

## CHAIRMAN Resource

---

**From:** Earl Nichols [earlduke1938@aol.com]  
**Sent:** Thursday, May 16, 2013 5:46 PM  
**To:** CHAIRMAN Resource; CHRMAGWOOD@nrc.gov; CMROSTEDORFF@nrc.gov;  
CMRAPOSTOLAKIS Resource; CMRSVINICKI Resource  
**Subject:** Do Not Restart the San Onofre Nuclear Reactor

To: Chairman <Chairman@nrc.gov>; CHRMAGWOOD <CHRMAGWOOD@nrc.gov>;  
CMROSTEDORFF <CMROSTEDORFF@nrc.gov>; CMRAPOSTOLAKIS  
<CMRAPOSTOLAKIS@nrc.gov>; CMRSVINICKI <CMRSVINICKI@nrc.gov>

Sent: Thu, May 16, 2013 11:47 am

Subject: The Restart of San Onofre Nuclear Reactor

Dear Commissioners,

I am writing to ask you to reject Edison's request to lower San Onofre safety standards in order to make it easier to restart the plant's defective nuclear reactor.

Please do not overrule the Atomic Safety Licensing Board's ruling of May 13th that Edison's restart plan is experimental and that Edison must undergo a trial-like license amendment process before a judge, which includes public hearings, sworn testimony from expert witnesses and rules of evidence. Anything else would be a breach of the NRC's motto "protecting people and the environment".

To quote Sen. Barbara Boxer, Chairman of the Environment and Public Works Committee, "... the damaged plant is located in an area at risk of earthquake and tsunamis. With eight million people living within 50 miles of this plant, the staff proposal (to reopen San Onofre without thorough investigations to look at every aspect of reopening the plant given the failure of the tubes that carry radioactive water) is beyond irresponsible." The citizens of southern California should not be a part of Edison's experiment for the sake of Edison's profits with so much at stake.

Please require a thorough license amendment process and hearing on San Onofre safety issues before proceeding further.

The area can exist without operating the damaged and defective San Onofre plant and the plant should be permanently decommissioned and closed for the safety of all that would be negatively and horribly affected in case of a nuclear disaster. It would inflict harm that, in many cases, could never be satisfactorily mitigated.

Thank you for your consideration,

Sincerely,  
Earl Nichols, Carlsbad, CA

-----Original Message-----

From: artlark17 <artlark17@aol.com>  
To: earlduke1938 <earlduke1938@aol.com>  
Sent: Thu, May 16, 2013 2:02 pm  
Subject: Fwd: The Restart of San Onofre Nuclear Reactor

Hi would you send this on,

L

-----Original Message-----

From: artlark17 <artlark17@aol.com>  
To: sherryludwig <sherryludwig@mac.com>  
Sent: Thu, May 16, 2013 11:49 am  
Subject: Fwd: The Restart of San Onofre Nuclear Reactor

Could you send this or some variation also. The deadline is today and Edison wants to rush through an early restart of San Onofre although the plant is not repaired nor can it be.

Thanks,  
L

-----Original Message-----

From: artlark17 <artlark17@aol.com>

To: Chairman <Chairman@nrc.gov>; CMRMAGWOOD <CMRMAGWOOD@nrc.gov>; CMROSTEDORFF <CMROSTEDORFF@nrc.gov>; CMRAPOSTOLAKIS <CMRAPOSTOLAKIS@nrc.gov>; CMRSVINICKI <CMRSVINICKI@nrc.gov>

Sent: Thu, May 16, 2013 11:47 am

Subject: The Restart of San Onofre Nuclear Reactor

Dear Commissioners of the Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

I am writing to ask you to reject Edison's request to lower San Onofre safety standards in order to make it easier to restart the plant's defective nuclear reactor.

Please do not overrule the Atomic Safety Licensing Board's ruling of May 13th that Edison's restart plan is experimental and that Edison must undergo a trial-like license amendment process before a judge, which includes public hearings, sworn testimony from expert witnesses and rules of evidence. Anything else would be a breach of the NRC's motto "protecting people and the environment".

To quote Sen. Barbara Boxer, Chairman of the Environment and Public Works Committee, "... the damaged plant is located in an area at risk of earthquake and tsunami. With eight million people living within 50 miles of this plant, the staff proposal (to reopen San Onofre without thorough investigations to look at every aspect of reopening the plant given the failure of the tubes that carry radioactive water) is beyond irresponsible." The citizens of southern California should not be a part of Edison's experiment for the sake of Edison's profits with so much at stake.

Please require a thorough license amendment process and hearing on San Onofre safety issues before proceeding further.

My opinion, and that of many others, is that we have proven that the area can exist without operating the damaged and defective San Onofre plant and that the plant should be permanently decommissioned and closed for the safety of all that would be negatively and horribly affected in case of a nuclear disaster. It would inflict harm that, in many cases, could never be satisfactorily mitigated.

Thank you for your consideration,

Sincerely,  
Laurenn Barker, Fallbrook and Carlsbad