

Apr 27, 2013

Ms Cindy Bladey
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, DC 20555-0001

Dear Ms Bladey,

The comments that follow are regarding Docket ID NRC20130070.

We ask that the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) take no action that could lead to a restart of the San Onofre nuclear power plant before the Commission completes a comprehensive investigation and provides full opportunity for public participation and independent expert testimony.

Southern California Edison (SCE) is attempting to shortcut the license review process by calling on the NRC to make a fast-track restart decision. We and other environmental groups have joined with U.S. Senator Boxer and U.S. Representative Markey in urging the NRC not to follow such a course.

We were deeply disturbed when on April 10 the NRC staff disregarded numerous statements of concern and announced a "preliminary finding" that a San Onofre restart at 70% power posed no significant safety risk.

We join a wide range of concerned citizens and public officials in believing that a full and transparent review of the failed San Onofre generators is essential before the NRC considers any potential restart at either partial or full power of the failed generator.

We support Senator Boxer, Chair of the Environment and Public Works Committee, who stated on April 10, 2013: "The NRC staff proposal, which could pave the way for the restart of the San Onofre nuclear power plant before the investigations of the crippled plant are completed, is dangerous and premature."

Given the recent failure of tubes that carry radioactive water, speeding restart of San Onofre through a so-called "license amendment" that shortcuts procedures is totally inappropriate. SCE's request to weaken its license requirements was made despite evidence showing that there could be a significant hazard from the operation of the deficient steam generators.

Dear Sir:

I am a physician with the specialty training, practice and teaching background in Nuclear Medicine, Hematology and Oncology, and with the understanding of Nuclear Physics, I sincerely object the reactivation of San Onofre Nuclear Reactors. In view of its past troubled history, current condition, and location at major earthquake fault zone it is the best interest for public in Southern California.

Especially, as a nuclear medicine physician, hematologist and cancer specialist, I clearly understand the seriousness of radiation exposure on acute, intermediate and long term, which include death, leukemias, lymphomas, cancers as well as acute multi-organ injury. If there is any need in evaluating the medical aspect of the potential plant disaster, I will make myself available to NRC as well as Southern California Edison.

The public deserves a full review by the NRC of conditions at San Onofre should you consider the reactivation of the failed generator.

I support the call by the Sierra Club for the NRC to block any restart of the failed San Onofre generators at this time, and to conduct a comprehensive and open review process.

Your consideration will be greatly appreciated.

Jae C. Chang, M.D.
33 Rose Trellis
Irvine, CA 92603

RECEIVED

2013 APR 29 PM 3:50

RULES AND DIRECTIVES
BRANCH
USNRC

H/16/2013
78 FR 22576

18

SUNSI Review Complete
Template = ADM - 013
E-RIDS= ADM-03

Add= B. Bernney (b5b)

Thank you for your attention to these concerns.

Jae Chang
33 Rose Trellis
Irvine, CA 92603-0172