

South Texas Project Electric Generating Station P.O. Box 289 Wadsworth, Texas 77483

March 7, 2013 NOC-AE-13002976 File No.: G25 10 CFR 50.73 STI: 33664524

U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Attention: Document Control Desk Washington, DC 20555-0001

> South Texas Project Unit 2 Docket No. STN 50-499 Revision 1 of Licensee Event Report 2-2013-002 Reactor Trip Due to Main Transformer Lockout Relay Trip

Pursuant to 10 CFR 50.73, STP Nuclear Operating Company (STPNOC) submits the attached Unit 2 Licensee Event Report (LER) 2-2013-002 to address the reactor trip that occurred on January 8, 2013.

This event is reportable pursuant to 10CFR50.73(a)(2)(iv)(A), any event or condition that resulted in manual or automatic actuation of any of the systems listed in paragraph (a)(2)(iv)(B) of this section, and 10 CFR 50.73(a)(2)(vii), any event where a single cause or condition caused at least one independent train or channel to become inoperable in multiple systems or two independent trains or channels to become inoperable in a single system designed to: (A) Shut down the reactor and maintain it in a safe shutdown condition; (B) Remove residual heat; (C) Control the release of radioactive material; or (D) Mitigate the consequences of an accident.

This event did not have an adverse effect on the health and safety of the public.

There are no commitments contained in this LER. Corrective actions will be implemented in accordance with the STP Corrective Action Program.

If there are any questions on this submittal, please contact either Ben Whitmer at (361) 972-7449 or me at (361) 972-7566.

1. A Powell

G. T. Powell Vice-President, Generation

BLW

Attachment: LER 2-2013-002



NOC-AE-13002976 Page 2 of 2

cc: (paper copy)

Regional Administrator, Region IV U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission 1600 East Lamar Boulevard Arlington, TX 76011-4511

Balwant K. Singal Senior Project Manager U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission One White Flint North (MS 8 B1) 11555 Rockville Pike Rockville, MD 20852

NRC Resident Inspector U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission P. O. Box 289, Mail Code: MN116 Wadsworth, TX 77483

C. M. Canady City of Austin Electric Utility Department 721 Barton Springs Road Austin, TX 78704 (electronic copy)

A. H. Gutterman, Esquire Morgan, Lewis & Bockius LLP

Balwant K. Singal U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission

John Ragan Chris O'Hara Jim von Suskil NRG South Texas LP

Kevin Pollo Richard Pena City Public Service

Peter Nemeth Crain Caton & James, P.C.

