



South Texas Project Electric Generating Station P.O. Box 289 Wadsworth, Texas 77483

March 7, 2013  
NOC-AE-13002976  
File No.: G25  
10 CFR 50.73  
STI: 33664524

U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission  
Attention: Document Control Desk  
Washington, DC 20555-0001

South Texas Project  
Unit 2  
Docket No. STN 50-499  
Revision 1 of Licensee Event Report 2-2013-002  
Reactor Trip Due to Main Transformer Lockout Relay Trip

Pursuant to 10 CFR 50.73, STP Nuclear Operating Company (STPNOC) submits the attached Unit 2 Licensee Event Report (LER) 2-2013-002 to address the reactor trip that occurred on January 8, 2013.

This event is reportable pursuant to 10CFR50.73(a)(2)(iv)(A), any event or condition that resulted in manual or automatic actuation of any of the systems listed in paragraph (a)(2)(iv)(B) of this section, and 10 CFR 50.73(a)(2)(vii), any event where a single cause or condition caused at least one independent train or channel to become inoperable in multiple systems or two independent trains or channels to become inoperable in a single system designed to: (A) Shut down the reactor and maintain it in a safe shutdown condition; (B) Remove residual heat; (C) Control the release of radioactive material; or (D) Mitigate the consequences of an accident.

This event did not have an adverse effect on the health and safety of the public.

There are no commitments contained in this LER. Corrective actions will be implemented in accordance with the STP Corrective Action Program.

If there are any questions on this submittal, please contact either Ben Whitmer at (361) 972-7449 or me at (361) 972-7566.

G. T. Powell  
Vice-President, Generation

BLW

Attachment: LER 2-2013-002

JE28  
MLL

cc:  
(paper copy)

Regional Administrator, Region IV  
U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission  
1600 East Lamar Boulevard  
Arlington, TX 76011-4511

Balwant K. Singal  
Senior Project Manager  
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission  
One White Flint North (MS 8 B1)  
11555 Rockville Pike  
Rockville, MD 20852

NRC Resident Inspector  
U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission  
P. O. Box 289, Mail Code: MN116  
Wadsworth, TX 77483

C. M. Canady  
City of Austin  
Electric Utility Department  
721 Barton Springs Road  
Austin, TX 78704

(electronic copy)

A. H. Gutterman, Esquire  
Morgan, Lewis & Bockius LLP

Balwant K. Singal  
U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission

John Ragan  
Chris O'Hara  
Jim von Suskil  
NRG South Texas LP

Kevin Pollo  
Richard Pena  
City Public Service

Peter Nemeth  
Crain Caton & James, P.C.

C. Mele  
City of Austin

Richard A. Ratliff  
Texas Department of State Health  
Services

Alice Rogers  
Texas Department of State Health  
Services

**LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER)**

(See reverse for required number of digits/characters for each block)

<b>1. FACILITY NAME</b> South Texas Unit 2	<b>2. DOCKET NUMBER</b> 05000499	<b>3. PAGE</b> 1 OF 5
---	-------------------------------------	--------------------------

**4. TITLE**  
 Reactor Trip Due to Main Transformer Lockout Relay Trip

5. EVENT DATE			6. LER NUMBER			7. REPORT DATE			8. OTHER FACILITIES INVOLVED	
MONTH	DAY	YEAR	YEAR	SEQUENTIAL NUMBER	REV NO.	MONTH	DAY	YEAR	FACILITY NAME	DOCKET NUMBER
01	08	2013	2013	002	00	03	07	2013	N/A	N/A

