APPENDIX II

DETAILS OF RISK ANALYSIS OF ROUTINE, INCIDENT-FREE TRANSPORTATION

H-17

TABLE OF CONTENTS

List of Figures....247

List of Tables....247

II.1 Introduction....249

II.2 The RADTRAN Model of Routine Transportation....249
- II.2.1 Description of the RADTRAN program....249
- II.2.2 The RADTRAN Software....253

II.3 RADTRAN Input Parameters....254
- II.3.1 Vehicle-specific Input Parameters....254
- II.3.2 Route-Specific Input Parameters....256
- II.3.3. Other parameters....257

II.4. Routes....258

II.5 Results....262
- II.5.1 Maximally exposed resident in-transit dose....263
- II.5.2 Unit risk: rail routes....263
- II.5.3 Unit risk: truck routes....264
- II.5.4 Doses along selected routes....265
  - II.5.4.1 Collective doses to receptors along the route....265
  - II.5.4.2 Doses to occupants of vehicles sharing the route....276
  - II.5.4.3 Doses from stopped vehicles....283
  - II.5.4.4 Occupational Doses....287

II.6 Interpretation of Collective Dose....287

List of Figures

Figure II- 1. RADTRAN model of the vehicle in routine, incident-free transportation 250
Figure II-2. Diagram of a truck route as modeled in RADTRAN. 252
Figure II-3. Diagram of truck stop model 253
Figure II-4. RADCAT vehicle screen. 254
Figure II-5. WebTRAGIS map of truck routes from INL. 261

List of Tables

Table II-1. Vehicle-specific parameters 255
Table II-2. Route parameters for unit risk calculation (USDOT 2004a, b) 257
Table II-3. Parameter values in the RADTRAN 6 analysis 258
Table II-4. Specific routes modeled 259
Table II-5. Population multipliers 260
Table II-6 Maximum individual doses 263
Table II-7. Average doses to various receptors, rail routes. 264
Table II-8. Average doses to various receptors, truck routes. 264
Table II-9. Collective doses (person-μSv) from rail transportation; shipment origin INL 266
Table II-11. Collective doses (person-Sv) rail transportation; shipment origin Kewaunee 268
Table II-12. Collective doses (person-Sv) from rail transportation; shipment origin Maine Yankee -- continued 270
Table II-13. Collective doses (person-Sv) from truck transportation (Truck-DU); shipment origin Maine Yankee 271
Table II-13. Collective doses (person-Sv) from truck transportation (Truck-DU); shipment origin Maine Yankee -- continued 272
Table II-14 Collective doses (person-Sv) from truck transportation (Truck-DU); shipment origin Indian Point. 273
Table II-15. Collective doses (person-μSv) from truck transportation (Truck-DU); shipment origin INL 274
Table II-16. Collective doses (person-μSv) from truck transportation (Truck-DU); shipment origin Kewaunee. 275
Table II-17. Collective doses (person-Sv) to occupants of trains sharing the route. 277
Table II-18. States comprising the ten EPA regions 277
Table II-19. Collective doses (person-Sv) from truck transportation (Truck-DU); shipment origin Maine Yankee 279
Table II-19. Collective doses (person-Sv) from truck transportation (Truck-DU); shipment origin Maine Yankee -- continued 280
Table II-20. Collective doses (person-Sv) from truck transportation (Truck-DU); shipment origin Indian Point. 281
Table II-21. Collective doses (person-Sv) from truck transportation (Truck-DU); shipment origin INL 282
Table II-22. Collective doses (person-Sv) from truck transportation (Truck-DU); shipment origin Kewaunee. 283
Table II-23. Example of rail stops on the Maine Yankee-to-Hanford rail route 284
Table II-23. Doses at rail stops on the Maine Yankee-to-Hanford rail route 285

Table II-24 Collective doses to residents near truck stops 286
Table II-25 summarizes the occupational doses. 287
Table II-25. Occupational doses per shipment from routine incident-free transportation.....287

APPENDIX II

DETAILS OF RISK ANALYSIS OF ROUTINE, INCIDENT-FREE TRANSPORTATION

II.1 Introduction

NUREG-0170 (NRC, 1977) documented estimates of the radiological consequences and risks associated with the shipment by truck, train, plane, or barge of about 25 different radioactive materials, including power reactor spent fuel. The estimates were calculated using Version 1 of the RADTRAN code (Taylor and Daniel, 1977), which was developed for NRC by Sandia National Laboratories specifically to support the conduct of the NUREG-0170 study. RADTRAN Version 6, integrated with the input file generator RADCAT, (Neuhauser, et al., 2000[1]; Weiner, et al., 2009) is the computational tool used in this study.

The basic risk assessment method employed in the RADTRAN code is widely accepted. Changes to the code are tracked by a software quality assurance plan that is consistent with American National Standards Institute guidelines. The incident-free module of an earlier version of RADTRAN, RADTRAN 5.25, was validated by measurement (Steinman, et al, 2002); this module is the same in RADTRAN 6.0, the version used in the current study. Verification and validation of RADTRAN 6.0 are documented in Dennis, et al (2008).

II.2 RADTRAN Model of Routine Transportation

II.2.1 Description of the RADTRAN program

RADTRAN calculates the radiological consequences and risks associated with the shipment of a specific radioactive material in a specific package along a specific route. Shipments that take place without the occurrence of accidents are routine, incident free shipments, and the radiation doses to various receptors are called "incident-free doses." Since the probability of routine, incident-free shipment is essentially equal to one[2], RADTRAN calculates a dose rather than a risk for such shipments. RADTRAN calculates a dose or dose risk from a scenario; the scenario is either routine transportation or a transportation accident. An analyst who wishes to estimate that an accident will take place at a particular point along the route can combine the collective incident free dose to the location of the accident with the collective dose risk from the accident, if desired. This is rarely done. The usual practice is to calculate incident free doses along the chosen routes, and assume that an accident could occur on any segment of that route.

---

[1] Neuhauser, et al (2000) is the technical manual for RADTRAN 5, and is cited because the basic equations for the incident-free analyses in RADTRAN 6 are the same as those in RADTRAN 5 and the technical manual for RADTRAN 6 is not yet available.

[2] The probability of an incident or accident transportation depends on the trip length and would be about 10^{-3}, for a cross-country trip, the probability of routine transportation on such a trip is 1-0.001, or 0.999, or essentially one. For a shorter trip the probability of routine transportation is even closer to one.

The dose from a routine shipment is based on the external dose from the part of the vehicle carrying the radioactive cargo, referred to as the "vehicle" in this discussion of RADTRAN. Doses to receptors from the external radiation from the vehicle depend on the distance between the receptor and the radioactive cargo being transported and the exposure time, the length of time the receptor is exposed to external emissions from the radioactive cargo.

The radioactive inventory of the cargo determines the amount of shielding needed to achieve a particular external dose rate. The resulting external dose rate then becomes the virtual source for doses to receptors from routine, incident-free transportation. The doses in routine transportation therefore depend only on the external dose rate from the cargo.

RADTRAN models the vehicle as a spherical radiation source traveling along the route. The source strength is the transport index (TI), which is 100 times the dose rate in mSv/hour[3] at 1 m from the cask. The TI is treated as an isotropically radiating virtual source at the center of the sphere, as shown in Figure II-1.

Comment [s1]: This is incorrect. The TI is NOT 1% of the dose rate in mSv/hr at 1 m. 10 CFR 71.4 clearly states that the TI is the dose rate in mSv/hr x 100. (CParks)

Comment [s2]: if 1 mSv = 100 mrem (which it does), then (dose in mSv/h) x (100 mrem/h / 1 mSv/h) = (dose in mrem/h). The report exhibits extreme confusion over radiation units and the use of TI. (CParks)

Deleted: one percent

Deleted: of

Deleted: of

Deleted:



Figure II- 1. RADTRAN model of the vehicle in routine, incident-free transportation

When the distance to the receptor *r* is much larger than the largest dimension of the cask, RADTRAN models the dose to the receptor as proportional to $1/r^2$. When the distance to the receptor *r* is similar to or less than the largest dimension of the cask, as for crew or first responders, RADTRAN models the dose to the receptor as proportional to $1/r$. The TIs for the Rail-Lead and the Rail-Steel casks, were calculated from the dose rates at 2 meters as reported in the Safety Analysis

[3] One mSv = 100 mrem. Thus, 100 timesof the dosedoe rate in mSv per hr at one meter from the package is equivalent to the dose rate in mrem per hr.

Deleted: 1% of

Reports of these casks (Holtec International, 2004, NAC international, 2004) and are shown in Table II-1.

The basic equation for calculating incident-free doses to a population along a transportation route is Equation II-1:

(II-1) $$D(x) = \frac{Qk_0 DR_v}{V} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{x\min}^{x\max} \left\{ \frac{(\exp(-\mu r))(B(r))}{r\sqrt{r^2 - x^2}} \right\} dx dr$$

where x is the distance between the receptor and the source, perpendicular to the route
Q includes factors that correct for unit differences
k_0 is the package shape factor
DR_v is the vehicle external dose rate: the TI
V is the vehicle speed
μ is the radiation attenuation factor
B is the radiation buildup factor
r is the distance between the receptor and the source along the route

Details of the application of this and similar equations may be found in Neuhauser et al, (2000).

External radiation from casks carrying used nuclear fuel includes both gamma and neutron radiation. For calculating doses from gamma radiation, RADTRAN uses Equation II-2,

(II-2) $$(e^{-\mu r}) * B(r) = 1$$

for conservatism. For calculating doses from neutron radiation, RADTRAN uses Equation II-3

(II-3) $$(e^{-\mu r}) * B(r) = (e^{-\mu r}) * (1 + a_1 r + a_2 r^2 + a_3 r^3 + a_4 r^4)$$

where the coefficients are characteristics of the material. The default coefficients in RADTRAN are those for the neutron flux at the surface of a lead-shielded spent fuel shipping cask (Neuhauser et al, 2000, page 34).

