

RULES AND DIRECTIVES
BRANCH
USNRC

As of: May 14, 2013
Received: May 13, 2013
Status: Pending_Post
Tracking No. 1jx-85b6-d3t1
Comments Due: May 16, 2013
Submission Type: Web

PUBLIC SUBMISSION 2013 MAY 14 PM 3: 47

RECEIVED

Docket: NRC-2013-0070

Application and Amendment to Facility Operating License Involving Proposed No Significant Hazards Consideration Determination

Comment On: NRC-2013-0070-0001

Application and Amendment to Facility Operating License Involving Proposed No Significant Hazards Consideration Determination; San Onofre Nuclear Generating Station, Unit 2

Document: NRC-2013-0070-DRAFT-0062

Comment on FR Doc # 2013-08888

Submitter Information

4/14/2013

78 FR 22576

Name: Sandra Joos

Address:

4259 SW Patrick Pl
Portland, OR,

Submitter's Representative: blumenauer

Organization: Individual

147

General Comment

I'm writing to ask that the NRC reject Southern California Edison's narrow license amendment request and no significant hazard consideration. These requests from Edison would pave the way to restart before a full adjudicated license amendment hearing with testimony from independent experts was held. This license amendment seeks to remove the critical licensing issues from the context in which it is requested – to pave the way for restarting a severely damaged reactor without understanding the cause and without fixing the problems. It further fails to address significant safety and licensing issues, such as impacts on vital safety systems. Given the extensive damage at both reactors and tremendous public concern, Southern California Edison should not attempt to fast-track a restart decision. The health and safety of Southern Californians must come first.

SUNSI Review Complete
Template = ADM – 013
E-RIDS= ADM-03
Add= B. Benney (bjb)