

RULES AND DIRECTIVES
BRANCH
USNRC

As of: May 17, 2013 Received: May 16, 2013 Status: Pending_Post Tracking No. 1jx-85de-kuw4 Comments Due: May 16, 2013 Submission Type: Web

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

2013 MAY 17 AM 11: 19

Docket: NRC-2013-0070

Application and Amendment to Facility Operating License Involving Proposed No Significant Hazards Consideration Determination

RECEIVED

Comment On: NRC-2013-0070-0001

Application and Amendment to Facility Operating License Involving Proposed No Significant Hazards Consideration Determination; San Onofre Nuclear Generating Station, Unit 2

Document: NRC-2013-0070-DRAFT-0228

Comment on FR Doc # 2013-08888

4/16/2013
78 FR 22576
317

Submitter Information

Name: Woody Evans

Address:

San Clemente, CA,

General Comment

Is it really more important to endanger the lives of millions of Southern Californians and all of the marine life that surrounds the San Onofre nuclear plant with a partial restart to test what we all know is a flawed redesign? The answer is NO! Way to much to risk here for the benefit of a sick experiment. We are not lab rats and will not accept anything less than total shutdown without absorbing Edison's costs.

SUNSI Review Complete
Template = ADM - 013
E-RIDS= ADM -03
Add= B. Benney (bjb)