Collective (population) doses are calculated by integrating over a 770-meter-wide strip along the route where the population resides (the x integration in Equation II-1) and then integrating along the route from minus to plus infinity ($-\infty$ to ∞)[4] (the r integration in Equation II-1). This is illustrated for a truck route in Figure II-2. The x integration limits in Figure II-2 are not to scale: *xmin* is usually 30 m and *xmax* is usually 800 m. Integration of x to distances greater than 800 meters results in risks

Comment [s3]: The sentence states that the TI was calculated from the dose rates at 2 meters as reported in the SAR for the casks. Did the SARs not report the TI directly and/or the dose at 1 meter? Why was it necessary to calculate from the 2 meter dose? (CParks)

Comment [s4]: What is the value of the "package shape factor" and how is it determined for the 3 casks selected for study? (CParks)

Comment [h5]: Is there a reference for the use of the 770 meter wide strip? Is this by requirement, or common practice?

Deleted:).

[4] A dose from ionizing radiation is proportional to $1/r^2$. There is no threshold for such a dose, so there is no cutoff point to the integration along the route in the direction that the cask travels.

not significantly different from integration to 800 m, since the decrease in dose with distance is exponential.



Figure II-2. Diagram of a truck route as modeled in RADTRAN; 845 km is the average distance a very large truck travels on half of its fuel capacity. The 161 km (100 miles) is the distance between spent fuel shipment inspections estimated for shipments to a geologic repository (DOE, 2002, Appendix J, Table J-17).

Variants of Equation II-1 are used to calculate doses to members of the public at stops, to vehicle crew members and other workers, to occupants of vehicles that share the route with the vehicle carrying the radioactive cargo, and to any other receptor identified. Figure II-3 is a diagram of the model used to calculate doses at truck stops. The inner circle defines the area occupied by people who are between the spent fuel truck and the building, and who are not shielded from the truck's external radiation. The dimensions of this circle and the average number of people who occupy it, along with the method used to determine these, are found in Griego et al (1996).



Figure II-3. Diagram of truck stop model from which radiation doses to members of the public are calculated. People at the stop occupy the 14-meter-wide annular space between the truck carrying the cask and the rest stop building. Residents of the area are modeled as occupying an annulus of inner radius 30 m from the cask and outer radius 800 m. from the cask.

II.2.2 RADTRAN Software

This section is a brief description of the RADTRAN software program. A full description of the software and how to use it may be found in the RADCAT User Guide (Weiner, et al, 2009).

The equations that RADTRAN uses, variants of Equation II-1, are programmed in FORTRAN 95. RADTRAN reads in:

- an input text file that contains the input parameters as defined by the RADTRAN user,
- a text file that contains an internal library of 148 radionuclides with their associated dose conversion factors and half-lives,
- a binary file that contains the societal ingestion doses for one curie of each radionuclide in the internal radionuclide library,
- dilution factors and isopleths areas for several weather patterns.

Only the first of these is used in calculating doses from incident-free transportation; the other three are used in the accident analysis and will be discussed in Appendix V.

The input text file can be written directly using a text editor, or can be constructed using the input file generator RADCAT. RADCAT, programmed in XML and running under Java Webstart, provides a series of screens that guide the user in entering values for RADTRAN input parameters. Figure II-4 shows a RADCAT screen.



Figure II-4. RADCAT vehicle screen.

RADTRAN output is a text file that can be saved as text or as a spreadsheet.

II.3 RADTRAN Input Parameters

The following sections provide some details of the input parameters used in the RADTRAN analysis performed for this risk analysis.

II.3.1 Vehicle-specific Input Parameters

RADTRAN does not allow for the offset of the package from the trailer edge, so the physical dimensions of the package are considered the physical dimensions of the vehicle. Table II-1 shows the vehicle-specific input parameters to RADTRAN and shows the parameter values used in this analysis. The Rail-Steel is modeled transporting canistered fuel; the Rail- Lead is modeled transporting uncanistered fuel. The Truck-DU is a truck cask. In this analysis, the Truck-DU is assumed to be transported by truck; the Rail- Lead and the Rail- Steel, by rail.

Table II-1. Vehicle-specific parameters (Holtec International, 2004; General Atomics, 1998; NAC International, 2004;

2.	Truck-DU	Rail-Steel-Lead	Rail-Steel
Transportation mode	highway	rail	Rail
Longest vehicle dimension)	5.94 m	4.90m	5.08 m
Diameter of surface facing crew ("crew view")	2.29 m	2.5 m	3.2 m
Distance from end of cargo to crew cab			
TI	14	14.02	10.34
Gamma fraction	0.77	0.89	0.90
Neutron fraction	0.23	0.11	0.10
Number of packages per vehicle	1 per truck	1 per railcar	1 per railcar
Number of crew	2	3	3
Exclusive use?[a]	yes	NA	NA
Dedicated rail[b]	NA	Yes	Yes
17 x 17 PWR assemblies	4	26	24

[a]An exclusive use vehicle is used for only one type of cargo
[b]A dedicated train takes a single type of freight from origin to destination.

II.3.2 Route-Specific Input Parameters

Route-specific input parameters are shown in Table II-2 for a single cask in one shipment traveling one kilometer past a population of one person per km^2 .This is called a unit risk calculation. The input parameters are common to the sixteen specific routes analyzed. The vehicle density for rail assumes that only one car of a freight train carries a spent fuel cask.

Formatted: Font: Times New Roman, 12 pt, Not All caps
Formatted Table
Formatted: Font: Times New Roman
Formatted: Font: Times New Roman
Formatted: Font: Times New Roman
Formatted: Font: Times New Roman
Formatted: Font: Times New Roman
Formatted: Font: Times New Roman, Not All caps
Formatted: Font: Times New Roman
Formatted: Font: Times New Roman, Not All caps
Formatted: Font: Times New Roman
Formatted: Font: Times New Roman, Not All caps
Formatted: Font: Times New Roman
Formatted: Font: Times New Roman
Formatted: Font: Times New Roman
Formatted: Font: Times New Roman
Formatted: Font: Times New Roman
Formatted: Font: Times New Roman
Formatted: Font: Times New Roman
Comment [XXX6]: Note use of new font in this table. In general tables and text are in Times New Roman. Suggests making sure all tables use this font.
Formatted: Font: Times New Roman
Deleted:

Table II-2. Route parameters for unit risk calculation (USDOT 2004a, b)

Parameter	Interstate Highway	Freight Rail
Vehicle speed (U.S. average kph)		
Rural	108	40.4
Suburban	102	40.4
Urban	97	24
Vehicle density (U.S. average vehicles/hr)		
Rural	1119	17[a]
Suburban	2464	17
Urban	5384	17
Persons per vehicle	1.5	2
Farm fraction	0.5	0.5
Stops		
Minimum distance from nearby residents (m)	30	200
Maximum distance from nearby residents (m)	800	800
Stop time for classification (hours)	NA	27
Stop time in transit for railroad change (hours)	NA	0.5
Stop time for truck inspections (hours)	0.75	NA
Stop time at truck stops (hours)	0.83	NA
Average number of people sharing the stop	6.9[b]	NA
Minimum distance to people sharing the stop (m)	1[b]	NA
Maximum distance to people sharing the stop (m)	15[b]	NA
Truck stop worker distance from cask (m)	15	NA
Truck stop worker shielding factor	0.018	NA
Truck crew shielding factor (no regulatory limit)[c]	0.377	NA
Escort distance from cask (m)	4	16

[a]Railcars per hr [b]Griego et al, 1996. [c] From crew doses with and without the regulatory limit.

Comment [s7]: I don't understand the footnote c, nor how the shielding factors are determined (ditto Table II-3). Perhaps an explanation is in the text and I missed it. It would seem some discussion would be warranted to tie the term "shielding factor" back to Eq. II-1. (CParks)

II.3.3. Other parameters

RADTRAN includes a set of parameters whose values are not generally known by the user and which have been used routinely in transportation risk assessments. RADTRAN contains default values for these parameters, but all default values can be changed by the user. Table II-3 lists the parameter values used in the incident-free analysis.

Table II-3. Parameter values in the RADTRAN 6 analysis

Parameter	Value
Shielding factor for residents (fraction of energy impacting the receptor): R= rural, S=suburban, U=urban	R=1.0 S=0.87 U=0.018
Fraction of outside air in urban buildings	0.25
Fraction of urban population on sidewalk	0.48
Fraction of urban population in buildings	0.52
Ratio of non-residents to residents in urban areas	6
Distance from in-transit shipment for maximum exposure in m. (RMEI exposure)	30
Vehicle speed for maximum exposure in km/hr. (RMEI exposure)	24
Distance from in-transit shipment to nearest resident in rural and suburban areas, m	30
Distance from in-transit shipment to nearest resident in urban areas, m	27
Population bandwidth m	800
Distance between vehicles (trains), m	3.0
Minimum number of rail classification stops	1

Additional input parameters are rural, suburban, and urban route lengths and population densities, characteristics of stops along a route and the TI of the package.

II.4. Routes

This study analyzes both the unit (per-km) doses from a single shipment on rural, suburban, and urban route segments and doses to receptors from a single shipment on 16 representative routes, chosen to represent a range of route lengths and a variety of populations. The actual truck and rail routes were selected for a number of reasons, which are discussed in Chapter 2, Section 2.3.2. The combination of four origins and four destinations represent a variety of route lengths and population densities and both private and government facilities, a large number of states, and includes origins and destinations that were included in the analyses of NUREG/CR-6672 (Sprung, et al, 2000).

Power reactor spent fuel (SNF) and high-level radioactive waste (HLW) are currently stored at 77 locations in the U.S.(67 nuclear generating plants, five storage facilities at sites of decommissioned nuclear plants, and five DOE defense facilities). The origin sites (Table II-4) include two nuclear generating plants (Indian Point and Kewaunee) a storage site (Maine Yankee) and a National Laboratory (Idaho National Laboratory). The destination sites include the two proposed repository sites not characterized (Deaf Smith County, TX and Hanford, WA) (DOE, 1986), the site of the proposed Private Fuel Storage facility (Skull Valley, UT), and a National Laboratory site (Oak Ridge, TN). The routes modeled are shown in Table II-4. Both truck and rail versions of each route are analyzed.