<b>9. OPERATING MODE</b>  1	<b>11. THIS REPORT IS SUBMITTED PURSUANT TO THE REQUIREMENTS OF 10CFR§:</b> <i>(Check all that apply)</i>																																				
<b>10. POWER LEVEL</b>  100%	<table style="width:100%; border: none;"> <tr> <td><input type="checkbox"/> 20.2201(b)</td> <td><input type="checkbox"/> 20.2203(a)(3)(i)</td> <td><input type="checkbox"/> 50.73(a)(2)(i)(C)</td> <td><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 50.73(a)(2)(vii)</td> </tr> <tr> <td><input type="checkbox"/> 20.2201(d)</td> <td><input type="checkbox"/> 20.2203(a)(3)(ii)</td> <td><input type="checkbox"/> 50.73(a)(2)(ii)(A)</td> <td><input type="checkbox"/> 50.73(a)(2)(viii)(A)</td> </tr> <tr> <td><input type="checkbox"/> 20.2203(a)(1)</td> <td><input type="checkbox"/> 20.2203(a)(4)</td> <td><input type="checkbox"/> 50.73(a)(2)(ii)(B)</td> <td><input type="checkbox"/> 50.73(a)(2)(viii)(B)</td> </tr> <tr> <td><input type="checkbox"/> 20.2203(a)(2)(i)</td> <td><input type="checkbox"/> 50.36(c)(1)(i)(A)</td> <td><input type="checkbox"/> 50.73(a)(2)(iii)</td> <td><input type="checkbox"/> 50.73(a)(2)(ix)(A)</td> </tr> <tr> <td><input type="checkbox"/> 20.2203(a)(2)(ii)</td> <td><input type="checkbox"/> 50.36(c)(1)(ii)(A)</td> <td><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 50.73(a)(2)(iv)(A)</td> <td><input type="checkbox"/> 50.73(a)(2)(x)</td> </tr> <tr> <td><input type="checkbox"/> 20.2203(a)(2)(iii)</td> <td><input type="checkbox"/> 50.36(c)(2)</td> <td><input type="checkbox"/> 50.73(a)(2)(v)(A)</td> <td><input type="checkbox"/> 73.71(a)(4)</td> </tr> <tr> <td><input type="checkbox"/> 20.2203(a)(2)(iv)</td> <td><input type="checkbox"/> 50.46(a)(3)(ii)</td> <td><input type="checkbox"/> 50.73(a)(2)(v)(B)</td> <td><input type="checkbox"/> 73.71(a)(5)</td> </tr> <tr> <td><input type="checkbox"/> 20.2203(a)(2)(v)</td> <td><input type="checkbox"/> 50.73(a)(2)(i)(A)</td> <td><input type="checkbox"/> 50.73(a)(2)(v)(C)</td> <td><input type="checkbox"/> OTHER</td> </tr> <tr> <td><input type="checkbox"/> 20.2203(a)(2)(vi)</td> <td><input type="checkbox"/> 50.73(a)(2)(i)(B)</td> <td><input type="checkbox"/> 50.73(a)(2)(v)(D)</td> <td style="font-size: small;">Specify in Abstract below or in NRC Form 366A</td> </tr> </table>	<input type="checkbox"/> 20.2201(b)	<input type="checkbox"/> 20.2203(a)(3)(i)	<input type="checkbox"/> 50.73(a)(2)(i)(C)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 50.73(a)(2)(vii)	<input type="checkbox"/> 20.2201(d)	<input type="checkbox"/> 20.2203(a)(3)(ii)	<input type="checkbox"/> 50.73(a)(2)(ii)(A)	<input type="checkbox"/> 50.73(a)(2)(viii)(A)	<input type="checkbox"/> 20.2203(a)(1)	<input type="checkbox"/> 20.2203(a)(4)	<input type="checkbox"/> 50.73(a)(2)(ii)(B)	<input type="checkbox"/> 50.73(a)(2)(viii)(B)	<input type="checkbox"/> 20.2203(a)(2)(i)	<input