

2168

General Information**Assigned Office:** FSME**Other Assignees:****OEDO Due Date:****SECY Due Date:****Date Response****Requested by Originator:****Other Parties:****Subject:** Conclusions After Reading the June 2012 Publication of Full Body Burden by Kristen Iverson**Description:****CC Routing:** OPA**ADAMS Accession Numbers - Incoming:****Response / Package:****Other Information****Cross Reference No:** LTR-13-0205**SRM\Other:** No**Process Information****Action Type:** Appropriate Action**Signature Level:** No Signature Required**Special Instructions:****OEDO Concurrence:** No**OCM Concurrence:** No**OCA Concurrence:** No

For Appropriate Action. If response is determined, please be sure to create an ADAMS Package to include the incoming (version we forward to you from DPC), with response and process accordingly. Copies should be sent to RidsEdoMailCenter and RidsSecyMailCenter.

Document Information**Originator Name:** Jeanmarie Amend**Date of Incoming:** 03/11/2013**Originator Org:** Citizens**Document Received by OEDO Date:** 03/14/2013**Addressee:** Chairman Macfarlane**Incoming Task:** E-mail**OEDO POC:** Jack Foster

OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY
CORRESPONDENCE CONTROL TICKET

Date Printed: Mar 14, 2013 08:02

PAPER NUMBER: LTR-13-0205

LOGGING DATE: 03/11/2013

ACTION OFFICE: EDO

AUTHOR: Amend Jeanmarie

AFFILIATION:

ADDRESSEE: Chairman Resource

SUBJECT: Provides two conclusions after reading the June 2012 publication date, Full Body Burden, by Kristen Iverson

ACTION: Appropriate

DISTRIBUTION: SECY has Ack.

LETTER DATE: 03/11/2013

ACKNOWLEDGED Yes

SPECIAL HANDLING: Lead office to publicly release 24 hours after SECY's assignment, via SECY/EDO/DPC.

NOTES:

FILE LOCATION: ADAMS

DATE DUE:

DATE SIGNED:

EDO --G20130203

✓
Joosten, Sandy

From: Jeanmarie Amend [philippa2012@live.com]
Sent: Monday, March 11, 2013 5:10 PM
To: CHAIRMAN Resource
Subject: Two conclusions after reading the June 2012 publication date, Full Body Burden, by Kristen Iverson

The NRC's Role under the National Environmental Policy Act to further supervise, complete objectives or conclude responsibility for the site?

Responsibility of Congress authorization as well as of Defense Department before, throughout and after the designation and operation of the site?

The author regards the facts of the past and the suit taking twenty years as being current to policy of federal level and state level government; how can the national experience be made priority to agents and expertise to learn from the case. Do you think there is a moral to be learned from the case.