Table II-4. Specific routes modeled

Origin	Destination
Maine Yankee site, ME	Hanford, WA
	Deaf Smith County, TX
	Skull Valley, UT
	Oak Ridge, TN
Kewaunee NP, WI	Hanford, WA
	Deaf Smith County, TX
	Skull Valley, UT
	Oak Ridge, TN
Indian Point NP, NY	Hanford, WA
	Deaf Smith County, TX
	Skull Valley, UT
	Oak Ridge, TN
Idaho National Lab, ID	Hanford, WA
	Deaf Smith County, TX
	Skull Valley, UT
	Oak Ridge, TN

Route segments and population densities are provided by WebTRAGIS (Johnson and Michelhaugh (2003). WebTRAGIS uses census data from the 2000 census. Updated population data to 2006 were provided in the 2008 Statistical Abstract (U.S. Census Bureau 2008). Table 13 of U.S. Census Bureau (2008) shows the percent increase in population for each of the 50 states of the United States, as well as for the U. S. as a whole, and Table 21 shows the percent increase in population for the 50 largest metropolitan areas in the U.S. Data from these two tables were combined to give population multipliers for states along routes for which the collective dose and the population increase were significant enough to make a correction.

The population multipliers used are shown in Table II-5. "Significant" was taken to mean that the population difference was more than 1% (i.e., multipliers larger than 0.99 but smaller than 1.01 were not considered significant). The state-specific multiplier was applied to rural and suburban routes through the state, and the multiplier for the largest metropolitan area in that state was applied to the urban routes.

Table II-5. Population multipliers

State	Rural, Suburban, Urban Designation	Population Multiplier	State	Rural, Suburban, Urban Designation	Population Multiplier
Arizona	Rural, Suburban	1.202	Nevada	Rural, Suburban	1.249
	Urban	1.242		Urban	1.292
Arkansas	Rural, Suburban	1.051	New Mexico	Rural, Suburban	1.075
	Urban	1.051		Urban	1.075
California	Rural, Suburban	1.076	Oklahoma	Rural, Suburban	1.037
	Urban	1.15		Urban	1.07
Colorado	Rural, Suburban	1.105	Pennsylvania	Rural, Suburban	1.013
	Urban	1.105		Urban	1.025
Georgia	Rural, Suburban	1.144	Oregon	Rural, Suburban	1.082
	Urban	1.21		Urban	1.109
Idaho	Rural, Suburban	1.133	Tennessee	Rural, Suburban	1.061
	Urban	1.133		Urban	1.109
Illinois	Rural, Suburban	1.033	Texas	Rural, Suburban	1.127
	Urban	0.959		Urban	1.175
Maryland	Rural, Suburban	1.037	Utah	Rural, Suburban	1.142
	Urban	1.041		Urban	1.102
Missouri	Rural, Suburban	1.044	Virginia	Rural, Suburban	1.08
	Urban	1.044		Urban	1.103

Parameters like population density and route segment lengths, that are specific to each route, were developed using WebTRAGIS. Figures II-5 through II-8 are maps showing the 16 highway and 16 rail routes in Table II-4.



Figure II-5. Highway and rail routes from Maine Yankee Nuclear Plant site .



Figure II-6. Highway and rail routes from Kewaunee Nuclear Plant



Figure II-7. Highway and rail routes from Indian Point Nuclear Plant



Figure II-8. Highway and rail routes from Idaho National Laboratory

II.5 Results

All results presented here are for a single shipment (one cask).

II.5.1 Maximally exposed resident in-transit dose

The largest dose from a moving vehicle to an individual member of the public is sustained when that individual is 30 meters (a conservative estimate of the interstate right-of-way) from the moving vehicle, and the vehicle is moving at the slowest speed it would be likely to maintain. This speed is 24 kph (16 mph) for both rail and truck. Table II-6 shows the maximum individual dose, in Sv, for each package. These doses are directly proportional to the external dose rate (TI) of each package. For comparison, a single dental x-ray delivers a dose of 4×10^{-5} Sv (Stabin, 2009), about 7000 times the doses shown in Table II-6.

Table II-6 Maximum individual doses.

Comment [h8]: Example calculation

Package	Dose in Sv
Rail- Lead (rail)	5.7×10^{-9}
Rail-Steel (rail)	4.3×10^{-9}
Truck-DU (truck)	6.7×10^{-9}

II.5.2 Unit risk: rail routes

The doses to railyard workers along the route, to residents and others along the route, and to occupants of vehicles that share the route from a single shipment (one rail cask) traveling one km past a population density of one person/km^2 are shown in Table II-7. The public occupants of trains sharing the route do not include crew. The dose units are person-Sv. The doses are calculated assuming one cask on a train, because railcar-km is the unit usually used to describe freight rail transport. The data in this table may be used to calculate collective doses along routes as follows:

- Multiply the railyard crew dose by the kilometers of each type of route traveled. This is a conservatively calculated dose that assumes that the total dose that the railyard crew receives during stops along the route is the product of 1.8 percent of the classification yard dose and the total route length. The classification yard occupational collective dose (Wooden, 1986), assuming a 30-hour classification stop, is integrated into RADTRAN. The RADTRAN output dose was adjusted to reflect the 27-hour stop (DOT, 2004b).

Comment [h9]: I'm confused by this. Where does the 1.8 percent come from?

- The area along the route occupied by the population is the product of the kilometers traveled and 1.6, since the strip on each side of the route is 0.8 km (800 m) wide. Therefore, multiply the "population along route" dose by this area and the appropriate population density (obtained from a routing code like WebTRAGIS).

Table II-7. Average individual doses ("unit risks") to various receptors, rail routes. The units of the average dose to the residents near the yard, Sv-km^2/hour, reflect the output of the RADTRAN stop model, which incorporates the area occupied.

Cask and route type	Resident along route, Sv	Resident near yard, Sv-km^2/hour	Occupants of vehicles sharing the route person-Sv
Rail- Lead rural	7.3E-10	3.5E-07	6.5E-09
Rail- Lead suburban	6.3E-10	3.5E-07	6.5E-09
Rail- urban	2.2E-10	3.5E-07	9.1E-08
Rail- Steel rural	5.6E-10	2.7E-07	4.9E-09
Rail- Steel suburban	4.8E-10	2.7E-07	4.9E-09
Rail- Steel urban	1.7E-11	2.7E-07	1.4E-08

II.5.3 Unit risk: truck routes

The collective doses to truck crew, residents and others along the route, and to occupants of vehicles that share the route from a single shipment (one truck cask) traveling one kilometer past a population density of one person/km^2 are shown in Table II-8. Rural, suburban, and urban doses to residents living near stops are calculated by multiplying the appropriate stop dose (truck stops are not typically located in urban areas) by the appropriate population density (obtained from a routing code like WebTRAGIS). The number of stops on each route segment is calculated by dividing the length of the route segment by 845 km (average distance between refueling stops for a large semi-detached trailer truck (DOE, 2002, Appendix J). The area of the band occupied by the population along the route is equal to the kilometers traveled multiplied by, e.g., 1.6 for a band width of 800 m on each side of the route the same as for rail routes described above.

Table II-8. Average individual dose ("unit risk") to various receptors, truck routes.

Comment [h10]: Example calculation

	Resident near stops Sv-km^2/hour	Resident along route Sv	Occupants of vehicles sharing route
Truck-DU rural	3.26E-06	3.1E-10	1.2E-07
Truck-DU suburban	2.84E-06	2.7E-10	2.7E-07
Truck-DU urban	N/A	5.2E-12	6.0E-07
Truck-DU urban rush hour	N/A	1.2E-12	5.5E-07
6.9 people sharing stop (person-rem)	2.3E-04	N/A	N/A

II.5.4 Doses along selected routes.

Doses to receptors along the routes shown in Table II-5 are presented below.

II.5.4.1 Collective doses to receptors along the route

Using route data from Web TRAGIS, collective doses from incident-free transportation were calculated. For rural and suburban route segments, collective doses calculated were doses sustained by the resident population. Non-resident populations were included with residents as receptors along the urban segments of the routes. Tables II-9 to II-12 show collective doses along rail routes and Tables II-13 to II-116, along highway routes. Blank cells in the tables indicate that no route miles or population was present in those cells.

Table II-9. Collective doses to residents along the route (person-Sv) from rail transportation; shipment origin INL Route totals are in Chapter 2. [a]

DESTINATION AND ROUTE	Rail-Lead			Rail- Steel		
	Rural	Suburban	Urban	Rural	Suburban	Urban
ORNL						
Colorado	2.1E-07	9.3E-07		1.6E-07	7.1E-07	
Idaho	2.8E-06	1.2E-05	5.5E-06	2.1E-06	9.3E-06	4.2E-07
Illinois	2.8E-06	2.7E-05	7.2E-06	2.8E-06	2.7E-05	5.5E-07
Indiana	2.7E-06	1.3E-05	2.9E-06	2.1E-06	1.0E-05	2.2E-07
Kansas	2.0E-06	1.1E-05	2.5E-06	1.6E-06	8.1E-06	1.9E-07
Kentucky	4.2E-06	3.4E-05	1.4E-05	3.2E-06	2.6E-05	1.0E-06
Missouri	3.8E-06	3.6E-05	1.8E-05	2.9E-06	2.7E-05	1.4E-06
Nebraska	5.6E-06	2.0E-05	5.6E-06	4.3E-06	1.5E-05	4.2E-07
Tennessee	2.0E-06	1.3E-05	6.7E-07	1.5E-06	9.6E-06	5.1E-08
Wyoming	2.3E-06	1.4E-05	3.3E-06	1.7E-06	1.1E-05	2.5E-07
DEAF SMITH						
Colorado	5.2E-06	6.6E-05	3.0E-05	2.7E-05	5.1E-05	2.1E-06
Idaho	2.8E-06	1.2E-05	6.0E-06	5.5E-06	9.3E-06	4.2E-07
Oklahoma	1.7E-07	2.9E-07		1.3E-07	2.2E-07	
Texas	6.5E-07	5.4E-06	9.4E-07	5.0E-07	4.1E-06	7.1E-08
Wyoming	1.8E-06	9.6E-06	2.4E-06	2.4E-06	7.3E-06	1.8E-07
HANFORD						
Idaho	6.0E-06	2.6E-05	9.6E-06	9.6E-06	4.0E-06	7.3E-07
Oregon	2.3E-06	1.5E-05	3.5E-06	3.5E-06	1.5E-06	2.7E-07
Washington	1.9E-07	7.0E-06	4.2E-06	4.2E-06	1.2E-07	3.2E-07
SKULL VALLEY						
Idaho	2.3E-06	1.0E-05	5.3E-06	5.3E-06	1.5E-06	4.1E-07
Utah	2.6E-06	3.0E-05	1.8E-05	1.8E-05	2.3E-05	1.4E-06

[a]An empty cell indicates that there was no population on the route segment.

