

RULES AND DIRECTIVES  
BRANCH  
USNRC

# PUBLIC SUBMISSION

2013 MAY 14 PM 3: 48

As of: May 14, 2013  
Received: May 13, 2013  
Status: Pending\_Post  
Tracking No. 1jx-85bc-su01  
Comments Due: May 16, 2013  
Submission Type: Web

RECEIVED

**Docket:** NRC-2013-0070

Application and Amendment to Facility Operating License Involving Proposed No Significant Hazards Consideration Determination

**Comment On:** NRC-2013-0070-0001

Application and Amendment to Facility Operating License Involving Proposed No Significant Hazards Consideration Determination; San Onofre Nuclear Generating Station, Unit 2

**Document:** NRC-2013-0070-DRAFT-0078

Comment on FR Doc # 2013-08888

## Submitter Information

**Name:** William Perkins

**Address:**

1347 Avenida De Cortez  
Pacific Palisades, CA, 90272

**Organization:** Physicians for Social Responsibility, Los Angeles

4/16/2013  
78 FR 22576  
163

## General Comment

I write to request a rejection by the N.R.C. of the "Application and Amendment to Facility Operating License Involving Proposed No Significant Hazards" regarding the San Onofre Nuclear Generating Station, Unit 2. A full adjudicated license amendment hearing with testimony from independent experts should be held before Southern California Edison restarts the unit. A full understanding of the extensive damage at the reactors and the safety of any proposed repairs should be carefully and openly considered. Otherwise, there is a risk of a leak of significant amounts of radiation. As a physician, I am concerned that the population from San Diego to Los Angeles could be exposed to radiation that will cause cancers and genetic defects. Women, children and unborn fetuses would be especially vulnerable. Health and safety must be the first priority.

SUNSI Review Complete  
Template = ADM - 013  
E-RIDS= ADM-03  
Add= B. Benney (bjb)