

RULES AND DIRECTIVES
BRANCH
USNRC

As of: May 17, 2013 Received: May 16, 2013 Status: Pending Post Tracking No. 1jx-85db-f7a0 Comments Due: May 16, 2013 Submission Type: Web

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

2013 MAY 17 AM 11:

RECEIVED

Docket: NRC-2013-0070

Application and Amendment to Facility Operating License Involving Proposed No Significant Hazards Consideration Determination

Comment On: NRC-2013-0070-0001

Application and Amendment to Facility Operating License Involving Proposed No Significant Hazards Consideration Determination; San Onofre Nuclear Generating Station, Unit 2

Document: NRC-2013-0070-DRAFT-0224

Comment on FR Doc # 2013-08888

4/16/2013
78 FR 22576
(313)

Submitter Information

Name: Ed Oberweiser

Address:

19244 Benson Ln.
Fort Bragg, CA, 95437

Organization: Ocean Protection Coalition

General Comment

The trouble-ridden San Onofre Nuclear Generating Station cannot be allowed back on line. We cannot jeopardize millions of Americans lives by allowing a flawed nuclear to operate.

It has had problems from its beginning. Its first reactor, Unit One, began operating in 1968 but developed problems and was shut down in 1992. Units 2 and 3 began operations in 1983 and 1984. Both the Unit 2 and Unit 3 reactors have been shut down since January 2012 due to premature wear found on tubes in their steam generators.

The steam generators were installed two years ago in Unit 2 and one year ago in Unit 3. Plant officials pledged not to restart San Onofre until the cause of the tube leaks and degradation is understood.

There are major uncertainties regarding the seismology of the San Onofre site. They relate to the continuity, structure and earthquake potential of the South Coast Offshore Fault Zone. The uncertainties concern the faulting that connects the Newport-Inglewood Fault in the Los Angeles region with the Rose Canyon Fault in the San Diego region.

SUNSI Review Complete

Template = ADM -- 013

E-RIDS= ADM-03

Add= B. Benney (bjb)