Comment [h11]: Example calculation. One general note –where multiple tables produce similar results, there is no need to provide example calculations for each table. For example the following tables Table II-10 through II-12 wouldn't require example calculations as they should be similar to this table.

Table II-10. Collective doses to residents along the route (person-Sv), rail transportation, shipment origin Indian Point Route totals are in Chapter 2.

DESTINATION	Rail- Lead			Rail- Steel		
	Rural	Suburban	Urban	Rural	Suburban	Urban
ORNL						
Delaware	2.0E-08	1.2E-05	1.3E-05	1.5E-08	8.9E-06	1.0E-06
Washington DC	5.1E-09	1.4E-06	7.3E-06	3.9E-09	1.1E-06	5.5E-07
Maryland	1.1E-06	3.6E-05	3.2E-05	8.4E-07	2.7E-05	2.4E-06
New Jersey	6.5E-07	1.9E-05	2.2E-05	4.9E-07	1.5E-05	1.7E-06
New York	4.9E-08	2.6E-06	5.4E-05	3.7E-08	2.0E-06	4.1E-06
Pennsylvania	7.9E-08	1.4E-05	5.1E-05	6.0E-08	1.0E-05	3.9E-06
Tennessee	3.6E-06	4.9E-05	1.0E-05	2.7E-06	3.7E-05	8.0E-07
Virginia	6.5E-06	9.4E-05	3.9E-05	5.0E-06	7.2E-05	2.7E-06
DEAF SMITH						
Illinois	2.4E-06	4.3E-05	3.9E-05	1.8E-06	3.3E-05	2.9E-06
Indiana	3.3E-06	1.8E-05	8.6E-06	2.5E-06	1.4E-05	6.6E-07
Iowa	4.7E-07	1.0E-06	5.0E-07	3.6E-07	7.6E-07	3.8E-08
Kansas	3.2E-06	2.9E-05	1.3E-05	2.5E-06	2.2E-05	9.6E-07
Missouri	1.9E-06	1.1E-05	3.8E-06	1.5E-06	8.6E-06	2.9E-07
New York	8.7E-06	9.8E-05	7.9E-05	6.6E-06	7.4E-05	6.0E-06
Ohio	3.9E-06	5.1E-05	3.7E-05	3.0E-06	3.9E-05	2.8E-06
Oklahoma	7.2E-07	6.4E-06	8.3E-07	5.5E-07	4.9E-06	6.3E-08
Pennsylvania	6.6E-07	1.5E-05	7.8E-06	5.0E-07	4.4E-07	4.0E-07
Texas	1.2E-06	8.2E-06	2.0E-06	8.9E-07	6.2E-06	1.5E-07
HANFORD						
Idaho	1.6E-06	1.1E-05	1.5E-06	1.2E-06	1.0E-06	2.9E-07
Illinois	2.1E-06	3.2E-05	3.7E-05	1.6E-06	1.4E-06	8.6E-07
Indiana	3.4E-06	1.8E-05	8.6E-06	2.9E-06	2.2E-06	4.8E-07
Minnesota	5.1E-06	4.7E-05	1.9E-05	3.9E-06	3.4E-06	1.3E-06
Montana	0.0E+00	2.1E-05	2.2E-06	0.0E+00	0.0E+00	5.6E-07
New York	8.7E-06	9.8E-05	7.9E-05	6.6E-06	7.4E-05	6.0E-06
North Dakota	1.6E-06	1.3E-05	4.1E-06	1.2E-06	1.1E-06	3.5E-07
Ohio	3.9E-06	5.1E-05	3.7E-05	3.0E-06	3.9E-05	2.8E-06
Pennsylvania	6.6E-07	1.5E-05	7.8E-06	5.0E-07	4.4E-07	4.0E-07
Washington	1.8E-06	2.2E-05	1.0E-05	1.4E-06	1.2E-06	5.7E-07
Wisconsin	2.7E-06	1.3E-05	6.0E-06	2.0E-06	1.8E-06	3.5E-07
SKULL VALLEY						
Colorado	2.1E-07	9.3E-07	0.0E+00	1.6E-07	7.1E-07	0.0E+00
Illinois	2.1E-06	3.3E-05	4.3E-05	1.6E-06	2.5E-05	3.3E-06
Indiana	3.3E-06	1.8E-05	8.6E-06	2.5E-06	1.4E-05	6.6E-07
Iowa	6.4E-06	2.9E-05	5.5E-06	6.4E-06	2.9E-05	6.0E-06
Nebraska	6.7E-06	3.2E-05	9.9E-06	5.1E-06	2.4E-05	7.5E-07
New York	8.7E-06	9.8E-05	7.9E-05	6.6E-06	7.4E-05	6.0E-06
Ohio	3.9E-06	5.1E-05	3.7E-05	3.0E-06	3.9E-05	2.8E-06
Pennsylvania	6.6E-07	1.5E-05	7.8E-06	5.0E-07	4.4E-07	4.0E-07
Utah	2.0E-06	2.9E-05	1.8E-05	1.6E-06	1.4E-06	7.4E-07
Wyoming	2.2E-06	1.5E-05	3.7E-06	1.6E-06	1.4E-06	4.0E-07

Table II-11. Collective doses to residents along the route (person-Sv) rail transportation; shipment origin Kewaunee. [a]Route totals are in Chapter 2.

DESTINATION AND ROUTES	Rail- Lead			Rail- Steel		
	Rural	Suburban	Urban	Rural	Suburban	Urban
ORNL						
Illinois	3.8E-07	3.3E-05	4.0E-05	2.9E-07	2.5E-05	3.0E-06
Indiana	3.3E-06	1.8E-05	8.6E-06	2.5E-06	1.4E-05	6.6E-07
Kentucky	5.1E-06	2.6E-05	1.1E-05	3.9E-06	2.0E-05	8.6E-07
Ohio	3.5E-06	4.8E-05	2.3E-05	2.6E-06	3.6E-05	1.7E-06
Tennessee	1.2E-06	7.9E-06	6.5E-07	9.0E-07	6.1E-06	5.0E-08
Wisconsin	3.1E-06	4.0E-05	2.4E-05	6.0E-08	1.0E-05	1.8E-06
DEAF SMITH						
Illinois	2.6E-06	5.6E-05	4.8E-05	2.0E-06	4.3E-05	3.7E-06
Iowa	4.7E-07	1.0E-06	5.0E-07	3.6E-07	7.6E-07	3.8E-08
Kansas	3.2E-06	2.9E-05	1.3E-05	2.5E-06	2.2E-05	9.6E-07
Missouri	1.9E-06	1.1E-05	4.5E-06	1.5E-06	8.6E-06	2.9E-07
Oklahoma	6.7E-07	6.0E-06	7.3E-07	5.1E-07	4.6E-06	5.6E-08
Texas	1.2E-06	8.2E-06	2.0E-06	8.9E-07	6.2E-06	1.5E-07
Wisconsin	3.1E-06	4.0E-05	2.4E-05	6.0E-08	1.0E-05	1.8E-06
HANFORD						
Idaho	1.4E-06	1.1E-05	1.5E-06	1.2E-06	1.0E-06	1.2E-07
Minnesota	5.3E-06	4.8E-05	1.5E-05	4.0E-06	3.5E-06	1.1E-06
Montana	0.0E+00	2.1E-05	2.2E-06	0.0E+00	1.6E-05	1.7E-07
North Dakota	1.6E-06	1.3E-05	4.1E-06	1.2E-06	1.1E-06	3.5E-07
Washington	1.8E-06	2.2E-05	1.0E-05	1.4E-06	1.2E-06	7.9E-07
Wisconsin	5.7E-06	3.5E-05	1.4E-05	4.3E-06	3.8E-06	1.1E-06
SKULL VALLEY						
Colorado	2.1E-07	9.3E-07		1.6E-07	7.1E-07	
Illinois	2.3E-06	4.3E-05	4.4E-05	1.7E-06	3.3E-05	3.4E-06
Iowa	6.4E-06	2.9E-05	5.5E-06	4.9E-06	2.2E-05	4.2E-07
Nebraska	6.7E-06	3.2E-05	9.9E-06	5.1E-06	2.4E-05	7.5E-07
Utah	2.0E-06	2.9E-05	1.8E-05	1.6E-06	1.4E-06	1.4E-06
Wisconsin	3.1E-06	4.0E-05	2.4E-05	6.0E-08	1.0E-05	1.8E-06
Wyoming	2.2E-06	1.5E-05	3.7E-06	1.6E-06	1.4E-06	2.8E-07

[a] An empty cell indicates that there was no population on the route segment.

Table II-12. Collective doses to residents along the route (person-Sv) rail shipment origin Maine Yankee. [a]Route totals are in Chapter 2.

DESTINATION AND ROUTES	Rail- Lead			Rail- Steel		
	Rural	Suburban	Urban	Rural	Suburban	Urban
ORNL						
Kentucky	5.1E-06	2.6E-05	1.1E-05	3.9E-06	2.0E-05	8.6E-07
Maine	1.5E-06	2.5E-05	9.9E-06	1.1E-06	1.9E-05	7.5E-07
Massachusetts	2.1E-06	4.6E-05	2.8E-05	1.6E-06	3.5E-05	2.2E-06
New Hampshire	6.1E-07	1.2E-05	4.0E-06	4.6E-07	9.2E-06	3.1E-07
New York	7.7E-06	8.3E-05	2.9E-05	5.9E-06	6.3E-05	2.2E-06
Ohio	5.7E-06	7.8E-05	5.2E-05	4.4E-06	6.0E-05	3.9E-06
Pennsylvania	6.7E-07	1.5E-05	8.1E-06	5.1E-07	1.1E-05	6.2E-07
Tennessee	1.2E-06	7.9E-06	6.5E-07	9.0E-07	6.1E-06	5.0E-08
Vermont	1.1E-07	8.3E-07		8.1E-08	6.3E-07	
DEAF SMITH						
Illinois	2.4E-06	4.3E-05	3.9E-05	1.8E-06	3.3E-05	2.9E-06
Indiana	3.3E-06	1.8E-05	8.6E-06	2.5E-06	1.4E-05	6.6E-07
Iowa	4.7E-07	1.0E-06	5.0E-07	3.6E-07	7.6E-07	3.8E-08
Kansas	3.2E-06	2.9E-05	1.3E-05	2.5E-06	2.2E-05	9.6E-07
Maine	1.5E-06	2.5E-05	9.9E-06	1.1E-06	1.9E-05	7.5E-07
Massachusetts	2.1E-06	4.6E-05	2.8E-05	1.6E-06	3.5E-05	2.2E-06
Missouri	1.9E-06	1.1E-05	3.8E-06	1.5E-06	8.6E-06	2.9E-07
New Hampshire	6.1E-07	1.2E-05	4.0E-06	4.6E-07	9.2E-06	3.1E-07
New York	7.7E-06	8.3E-05	2.9E-05	5.9E-06	6.3E-05	2.2E-06
Ohio	3.9E-06	5.1E-05	3.7E-05	3.0E-06	3.9E-05	2.8E-06
Oklahoma	6.9E-07	6.2E-06	7.8E-07	5.3E-07	4.7E-06	5.9E-08
Pennsylvania	6.6E-07	1.5E-05	7.8E-06	5.0E-07	1.1E-05	5.9E-07
Texas	1.2E-06	8.2E-06	2.0E-06	8.9E-07	6.2E-06	1.5E-07
Vermont	1.1E-07	8.3E-07		8.1E-08	6.3E-07	

[a] An empty cell indicates that there was no population on the route segment.

