

From: [Wagner, Katie](#)
To: [Wagner, Katie](#)
Subject: RE: Question Re: RAI Dated 3-22-13, Cammenga E-License 21-26460
Date: Monday, April 22, 2013 11:51:36 AM

From: CJ Karchon
Sent: Monday, April 22, 2013 11:28 AM
To: Wagner, Katie
Cc: Jankovich, John; cj@cammenga.com
Subject: RE: Question Re: RAI Dated 3-22-13, Cammenga E-License 21-26460

Thank you Katie.

Best,

CJ

----- Original message -----

From: "Wagner, Katie" <Katie.Wagner@nrc.gov>
Date: 04/22/2013 10:58 AM (GMT-05:00)
To: CJ Karchon
Cc: "Jankovich, John" <John.Jankovich@nrc.gov>
Subject: RE: Question Re: RAI Dated 3-22-13, Cammenga E-License 21-26460

Good Morning Mr. Karchon,

I have been authorized to grant Cammenga an extension on returning the information requested in the 3/22/2013 RAI until April 27, 2013.

Please let me know if you have any questions.

Thank you,

Katie Wagner
General Engineer
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
(301) 415.6202
Katie.Wagner@nrc.gov

From: CJ Karchon
Sent: Friday, April 19, 2013 4:37 PM
To: Wagner, Katie
Subject: RE: Question Re: RAI Dated 3-22-13, Cammenga E-License 21-26460

Next Friday at the latest. I will email prior to FedEx shipment.

CJ

On Apr 19, 2013 4:29 PM, "Wagner, Katie" <Katie.Wagner@nrc.gov> wrote:
Good Afternoon Mr. Karchon,

By what date should the NRC expect to receive the comprehensive response package?

Thank you,

Katie Wagner
General Engineer
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
[\(301\) 415.6202](tel:(301)415.6202)
Katie.Wagner@nrc.gov

From: CJ Karchon
Sent: Friday, April 19, 2013 3:55 PM
To: Wagner, Katie
Cc: Christopher Karchon
Subject: Re: Question Re: RAI Dated 3-22-13, Cammenga E-License 21-26460

Katie,

Our RAI package may arrive at the NRC a little after the requested April 22nd. I just wanted to let you know that we do plan to send in a comprehensive response package but it may be delayed slightly.

Thank you for your understanding.

Best Regards,

CJ Karchon

On Fri, Apr 12, 2013 at 4:40 PM, CJ Karchon wrote:
Katie,

Our internal firewall is giving me some problems sending to your domain again...

Anyway, thank you for your rapid response yesterday. We will carefully distinguish between all models/devices that fall under the 3-H Tritium Series.

I have another question: In the **Labeling** section of section **A.2.1**, there is a statement, "...the maximum activity is different from what you are requesting. Please explain the apparent discrepancy." This is a similar question to that found in **B.1**.

We are not sure what discrepancy exists. We intend to install two 100 mCi H-3 vials (Cammenga Model # 6700, MB Microtec Model # 400/3) into each device. This will create a maximum activity of 200 mCi H-3 per device. We have requested to increase the Maximum Activity per Device under Condition 10 to be "200 mCi (7.4 GBq)."

Any clarification you can provide would help us greatly.

Thank you and have a great weekend!

Best Regards,

CJ

Christopher J. Karchon
VP of Sales

Cammenga Company, LLC
2011 Bailey Street
Dearborn, MI 48124
Office: [+1 \(313\) 914.7160](tel:+13139147160)
Fax: [+1 \(313\) 914.7153](tel:+13139147153)
Mobile: [+1 248.766.4791](tel:+12487664791)
Email: cj@cammenga.com
Skype: seege10
Website: www.cammenga.com