C. Mele City of Austin

Richard A. Ratliff Texas Department of State Health Services

Alice Rogers Texas Department of State Health Services

U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION (10-2010) LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER)					APPROVED BY OMB: NO, 3150-0104 EXPIRES: 10/31/2013 Estimated burden per response to comply with this mandatory collection request: 80 hours. Reported lessons learned are incorporated into the licensing process and fed back to industry. Send comments regarding burden estimate to the FOIA/Privacy Section (T-5 F53). U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington. DC 20555-0001, or by internet e-mail to <u>Infocellects, resource@nrc.gov</u> , and to the Desk Officer, Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs. NEOB-10202. (3150-1104), Office of Management and Burden Washington, DC 20553 I.G. approximate to internet in the formation and Regulatory Affairs. NEOB-10202.							
(See reverse for required number of digits/characters for each block)						Budget. Washington. DC 20503. If a means used to impose an information collection does not display a currently valid OMB control number, the NRC may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to the information collection.						
1. FACILITY NAME South Texas Unit 2						2. DOCKET NUMBER 05000499			3. PAGE 1 OF 5			
4. ΤΙΤLE Reactor Trip Due to Main Tra						ain Tran	nsformer Lockout Relay Trip					
5. EVENT DATE		6. LER NUMBER		7. REPO	ORT DAT	Έ	8. OTHER FAC	ILITIES INVO	LVED			
MONTH	DAY	YEAR	YEAR	SEQUENTIA NUMBER	AL REV NO.	MONTH	DAY	YEAR	FACILITY NAME		DOCKET NU	MBER
01	08	2013	2013	002	00	03	07	2013	N/A		N/A	
9. OPERATING MODE 11. THIS REPORT IS SUBMITTE 1 20.2201(b) 20.2201(d)				BMITTED PUR 20.220 20.220 20.220	3(a)(3)(i) 3(a)(3)(ii) 3(a)(3)(ii)		QUIREMEN 50.73(a)( 50.73(a)( 50.73(a)(	ITS OF 10CFR§: ( 2)(i)(C) 2)(ii)(A) 2)(ii)(B)	(Check all that apply)			
10. POWER LEVEL 100%		□ 20.: □ 20.: □ 20.: □ 20.: □ 20.: □ 20.: □ 20.:	2203(a)(1) 2203(a)(2)(ii) 2203(a)(2)(iii) 2203(a)(2)(iii) 2203(a)(2)(iv) 2203(a)(2)(v) 2203(a)(2)(vi)	<ul> <li>20.220</li> <li>50.36(</li> <li>50.36(</li> <li>50.36(</li> <li>50.36(</li> <li>50.46(</li> <li>50.73(</li> <li>50.73(</li> </ul>	c)(1)(i)(A) c)(1)(ii)(A) c)(2) a)(3)(ii) a)(2)(i)(A) a)(2)(i)(B)	<ul> <li>□ 50.73(a)(2)(ii)(B)</li> <li>□ 50.73(a)(2)(iv)(A)</li> <li>□ 50.73(a)(2)(v)(A)</li> <li>□ 50.73(a)(2)(v)(B)</li> <li>□ 50.73(a)(2)(v)(C)</li> <li>□ 50.73(a)(2)(v)(D)</li> </ul>			<ul> <li>50.73(a)(2)(ix)(A)</li> <li>50.73(a)(2)(ix)</li> <li>73.71(a)(4)</li> <li>73.71(a)(5)</li> <li>OTHER Specify in Abstract below or in NRC Form 366A</li> </ul>			
			-	12	LICENSEE C	ONTACT F	OR THIS	LER				
Ben W	NAME /hitme	r, Licensing	g Engine	ering Speci	alist				3	61-972-7	MBER (Include Are 449	ea Code)
		12 COM	IPLETE ON	IE LINE FOR EA	CH COMPON		REDESC	RIBED IN	THIS REPORT			
	1 0 1/07	13. COM						A SIEM	COMPONENT	MANU-		LE TO EPIX
CAUSE	S YSTE		DNENT	MANU- FACTURER	EPIX					FACTURER		
CAUSE	S YSTE		DNENT	MANU- FACTURER McGraw- Edison (M175)	YES	N/A		N/A	N/A	FACTURER N/A	N/A	
E E	EL	XFMF		MANU- FACTURER McGraw- Edison (M175) L RESPONSE EX	YES	N/4		N/A 15. EXPEC SUBMISSI	N/A TED ON	MONTH	N/A DAY	YEAR
CAUSE E T YES	S YSTE	13. COMPC		MANU- FACTURER McGraw- Edison (M175) L RESPONSE E SUBMISSION D				V/A 15. EXPEC SUBMISSI DATE	N/A TED ON	FACTURER N/A MONTH N/A	N/A N/A DAY N/A	YEAR N/A
CAUSE E F YES ABSTRA On Jar 2A Ma the fau system the pul	(if yes, o ACT ( <i>Limi</i> huary 8 in Tran lit ruptu h worke blic or s	14. SUPF 14. SUPF 14. SUPF complete 15. E it to 1400 space 3, 2013, at asformer (M ured the tra ed as desig station per	LEMENTA XPECTED 25, <i>i.e., appr</i> 16:40 C 112A) oc ansforme jned. Th sonnel.	MANU- FACTURER MCGraw- Edison (M175) L RESPONSE E SUBMISSION D oximately 15 sing ST, with Sou courred resu er tank and t he fire was e	YES YES (gle-spaced typ) with Texas Ilting in a L the oil ignit extinguishe	N/A N/A Project L Jnit 2 au ed. The ed at 16:	s) Jnit 2 a tomatic onsite 56. Th	15. EXPEC SUBMISSI DATE at 100% c trip and c trip and fire brig here wer	N/A TED ON d partial loss gade respond e no injuries	MONTH N/A It in the 'C of offsite led, and t and no ra	DAY N/A C' phase of power. Pre- he fire supp diological in	YEAR N/A the Unit 2 ssure from pression npacts to