type="checkbox"/> 50.36(c)(1)(i)(A)	<input type="checkbox"/> 50.73(a)(2)(iii)	<input type="checkbox"/> 50.73(a)(2)(ix)(A)	<input type="checkbox"/> 20.2203(a)(2)(ii)	<input type="checkbox"/> 50.36(c)(1)(ii)(A)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 50.73(a)(2)(iv)(A)	<input type="checkbox"/> 50.73(a)(2)(x)	<input type="checkbox"/> 20.2203(a)(2)(iii)	<input type="checkbox"/> 50.36(c)(2)	<input type="checkbox"/> 50.73(a)(2)(v)(A)	<input type="checkbox"/> 73.71(a)(4)	<input type="checkbox"/> 20.2203(a)(2)(iv)	<input type="checkbox"/> 50.46(a)(3)(ii)	<input type="checkbox"/> 50.73(a)(2)(v)(B)	<input type="checkbox"/> 73.71(a)(5)	<input type="checkbox"/> 20.2203(a)(2)(v)	<input type="checkbox"/> 50.73(a)(2)(i)(A)	<input type="checkbox"/> 50.73(a)(2)(v)(C)	<input type="checkbox"/> OTHER	<input type="checkbox"/> 20.2203(a)(2)(vi)	<input type="checkbox"/> 50.73(a)(2)(i)(B)	<input type="checkbox"/> 50.73(a)(2)(v)(D)	Specify in Abstract below or in NRC Form 366A
<input type="checkbox"/> 20.2201(b)	<input type="checkbox"/> 20.2203(a)(3)(i)	<input type="checkbox"/> 50.73(a)(2)(i)(C)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 50.73(a)(2)(vii)																																		
<input type="checkbox"/> 20.2201(d)	<input type="checkbox"/> 20.2203(a)(3)(ii)	<input type="checkbox"/> 50.73(a)(2)(ii)(A)	<input type="checkbox"/> 50.73(a)(2)(viii)(A)																																		
<input type="checkbox"/> 20.2203(a)(1)	<input type="checkbox"/> 20.2203(a)(4)	<input type="checkbox"/> 50.73(a)(2)(ii)(B)	<input type="checkbox"/> 50.73(a)(2)(viii)(B)																																		
<input type="checkbox"/> 20.2203(a)(2)(i)	<input type="checkbox"/> 50.36(c)(1)(i)(A)	<input type="checkbox"/> 50.73(a)(2)(iii)	<input type="checkbox"/> 50.73(a)(2)(ix)(A)																																		
<input type="checkbox"/> 20.2203(a)(2)(ii)	<input type="checkbox"/> 50.36(c)(1)(ii)(A)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 50.73(a)(2)(iv)(A)	<input type="checkbox"/> 50.73(a)(2)(x)																																		
<input type="checkbox"/> 20.2203(a)(2)(iii)	<input type="checkbox"/> 50.36(c)(2)	<input type="checkbox"/> 50.73(a)(2)(v)(A)	<input type="checkbox"/> 73.71(a)(4)																																		
<input type="checkbox"/> 20.2203(a)(2)(iv)	<input type="checkbox"/> 50.46(a)(3)(ii)	<input type="checkbox"/> 50.73(a)(2)(v)(B)	<input type="checkbox"/> 73.71(a)(5)																																		
<input type="checkbox"/> 20.2203(a)(2)(v)	<input type="checkbox"/> 50.73(a)(2)(i)(A)	<input type="checkbox"/> 50.73(a)(2)(v)(C)	<input type="checkbox"/> OTHER																																		
<input type="checkbox"/> 20.2203(a)(2)(vi)	<input type="checkbox"/> 50.73(a)(2)(i)(B)	<input type="checkbox"/> 50.73(a)(2)(v)(D)	Specify in Abstract below or in NRC Form 366A																																		

