

April 5, 2013

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA  
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

BEFORE THE ATOMIC SAFETY AND LICENSING BOARD

In the Matter of )  
 )  
SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA EDISON COMPANY ) Docket Nos. 50-361-CAL/50-362-CAL  
 )  
(San Onofre Nuclear Generating Station )  
Units 2 and 3) )  
 )

JOINT MOTION FOR CORRECTION OF TRANSCRIPT OF  
MARCH 22, 2013 ORAL ARGUMENT

In accordance with 10 C.F.R. § 2.323(a) the NRC Staff, Friends of the Earth and Southern California Edison Company (collectively, "Joint Participants") hereby request that the Atomic Safety and Licensing Board correct the transcript of the oral argument in this matter, held on March 22, 2013. The Joint Participants respectfully request that the transcript be revised to incorporate the corrections identified in Appendix A, attached hereto.

In accordance with 10 C.F.R. § 2.323(b), the Joint Participants developed Appendix A cooperatively, and have reached agreement on this motion.

Respectfully submitted,

**/Signed (electronically) by/**

David E. Roth  
Counsel for the NRC Staff  
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission  
Mail Stop O-15 D21  
Washington, DC 20555-0001  
Telephone: (301) 415-2749  
E-mail: David.Roth@nrc.gov

Dated at Rockville, MD  
this 5th day of April, 2013.

Appendix A to Joint Motion for Correction of Transcript of March 22, 2013 Oral Argument

PAGE/LINE	DELETE	INSERT
6/20 [Judge Hawkens]	concludes and	concludes that it
6/25 [Judge Hawkens]	In order	In our order
7/7 [Judge Hawkens]	if wishes	if it wishes
7/19 [Judge Hawkens]	is not	is not to
9/11	issues	issues regarding
9/20	also the NRC	also with the NRC
9/20	My left	To my left
10/8	a Request for	Request for
10/15	recert	restart
12/5 [Judge Hawkens]	o0ne?	one?
12/7	an	the
13/7 [Judge Hawkens]	us	SCE's announcement
13/14	believe the	believe that the
13/16	that we have voluntary	that it would be a voluntary
14/8 [Judge Hawkens]	precedence	precedent
15/7	If	these
17/21	conceived	conceded
18/9	"	
18/12		quotation mark at end of sentence
18/18	"	
18/19	Unite	Unit
18/20		quotation mark at end of sentence
20/16	.	comma after "CAL" and change uppercase T to lowercase in "this"
20/24	Mr. Ayres	Judge Hawkens
22/3	stuck	shut
23/5	I think	I see
24/4	period after "I'm sorry"	insert dash between "I'm sorry" and "if you need to make a change..."
26/13	figured	triggered
27/13	in that instance	insert "and that is" after "others" and before "the Commission"
28/2		commas on either side of "as it read it"
28/4		comma after "next step"
28/5		comma after "proceeding"
28/13		comma after "Judge"
30/25 [Judge Baratta]	they're	you're
31/2	decision	position
33/19		comma after "conclusions"
36/8 [Judge Arnold]	Results are	Results in
36/13 [Judge Arnold]	how do	how far do
41/18	ASOP	ASLB
43/12 [Judge Arnold]	called	culled
44/5 [Judge Arnold]	deducting	deducing
44/14		dashes before and after "triggering"
46/18		comma after "that"
46/19		comma after "us"
48/19		insert dash after "that"

Appendix A to Joint Motion for Correction of Transcript of March 22, 2013 Oral Argument

PAGE/LINE	DELETE	INSERT
48/21		insert dash after the second "tubes" on line 19
51/13	whether restart	whether Edison's restart
53/6	rights.	rights
53/7	And	until
53/25	has already	is already
54/19	if they know it	if it knows it
59/10	Can't make a	It can't make a
59/12	eminent	imminent
59/18	3 or	3, or
60/9	precedent the	precedent that
60/13 [Judge Hawkens]	of referred issue	of the referred issue
62/5	is clearly	was clearly
62/22	was well before	was submitted well before
64/3 [Judge Baratta]	at restart	at the restart
64/18 [Judge Baratta]	de factor	de facto
65/10 [Judge Hawkens]	is if by	is that if by
66/24	you've indicated	you've just indicated
68/13	submit amendment. And it's a formal	submit an amendment, then it's a formal
68/25 [Judge Hawkens]	barr	bar
69/7	are submitting application	aren't submitting an application
70/14 [Judge Baratta]	statement	statements
70/20	tubing	tube
71/11 [Judge Baratta]	contact for AVBs	contact force between the AVBs
72/6 [Judge Arnold]	mixed	next
72/16	assessment	assessments
74/11	or	are
74/21	every time the inspections	every time we do the routine inspections
75/24	, it	. It
75/25	generator or tube	generator tube
76/3	fueling	refueling
76/5	conservative.	conservative here.
76/5	have five month period where	have a five month period
76/8	paragraph	paragraph of
76/25 [Judge Arnold]	eight criterion C2	eighth criterion in (c)(2)
77/6	or	where
77/19	an	any
78/3	this	the
78/19	we have	you have
78/25	under the 50.59	under 50.59
79/3	is a	is it a
79/4	method.	method?
79/9	we are okay	you're okay
80/2	value	volume
80/9	fitting	thinning