Table II-12. Collective doses to residents along the route (person-Sv) from rail transportation; shipment origin Maine Yankee – continued [a]Route totals are in Chapter 2.

DESTINATION	Rail- Lead			Rail- Steel		
	Rural	Suburban	Urban	Rural	Suburban	Urban
HANFORD						
Idaho	1.6E-06	1.1E-05	1.5E-06	1.2E-06	8.2E-06	1.2E-07
Illinois	2.2E-06	3.3E-05	3.5E-05	1.7E-06	2.5E-05	2.7E-06
Indiana	3.3E-06	1.8E-05	8.6E-06	2.5E-06	1.4E-05	6.6E-07
Maine	1.5E-06	2.5E-05	9.9E-06	1.1E-06	1.9E-05	7.5E-07
Massachusetts	2.1E-06	4.6E-05	2.8E-05	1.6E-06	3.5E-05	2.2E-06
Minnesota	5.1E-06	4.7E-05	1.9E-05	3.9E-06	3.6E-05	1.4E-06
Montana	3.5E-06	2.1E-05	2.2E-06	2.7E-06	1.6E-05	1.7E-07
New Hampshire	6.1E-07	1.2E-05	4.0E-06	4.6E-07	9.2E-06	3.1E-07
New York	7.7E-06	8.3E-05	3.5E-05	5.9E-06	6.3E-05	2.2E-06
North Dakota	1.6E-06	1.3E-05	4.1E-06	1.2E-06	1.0E-05	3.2E-07
Ohio	3.9E-06	5.1E-05	3.7E-05	3.0E-06	3.9E-05	2.8E-06
Pennsylvania	6.6E-07	1.5E-05	7.8E-06	5.0E-07	1.1E-05	5.9E-07
Vermont	1.1E-07	8.3E-07		8.1E-08	6.3E-07	
Washington	1.8E-06	2.2E-05	1.0E-05	1.4E-06	1.2E-06	5.7E-07
Wisconsin	2.7E-06	1.3E-05	6.0E-06	2.0E-06	1.0E-05	4.6E-07
SKULL VALLEY						
Colorado	1.0E-06	4.3E-05	2.0E-05	7.8E-07	3.2E-05	1.5E-06
Illinois	3.2E-06	2.6E-05	8.2E-06	2.4E-06	1.9E-05	6.0E-07
Indiana	3.2E-06	2.7E-05	7.8E-06	2.4E-06	2.1E-05	5.9E-07
Iowa	7.2E-06	2.6E-05		5.5E-06	2.0E-05	
Maine	1.5E-06	2.6E-05		1.2E-06	2.0E-05	
Massachusetts	1.0E-06	4.5E-05		7.9E-07	3.4E-05	
Nebraska	7.6E-06	2.1E-05	5.9E-06	5.8E-06	1.6E-05	4.5E-07
New Hampshire	1.8E-07	5.9E-06	7.8E-07	1.4E-07	4.5E-06	5.9E-08
New York	7.7E-06	8.3E-05	2.9E-05	5.9E-06	6.3E-05	2.2E-06
Ohio	3.9E-06	5.1E-05	3.7E-05	3.0E-06	3.9E-05	2.8E-06
Pennsylvania	7.8E-07	1.8E-05	9.5E-06	6.0E-07	1.3E-05	7.2E-07
Utah	2.0E-06	2.9E-05	1.8E-05	1.6E-06	1.4E-06	1.4E-06
Vermont	1.1E-07	8.3E-07		8.1E-08	6.3E-07	
Wyoming	2.2E-06	1.5E-05	3.7E-06	1.6E-06	1.4E-06	2.8E-07

[a] An empty cell indicates that there was no population on the route segment.

Table II-13. Collective doses to residents along the route (person-Sv) from truck transportation (Truck-DU); shipment origin Maine Yankee Route totals are in Chapter 2.

DESTINATION	ROUTES	Rural	Suburban	Urban	Urban Rush Hour
ORNL	Connecticut	4.5E-07	2.4E-05	9.0E-07	2.0E-08
	Maine	6.3E-07	1.2E-05	1.1E-07	2.4E-09
	Maryland	5.4E-08	2.1E-06	2.1E-08	4.8E-10
	Massachusetts	4.3E-07	1.9E-05	3.2E-07	7.1E-09
	New Hampshire	7.6E-08	2.4E-06	1.2E-08	2.6E-10
	New Jersey	2.9E-07	1.0E-05	4.9E-07	1.1E-08
	New York	3.4E-09	2.6E-06	4.9E-07	1.1E-08
	Pennsylvania	1.8E-06	2.1E-05	2.6E-07	5.7E-09
	Tennessee	1.2E-06	1.5E-05	1.3E-07	2.8E-09
	Virginia	2.8E-06	3.1E-05	2.0E-07	4.5E-09
	West Virginia	1.8E-07	4.2E-06	1.2E-08	2.6E-10
DEAF SMITH	Connecticut	4.6E-07	2.4E-05	9.1E-07	2.0E-08
	Maine	6.4E-07	1.1E-05	5.9E-08	1.3E-09
	Maryland	5.4E-08	2.1E-06	2.2E-08	4.8E-10
	Massachusetts	4.3E-07	1.9E-05	3.2E-07	7.1E-09
	New Hampshire	7.6E-08	2.4E-06	1.2E-08	2.6E-10
	New Jersey	3.9E-07	1.4E-05	2.8E-07	6.2E-09
	New York	3.8E-08	6.8E-06	3.2E-07	7.1E-09
	Oklahoma	2.6E-06	1.3E-05	1.8E-07	3.9E-09
	Pennsylvania	1.5E-06	1.7E-05	2.1E-07	4.7E-09
	Tennessee	4.7E-06	4.0E-05	6.3E-07	1.4E-08
	Texas	6.3E-07	3.6E-06	1.5E-07	3.4E-09
	Virginia	2.7E-06	3.0E-05	2.0E-07	4.4E-09
	West Virginia	1.8E-07	4.2E-06	1.2E-08	2.6E-10

Comment [h12]: Example calculation. As noted in the previous comment –where multiple tables produce similar results, there is no need to provide example calculations for each table. For example the following tables Table II-13 through II-16 wouldn't require example calculations as they should be similar to this table.

Table II-13. Collective doses to residents along the route (person-Sv) from truck transportation (Truck-DU); shipment origin Maine Yankee -- continued. Route totals are in Chapter 2.

Deleted:

	ROUTES	Rural	Suburban	Urban	Urban Rush Hour
HANFORD	Connecticut	4.3E-07	1.8E-05	4.8E-07	1.1E-08
	Idaho	2.2E-06	1.1E-05	1.5E-07	3.4E-09
	Illinois	1.3E-06	1.0E-05	1.9E-07	4.3E-09
	Indiana	1.3E-06	1.1E-05	1.8E-07	4.1E-09
	Iowa	3.0E-06	1.1E-05	9.3E-08	2.1E-09
	Maine	6.4E-07	1.1E-05	5.9E-08	1.3E-09
	Massachusetts	4.5E-07	2.0E-05	3.3E-07	7.4E-09
	Nebraska	3.2E-06	8.6E-06	1.4E-07	3.1E-09
	New Hampshire	7.6E-08	2.4E-06	1.2E-08	2.6E-10
	New York	4.4E-07	9.1E-06	8.0E-08	1.8E-09
	Ohio	2.0E-06	2.0E-05	2.7E-07	6.1E-09
	Oregon	1.3E-06	4.6E-06	4.2E-08	9.4E-10
	Pennsylvania	3.2E-06	1.8E-05	1.3E-07	2.9E-09
	Utah	1.0E-06	6.4E-06	2.9E-08	6.5E-10
	Washington	1.4E-07	1.3E-06	8.0E-08	1.8E-09
	Wyoming	1.5E-06	5.7E-06	5.6E-08	1.2E-09
SKULL VALLEY	Connecticut	4.3E-07	1.8E-05	4.8E-07	1.1E-08
	Illinois	1.3E-06	1.0E-05	1.9E-07	4.3E-09
	Indiana	1.3E-06	1.1E-05	1.8E-07	4.1E-09
	Iowa	3.0E-06	1.1E-05	9.3E-08	2.1E-09
	Maine	6.4E-07	1.1E-05	5.9E-08	1.3E-09
	Massachusetts	4.3E-07	1.9E-05	3.2E-07	7.1E-09
	Nebraska	3.2E-06	8.6E-06	1.4E-07	3.1E-09
	New Hampshire	7.6E-08	2.4E-06	1.2E-08	2.6E-10
	New York	4.4E-07	9.1E-06	8.0E-08	1.8E-09
	Ohio	2.0E-06	2.0E-05	2.7E-07	6.1E-09
	Pennsylvania	3.2E-06	1.8E-05	1.3E-07	2.9E-09
	Utah	8.2E-07	7.4E-06	3.3E-07	7.3E-09
	Wyoming	1.5E-06	5.7E-06	5.6E-08	1.2E-09

Table II-14 Collective doses to residents along the route (person-Sv) from truck transportation (Truck-DU); shipment origin Indian Point. Route totals are in Chapter 2.

