

Douglas R. Bauder Site Vice President & Station Manager San Onofre Nuclear Generating Station

April 26, 2013

10 CFR 50.54(f)

U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission ATTN: Document Control Desk 11555 Rockville Pike Rockville, MD 20858

Subject: Docket No. 50-361, 50-362 Southern California Edison's Response to NRC Request for Information Pursuant to 10 CFR 50.54(f) Regarding the Seismic Aspects of Recommendation 2.1 of the Near-Term Task Force Review of Insights from the Fukushima Dai-ichi Accident San Onofre Nuclear Generation Station (SONGS) Units 2 and 3

- References: 1. Letter dated March 12, 2012 from E. J. Leeds and M. R. Johnson, USNRC, to All Power Reactor Licensees, "Request for Information Pursuant to Title 10 of the Code of Federal Regulations 50.54(f) Regarding Recommendations 2.1, 2.3, and 9.3 of the Near-Term Task Force Review of Insights from the Fukushima Dai-ichi Accident"
 - 2. Federal Register, Vol. 78, No. 38 Tuesday February 26, 2013, pp. 13097-13099
 - 3. Letter dated April 9, 2013, from A. R. Pietrangelo, NEI, to USNRC, "Proposed Path Forward for NTTF Recommendation 2.1: Seismic Reevaluations"

Dear Sir or Madam,

On March 12, 2012, the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) issued Reference 1 to all power reactor licensees and holders of construction permits in active or deferred status. Enclosure 1 of Reference 1 contains specific Requested Actions, Requested Information, and Required Responses associated with Recommendation 2.1, Seismic Evaluations. The request is that "within 60-days of the date of the NRC's issuance of guidance on screening and prioritization criteria and the implementation details of the risk assessment (Reference 2), each addressee must submit: (1) its intent to follow the NRC-developed guidance, or (2) an alternate approach including acceptance criteria". In accordance with References 1 and 2, the 60-day responses are due to NRC by April 29, 2013.

Southern California Edison intends to respond to Enclosure 1 of Reference 1 by following the approach described in Reference 3. Southern California Edison will submit the Expedited Seismic Evaluation Process (ESEP) report and complete ESEP plant modifications based on the schedule provided in Reference 3. Southern California Edison will submit the seismic

P.O. Box 128 San Clemente, CA 92672 (949) 368-9275 PAX 89275 Fax: (949) 368-9881 Doug.Bauder@sce.com

hazard evaluation information and complete the risk evaluation on the schedule provided in Reference 1, Recommendation 2.1 Required Response.

Southern California Edison is making new regulatory commitments (as defined by NEI 99 04) in Enclosure 1 of this letter. This letter includes no revisions to existing regulatory commitments.

Should you have any questions or require additional information, please contact Mark Morgan at (949) 368-6745.

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

26/2013 Executed on \mathcal{G}

Respectfully,

Douglas R. Bauder Site Vice President and Station Manager

Enclosures:

1. List of Regulatory Commitments

A. T. Howell III, Regional Administrator, NRC Region IV
J. R. Hall, NRC Project Manager, SONGS Units 2 and 3
G. G. Warnick, NRC Senior Resident Inspector, SONGS Units 2 and 3
R. E. Lantz, Branch Chief, Division of Reactor Projects, NRC Region IV

ENCLOSURE 1

List of Regulatory Commitments

LIST OF REGULATORY COMMITMENTS

This table identifies an action discussed in this letter that Southern California Edison commits to perform. Any other actions discussed in this submittal are described for the NRC's information and are not commitments.

COMMITMENT ¹	TYPE (check one)		SCHEDULED COMPLETION
	ONE-TIME ACTION	SUSTAINABLE ACTION	DATE
Southern California Edison will submit to the NRC an Expedited Seismic Evaluation Process (ESEP) report by following the approach and schedule provided in Reference 3.	Yes	No	January 31, 2016
Southern California Edison will complete ESEP plant modifications not requiring outages by following the approach and schedule provided in Reference 3.	Yes	No	June 30, 2018
Southern California Edison will complete ESEP modifications requiring outages by following the approach and schedule provided in Reference 3.	Yes	No	2 refueling outages after January 2016

¹ Note: The above table only describes new regulatory commitments. Existing regulatory obligations from the 50.54(f) letter (i.e., seismic hazard evaluation and the risk evaluation) are already being tracked in our regulatory tracking system.