From: Paul Gunter
To: Lamb, John

Cc: Khanna, Meena; Russell, Andrea; Mensah, Tanya; Banic, Merrilee; Pelton, David; Davis, Jack; Hair, Christopher;

Kamps/ Kevin

Subject: Re: FYI - Beyond Nuclear (et al) 2.206 Petition Regarding GE Mark I & II BWRs

Date: Wednesday, April 03, 2013 5:46:08 AM

Mr. Lamb,

Thank you for contacting me with regard to our petition from emergency enforcement action on the GE Mark I and Mark II boiling water reactors.

I am currently on work assignment in the United Kingdom and will be returning to the United Stated on April 6, 2013.

Yes, our intention is to have the NRC to convene a Petition Review Board to consider the petition under the 10 CFR 2.206 process as provided under NRC Management Directive 8.11.

We further request that the NRC provide the petitioners with the opportunity to supplement the petition with additional information. Beyond Nuclear requests to have that meeting in person with the PRB at NRC headquarters and provide a telephone bridge-line for brief presentations by additional select petitioners. We would also like to have a bridge-line and webcast of the PRB meeting to provide additional petitioners and the public to view the proceeding.

Thank you, Paul Gunter and Kevin Kamps Beyond Nuclear

On Mon, Apr 1, 2013 at 12:00 PM, Lamb, John < <u>John.Lamb@nrc.gov</u>> wrote:

Dear Mr. Gunter:

My name is John G. Lamb and I have been assigned as the Petition Manager for the Title 10 of the *Code of Federal Regulations* (10 CFR), Section 2.206 petition you submitted (see attached) to the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) on March 21, 2013, regarding your concerns on the General Electric (GE) Mark I and Mark II boiling- water reactors (BWRs).

10 CFR 2.206 describes the petition process – the primary mechanism for the public to request enforcement action by the NRC in a public process. This process permits anyone to petition NRC to take enforcement-type action related to NRC licensees or licensed activities. Depending on the results of its evaluation, NRC could modify, suspend or revoke an NRC-issued license or take any other appropriate enforcement action to resolve a problem. The NRC staff's guidance for the disposition of 2.206 petition requests is in Management Directive (MD) 8.11 (Agencywide Documents And Management System Accession No. ML041770328),

which is available to the public.

The 2.206 process provides a mechanism for any member of the public to request enforcement action against NRC licensees. Therefore, because you specifically requested in your letter that the NRC revoke the operating licenses for the GE Mark I and Mark II BWRs, it was referred to the 2.206 process. The 2.206 process is separate from the allegations process which affords individuals who raise safety concerns a degree of protection of their identity. In the 2.206 process, all of the information in your letter will be made public, including your identity.

In addition, in accordance with NRC MD 8.11, you have the opportunity to address the NRC Petition Review Board (PRB) to further discuss your petition, either in person at the NRC Headquarters in Rockville, MD, or by telephone conference.

I would appreciate if you could advise me by Friday, April 5, 2013, if you agree to the NRC's processing your request under the 2.206 process. In addition, please advise me if you would like to address the PRB. If you would like to meet in person, I will need to schedule a formal public meeting at the NRC Headquarters. If you would prefer to address the PRB via phone, I will also work with you to coordinate a date/time during the upcoming weeks.

Thank you,

John G. Lamb

Senior Project Manager

<u>301-415-3100</u>

John.Lamb@nrc.gov

Paul Gunter, Director Reactor Oversight Project Beyond Nuclear 6930 Carroll Avenue Suite 400 Takoma Park, MD 20912 Tel. 301 270 2209 www.beyondnuclear.org