

April 1, 2013

MEMORANDUM TO: Matthew A. Mitchell, Chief  
Projects Management Branch  
Japan Lessons-Learned Project Directorate  
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

FROM: G. Edward Miller, Project Manager **/RA/**  
Projects Management Branch  
Japan Lessons-Learned Project Directorate  
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

SUBJECT: SUMMARY OF FEBRUARY 21, 2013, PUBLIC MEETING ON  
IMPLEMENTATION OF NEAR-TERM TASK FORCE  
RECOMMENDATION 2.1, FLOODING HAZARD REANALYSIS,  
RELATED TO THE FUKUSHIMA DAI-ICHI NUCLEAR POWER  
PLANT ACCIDENT

On February 21, 2013, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) staff held a public meeting<sup>1</sup> with the Nuclear Energy Institute (NEI) and other industry representatives. The purpose of the meeting was to discuss how to address flooding hazard reanalysis, which is part of Recommendation 2.1 from “Recommendations for enhancing Reactor Safety in the 21<sup>st</sup> Century,” a report issued by the post-Fukushima Near-Term Task Force (NTTF) on July 12, 2012.<sup>2</sup> On March 12, 2012, the NRC issued an information request pursuant to Title 10 of the *Code of Federal Regulations* (10 CFR), Section 50.54(f) to implement this recommendation.<sup>3</sup>

The meeting began with a discussion on how the NRC staff intended to review the interim actions that would be proposed by those licensees whose reevaluated flood hazard was not bounded by their current design basis. The NRC staff indicated their intent to leverage the procedure of Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation (NRR) Office Instruction, LIC-504, “Integrated Risk-Informed Decision-Making Process for Emergent Issues.” Additionally, the NRC staff clarified a point from the February 11, 2013, public meeting regarding licensees who intended to request schedule extensions. Specifically, that interim actions could be credited in the justification for the extended, but were not required for approval

Subsequently, the NRC staff provided a presentation on the current status and content of the ISG on evaluating hazards from dam failure.<sup>4</sup>

The NRC staff then discussed a number of frequently asked questions (FAQs) that had been submitted by NEI. The NRC staff had comments<sup>5</sup> on FAQs 11, 13, and 15. Comments were entered directly into the FAQs during the meeting.

---

<sup>1</sup> The original meeting notice is available via the Agencywide Documents Access and Management System (ADAMS) under Accession No. ML031A246.

<sup>2</sup> The NTTF report is available under ADAMS Accession No. ML111861807.

<sup>3</sup> The 50.54(f) letter is available under ADAMS Accession No. ML12053A340.

<sup>4</sup> The presentation slides and draft table of contents are available under ADAMS Accession No. ML13058A758.

<sup>5</sup> The FAQs, with comments from the meeting, are available under ADAMS Accession No. ML13058A757.

Additionally, the NRC staff discussed previously submitted FAQs 8, 9, and 10. NEI had modified and resubmitted<sup>6</sup> them to address NRC staff comments from previous public meetings. The NRC staff did not have any objections to the current wording of the FAQs.

Finally, NEI made a presentation regarding changes that had been made to the integrated assessment example based upon previous NRC staff comments.<sup>7</sup> The NRC staff indicated that, in general, NEI had done a diligent job of addressing comments and agreed that the example was ready for a more detailed review.

Prior to concluding, an opportunity for public comment was afforded and an announcement was made that the next public meeting would be on March 21, 2013.

Enclosure:  
Lists of Attendees

---

<sup>6</sup> The FAQs are available in ADAMS under Accession Nos. ML13058A760 and ML13058A761.

<sup>7</sup> The presentation slides are available under ADAMS Accession No. ML13058A762.

Additionally, the NRC staff discussed previously submitted FAQs 8, 9, and 10. NEI had modified and resubmitted them to address NRC staff comments from previous public meetings. The NRC staff did not have any objections to the current wording of the FAQs.

Finally, NEI made a presentation regarding changes that had been made to the integrated assessment example based upon previous NRC staff comments. The NRC staff indicated that, in general, NEI had done a diligent job of addressing comments and agreed that the example was ready for a more detailed review.

Prior to concluding, an opportunity for public comment was afforded and an announcement was made that the next public meeting would be on March 21, 2013.

Enclosure:  
Lists of Attendees

DISTRIBUTION:

PUBLIC	RidsOgcMailCenter Resource	RidsNrrLAABaxterResource
JLD R/F	RidsOpaMail Resource	JKanney
TWertz	RidsNrrDorl Resource	MBensi
RidsNrrDe	RidsAcrsAcnw_MailCTR Resource	JThompson
RRaione	RidsRgn1MailCenter Resource	JUrbe
ARussell	RidsRgn2MailCenter Resource	SCampbell
GWilson	RidsRgn3MailCenter Resource	KSee
CCook	RidsRgn4MailCenter Resource	PChaput
RidsNroDsea	SKennedy, EDO RI, RII, RIII, and RIV	TNicholson
JCaverly	KManoly	MPohida
CMunson	GMiller	BWhittick
MKhanna	RPascarelli	NdiFrancesco

**ADAMS Accession No.:** ML13066A904

\* Concurrence via e-mail

<b>OFFICE</b>	LA: NRR/DORL*	PM: NRR/JLD/PMB	BC: NRR/JLD/PMB	PM: NRR/JLD/PMB
<b>NAME</b>	SRohrer	GEMiller	MMitchell	GEMiller
<b>DATE</b>	03/11/2013	04/01/2013	04/01/2013	04/01/2013

**OFFICIAL RECORD COPY**