

RULES AND DIRECTIVES
BRANCH
USNRC

PUBLIC SUBMISSION 2013 MAY 17 AM 10: 22

As of: May 17, 2013 Received: May 16, 2013 Status: Pending_Post Tracking No. 1jx-85d5-jx6s Comments Due: May 16, 2013 Submission Type: Web

Docket: NRC-2013-0070

Application and Amendment to Facility Operating License Involving Proposed No Significant Hazards Consideration Determination

Comment On: NRC-2013-0070-0001

Application and Amendment to Facility Operating License Involving Proposed No Significant Hazards Consideration Determination; San Onofre Nuclear Generating Station, Unit 2

Document: NRC-2013-0070-DRAFT-0208

Comment on FR Doc # 2013-08888

4/16/2013
78 FR 22576
300

Submitter Information

Name: Dr. Richard and Chihoko Solomon

General Comment

We write to ask that the NRC reject Southern California Edison's narrow license amendment request and no significant hazard consideration.

These requests from Edison would pave the way to restart before a full adjudicated license amendment hearing with testimony from independent experts was held. This license amendment seeks to remove the critical licensing issues from the context in which it is requested – to pave the way for restarting a severely damaged reactor without understanding the cause and without fixing the problems. It further fails to address significant safety and licensing issues, such as impacts on vital safety systems. Reports have found that Edison has grossly underestimated the extensive damage that has taken place at both reactors. Thus, it is not surprising that there is tremendous public concern.

We ask that Southern California Edison should not be attempting fast-track a restart decision. As a retired clinical psychologist Richard can attest to the damage to the public's mental health that leaks or even more serious problems with the reactors would cause.

The health and safety of Southern Californians must come first.

SUNSI Review Complete
Template = ADM – 013
E-RIDS= ADM-03
Add= B. Benney (bjb)