

and make it effective, notwithstanding the request for a hearing. Any hearing held would take place after issuance of the amendment.

If the final determination is that the amendment involves a significant hazards consideration, any hearing would take place before the issuance of any amendment.

Normally, the Commission will not issue the amendment until the expiration of the 30-day notice period. However, should circumstances change during the notice period such that failure to act in a timely way would result, for example, in derating or shutdown of the facility, the Commission may issue the license amendment before the expiration of the 30-day notice period, provided that its final determination is that the amendment involves no significant hazards consideration. Should the Commission take this action, it will publish a notice of issuance and provide for opportunity for a hearing after issuance. The Commission expects that the need to take this action will occur very infrequently.

A request for a hearing or a petition for leave to intervene must be filed with the Secretary of the Commission, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, D.C. 20555, Attention: Docketing and Service Branch, or may be delivered to the Commission's Public Document Room, 1717 H Street NW., Washington, D.C., by the above date. Where petitions are filed during the last ten (10) days of the notice period, it is requested that the petitioner promptly so inform the Commission by a toll-free telephone call to Western Union at (800) 325-6000 (in Missouri (800) 342-8700). The Western Union operator should be given Datagram Identification Number 3737 and the following message addressed to G. Knighton: petitioner's name and telephone number; date petition was mailed; plant name; and publication date and page number of this **Federal Register** Notice: A copy of the petition should also be sent to the Executive Legal Director, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, D.C., 20555, and to Philip A. Crane, Esq., Richard F. Locke, Esq., Pacific Gas and Electric Company, P.O. Box 7442, San Francisco, California 94120 and to Bruce Norton, Esq., Norton, Burke, Berry and French, P.O. Box 10569, Phoenix Arizona 85064.

Nontimely filings of petitions for leave to intervene, amended petitions, supplemental petitions and/or requests for hearing will not be entertained absent a determination by the Commission, the presiding office or the Atomic Safety and Licensing Board designated to rule on the petition and/or

request, that the petitioner has made a substantial showing of good cause for the granting of a late petition and/or request. The determination will be based upon a balancing of the factors specified in 10 CFR 2.71(a)(1)(i)-(v) and 2.714(d).

For further details with respect to this action, see the application for amendment which is available for public inspection at the Commission's Public Document Room, 1717 H Street, NW., Washington, D.C., and the California Polytechnic State University Library, Document and Maps Department, San Luis Obispo, California 93407.

Dated at Bethesda, Maryland, this 12th day of June 1985.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

George W. Knighton,

Chief, Licensing Branch No. 3, Division of Licensing.

[FR Doc. 85-14641 Filed 6-17-85; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7590-01-M

### Regulatory Guides; Withdrawal

The Nuclear Regulatory Commission has withdrawn five regulatory guides. These guides all deal with the accountability of plutonium in the nuclear fuel cycle. At this time, however, there are no current plutonium licensees in the nuclear fuel cycle, and none are predicted in the foreseeable future. Furthermore, the guides were issued more than 10 year ago and are now obsolete. Therefore, these guides are no longer being applied in the licensing process and are no longer needed.

Regulatory Guide 5.6, "Standard Methods for Chemical, Mass Spectrometric, and Spectrochemical Analysis of Nuclear-Grade Plutonium Dioxide Powders and Pellets and Nuclear-Grade Mixed Oxides ([U, Pu]O<sub>2</sub>)," was issued in 1973. It endorses two out-of-date ASTM standards.

Regulatory Guide 5.16, "Standard Methods for Chemical, Mass Spectrometric, Spectrochemical, Nuclear, and Radiochemical Analysis of Nuclear-Grade Plutonium Nitrate Solutions and Plutonium Metal," was revised in 1975. It also endorses two out-of-date ASTM standards.

Regulatory Guide 5.19, "Methods for the Accountability of Plutonium Nitrate Solutions," was issued in 1974. It endorses WASH 1282, which is no longer state of the art.

Regulatory Guide 5.40, "Methods for the Accountability of Plutonium Dioxide Powder," was issued in 1974. It endorses WASH 1335, which is no longer state of the art.

Regulatory Guide 5.47, "Control and Accountability of Plutonium in Waste Material," was issued in 1975. It is not only out of date technically, but it cites a section of the regulations that, by virtue of a major amendment of 10 CFR Part 73, is no longer applicable to the subject of this guide.

Regulatory guides may be withdrawn when they are superseded by the Commission's regulations, when equivalent recommendations have been incorporated in applicable approved codes and standards, or when changes in methods and techniques or in the need for specific guidance have made them obsolete.

(5 U.S.C. 552(a))

Dated at Silver Spring, Maryland this 11th day of June 1985.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

Robert B. Minogoe,

Director, Office of Nuclear Regulatory Research.

[FR Doc. 85-14636 Filed 6-17-85; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7590-01-M

[Docket No. 50-213]

### The Connecticut Yankee Atomic Power Co.; Consideration of Issuance of Amendment to Facility Operating License and Proposed No Significant Hazards Consideration Determination and Opportunity for Hearing

The U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (the Commission) is considering issuance of an amendment to Facility Operating License No. DPR-61 issued to The Connecticut Yankee Atomic Power Company, (the licensee), for operation of the Haddam Neck Plant, located in Middlesex County, Connecticut.

The amendment would revise the technical specifications to update the pressure/temperature limit curves for hydrostatic and leak rate testing and for heatup and cooldown rates. All of these curves are being updated to show the required limitations out to 22.0 effective full power years (EFPY). This amendment was requested in the licensee's application dated June 11, 1985.

Before issuance of the proposed license amendment, the Commission will have made findings required by the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended (the Act) and the Commission's regulations.

The Commission has made a proposed determination that the amendment request involves no significant hazards consideration. Under the Commission's regulations in 10 CFR 50.92, this means