DESTINATION	ROUTES	Rural	Suburban	Urban	Urban Rush Hour
ORNL	Maryland	5.4E-08	2.1E-06	2.2E-08	4.6E-10
	New Jersey	3.9E-07	1.4E-05	2.8E-07	6.2E-09
	New York	7.5E-08	7.0E-06	3.5E-07	7.8E-09
	Pennsylvania	1.5E-06	1.7E-05	2.1E-07	4.6E-09
	Tennessee	1.3E-06	1.6E-05	1.1E-07	2.1E-09
	Virginia	2.7E-06	3.0E-05	2.0E-07	4.4E-09
	West Virginia	1.8E-07	4.2E-06	1.2E-08	2.6E-10
DEAF SMITH	Arkansas	2.3E-06	1.6E-05	1.6E-07	3.5E-09
	Maryland	5.4E-08	2.1E-06	2.1E-08	4.8E-10
	New Jersey	3.9E-07	1.4E-05	2.8E-07	6.2E-09
	New York	7.5E-08	7.0E-06	3.5E-07	7.8E-09
	Oklahoma	2.7E-06	1.4E-05	1.9E-07	3.6E-09
	Pennsylvania	1.5E-06	1.7E-05	2.1E-07	4.6E-09
	Texas	6.3E-07	3.6E-06	1.5E-07	2.9E-09
	Virginia	2.7E-06	3.0E-05	2.0E-07	4.4E-09
	West Virginia	1.8E-07	4.2E-06	1.2E-08	2.6E-10
HANFORD	Idaho	2.2E-06	1.1E-05	1.5E-07	3.0E-09
	Illinois	1.3E-06	1.0E-05	2.0E-07	4.5E-09
	Indiana	1.4E-06	1.1E-05	1.8E-07	4.1E-09
	Iowa	3.0E-06	1.1E-05	9.3E-08	2.1E-09
	Nebraska	3.2E-06	8.6E-06	1.4E-07	3.1E-09
	New Jersey	4.2E-07	1.1E-05	2.3E-07	5.0E-09
	New York	7.5E-08	7.0E-06	3.5E-07	7.8E-09
	Ohio	2.0E-06	2.0E-05	2.7E-07	6.1E-09
	Oregon	1.4E-06	5.1E-06	4.2E-08	9.4E-10
	Pennsylvania	2.9E-06	1.4E-05	5.9E-08	1.3E-09
	Utah	1.0E-06	6.4E-06	2.9E-08	6.5E-10
	Washington	1.4E-07	1.3E-06	8.0E-08	1.8E-09
	Wyoming	1.5E-06	5.7E-06	5.6E-08	1.2E-09
SKULL VALLEY	Illinois	1.3E-06	1.0E-05	1.9E-07	4.3E-09
	Indiana	1.3E-06	1.1E-05	1.8E-07	4.1E-09
	Iowa	3.0E-06	1.1E-05	9.3E-08	2.1E-09
	Nebraska	3.2E-06	8.6E-06	1.4E-07	3.1E-09
	New Jersey	4.2E-07	1.1E-05	2.3E-07	5.0E-09
	New York	7.5E-08	7.0E-06	3.5E-07	7.8E-09
	Ohio	2.0E-06	2.0E-05	2.7E-07	6.1E-09
	Pennsylvania	2.9E-06	1.4E-05	5.9E-08	1.3E-09
	Utah	8.2E-07	7.4E-06	3.3E-07	7.3E-09
	Wyoming	1.5E-06	5.7E-06	5.6E-08	1.2E-09

Table II-15. Collective doses to residents along the route (person-Sv) from truck transportation (Truck-DU); shipment origin INL. [a]Route totals are in Chapter 2.

DESTINATION	ROUTES	Rural	Suburban	Urban	Urban Rush Hour
ORNL	Colorado	1.7E-06	7.4E-06	2.2E-07	4.9E-09
	Idaho	1.0E-06	4.3E-06	3.9E-08	7.7E-10
	Illinois	1.4E-06	9.7E-06	3.1E-08	7.2E-10
	Kansas	2.6E-06	1.1E-05	1.8E-07	4.0E-09
	Kentucky	9.2E-07	3.7E-06	2.9E-09	6.6E-11
	Missouri	1.9E-06	2.4E-05	5.0E-07	1.1E-08
	Tennessee	2.2E-06	1.4E-05	1.8E-07	4.1E-09
	Utah	1.1E-06	6.7E-06	2.9E-08	6.5E-10
	Wyoming	1.3E-06	4.0E-06	3.9E-08	8.8E-10
DEAF SMITH	Colorado	2.0E-06	2.5E-05	7.1E-07	1.6E-08
	Idaho	1.0E-06	4.3E-06	3.9E-08	8.7E-10
	New Mexico	1.9E-06	8.9E-06	3.0E-07	6.6E-09
	Texas	8.4E-08	1.5E-07		
	Utah	9.6E-07	6.1E-06	2.8E-08	5.9E-10
	Wyoming	1.3E-06	4.1E-06	4.1E-08	8.8E-10
HANFORD	Idaho	2.7E-06	1.4E-05	1.8E-07	4.0E-09
	Oregon	1.3E-06	4.7E-06	4.0E-08	9.0E-10
	Washington	1.4E-07	1.3E-06	8.0E-08	1.8E-09
SKULL VALLEY	Idaho	1.0E-06	4.3E-06	3.9E-08	8.7E-10
	Utah	9.6E-07	1.2E-05	3.9E-07	8.6E-09

[s] An empty cell indicates that there was no population on the route segment.

Table II-16. Collective doses to residents along the route (person-Sv) from truck transportation (Truck-DU); shipment origin Kewaunee. Route totals are in Chapter 2.

DESTINATION	ROUTES	Rural	Suburban	Urban	Urban Rush Hour
ORNL	Illinois	3.4E-07	1.6E-05	5.7E-07	1.3E-08
	Indiana	2.1E-06	1.9E-05	3.2E-07	7.2E-09
	Kentucky	1.9E-06	1.7E-05	2.1E-07	4.6E-09
	Ohio	9.5E-08	1.3E-06	1.7E-08	3.9E-10
	Tennessee	5.8E-07	9.9E-06	1.3E-07	2.5E-09
	Wisconsin	1.6E-06	1.2E-05	5.6E-07	1.2E-08
DEAF SMITH	Illinois	1.2E-06	5.2E-06	2.0E-08	4.6E-10
	Iowa	2.3E-06	1.2E-05	1.0E-07	2.2E-09
	Kansas	1.7E-06	1.1E-05	2.6E-07	5.7E-09
	Missouri	1.0E-06	9.7E-06	1.0E-07	1.8E-09
	Oklahoma	1.7E-06	9.6E-06	1.5E-07	3.3E-09
	Texas	6.3E-07	3.6E-06	1.0E-07	2.9E-09
	Wisconsin	2.0E-06	1.2E-05	4.4E-07	9.8E-09
HANFORD	Idaho	3.3E-07	6.6E-06	9.5E-08	1.9E-09
	Minnesota	2.7E-06	4.4E-06	2.1E-08	4.6E-10
	Montana	3.3E-06	1.3E-05	1.6E-07	3.5E-09
	South Dakota	2.3E-06	6.2E-06	4.9E-08	1.1E-09
	Washington	1.6E-06	1.5E-05	3.3E-07	7.3E-09
	Wisconsin	3.3E-06	1.8E-05	4.3E-07	9.6E-09
	Wyoming	8.9E-07	2.6E-06	3.5E-08	7.8E-10
SKULL VALLEY	Illinois	1.2E-06	5.2E-06	2.0E-08	4.6E-10
	Iowa	3.0E-06	1.1E-05	9.3E-08	2.1E-09
	Nebraska	3.2E-06	8.6E-06	1.4E-07	3.1E-09
	Utah	8.2E-07	7.4E-06	3.3E-07	6.6E-09
	Wisconsin	2.0E-06	1.2E-05	4.4E-07	9.8E-09
	Wyoming	1.5E-06	5.7E-06	5.6E-08	1.2E-09

Collective dose is best used in comparing the risks of routine transportation along different routes because the collective dose reflects differences in populations transited. All collective doses modeled are of the order of 10^{-5} person-Sv or less. The tables show that, in general, urban residents sustain a larger dose from rail transportation than from truck transportation on the same state route, even though urban population densities are similar; e.g., for the Maine urban segment of the Maine Yankee-to-ORNL route,

- the truck route urban population density is 2706 persons/km^2 and the collective dose is 1 x 10^{-7} person-Sv
- the rail route urban population density is 2527 persons/km^2, but the collective dose is 9.9 x 10^{-6} person-Sv from the Rail- Lead cask is almost 100 times larger than the dose from the Truck-DU cask, even though the external dose rates from the two casks are nearly the same.

Doses from rail transportation through urban areas are larger than those from truck transportation because train transportation was designed, and train tracks were laid, to go from city center to city center. Trucks carrying spent fuel, on the other hand, are required to use the interstate highway system, and to use bypasses around cities where such bypasses exist. In the example presented, the truck traverses 5 km of urban route while the train traverses 13 urban km. In addition, the average urban train speed is 24 km/hour (15mph) while the average urban truck speed is 102 km/hour (63.4 mph). A truck carrying a cask through an urban area at about four times the speed of a train carrying a similar cask will deliver ¼ the dose of the rail cask.

Suburban collective doses from truck casks are on the average 50 to 100 times urban collective doses because of two factors: shielding in urban areas is about 50 times as effective as shielding in suburban areas, and the total population transited in suburban areas is between 1.5 and 2 times the total population transited in urban areas. Urban route lengths are usually about 10 percent as long as suburban route lengths.

II.5.4.2 Doses to occupants of vehicles sharing the route

Rail

The dose to occupants of trains other than the train carrying the radioactive cargo is provided in Table II-17. The vehicle occupancies used to calculate the table, one person on rural and suburban segments, and five people on urban segments, have been used historically in RADTRAN since 1988. These do not include train crew. The occupancy is consistent with the following considerations:

- Freight trains carry a crew of three, but all but one or two of the 60 to 120 cars on a freight train are unoccupied.
- Urban track carries almost all passenger rail traffic.
- Dose is calculated for one cask on a train, and rail statistics are per railcar, not per train.

The net dose to occupants of other trains depends on train speed and the external dose rate from the spent fuel cask. Train speeds are available only for the entire U.S., not for each state. Therefore, the doses to occupants of trains that share the route with either a loaded Rail-Lead cask or a loaded Rail-Steel cask are shown in Table II-17 for rural, suburban, and urban segments of each entire route.