**12. LICENSEE CONTACT FOR THIS LER**

FACILITY NAME Ben Whitmer, Licensing Engineering Specialist	TELEPHONE NUMBER (include Area Code) 361-972-7449
--	--

**13. COMPLETE ONE LINE FOR EACH COMPONENT FAILURE DESCRIBED IN THIS REPORT**

CAUSE	SYSTEM	COMPONENT	MANUFACTURER	REPORTABLE TO EPIX	CAUSE	SYSTEM	COMPONENT	MANUFACTURER	REPORTABLE TO EPIX
E	EL	XFMR	McGraw-Edison (M175)	YES	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

<b>14. SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE EXPECTED</b> <input type="checkbox"/> YES (if yes, complete 15. EXPECTED SUBMISSION DATE)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NO						
<b>15. EXPECTED SUBMISSION DATE</b>	<table style="width:100%; border: none;"> <tr> <th>MONTH</th> <th>DAY</th> <th>YEAR</th> </tr> <tr> <td>N/A</td> <td>N/A</td> <td>N/A</td> </tr> </table>	MONTH	DAY	YEAR	N/A	N/A	N/A
MONTH	DAY	YEAR					
N/A	N/A	N/A					

**ABSTRACT** (Limit to 1400 spaces, i.e., approximately 15 single-spaced typewritten lines)

On January 8, 2013, at 16:40 CST, with South Texas Project Unit 2 at 100% power, a fault in the 'C' phase of the Unit 2 2A Main Transformer (MT2A) occurred resulting in a Unit 2 automatic trip and partial loss of offsite power. Pressure from the fault ruptured the transformer tank and the oil ignited. The onsite fire brigade responded, and the fire suppression system worked as designed. The fire was extinguished at 16:56. There were no injuries and no radiological impacts to the public or station personnel.

An Unusual Event was declared at 16:55 CST for initiating condition HU-2, "Fire or explosion in protected area or switchyard which affects normal plant operations". Local, county, and state offices were notified as required, and the Unusual Event was terminated at 19:47 CST, after the partial loss of offsite power was restored.

Failure analysis concluded the most likely cause of the transformer fault was an internal ground fault or an internal turn-to-turn fault inside of the "C" phase high voltage windings due to untimely degradation of the paper insulation from the cumulative effects of pass-through faults, elevated temperatures, elevated moisture, and grid disturbances over a period of years. The damage to the transformer challenged a determination of the direct root cause of the transformer fault. If warranted, the root cause report and LER will be revised after failure evaluation forensics are complete.

Corrective actions include replacement of MT2A with an on-hand spare, installation of wireless monitoring and notification features for the online dissolved gas monitors, approval for future replacement of all four main transformers with new units to support reliable operation through the end of the currently projected plant life, and implementation of a Large Equipment Asset Management process.

1. FACILITY NAME	2. DOCKET	6. LER NUMBER			3. PAGE
South Texas Unit 2	05000499	YEAR	SEQUENTIAL NUMBER	REV. NO	2 OF 5
		2013	002	00	

## NARRATIVE

## I. DESCRIPTION OF EVENT

## A. Reportable Event Classification

This event is reportable pursuant to 10CFR50.73(a)(2)(iv)(A), any event or condition that resulted in manual or automatic actuation of any of the systems listed in paragraph (a)(2)(iv)(B) of this section, and 10 CFR 50.73(a)(2)(vii), any event where a single cause or condition caused at least one independent train or channel to become inoperable in multiple systems or two independent trains or channels to become inoperable in a single system designed to: (A) Shut down the reactor and maintain it in a safe shutdown condition; (B) Remove residual heat; (C) Control the release of radioactive material; or (D) Mitigate the consequences of an accident.

## B. Plant Operating Conditions Prior to Event

South Texas Project Unit 2 was in Mode 1 at 100% power.

## C. Status of Structures, Systems, and Components that were Inoperable at the Start of the Event and That Contributed to the Event

No other structures, systems, or components were inoperable at the start of the event that contributed to the event.

## D. Narrative Summary of the Event

On January 8, 2013 at 1359 hours, Unit 2 reached full power following a brief plant shutdown. At 16:40 CST Unit 2 Main Transformer 2A (MT2A) [EL][XFMR], faulted without warning, causing a main generator [TB][GEN] lock out and automatic reactor trip [JE]. Control room operators entered procedure OPOP05-EO-EO00, "Reactor Trip or Safety Injection," and stabilized the plant in mode 3 with the reactor core being cooled by natural circulation.