CAUSE E T YES ABSTRA On Jar 2A Ma the fau system the pul An Uni switch Unusu	(if yes, o ACT ( <i>Limi</i> huary 8 in Tran Il ruptu h worke blic or usual E yard wi al Even	14. SUPP 14. SUPP 14. SUPP complete 15. E it to 1400 space 3, 2013, at asformer (N ured the tra- ed as designed station per Event was term	LEMENTA XPECTED 25, <i>i.e., appr</i> 16:40 C (172A) oc ansforme gned. Th sonnel. declared sonnel.	MANU FACTURER MCGraw- Edison (M175) L RESPONSE E SUBMISSION D oximately 15 sing ST, with Sou courred resu courred resu er tank and t ne fire was e l at 16:55 C plant opera at 19:47 CS	YES YES ATE) <i>gle-spaced typ</i> uth Texas ulting in a L the oil ignit extinguishe ST for initia ations". Lo T, after the	<b>Project L</b> Project L Jnit 2 au ed. The ed at 16: ating con cal, cour e partial I	s) Jnit 2 a tomatic onsite 56. Th adition nty, an oss of	15. EXPEC SUBMISSI DATE at 100% c trip and e fire brig here wer HU-2, "F d state c offsite p	N/A TED ON d partial loss gade respond e no injuries Fire or explos offices were r	MONTH N/A It in the 'C of offsite led, and t and no ra sion in pro notified as stored.	N/A DAY N/A C' phase of power. Pre- he fire supp diological ir stected area required, a	YEAR N/A the Unit 2 ssure from pression npacts to or or und the
CAUSE E E ABSTRA On Jar 2A Ma the fau system the pul An Unu switchy Unusu Failure to-turn cumula of year warrar	(if yes, of ACT (Liminuary 8 in Tran ill ruptu on worke bilic or s usual E yard wi al Even al Even analys fault in fault in ative ef rs. The ited, th	13. COMPC         14. SUPP         14. SUPP         complete 15. E         complete 15. E         it to 1400 space         3, 2013, at         asformer (N         ured the tra         ed as desig         station per         Event was         hich affects         nt was term         sis conclude         nside of the         ffects of page         e damage file         root cause	LEMENTA XPECTED 25, <i>i.e.</i> , appr 16:40 C 16:40 C 172A) or ansforme gned. The sonnel. declared anormal inated a led the re ss-throu to the trasse repor	MANU FACTURER MCGraw- Edison (M175) L RESPONSE E SUBMISSION D oximately 15 sing ST, with Sou courred resu er tank and 1 ne fire was e l at 16:55 C plant opera at 19:47 CS nost likely c ase high vol igh faults, el ansformer ch t and LER w	YES YES YES ATE) <i>gle-spaced typ</i> uth Texas ulting in a U the oil ignit extinguishe ST for initia ations". Lo T, after the ause of the tage windir levated ter nallenged a vill be revise	N/A N/A Project L Dnit 2 au ed. The ed at 16: ating con cal, cour e partial l e transfo ngs due nperature a determ eed after	s) Jnit 2 a tomatic onsite 56. Th adition hty, an oss of rmer fa to unti es, ele inatior failure	15. EXPEC SUBMISSI DATE at 100% c trip and c trip and e fire brig here wer HU-2, "F d state c offsite p ault was mely de vated m of the c	N/A TED ON power, a fau d partial loss gade respond e no injuries Fire or explos offices were r ower was re- an internal g gradation of oisture, and direct root ca ion forensics	N/A MONTH N/A It in the 'C of offsite led, and t and no ra sion in pro notified as stored. ground fau the paper grid distur- use of the are comp	N/A DAY N/A C' phase of power. Pre- he fire supp diological ir stected area required, a it or an inter insulation f rbances ove transformed olete.	YEAR N/A the Unit 2 ssure from pression npacts to or and the ernal turn- rom the er a period er fault. If