Table II-17. Collective doses (person-Sv) to occupants of trains sharing the route.

Comment [h13]: Example calculation

SHIPMENT ORIGIN	SHIPMENT DESTINATION	Rail- Lead Cask			Rail- Steel Cask		
		Rural	Suburban	Urban	Rural	Suburban	Urban
MAINE YANKEE	ORNL	2.8E-05	2.6E-05	2.1E-05	2.1E-05	2.0E-05	1.7E-05
	DEAF SMITH	5.4E-05	1.9E-05	2.7E-05	4.1E-05	1.4E-05	2.2E-05
	HANFORD	8.0E-05	2.3E-05	2.9E-05	6.1E-05	1.8E-05	2.4E-05
	SKULL VALLEY	6.9E-05	2.6E-05	2.3E-05	5.2E-05	2.0E-05	1.9E-05
KEWAUNEE	ORNL	1.9E-05	9.9E-06	1.6E-05	1.5E-05	7.5E-06	1.3E-05
	DEAF SMITH	3.4E-05	7.4E-06	1.4E-05	2.5E-05	5.6E-06	1.2E-05
	HANFORD	3.5E-05	9.5E-06	7.8E-06	2.7E-05	7.2E-06	6.4E-06
	SKULL VALLEY	5.0E-05	1.1E-05	1.6E-05	3.8E-05	8.4E-06	1.3E-05
INDIAN POINT	ORNL	1.3E-05	1.1E-05	2.7E-05	9.8E-06	8.7E-06	2.2E-05
	DEAF SMITH	5.1E-05	1.5E-05	2.6E-05	3.9E-05	1.2E-05	2.2E-05
	HANFORD	6.1E-05	2.0E-05	2.9E-05	4.6E-05	1.5E-05	2.4E-05
	SKULL VALLEY	6.2E-05	1.9E-05	5.3E-06	4.7E-05	1.4E-05	4.0E-06
INL	ORNL	6.5E-05	1.0E-05	9.6E-06	4.9E-05	7.6E-06	7.9E-06
	DEAF SMITH	3.9E-05	4.6E-06	5.2E-06	2.9E-05	3.5E-06	4.3E-06
	HANFORD	2.2E-05	2.5E-06	2.6E-06	1.6E-05	1.9E-06	2.1E-06
	SKULL VALLEY	7.8E-06	2.1E-06	3.3E-06	5.9E-06	1.6E-06	2.7E-06

Truck

Vehicle density data for large semi-detached trailer trucks traveling U.S. interstates and primary highways is available and well qualified. Every state records traffic counts on major (and most minor) highways, and publishes these routinely. Average vehicle density data from each of the 10 EPA regions was used (Weiner et al., 2009, Appendix D). The EPA regions were used because they include all of the "lower 48" U.S. states (Alaska and Hawaii are included in EPA Region 10 but are not considered in this risk assessment). Table II-18 shows the 10 EPA regions.

Comment [h14]: If they are included in EPA region 10 why are they not considered here?

Table II-18. States comprising the ten EPA regions

Region	States Included in Region
1	Connecticut, Massachusetts, Maine, New Hampshire, Rhode Island, Vermont
2	New Jersey, New York
3	Delaware. Maryland, Pennsylvania, Virginia, West Virginia
4	Alabama, Florida, Georgia, Kentucky, Mississippi, North Carolina, South Carolina, Tennessee
5	Illinois, Indiana, Michigan, Minnesota, Ohio, Wisconsin
6	Arkansas, Louisiana, New Mexico, Oklahoma, Texas
7	Iowa, Kansas, Missouri, Nebraska
8	Colorado, Montana, North Dakota, South Dakota, Utah, Wyoming
9	Arizona, California, Nevada
10	Idaho, Oregon , Washington

The calculation of doses to occupants sharing the highway route with the radioactive materials truck is complex in that vehicles traveling in both directions are considered (the equations that describe this calculation are Equations 28 and 34 of Neuhauser et al, 2000).

The dose received by people in a vehicle traveling in the opposite direction from the cask is

(II-4) — ——— dxdr

Comment [s15]: It would seem appropriate to discuss the "proportionality constant" K. This seems to be a rather arbitrary description – what is the value, why is it used, and how is it determined? Is this just a factor to handle unit transformation? (CParks)

Where K is a proportionality constant, DR_v is the vehicle dose rate, N is the vehicles per hour, PPV is the persons per vehicle, L is the distance the shipment travels, V is the vehicle speed, *x* is the distance between the lanes occupied by the vehicle, *r* is the distance to the receptor, and the integral is essentially the same as in Equation II-1. The equation for the dose received by people in a vehicle traveling in the same direction as the cask is the same as Equation II-4, except that the *x* term is missing from the integration.

Figure II-6 is the diagram accompanying these equations and shows the parameters used in the calculation. Parameter values are in Table II-1.

Comment [h16]: Figure II-9?



Figure II-9. Parameters for calculating doses to occupants of highway vehicles sharing the route with the radioactive shipment (From Figure 3-2 of Neuhauser, et al, 2000).

Tables II-19 to II-22 show the doses to individuals in vehicles sharing the highway route with the truck carrying a loaded Truck-DU cask.

Table II-19. Collective doses to persons sharing the route (person-Sv) from truck transportation (Truck-DU); shipment origin Maine Yankee.

Comment [h17]: Example calculation

DESTINATION	ROUTES	Rural	Suburban	Urban	Urban Rush Hour
ORNL	Connecticut	1.9E-06	9.1E-06	9.1E-06	8.5E-07
	Maine	2.9E-06	6.7E-06	1.1E-06	1.0E-07
	Maryland	1.3E-06	4.9E-06	9.0E-07	8.3E-08
	Massachusetts	1.7E-06	8.7E-06	3.4E-06	3.2E-07
	New Hampshire	3.7E-07	1.4E-06	1.9E-07	1.8E-08
	New Jersey	5.1E-06	1.2E-05	9.2E-06	8.5E-07
	New York	1.8E-07	2.1E-06	1.3E-05	1.2E-06
	Pennsylvania	3.0E-05	4.8E-05	7.0E-06	6.5E-07
	Tennessee	1.7E-05	3.2E-05	4.2E-06	3.9E-07
	Virginia	6.4E-05	9.3E-05	6.2E-06	5.7E-07
	West Virginia	2.8E-06	1.2E-05	4.5E-07	4.1E-08
DEAF SMITH	Connecticut	3.1E-05	2.1E-05	2.8E-06	2.6E-07
	Maine	2.0E-06	9.2E-06	9.2E-06	8.5E-07
	Maryland	2.9E-06	6.8E-06	7.3E-07	6.8E-08
	Massachusetts	1.3E-06	4.9E-06	9.0E-07	8.3E-08
	New Hampshire	4.2E-06	2.9E-05	8.7E-06	8.0E-06
	New Jersey	9.5E-07	4.8E-06	4.8E-07	4.4E-07
	New York	4.5E-06	1.6E-05	6.6E-06	6.1E-07
	Oklahoma	7.5E-07	6.8E-06	6.9E-06	6.4E-07
	Pennsylvania	4.2E-05	1.6E-05	2.8E-06	2.6E-07
	Tennessee	3.0E-05	4.8E-05	7.0E-06	6.5E-07
	Texas	7.8E-05	8.6E-05	2.0E-05	1.8E-06
	Virginia	2.2E-05	3.1E-06	2.4E-06	2.2E-07
	West Virginia	6.4E-05	9.3E-05	6.2E-06	5.7E-07

Table II-19. Collective doses to persons sharing the route (person-Sv) from truck transportation (Truck-DU); shipment origin Maine Yankee,-- continued.

Deleted:

DESTINATION	ROUTES	Rural	Suburban	Urban	Urban Rush Hour
HANFORD	Connecticut	1.7E-06	8.0E-06	5.1E-06	4.7E-07
	Idaho	4.4E-05	2.3E-05	4.6E-06	4.2E-07
	Illinois	2.4E-05	2.0E-05	5.0E-06	4.6E-07
	Indiana	1.8E-05	2.6E-05	4.6E-06	4.3E-07
	iowa	4.0E-05	1.7E-05	1.4E-06	1.3E-07
	Maine	2.9E-06	6.8E-06	7.3E-07	6.8E-08
	Massachusetts	1.7E-06	8.7E-06	3.4E-06	3.2E-07
	Nebraska	6.7E-05	1.3E-05	1.9E-06	1.8E-07
	New Hampshire	3.7E-07	1.4E-06	1.9E-07	1.8E-08
	New York	2.5E-06	4.6E-06	1.1E-06	9.9E-08
	Ohio	8.7E-05	6.9E-05	4.0E-06	3.7E-07
	Oregon	3.7E-05	9.5E-06	1.4E-06	1.3E-07
	Pennsylvania	8.7E-05	6.9E-05	4.0E-06	3.7E-07
	Utah	1.6E-05	1.1E-05	6.2E-07	5.7E-08
	Washington	7.6E-06	2.1E-06	2.6E-06	2.4E-07
	Wyoming	7.5E-05	1.0E-05	2.1E-06	2.0E-07
SKULL VALLEY	Connecticut	1.7E-06	8.0E-06	5.1E-06	4.7E-07
	Illinois	2.4E-05	2.0E-05	5.0E-06	4.6E-07
	Indiana	1.8E-05	2.6E-05	4.6E-06	4.3E-07
	Iowa	4.0E-05	1.7E-05	1.4E-06	1.3E-07
	Maine	2.9E-06	6.8E-06	7.3E-07	6.8E-08
	Massachusetts	1.7E-06	8.7E-06	3.4E-06	3.2E-07
	Nebraska	6.7E-05	1.3E-05	1.9E-06	1.8E-07
	New Hampshire	9.5E-07	4.8E-06	4.8E-07	4.4E-07
	New York	5.8E-06	1.3E-05	2.1E-06	1.9E-07
	Ohio	8.7E-05	6.9E-05	4.0E-06	3.7E-07
	Pennsylvamia	8.7E-05	6.9E-05	4.0E-06	3.7E-07
	Utah	1.8E-05	8.1E-06	6.1E-06	5.6E-07
	Wyoming	7.5E-05	1.0E-05	2.1E-06	2.0E-07

Table II-20. Collective doses to persons sharing the route (person-Sv) from truck transportation (Truck-DU); shipment origin Indian Point.

