

## **PMTurkeyCOLPEm Resource**

---

**From:** Nguyen, John-Chau  
**Sent:** Tuesday, February 26, 2013 10:27 AM  
**To:** TurkeyCOL Resource  
**Subject:** FW: FPL Letter L-2013-080 Dated 02-25-2013 - Alternative Site Selection Process and Least Environmentally Damaging Practicable Alternatives (public)  
**Attachments:** L-2013-080 Dated 02-25-2013.pdf

---

**From:** Orthen, Richard [<mailto:Richard.Orthen@fpl.com>]

**Sent:** Monday, February 25, 2013 4:25 PM

**To:** Williamson, Alicia; Matthews, David; Nguyen, John-Chau; Maher, William; Comar, Manny; Hoeg, Tim; McCree, Victor

**Subject:** FPL Letter L-2013-080 Dated 02-25-2013 - Alternative Site Selection Process and Least Environmentally Damaging Practicable Alternatives

U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission

Attn: Document Control Desk

Washington, D.C. 20555-0001

**Re:** Florida Power & Light Company  
Proposed Turkey Point Units 6 and 7  
Docket Nos. 52-040 and 52-041  
Alternative Site Selection Process and Least Environmentally Damaging Practicable Alternatives

Reference:

1. FPL Letter L-2013-043 to NRC dated February 12, 2013, Status of Actions to Address NRC COLA Review Schedule Letter dated May 4, 2012
2. Summary of the Public Meeting to Discuss Environmental Requests for Additional Information Draft Responses Relating to the Alternative Site Selection Process for Florida Power and Light's Turkey Point Units 6 and 7 Combined License Application, dated January 3, 2013

Florida Power & Light Company (FPL) notified the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) by letter dated February 12, 2013 (Reference 1) of the status of actions being taken to address, in part, issues that were affecting the ability of the NRC staff to complete the environmental review of FPL's alternative site selection analysis.

A portion of those actions relate to Requests for Additional Information (RAIs) concerning the availability of cooling water at several alternative sites and the consistency between the alternatives analysis that the NRC performs under the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) and the practicability analysis that the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (USACOE) performs under the 404(b)(1) Guidelines. Based on the interaction with the NRC and the USACOE at a public meeting held on December 7, 2012 (Reference 2) and more recently, FPL believes that further clarification to FPL's draft responses to RAI 6353, Question 11 and RAI 6879, Questions 1 through 4 would assist in the NRC's and USACOE's understanding of FPL's alternative site selection process and FPL's position that alternative sites ultimately deemed not practicable under a 404(b)(1) Guidelines analysis can still qualify as reasonable alternatives under NEPA.

FPL anticipates an additional public meeting in late March may be necessary in order to achieve resolution of this issue. FPL will consult with the appropriate Staff to arrange for such a meeting, if needed.

FPL will keep the NRC and the USACOE informed as to when it will be providing responses to RAI 6353, Question 11 and RAI 6879, Questions 1 through 4, but currently anticipates providing them no later than April 30, 2013.

*Richard F. Orthen*  
*Principal Licensing Engineer*  
*New Nuclear Projects NNP/JBB3314*  
*Florida Power & Light Company*  
*700 Universe Boulevard*  
*Juno Beach, FL 33408-0420*  
[richard.orthen@nexteraenergy.com](mailto:richard.orthen@nexteraenergy.com)  
*o(561) 691-7512*

**Hearing Identifier:** TurkeyPoint\_COL\_Public  
**Email Number:** 779

**Mail Envelope Properties** (ED827D914C9CA74BA644EA1C796CCD74F9BD3C1A2F)

**Subject:** FW: FPL Letter L-2013-080 Dated 02-25-2013 - Alternative Site Selection Process and Least Environmentally Damaging Practicable Alternatives (public)  
**Sent Date:** 2/26/2013 10:26:48 AM  
**Received Date:** 2/26/2013 10:26:49 AM  
**From:** Nguyen, John-Chau

**Created By:** John-Chau.Nguyen@nrc.gov

**Recipients:**  
"TurkeyCOL Resource" <TurkeyCOL.Resource@nrc.gov>  
Tracking Status: None

**Post Office:** HQCLSTR02.nrc.gov

<b>Files</b>	<b>Size</b>	<b>Date &amp; Time</b>
MESSAGE	3173	2/26/2013 10:26:49 AM
L-2013-080 Dated 02-25-2013.pdf		176259

**Options**  
**Priority:** Standard  
**Return Notification:** No  
**Reply Requested:** No  
**Sensitivity:** Normal  
**Expiration Date:**  
**Recipients Received:**



L-2013-080  
10 CFR 52.3

February 25, 2013

U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission  
Attn: Document Control Desk  
Washington, D.C. 20555-0001

Re: Florida Power & Light Company  
Proposed Turkey Point Units 6 and 7  
Docket Nos. 52-040 and 52-041  
Alternative Site Selection Process and Least Environmentally Damaging  
Practicable Alternatives

Reference:

1. FPL Letter L-2013-043 to NRC dated February 12, 2013, Status of Actions to Address NRC COLA Review Schedule Letter dated May 4, 2012
2. Summary of the Public Meeting to Discuss Environmental Requests for Additional Information Draft Responses Relating to the Alternative Site Selection Process for Florida Power and Light's Turkey Point Units 6 and 7 Combined License Application, dated January 3, 2013

Florida Power & Light Company (FPL) notified the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) by letter dated February 12, 2013 (Reference 1) of the status of actions being taken to address, in part, issues that were affecting the ability of the NRC staff to complete the environmental review of FPL's alternative site selection analysis.

A portion of those actions relate to Requests for Additional Information (RAIs) concerning the availability of cooling water at several alternative sites and the consistency between the alternatives analysis that the NRC performs under the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) and the practicability analysis that the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (USACOE) performs under the 404(b)(1) Guidelines. Based on the interaction with the NRC and the USACOE at a public meeting held on December 7, 2012 (Reference 2) and more recently, FPL believes that further clarification to FPL's draft responses to RAI 6353, Question 11 and RAI 6879, Questions 1 through 4 would assist in the NRC's and USACOE's understanding of FPL's alternative site selection process and FPL's position that alternative sites ultimately deemed not practicable under a 404(b)(1) Guidelines analysis can still qualify as reasonable alternatives under NEPA.

Proposed Turkey Point Units 6 and 7  
Docket Nos. 52-040 and 52-041  
L-2013-080 Page 2 of 2

FPL anticipates an additional public meeting in late March may be necessary in order to achieve resolution of this issue. FPL will consult with the appropriate Staff to arrange for such a meeting, if needed.

FPL will keep the NRC and the USACOE informed as to when it will be providing responses to RAI 6353, Question 11 and RAI 6879, Questions 1 through 4, but currently anticipates providing them no later than April 30, 2013.

If you should have any questions, or need additional information, please contact me at 561-691-7490.



Sincerely,

William Maher  
Senior Licensing Director – New Nuclear Projects

WDM/RFO

cc:  
PTN 6 & 7 Project Manager, AP1000 Projects Branch 1, USNRC DNRL/NRO  
Regional Administrator, Region II, USNRC  
Senior Resident Inspector, USNRC, Turkey Point Units 3 & 4