NRC FORM 366 (10-2010)

NRC FORM 366A LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER) U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION CONTINUATION SHEET									
1. FACILITY NAME     2. DOCKET     6. LER NUMBER     3. PAGE									
	05000499	YEAR	SEQUENTIAL NUMBER	REV. NO	2 OF 5				
South Texas Unit 2		2013	002	00					
NARRATIVE									
I. DESCRIPTION OF EVENT									
A. Reportable Event Classification									
This event is reportable pursuant to 10CFR50.73(a)(2)(iv)(A), any event or condition that resulted in manual or automatic actuation of any of the systems listed in paragraph (a)(2)(iv)(B) of this section, and 10 CFR 50.73(a)(2)(vii), any event where a single cause or condition caused at least one independent train or channel to become inoperable in multiple systems or two independent trains or channels to become inoperable in a single system designed to: (A) Shut down the reactor and maintain it in a safe shutdown condition; (B) Remove residual heat; (C) Control the release of radioactive material; or (D) Mitigate the consequences of an accident.									
B. Plant Operating Conditions Prio	r to Event								
South Texas Project Unit 2 was	South Texas Project Unit 2 was in Mode 1 at 100% power.								
C. Status of Structures, Systems, a Contributed to the Event	C. Status of Structures, Systems, and Components that were Inoperable at the Start of the Event and That Contributed to the Event								
No other structures, systems, or the event.	No other structures, systems, or components were inoperable at the start of the event that contributed to the event.								
D. Narrative Summary of the Even	t								
On January 8, 2013 at 1359 hours, Unit 2 reached full power following a brief plant shutdown. At 16:40 CST Unit 2 Main Transformer 2A (MT2A) [EL][XFMR], faulted without warning, causing a main generator [TB][GEN] lock out and automatic reactor trip [JE]. Control room operators entered procedure 0POP05-EO-EO00, "Reactor Trip or Safety Injection," and stabilized the plant in mode 3 with the reactor core being cooled by natural circulation.									
The fault caused a partial loss of offsite power: two of three Engineered Safety Features (ESF) electrical buses [JE][EA][EB][BU] lost power and the associated Standby Diesel Generators (SDG) [EL][DG] 21 and 23 subsequently started and loaded as designed.									
At 16:40 CST, a fire was reported tank, and the oil ignited. The fire system [KF] functioned as design control. At 16:56 CST the fire w	At 16:40 CST, a fire was reported at MT2A. Sudden pressure from the fault had ruptured the transformer tank, and the oil ignited. The fire brigade was dispatched to fight the fire. The fire protection deluge system [KF] functioned as designed. At 16:49 CST the fire brigade leader reported the fire was under control. At 16:56 CST the fire was extinguished.								
At 16:55 CST, the Unit 2 Shift M Event based on emergency res Area or Switchyard which Affect adjacent to any of the following	lanager, in his cap conse plan initiating s Normal Operatio areas which dama	acity as Eme g condition H n," and emer ges equipme	rgency Direc IU2, "Fire or I gency action nt necessary	tor, declared Explosion in I level 2, "Ex for normal p	d an Unusual the Protected plosion in or plant operation"				

FORM 366 (10-2010)

