

RULES AND DIRECTIVES
BRANCH
USNRC

As of: May 14, 2013
Received: May 09, 2013
Status: Pending_Post
Tracking No. 1jx-858n-6t5x
Comments Due: May 16, 2013
Submission Type: Web

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

2013 MAY 14 PM 3: 17

Docket: NRC-2013-0070

Application and Amendment to Facility Operating License Involving Proposed No Significant Hazards Consideration Determination

Comment On: NRC-2013-0070-0001

Application and Amendment to Facility Operating License Involving Proposed No Significant Hazards Consideration Determination; San Onofre Nuclear Generating Station, Unit 2

Document: NRC-2013-0070-DRAFT-0038

Comment on FR Doc # 2013-08888

RECEIVED

Submitter Information

Name: aaron Chandler

Submitter's Representative: none

Organization: none

Government Agency: none

4/16/2013

78 FR 22574

General Comment

122

re Docket ID NRC-2013-0070: 1. Given generics & no incisive analysis, should not there be re SEC response No.1,2. "There should be a significant decrease...accident..."? 2. If plans are to merely operate for a few months, does that not imply that the facility is being run as an experiment, i.e., subject to failure within any interval? 3. Both of these questions imply the acceptance of assumptions about "human" survival that few outside scientists... would accept. 4. "Distrust" & political opposition to such nuclear facilities follows.

SUNSI Review Complete

Template = ADM - 013

E-RIDS= ADM-03

Add= B. Benney (b5b)