Appendix A to Joint Motion for Correction of Transcript of March 22, 2013 Oral Argument

PAGE/LINE	DELETE	INSERT
80/22 [Judge Arnold]	going to go	going to go the
80/23 [Judge Arnold]	would do	would then be able to do
80/25	procedural	proceduralized
81/4	we knew we	we automatically knew we
81/7	were already screened	were screened
81/10	directed coolant	the reactor coolant
81/16	And I mentioned	And as I mentioned
81/19	their	the
83/1 [Judge Arnold]	state before	state, and I believe this was before
83/17	Your Honor	In general
83/24	for power	at full power
83/25	do 100	do a 100
84/12	in our	without a
84/13	had raised a	agrees to
85/15 [Judge Arnold]	that	then
86/13	every utility has	other utilities have
86/14	to take	taken
86/19	slight, quick clarification	slight clarification
86/22	in	
86/23	our tech	of that standard tech
87/3 [Judge Baratta]	analogous what	analogous to what
87/14	in	at
87/16 [Judge Baratta]	Okay. Also had a no	But they also had a no
88/8 [Judge Baratta]	CAL	CALs
88/19	could	did
88/23	power. We're using to	power. We're choosing not to. We're choosing to
88/24	it	we
89/7	agree	don't believe
89/24	We are not	I don't believe we are
90/5	seven	70
90/9	that at	that both at
91/6	taking	doing
91/10 [Judge Baratta]	I like in	I liken
91/11 [Judge Baratta]	the first line that we	to the first time that we
91/16	power, we're not	power, and we're not
91/17	yet. We	yet, we
92/5 [Judge Baratta]	at	that
92/16	organizations	the regulations
95/5 [Judge Arnold]	Criteria for	Criteria 14 for
95/17	ADB	AVB
96/2	Yes. I don't	Again, I don't
96/3	in describing	in describing the
96/5	wearing	wear
96/8	assessments which	assessments, which
96/9	program. We	program, we
97/6	indeed	instead
97/16	different analysis. We're talking	different analyses. You're talking

PAGE/LINE	DELETE	INSERT
97/19	really different	really two different
98/17 [Judge Arnold]	or	for
98/18 [Judge Arnold]	if U tube steam	If there are U tube steam
98/24	possibility for in plane	possibility in a laboratory for in plane
99/2	Those were cases of out plane FEI	I believe those were cases of out of plane FEI
99/9 [Judge Arnold]	if	is
99/24	credit	product
100/1	ADB content	AVB contact
100/2	ADB content	AVB contact,
100/3	fraction we	fraction, we
100/14	had high void	had relatively high void
100/15	you get	we have
100/18	have seen	assume
100/19	presuming	presumably
101/6 [Judge Hawkens]	Although that sequence	Although does that sequence
101/7 [Judge Hawkens]	makes sense	make sense
102/2	out right	out one right
102/3	against on the	against us on the
102/4	presumably we'll be enlisting a license	presumably there'll be a notice of license
105/14	in	and
106/12	Unit	[no insert]
108/11	period	hearing
109/23	that	the
110/19	whether	with their
111/21	that	the
112/7 [Judge Hawkens]	barr	bar
113/12	there	they
113/14	Do you mean now right	you may now run
113/15	has	is
114/3	a	[no insert]
114/8	Honor. And that's your word.	Honor, as you're aware.
115/13	and behalf	and on behalf
116/21	end to	ended
117/20 [Judge Hawkens]	analysis and	analysis aside and
119/10	give correct	that said give corrective
119/22	in	a
122/12	And	No and
124/18	the same	is saying
126/22	says as	has
126/25	They	The
131/11	but	that
131/16	or	and
132/3	licensee	licensing
132/4	or	are
133/13	would not	are not going to
134/5	trying	declining

Appendix A to Joint Motion for Correction of Transcript of March 22, 2013 Oral Argument

PAGE/LINE	DELETE	INSERT
134/20	would	have
134/21	issue	issued
134/24	would	have
136/24	any of these 50.59	the 50.59 ones which
137/24	59(f)	59 F 3rd 284
138/9	an act of	in fact a
140/2-3	an option	adoption
141/1	of	[no insert]
142/14	to	and

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA  
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

BEFORE THE ATOMIC SAFETY AND LICNSING BOARD

In the Matter of )  
 )  
SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA EDISON CO. ) Docket Nos. 50-361-CAL/ 50-362-CAL  
 )  
(San Onofre Nuclear Generating Station )  
Units 2 and 3) )  
 )

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

Pursuant to 10 C.F.R § 2.305, I hereby certify that copies of the foregoing "JOINT MOTION FOR CORRECTION OF TRANSCRIPT OF MARCH 22, 2013 ORAL ARGUMENT" dated April 5, 2013 have been served upon the Electronic Information Exchange, the NRC's E-Filing System, in the above captioned proceeding, this 5th day of April, 2013.

**/Signed (electronically) by/**  
David E. Roth  
Counsel for the NRC Staff  
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission  
Mail Stop O-15 D21  
Washington, DC 20555-0001  
Telephone: (301) 415-2749  
E-mail: David.Roth@nrc.gov

Dated at Rockville, Maryland  
this 5th day of April, 2013