Bartley, Jonathan

From: Bartley, Jonathan
Sent: Friday, May 04, 2012 9:48 AM
To: Boska, John
Cc: Karwoski, Kenneth; Salgado, Nancy; Hiland, Patrick; Cheok, Michael; Evans, Michele; Howe, Allen; Croteau, Rick; Jones, William
Subject: RE: JLD presentation on 5/8/12- Oconee slides (~~OUO~~)

John, 2 comments from me:

1. It appears that options 1 and 2 are directly related, i.e., we need option 1 before we can do option 2. Maybe combine them into 1 option.
2. Do we need more discussion and present options on the appropriate standards for the flood protection measures such as the flood wall?

I have forwarded to my management and will provide you any comments I receive from them.

Jonathan

From: Boska, John
Sent: Friday, May 04, 2012 8:38 AM
To: Karwoski, Kenneth; Salgado, Nancy; Hiland, Patrick; Cheok, Michael; Evans, Michele; Howe, Allen; Bartley, Jonathan
Subject: JLD presentation on 5/8/12- Oconee slides (~~OUO~~)

Attached is the draft of the Oconee slides. Please let me know if you have any comments. The reason I used the phrase "adequate protection of public health and safety," is because it will then require the use of the backfit rule to add additional requirements on Duke, which I believe is the level of assurance they desire before proceeding with construction of flood walls.

John Boska
Oconee Project Manager, NRR/DORL
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
301-415-2901
email: john.boska@nrc.gov

B-156