



10 CFR 26.717 L-2013-076

U.S Nuclear Regulatory Commission Attn.: Document Control Desk Washington, D.C. 20555-0001

Re: Turkey Point Units 3 and 4

Docket Nos. 50-250 and 50-251

2012 Annual Fitness for Duty Performance Report

Attached is the Annual Fitness for Duty Performance Report for the period of January 1, 2012, through December 31, 2012, for Turkey Point Units 3 and 4, as required by 10 CFR 26.717(e).

The Turkey Point Fitness for Duty Program uses a random testing rate of at least 50%.

Attachment 1 contains the Fitness for Duty Program performance data, as well as, a list of events reported and a summary of any management actions taken. Attachment 2 contains the Annual Fatigue Management Summary as required by 10 CFR Part 26.203(e).

Should there be any questions or comments regarding this information, please contact Robert J. Tomonto at 305-246-7327.

Very truly yours,

Michael Kiley Vice President

**Turkey Point Nuclear Plant** 

Attachment

cc: Administrator, Region II, USNRC

Senior Resident Inspector, USNRC, Turkey Point Nuclear Plant

Florida Power & Light Company

9760 SW 344 St Homestead, FL 33035

ADDI

# FITNESS FOR DUTY PROGRAM PERFORMANCE DATA

Annual Reporting Period
(305) 246-7171
Phone Number

Testing Results	Licensee	Employees	Contracto	r Personnel
Average number with Unescorted Access Total: 5409		119	42	279
Categories	# Tested			# Positive
Follow-Up	38	0	431	2
For Cause – Observed Behavior	0	0	5	3
For Cause - Post Event	0	0	41	0
Pre-Access	192	0	4738	25
Random	697	1	2074	9
Total	927	1	7289	39

## FITNESS FOR DUTY PROGRAM PERFORMANCE DATA

During the reporting period of 2012, Florida Power & Light Co./Turkey Point Nuclear Plant conducted 8216 drug and alcohol tests in accordance with 10 CFR Part 26. Random test rates are as follows:

	Reporting Period
POOLS	2012
Turkey Point Nuclear Plant	53.8%

### **BREAKDOWN OF CONFIRMED POSITIVE TESTS**

Turkey Point Nuclear Plant	Marijuana	Cocaine	Opiates	Amphe- tamines	Phency- clidine	Alcohol	Refusal to Test/ Subversion Adulteration	
Licensee Employees	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	
Contractors	15	7	0	1	0	9	7	1
Total	15	7	0	1	0	10	7	40

## **Management Actions**

For the reporting period of 2012, forty (40) individuals were denied unescorted access authorization after testing positive for drugs or alcohol. By test type, two (2) follow-up tests were positive, three (3) for cause – observed behavior tests were positive, twenty-five (25) pre-access tests were positive, and ten (10) random tests were positive.

### Follow-Up Positive Test

One (1) contractor employee tested positive for marijuana. One (1) contractor employee tested positive for cocaine. These individuals were denied access.

### For Cause - Observed Behavior Positive Test

Three (3) contractor employees tested positive for alcohol. These individuals were denied access.

## **Pre-Access Positive Test**

Eight (8) initial contractor employees tested positive for marijuana. One (1) initial contractor employee tested positive for cocaine. Two (2) initial contractor employees tested positive for alcohol. Two (2) reinstatement 31-365 contractor employees tested positive for cocaine. Three (3) reinstatement 31-365 contractor employees tested positive for marijuana. Two (2) reinstatement 31-365 contractor employees tested positive for alcohol. One (1) reinstatement less than 30 day contractor employee tested positive for marijuana. These individuals were denied access. One (1) initial contractor employee refused to complete an observed test required for entering the follow-up testing program. One (1) initial contractor employee

## FITNESS FOR DUTY PROGRAM PERFORMANCE DATA

refused to complete an observed test required by the MRO following an invalid drug test result. Three (3) initial contractor employees provided initial specimens below the required minimum temperature, the subsequent observed specimens were ruled positive by the MRO. Based on this information, the MRO ruled that these individuals had attempted to subvert the testing process. One (1) reinstatement 31-365 contractor employee was unable to provide a specimen after three (3) hours. The MRO followed the shy bladder protocol and determined the individual attempted to subvert the testing process. These individuals were permanently denied access.

## **Random Positive Test**

Two (2) contractor employees tested positive for alcohol. Three (3) contractor employees tested positive for cocaine. Two (2) contractor employees tested positive for marijuana. One (1) contractor employee tested positive for amphetamines. These individuals were denied access.

One (1) licensee employee tested positive for alcohol. This individual was denied access. One (1) contractor employee attempted to subvert the testing process. This individual was permanently denied access.

### **Additional Comments**

- 1. There were **five (5)** subversion attempts during the **2012** reporting period. Four are reported under pre-access positive tests. One is reported under random positive tests. These individuals were permanently denied access.
- 2. **Four (4)** individuals appealed positive results. In all cases, the positive result was confirmed by the Medical Review Officer based on the result received from the appeal laboratory.
- 3. **One (1)** contractor employee was unable to provide a urine specimen. The MRO followed shy bladder protocol. This individual was retested upon return to work with negative results.
- 4. For the **2012** reporting period, all blind specimens submitted to **Turkey Point Nuclear Plant's** HHS-certified laboratory yielded expected results.

