

RULES AND DIRECTIVES
BRANCH
USNRC

As of: May 14, 2013
Received: May 13, 2013
Status: Pending_Post
Tracking No. 1jx-85bc-hqpe
Comments Due: May 16, 2013
Submission Type: Web

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

2013 MAY 14 PM 4: 01

RECEIVED

Docket: NRC-2013-0070

Application and Amendment to Facility Operating License Involving Proposed No Significant Hazards Consideration Determination

Comment On: NRC-2013-0070-0001

Application and Amendment to Facility Operating License Involving Proposed No Significant Hazards Consideration Determination; San Onofre Nuclear Generating Station, Unit 2

Document: NRC-2013-0070-DRAFT-0084

Comment on FR Doc # 2013-08888

Submitter Information

Name: Ramin Boustani

Address:

2456 Roscomare Rd
Los Angeles, CA, 90077

4/16/2013
78FR 22576
169

General Comment

Even Edison's own consultants have stated that if Unit 2 is restarted, another leak could be expected within months.

According to a 1982 NRC study, a meltdown at one of San Onofre reactors could cause 130,000 prompt fatalities; 300,000 latent cancers, and 600,000 cases of genetic defects within 35 miles of the site.

In light of such facts, won't that be psychopathic to call such a thing "No Significant Hazards"? Instead, please consider switching over to the solar power from Mojave Desert.

SUNSI Review Complete
Template = ADM - 013
E-RIDS= ADM-03
Add= B. Benney (bjb)