NRC FORM 366A	CENSEE EVENT	REPORT (LE DN SHEET	<b>R)</b> U.S. NU	JCLEAR REGU	ATORY COMMISSION
1. FACILITY NAME	2. DOCKET	6. LEF	RNUMBER		3. PAGE
	05000400	YEAR	SEQUENTIAL NUMBER	REV. NO	3 OF 5
South Texas Unit 2	05000499	2013	002	00	~
<ul> <li>Between 17:37 and 18:43 CST, electrical buses [EA] in order to Standby Diesel Generators 21 a</li> <li>The Emergency Director (Shift N Response to this event did not r Emergency Plan, or Fire Brigad might have jeopardized nuclear electrical power to safety grade were no personnel injuries or lost E. Method of Discovery</li> <li>The transformer fault and reactor II. Event-driven Information</li> <li>A. Safety Systems that Responde All required safety systems refused and the construction System is a feedwater Isolation Actuated CRE HVAC Emergency Figure 1. Reactor Coolant Pump U</li> <li>Reactor Containment Far 6. Auxiliary Feedwater Actuation Actuation for Containment Far 6. Auxiliary Feedwater Actuation</li></ul>	Operators electric restore offsite poward 23. Manager) terminate eveal any significate e protocols. There safety. Required I electrical buses im ss of radioactive m or trip, were self-re- or trip, were self-re- ed esponded as expect indervoltage React m P-16, Turbine Tri ation Recirculation (C Train ation (All AFW pun I (Pressurizer Spra- rators 21 and 23 st	ally realigned ver. These ac ed the Unusu int deficiency were no sign ESF equipment aterial contro vealing. inter including or Trip rip ain LOOP) LOOP) nps actuated by and Heater arted and loa	the plant via tions then all al Event at 1 in plant Ope nificant huma ent responded oon receiving ol.	a auxiliary ar owed the op 9:47 CST. rating Proce in performar d as designed an initiation	edures, the noce errors that ed and restored signal. There
N/A	<b>`</b>				
C. Safety Consequences and Imp	plications of the Eve	ent			
There was no impact to radiolo event.	ogical safety, safety	y of the public	c, or safety of	station pers	sonnel during this
After the plant trip, all systems The plant maintained the ability are used to monitor and contro the consequence of an accider	required to mainta y to remove residua I the release of rac nt.	in the Unit in al heat and th dioactive mat	a safe shutd here were no erial. System	own conditio challenges s were avail	on were available. to systems that able to mitigate

Plant personnel safety was potentially challenged initially by the fire and explosion. Prompt actuation of

·----

FORM 366 (10-2010)