The fault caused a partial loss of offsite power: two of three Engineered Safety Features (ESF) electrical buses [JE][EA][EB][BU] lost power and the associated Standby Diesel Generators (SDG) [EL][DG] 21 and 23 subsequently started and loaded as designed.

At 16:40 CST, a fire was reported at MT2A. Sudden pressure from the fault had ruptured the transformer tank, and the oil ignited. The fire brigade was dispatched to fight the fire. The fire protection deluge system [KF] functioned as designed. At 16:49 CST the fire brigade leader reported the fire was under control. At 16:56 CST the fire was extinguished.

At 16:55 CST, the Unit 2 Shift Manager, in his capacity as Emergency Director, declared an Unusual Event based on emergency response plan initiating condition HU2, "Fire or Explosion in the Protected Area or Switchyard which Affects Normal Operation," and emergency action level 2, "Explosion in or adjacent to any of the following areas which damages equipment necessary for normal plant operation..."

1. FACILITY NAME	2. DOCKET	6. LER NUMBER			3. PAGE
South Texas Unit 2	05000499	YEAR	SEQUENTIAL NUMBER	REV. NO	3 OF 5
		2013	002	00	

Between 17:37 and 18:43 CST, Operators electrically realigned the plant via auxiliary and standby electrical buses [EA] in order to restore offsite power. These actions then allowed the operators to secure Standby Diesel Generators 21 and 23.

The Emergency Director (Shift Manager) terminated the Unusual Event at 19:47 CST.

Response to this event did not reveal any significant deficiency in plant Operating Procedures, the Emergency Plan, or Fire Brigade protocols. There were no significant human performance errors that might have jeopardized nuclear safety. Required ESF equipment responded as designed and restored electrical power to safety grade electrical buses immediately upon receiving an initiation signal. There were no personnel injuries or loss of radioactive material control.

#### E. Method of Discovery

The transformer fault and reactor trip, were self-revealing.

#### II. Event-driven Information

##### A. Safety Systems that Responded

All required safety systems responded as expected including the following actuations:

1. Reactor Coolant Pump Undervoltage Reactor Trip
2. Reactor Protection System P-16, Turbine Trip
3. Feedwater Isolation Actuation
4. CRE HVAC Emergency Recirculation (C Train LOOP)
5. Reactor Containment Fan Coolers (C Train LOOP)
6. Auxiliary Feedwater Actuation (All AFW pumps actuated)
7. Primary Pressure Control (Pressurizer Spray and Heaters actuated as required)
8. Emergency Diesel Generators 21 and 23 started and loaded as designed

##### B. Duration of Safety System Inoperability

N/A

##### C. Safety Consequences and Implications of the Event

There was no impact to radiological safety, safety of the public, or safety of station personnel during this event.

After the plant trip, all systems required to maintain the Unit in a safe shutdown condition were available. The plant maintained the ability to remove residual heat and there were no challenges to systems that are used to monitor and control the release of radioactive material. Systems were available to mitigate the consequence of an accident.

Plant personnel safety was potentially challenged initially by the fire and explosion. Prompt actuation of

1. FACILITY NAME	2. DOCKET	6. LER NUMBER			3. PAGE
South Texas Unit 2	05000499	YEAR	SEQUENTIAL NUMBER	REV. NO	4 OF 5
		2013	002	00	

the fire suppression system and the follow-up actions by Fire Brigade personnel extinguished the fire. Thermo graphic inspection detected hot spots and cooling spray was applied to prevent re-flash. In addition, on-shift crew actions to vent the hydrogen from the Main Generator alleviated the risk of a hydrogen fire. Station processes provided sufficient barriers to ensure personnel safety.

