html>

The NRC - Edison's atomic lapdog - No significant hazard?
<http://www.foe.org/news/blog/2013-04-the-nrc-edisons-atomic-lapdog>

The Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) lowers safety standards in order to keep old nuclear power plants, such as San Onofre, operating. With 90% of their over \$1 billion budget coming from nuclear industry fees there is an obvious conflict of interest.
<http://sanonofresafety.org/nrc-problems/>

San Onofre: Restart plan would cost customers \$150M more than replacement power
<http://nukefree.foe.org/news/2013-03-san-onofre-restart-plan-would-cost-customers-150m>

Regulators aware for years of understated seismic risks to nuclear plants
<http://www.iwatchnews.org/2011/03/18/3700/regulators-aware-years-understated-seismic-risks-nuclear-plants>

Side note: Songs is designed to resist a 7.0 earthquake - The Fukushima earthquake was 9.0..

The tsunami wall at San Onofre is 14 feet at high tide - The fuku tsunami was 45-65 feet high..

What happened to this? March 2012 letter nrc order requires provisions for mitigation strategies for beyond design external events.
<http://www.nrc.gov/reactors/operating/ops-experience/japan/plants/sano2.html>

"San Onofre's Steam Generators: Significantly Worse than All Others Nationwide" -Arnie Gundersen, senior vice president of Fairewinds, Master Degree in nuclear engineering with 40-years of nuclear power engineering. During his nuclear power industry career, Arnie also managed and coordinated projects at 70-nuclear power plants in the US. <http://fairewinds.org>

Nuclear Power is over. It's not a good business deal.
<http://ennews.com/watch-top-economist-nuclear-power-is-over-frankly-i-think-from-a-business-perspective-its-over-i-think-its-over-video>

After so many nuclear disasters, why is there STILL no public monitored radiation system in place, nor any public health surveys being done regularly? Nuclear power plants leak like sieves..
<http://www.laprogressive.com/restarting-san-onofre/>

Nuclear Experts Agree, San Onofre's Replacement Steam Generators Are Unsafe
<http://decommission.sanonofre.com/2013/01/sce-violated-federal-regs-and-public.html>
<http://sanonofresafety.files.wordpress.com/2011/11/steamgeneratortubesplugged1.pdf>

Can California do without San Onofre? We have done just fine for over a year without San Onofre...

<http://www.kpbs.org/news/2013/mar/21/region-will-have-adequate-power-without-san-onofre/>

The Flawed Logic Behind Restarting San Onofre in Light of Fukushima

<http://www.laprogressive.com/restarting-san-onofre/>

California legislators blast Public Utilities Commission

Some lawmakers called for the ouster of Paul Clanon, the PUC's executive director since 2007, after a scathing report by an outside consulting group unveiled a stunning disregard for safety pervading the commission.

http://www.mercurynews.com/california-budget/ci_23048161/california-legislators-blast-public-utilities-commission?

Journal warned of San Onofre issue - Unheeded articles predicted vibrations that ended up shutting plant

<http://www.utsandiego.com/news/2013/apr/20/someone-saw-san-onofre-issue-coming/>

San Onofre: Los Angeles votes to oppose reactor restart without public hearings

<http://www.foe.org/news/news-releases/2013-04-san-onofre-los-angeles-votes-to-oppose-reactor-restart>

also sent to Chairman@nrc.gov, CMRAPOSTOLAKIS@nrc.gov,
CMRMAGWOOD@nrc.gov, CMROSTENDORFF@nrc.gov, CMRSVINICKI@nrc.gov

Please reply to the questions.

Thank you

Thank you for your attention to these concerns.

Ms. Susan Brown