#### Reportable Events

Turkey Point Nuclear Plant had four (4) reportable events as defined in 10 CFR Part 26.719 during this reporting period. On April 11, 2012, at 07:28 (EST) a 24-hour reportable FFD event report (EN #47827) was made in accordance with 10 CFR 26.719(b)(2)(ii). On April 27, 2012, at 13:19 (EST) a 24-hour reportable FFD event report (EN #47869) was made in accordance with 10 CFR 26.719(b)(2)(ii). On June 20, 2012, at 17:24 (EST) a 24-hour reportable FFD event report (EN #48039) was made in accordance with 10 CFR 26.719(b)(2)(ii). On November 19, 2012, at 15:51 (EST) a 24-hour reportable FFD event report (EN #48526) was made in accordance with 10 CFR 26.719(b)(2)(ii).

Report Period

▼ 2012 ▼

Turkey Point

## Attachment 2 to L-2013-076 10 CFR Part 26, Subpart I - Annual Fatigue Results

			14.				Nu	mber of Waive	rs Issued (No	te: At least one	of the cells in	this table sho	uld have a non-	negative value	)				
	Work Hour Controls				Performing health physics or chemistry duties as described in 26.4(a)(2)			g duties of a t s described in			g maintenanc aintenance, a 26.4(a)(4)	e or onsite is described in	Performing security duties as described in 26.4(a)(5)					(after day	
	4 	Operating	Outage (days	outage (after day 60)	Operating	Outage	Outage (after day 60)	Operating	Outage	Outage (after day 60)	Operating	Outage	Outage (after day 60)	Operating	Outage	Outage (after day 60)			60)
n. <del>1.000                                    </del>	Exceed 16 work hrs in any 24 hr period	0		0	n		0	0		0	0	0	0	0	c	0	c	0	0
aily Work	Exceed 26 work hrs in any 48 hr period	0	0	0 0	0		0 0	0		0	0	0	0	0	0	0 0	0	0	0
6.205(d)(1)	Exceeded 72 work hrs in any 7 day period	0		0	0	í	0 0	0		0	0	0	0	0	0	0 0	0	0	0
est Breaks 6.205(d)(2)	Less than 10 hr break b/t successive work periods (for 8 hr break accommodating scheduled transition b/t shifts)		0	0	0		0	0		0 0	0	0	0	0	o	) 0	o	0	O
	Less than 34 hr break in any 9 day period	0	C	0	0	(	0	0	(	0	0	- 0	0	0	0	0	C	0	0
	Avg of less than 1 day off per week for 8-hour shifts while operating	0		0	0		0	0		0	0		0	0		0	o		0
Minimum	Avg of less than 2 days off per week for 10-hour shifts while operating	0		0	0		o			0	10		0	0		0	c		ō
Days Off Per Shift Cycle (6.205(d)(3)	Avg of less than 2.5 days off per week for 12-hour shifts while operating	0		0	· 0		. 0	0		.0							C		O
	Avg of less than 2 days off per week for 12-hour maintenance shifts										0		0				c		0
	Avg of less than 3 days off per week for 12-hour security shifts													0		0	, · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
finimum Days Off for	Less than 3 days off per successive 15-day period		. 0	)		d			(									0	
Outage Activities 26.205(d)(4) and 26.205(d)(5)	Less than 1 day off per 7-day period for maintenance personnel			CTT-LINE			25.0					0				+ 1		0	
	Less than 4 days off per successive 15-day period for security personnel														C	)		0	
Alternate to Minumum Days Off 6.205(d)(7)	54 hour maximum average																		
	TOTAL	0	_	0	0						0	0		0				0	(

## Attachment 2 to L-2013-076 10 CFR Part 26, Subpart I - Annual Fatigue Results

Turkey Point		▼ ]	2012			
Distribution of Waiver	for Individuals in E	ach Category - 26	5.203(e)(1)(iii)		}	Summary of Corrective Actions - 26.2
Number of Employees is	sued Waivers	· · · · · ·	\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \	· · · · ·		Analysis of Waiver Assessment Data:
Number of Waivers	Operating or on- site directing of the operations of systems, as described in 26.4(a)(1)	Performing health physics or chemistry duties as described in 26.4(a)(2)	Performing duties of a fire brigade member as described in 26.4(a)(3) *	Performing maintenance or onsite directing of maintenance as described in 26.4(a)(4)	Performing security duties as described in 26.4(a)(5)	There were no waivers issued at
1	0	0	0	0	0	Analysis of Fatigue Assessment Data
2						46 fatigue assessments were per
3						fatigued; 12 of the 41 post-event
4						worker and 11 Maintenance non-
5						behavior - 2 on-line and 3 outage
6						denied access to plant due to cau
7						· ·
8						
9						į
10						
11-20						
More than 20						1
Total Number of Employees Issued Waivers						
Most Waivers Provided to a Single individual						

Report Period

Facility Name

ummary of Corrective Actions - 26.203(e)(2)
nalysis of Waiver Assessment Data:
here were no waivers issued at Turkey Point during 2012
nalysis of Fatigue Assessment Data:
6 fatigue assessments were performed: 41 of the 46 were post-events - none were determined to be
atigued; 12 of the 41 post-events were related to personnel injuries - 2 on-line and 10 outage for 1 RP
orker and 11 Maintenance non-covered workers; 5/46 were for-cause assessments due to observed
ehavior - 2 on-line and 3 outage, all Maintenance supplemental workers; Management actions - 3 were
enied access to plant due to causes other than fatigue. No work was performed after fatigue assessments.
ummary of Status of Corrective Actions:
lo corrective actions required
io conective actions required