This event was an unplanned scram with complications per NEI 99-02, revision 6, "Regulatory Assessment Performance Indicator Guideline", October 2009, because, after the scram, Main Feedwater [SJ] was unavailable or not recoverable using approved plant procedure, and the scram response procedure could not be completed without entering another EOP (Emergency Operating Procedure). Loss of forced cooling in the Reactor Coolant System (RCS) [AB] required operators to establish and maintain natural circulation of the RCS to ensure adequate core cooling. Forced circulation of the RCS was restored at 22:59 on January 8, 2013. Since the main condenser was not available due to loss of Circulating Water [NN][P] pumps, Main Steam [S] isolation was required and the normal automatic control of RCS temperature after a Reactor trip was lost requiring manual Steam Generator Power Operated Relief Valve [SB][PCV] operations to manage RCS temperature.

Probabilistic Risk Assessment (PRA):

- The conditional core damage probability (CCDP) for the loss of main transformer trip is 1.09e-7.
- The conditional large early release probability for the loss of main transformer trip is 5.67e-9.

These conditional probabilities are the appropriate risk metrics for the risk associated with a trip. That is, there is no frequency only a probability given the event occurred.

The event did not prevent Security from performing required functions and did not impair the ability of Security personnel to implement the physical security plan.

The partial loss of offsite power did not inhibit the control room from implementing emergency response plan actions to mitigate the event.

### III. Cause of the Event

The most likely cause of the MT2A failure that resulted in the transformer lockout trip of the Unit 2 reactor is that either an internal ground fault or an internal turn-to-turn fault occurred inside of the "C" phase high voltage windings. The most likely cause of the fault is that the paper insulation inside of the transformer degraded faster than expected due to cumulative damage over time from a combination of pass-through faults, elevated temperatures, elevated moisture, and grid disturbances. Another potential cause that remains as "likely" on the Fault Tree is the failure of bad connections internal to the windings, but this is considered less likely due to the age of the transformer and because analysis of gases in oil samples did not indicate that a loose connection had existed for any long period of time. A more definitive cause may be determined after failure evaluation forensics are performed on the damaged transformer windings.

### IV. Corrective Actions

Develop and implement a "Large Equipment Asset Management" process based on INPO AP-913, "Equipment Reliability Process Description," revision 3, March 2011, and EPRI status report 1021188, "Integrated Life Cycle Management", technical update of December 2010, and other nuclear plant asset

1. FACILITY NAME	2. DOCKET	6. LER NUMBER			3. PAGE
South Texas Unit 2	05000499	YEAR	SEQUENTIAL NUMBER	REV. NO	5 OF 5
		2013	002	00	

management plans. The intent of this action is to develop proactive methodology to manage major plant assets.

Obtain approval for Main Transformer replacement. Make a recommendation to the Reliability and Asset Management committee that, when implemented, will support reliable operation through the end of the currently projected plant life. The intent is to propose and get approval for installation of new main transformers and procurement of a new spare to support reliable operation of both units through the end of the currently projected plant life.

Install a plant modification to support automatic notifications and wireless monitoring of installed gas monitor results for all main and aux transformers; following installation of the modification, set up and activate automatic notifications for installed gas monitor results

#### V. Previous Similar Events

The only previous failure of an identical component at STP occurred on 7/13/89 when MT2A failed and resulted in a Unit 2 reactor trip (reference LER 2-89-017). The 1989 event involved failure of the lower high voltage (HV) bushing that is internal to the transformer tank, so it was different from the recent failure of MT2A that occurred inside the HV windings. Following are the conclusions drawn from the 1989 event:

- The station problem report (SPR) describing the event states that the number three (3) high voltage bushing had failed but does not list the reason the bushing was the initial failure, instead of the transformer. An attachment to the SPR from McGraw-Edison states that an inspection revealed that the bushing had exploded inside of the transformer.
- The transformer tank was ruptured at the top-center tank joint adjacent to the H3 bushing.
- No fire occurred, only an explosion.
- The SPR states that "no true root cause of the bushing failure was found." McGraw-Edison speculated that free water in the bushing insulating oil could have been responsible but no attempt was made to justify this as a possible cause or to explain how the water could have gotten inside of the bushing.
- The SPR concluded that no corrective actions were needed (no procedure changes, no test revisions, no additional testing is to be performed, no additional maintenance activities needed, and no generic implications were noted).

#### VI. Additional Information

None.