NRC FORM 366A LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER) U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION CONTINUATION SHEET										
1. FACILITY NAME     2. DOCKET     6. LER NUMBER     3. PAGE										
		YEAR	SEQUENTIAL NUMBER	REV. NO	4 OF 5					
South Texas Unit 2	05000499	2013	002	00						
the fire suppression system an Thermo graphic inspection dete addition, on-shift crew actions t hydrogen fire. Station process	the fire suppression system and the follow-up actions by Fire Brigade personnel extinguished the fire. Thermo graphic inspection detected hot spots and cooling spray was applied to prevent re-flash. In addition, on-shift crew actions to vent the hydrogen from the Main Generator alleviated the risk of a hydrogen fire. Station processes provided sufficient barriers to ensure personnel safety.									
This event was an unplanned scram with complications per NEI 99-02, revision 6, "Regulatory Assessment Performance Indicator Guideline", October 2009, because, after the scram, Main Feedwater [SJ] was unavailable or not recoverable using approved plant procedure, and the scram response procedure could not be completed without entering another EOP (Emergency Operating Procedure). Loss of forced cooling in the Reactor Coolant System (RCS) [AB] required operators to establish and maintain natural circulation of the RCS to ensure adequate core cooling. Forced circulation of the RCS was restored at 22:59 on January 8, 2013. Since the main condenser was not available due to loss of Circulating Water [NN][P] pumps, Main Steam [S] isolation was required and the normal automatic control of RCS temperature after a Reactor trip was lost requiring manual Steam Generator Power Operated Relief Valve [SB][PCV] operations to manage RCS temperature.										
Probabilistic Risk Assessment	Probabilistic Risk Assessment (PRA):									
<ul> <li>The conditional core damage probability (CCDP) for the loss of main transformer trip is 1.09e-7.</li> </ul>										
• The conditional large early release probability for the loss of main transformer trip is 5.67e-9.										
These conditional probabilities there is no frequency only a pro	These conditional probabilities are the appropriate risk metrics for the risk associated with a trip. That is, there is no frequency only a probability given the event occurred.									
The event did not prevent Security personnel to implement	The event did not prevent Security from performing required functions and did not impair the ability of Security personnel to implement the physical security plan.									
The partial loss of offsite power did not inhibit the control room from implementing emergency response plan actions to mitigate the event.										
III. Cause of the Event										
The most likely cause of the MT2A failure that resulted in the transformer lockout trip of the Unit 2 reactor is that either an internal ground fault or an internal turn-to-turn fault occurred inside of the "C" phase high voltage windings. The most likely cause of the fault is that the paper insulation inside of the transformer degraded faster than expected due to cumulative damage over time from a combination of pass-through faults, elevated temperatures, elevated moisture, and grid disturbances. Another potential cause that remains as "likely" on the Fault Tree is the failure of bad connections internal to the windings, but this is considered less likely due to the age of the transformer and because analysis of gases in oil samples did not indicate that a loose connection had existed for any long period of time. A more definitive cause may be determined after failure evaluation forensics are performed on the damaged transformer windings.										
IV. Corrective Actions										
Develop and implement a "Large "Equipment Reliability Process D "Integrated Life Cycle Manageme	e Equipment Asset Description," revisionent", technical upda	Managemen n 3, March 2 ate of Decem	t" process ba 011, and EPI ber 2010 an	nsed on INPC RI status rep ad other nucl	D AP-913, ort 1021188, ear plant asset					

NRC FORM 366A	LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER) U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY CON CONTINUATION SHEET					
1. FACILITY NAME	2. DOCKET	6. LER NUMBER 3. PAGE				
Cauth Taura I lait 2	05000400	YEAR	SEQUENTIAL NUMBER	REV. NO	5 OF 5	
South Texas Unit 2	03000499	2013	002	00		
management plans. The inf assets.	ent of this action is to d	evelop proa	ctive methodo	logy to man	age major plant	

Obtain approval for Main Transformer replacement. Make a recommendation to the Reliability and Asset Management committee that, when implemented, will support reliable operation through the end of the currently projected plant life. The intent is to propose and get approval for installation of new main transformers and procurement of a new spare to support reliable operation of both units through the end of the currently projected plant life.

Install a plant modification to support automatic notifications and wireless monitoring of installed gas monitor results for all main and aux transformers; following installation of the modification, set up and activate automatic notifications for installed gas monitor results

## V. Previous Similar Events

The only previous failure of an identical component at STP occurred on 7/13/89 when MT2A failed and resulted in a Unit 2 reactor trip (reference LER 2-89-017). The 1989 event involved failure of the lower high voltage (HV) bushing that is internal to the transformer tank, so it was different from the recent failure of MT2A that occurred inside the HV windings. Following are the conclusions drawn from the 1989 event:

- The station problem report (SPR) describing the event states that the number three (3) high voltage bushing had failed but does not list the reason the bushing was the initial failure, instead of the transformer. An attachment to the SPR from McGraw-Edison states that an inspection revealed that the bushing had exploded inside of the transformer.
- The transformer tank was ruptured at the top-center tank joint adjacent to the H3 bushing.
- No fire occurred, only an explosion.
- The SPR states that "no true root cause of the bushing failure was found." McGraw-Edison speculated that free water in the bushing insulating oil could have been responsible but no attempt was made to justify this as a possible cause or to explain how the water could have gotten inside of the bushing.
- The SPR concluded that no corrective actions were needed (no procedure changes, no test revisions, no additional testing is to be performed, no additional maintenance activities needed, and no generic implications were noted).
- VI. Additional Information

None.