

RULES AND DIRECTIVES
BRANCH
USNRC

As of: May 17, 2013 Received: May 15, 2013 Status: Pending_Post Tracking No. ljsx-85cl-8z2c Comments Due: May 16, 2013 Submission Type: Web
--

PUBLIC SUBMISSION 2013 MAY 17 AM 9: 21

Docket: NRC-2013-0070

Application and Amendment to Facility Operating License Involving Proposed No Significant Hazards Consideration Determination

RECEIVED

Comment On: NRC-2013-0070-0001

Application and Amendment to Facility Operating License Involving Proposed No Significant Hazards Consideration Determination; San Onofre Nuclear Generating Station, Unit 2

Document: NRC-2013-0070-DRAFT-0166

Comment on FR Doc # 2013-08888

4/16/2013
78 FR 22576
263

Submitter Information

Name: Alfred Haboush

Address:

568 San Lucas Drive
Solana Beach, CA,

Submitter's Representative: None

Organization: None

Government Agency Type: Local

Government Agency: None

General Comment

I have noticed that many of the negative comments regarding San Onofre are obviously by individuals or organizations that are against nuclear power. Nuclear power is safe, as evidenced by the more than 100 nuclear power plants that have been operating for a long time without a single fatality. The decision to operate or shut down San Onofre should be based upon a technical and economical assessment. I believe San Onofre should not be shut down. Nuclear power has zero emissions and is necessary to improve the environment. The lack of new nuclear plant construction during the past 30 years has caused severe damage to the environment because coal fired power plants with high emissions have been constructed instead of the nuclear plants. With nuclear plants providing power and electric powered vehicles there would be zero emissions. California cannot do without nuclear power. We presently import it from a nuclear plant in Arizona.

Alfred Haboush
Solana Beach

SUNSI Review Complete
Template = ADM - 013
E-RIDS= ADM-03
Add= B. Benney (bjb)