

RULES AND DIRECTIVES  
BRANCH  
USNRC

As of: May 14, 2013  
Received: May 13, 2013  
Status: Pending\_Post  
Tracking No. 1jx-85b6-z3hj  
Comments Due: May 16, 2013  
Submission Type: Web

# PUBLIC SUBMISSION

2013 MAY 14 PM 3: 47

RECEIVED

**Docket:** NRC-2013-0070

Application and Amendment to Facility Operating License Involving Proposed No Significant Hazards Consideration Determination

**Comment On:** NRC-2013-0070-0001

Application and Amendment to Facility Operating License Involving Proposed No Significant Hazards Consideration Determination; San Onofre Nuclear Generating Station, Unit 2

**Document:** NRC-2013-0070-DRAFT-0063

Comment on FR Doc # 2013-08888

## Submitter Information

4/16/2013  
78 FR 22575  
148

**Name:** robin rabens

**Address:**

28382 Tricia pl  
Escondido, CA, 92026

## General Comment

I write to ask that the NRC reject Southern California Edison's narrow license amendment request and no significant hazard consideration. These requests from Edison would pave the way to restart before a full adjudicated license amendment hearing with testimony from independent experts was held. This license amendment seeks to remove the critical licensing issues from the context in which it is requested – to pave the way for restarting a severely damaged reactor without understanding the cause and without fixing the problems. It further fails to address significant safety and licensing issues, such as impacts on vital safety systems. Given the extensive damage at both reactors and tremendous public concern, Southern California Edison should not be attempting fast-track a restart decision. The health and safety of Southern Californians must come first.

SUNSI Review Complete  
Template = ADM – 013  
E-RIDS= ADM-03  
Add= B. Benney (bjb)