

4/16/2013
78 FR 22576

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

(3)

As of: April 24, 2013
Received: April 22, 2013
Status: Pending_Post
Tracking No. ljsx-84xf-3ahb
Comments Due: May 16, 2013
Submission Type: Web

Docket: NRC-2013-0070

Application and Amendment to Facility Operating License Involving Proposed No Significant Hazards Consideration Determination

Comment On: NRC-2013-0070-0001

Application and Amendment to Facility Operating License Involving Proposed No Significant Hazards Consideration Determination; San Onofre Nuclear Generating Station, Unit 2

Document: NRC-2013-0070-DRAFT-0004

Comment on FR Doc # 2013-08888

Submitter Information

Name: Susan Brown

Address:

La Mesa
La Mesa, CA, 91942

Submitter's Representative: n/a

Organization: none

RECEIVED
 2013 APR 24 AM 9: 7
 RULES AND DIRECTIVES
 BRANCH
 US-PRC

General Comment

I hereby request that you **REJECT** the request by Southern California Edison for a "License Amendment" to restart the failed San Onofre nuclear generator(s) until your proper oversight is completed as specified below.

This request for fast-track approval of a San Onofre restart without any public hearings or full and transparent procedure that we deserve, is just a ploy to keep San Onofre back in the ratepayers pockets. (and by the way, when can we expect a refund of the money ratepayers have been paying for zero power since the shutdown?)

I request a Fully Adjudicated Public License Amendment Hearing process before any restart, including through Independent safety assessments.

Your first priority should be public safety. Elmo Collins, Nuclear Regulatory Commission said "Our job is to make sure it's safe."

<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=liRgBFDuxBI>

I have seen no reports showing conclusively what the problems were that caused the shut down, nor have I seen any proposals to actually **REPAIR** the faulty tubes and whatever else is broken!

SUNSI Review Complete
Template = ADM - 013
E-RIDS= ADM -03

Add= B. Deeney (DSB)

I also have many questions, but I will hold these for the meetings except for this one:

"NRC is also contemplating requiring filters to capture vented radioactive material." was quoted in an article here <http://cen.acs.org/articles/91/i13/Nuclear-Retirement-Anxiety.html>

Do you plan to install such safety filters at San Onofre?

It is somewhat concerning that one of the reactors has been closed permanently.. Perhaps they all should be closed permanently.

There is a new question. Edison plans to layoff hundreds of employees and I believe this is on top of other recent layoffs. Does that leave enough people to run the plant safely? Very important to determine what effect this will have..

Our families deserve better.

Sincerely,

a concerned grandmother