DESTINATION	ROUTES	Rural	Suburban	Urban	Urban Rush Hour
ORNL	Maryland	1.3E-06	4.9E-06	9.0E-07	8.3E-08
	New Jersey	4.5E-06	1.6E-05	6.6E-06	6.1E-07
	New York	1.3E-06	6.5E-06	7.6E-06	7.0E-07
	Pennsylvania	3.0E-05	4.8E-05	7.0E-06	6.5E-07
	Tennessee	1.7E-05	3.4E-05	3.8E-06	3.5E-07
	Virginia	6.4E-05	9.3E-05	6.2E-06	5.7E-07
	West Virginia	6.4E-05	1.2E-05	4.5E-07	4.1E-08
DEAF SMITH	Arkansas	3.1E-05	2.1E-05	2.8E-06	2.6E-07
	Maryland	1.3E-06	4.9E-06	9.0E-07	8.3E-08
	New Jersey	4.5E-06	1.6E-05	6.6E-06	6.1E-07
	New York	1.3E-06	6.5E-06	7.6E-06	7.0E-07
	Oklahoma	4.2E-05	1.6E-05	2.8E-06	2.6E-07
	Pennsylvania	3.0E-05	4.8E-05	7.0E-06	6.5E-07
	Texas	7.8E-05	8.6E-05	2.0E-05	1.8E-06
	Virginia	2.2E-05	3.1E-06	2.4E-06	2.2E-07
	West Virginia	6.4E-05	9.3E-05	6.2E-06	5.7E-07
HANFORD	Idaho	2.8E-06	1.2E-05	4.5E-07	4.1E-08
	Illinois	4.4E-05	2.3E-05	4.6E-06	4.2E-07
	Indiana	2.4E-05	2.0E-05	5.0E-06	4.6E-07
	Iowa	1.8E-05	2.6E-05	4.6E-06	4.3E-07
	Nebraska	4.0E-05	1.7E-05	1.4E-06	1.3E-07
	New Jersey	6.7E-05	1.3E-05	1.9E-06	1.8E-07
	New York	4.8E-06	1.3E-05	5.6E-06	5.2E-07
	Ohio	1.3E-06	6.5E-06	7.6E-06	7.0E-07
	Oregon	1.5E-06	7.6E-06	8.1E-06	7.4E-07
	Pennsylvania	3.7E-05	9.5E-06	1.4E-06	1.3E-07
	Utah	8.0E-05	5.7E-05	2.2E-06	2.0E-07
	Washington	1.6E-05	1.1E-05	6.2E-07	5.7E-08
	Wyoming	7.6E-06	2.1E-06	2.6E-06	2.4E-07
SKULL VALLEY	Illinois	7.5E-05	1.0E-05	2.1E-06	2.0E-07
	Indiana	2.4E-05	2.0E-05	5.0E-06	4.6E-07
	Iowa	1.8E-05	2.6E-05	4.6E-06	4.3E-07
	Nebraska	4.0E-05	1.7E-05	1.4E-06	1.3E-07
	New Jersey	6.7E-05	1.3E-05	1.9E-06	1.8E-07
	New York	5.6E-06	1.5E-05	5.9E-06	5.5E-07
	Ohio	1.5E-06	7.6E-06	8.1E-06	7.4E-07
	Pennsylvania	2.8E-05	4.1E-05	7.3E-06	6.7E-07
	Utah	8.0E-05	5.7E-05	2.2E-06	2.0E-07
	Wyoming	1.7E-05	8.1E-06	6.1E-06	5.6E-07

Table II-21. Collective doses to persons sharing the route (person-Sv) from truck transportation (Truck-DU); shipment origin INL[a]

DESTINATION	ROUTES	Rural	Suburban	Urban	Urban Rush Hour
ORNL	Colorado	3.1E-05	1.1E-05	4.0E-06	3.7E-07
	Idaho	2.2E-05	8.0E-06	1.3E-06	1.2E-07
	Illinois	2.5E-05	2.4E-05	1.1E-06	1.0E-07
	Kansas	6.2E-05	1.4E-05	2.7E-06	2.5E-07
	Kentucky	1.8E-05	1.1E-05	1.2E-07	1.2E-08
	Missouri	2.5E-05	2.3E-05	7.2E-06	6.7E-07
	Tennessee	3.3E-05	3.5E-05	5.2E-06	4.8E-07
	Utah	1.3E-05	1.1E-05	6.2E-07	5.7E-08
	Wyoming	7.0E-05	7.6E-06	1.5E-06	1.4E-07
DEAF SMITH	Colorado	3.9E-05	3.6E-05	1.9E-05	1.8E-05
	Idaho	2.2E-05	8.0E-06	1.3E-06	1.2E-07
	New Mexico	6.4E-05	9.8E-06	4.8E-06	4.4E-07
	Texas	7.7E-06	1.7E-07		
	Utah	1.3E-05	1.1E-05	6.2E-07	5.7E-08
	Wyoming	7.0E-05	7.6E-06	1.5E-06	1.4E-07
HANFORD	Idaho	5.5E-05	6.3E-05	5.4E-06	5.0E-07
	Oregon	3.7E-05	2.0E-05	1.4E-06	1.31E-07
	Washington	7.6E-06	2.1E-06	2.6E-06	2.4E-07
SKULL VALLEY	Idaho	2.2E-05	8.0E-06	1.3E-06	1.2E-07
	Utah	1.5E-05	1.5E-05	7.2E-06	6.6E-07

[a]An empty cell indicates that there was no population on the route segment.

Table II-22. Collective doses to persons sharing the route (person-Sv) from truck transportation (Truck-DU); shipment origin Kewaunee.

DESTINATION	ROUTES	Rural	Suburban	Urban	Urban
ORNL	Illinois	3.7E-06	2.0E-05	1.4E-05	1.3E-06
	Indiana	3.3E-05	3.8E-05	8.3E-06	7.7E-07
	Kentucky	2.7E-05	4.3E-05	7.2E-06	6.7E-07
	Ohio	1.4E-06	2.5E-06	5.4E-07	5.0E-08
	Tennessee	1.1E-05	1.8E-05	4.4E-06	4.1E-07
	Wisconsin	2.0E-05	2.1E-05	1.3E-05	1.2E-06
DEAF SMITH	Illinois	2.0E-05	1.2E-05	5.9E-07	5.4E-08
	Iowa	3.2E-05	1.6E-05	1.6E-06	1.4E-07
	Kansas	2.9E-05	1.2E-05	3.5E-06	3.2E-07
	Missouri	1.4E-05	1.1E-05	1.3E-06	1.2E-07
	Oklahoma	3.4E-05	1.1E-05	2.8E-06	2.6E-07
	Texas	2.2E-05	3.1E-06	2.4E-06	2.2E-07
	Wisconsin	2.5E-05	2.3E-05	9.8E-06	9.0E-07
HANFORD	Idaho	9.3E-06	1.1E-05	3.0E-06	2.8E-07
	Minnesota	5.2E-05	1.3E-05	5.4E-07	5.0E-08
	Montana	9.6E-05	3.0E-05	5.4E-06	5.0E-07
	South Dakota	5.3E-05	1.2E-05	1.0E-06	9.5E-08
	Washington	4.6E-05	3.0E-05	1.1E-05	1.0E-06
	Wisconsin	4.6E-05	4.0E-05	9.9E-06	9.2E-07
	Wyoming	4.0E-05	4.1E-06	1.4E-06	1.3E-07
SKULL VALLEY	Illinois	2.0E-05	1.2E-05	5.9E-07	5.4E-08
	Iowa	4.0E-05	1.7E-05	1.4E-06	1.3E-07
	Nebraska	6.7E-05	1.3E-05	1.9E-06	1.8E-07
	Utah	2.4E-05	1.0E-05	8.8E-06	8.1E-06
	Wisconsin	2.5E-05	2.3E-05	9.8E-06	9.0E-07
	Wyoming	7.5E-05	1.0E-05	2.1E-06	2.0E-07

II.5.4.3 Doses from stopped vehicles

Rail

Trains are stopped in classification yards at the origin and destination of the trip. The usual length of these classification stops is 27 hours. The collective dose to the railyard workers at these classification stops from the radioactive cargo is calculated internally by RADTRAN and is based on calculations of Wooden (1986) which authors of this document have verified. This "classification yard dose" for the two rail casks studied is:

Comment [h18]: Reference?

- For the Rail- Lead: 1.5 x 10^{-5} person-Sv
- For the Rail- Steel: 1.1 x 10^{-5} person-Sv

Comment [h19]: Is this too extensive to also include? If so, the reference to Wooden is sufficient.

- These collective doses include doses to the train crew while the train is in the yard.

The collective dose to people living near a classification yard is calculated by multiplying the average dose from the rail cask to an individual living near a classification yard, as shown in Table II-7, by the population density between 200 and 800 meters from the rail yard. The population density is obtained from WebTRAGIS, and the integration from 200 to 800 meters (Table II-2) is performed by RADTRAN.

Most train stops along any route are shown in the WebTRAGIS output for that route. The stops on the rail route from Maine Yankee to Hanford are shown in Table II-23 as an example.

Table II-23. Example of rail stops on the Maine Yankee-to-Hanford rail route

Stop	Reason	Route type[a] and State	Time (hours)
1	Railroad transfer (short line to ST)	Suburban, ME	4.0
2	Railroad transfer (ST to CSXT)	Rural, NY	4.0
3	Railroad transfer (CSXT to IMB)	Suburban, IL	4.0
4	Railroad transfer (IMB to BNSF)	Suburban, IL	<5 minutes
5	Railroad transfer (BNSF to UP)	Suburban, WA	<5 minutes

[a]Determined by the user from the WebTRAGIS output

Railyard worker collective doses can then be calculated for Stops 1, 2, and 3 in Table II-23. Parameter values are from Table II-23 and the associated text.

Dose: (4/27)*(1.5 x 10^{-5}) = 2.2 x 10^{-6} person- Sv for the Rail-Lead cask.

Comment [h20]: Excellent. This is the kind of example calculation that allows someone to reproduce the rest of the results.

Dose: (4/27)*(1.1 x10^{-5}) = 1.6 x 10^{-6} person-Sv for the Rail-Steel cask.

The factor of 4/27 is in the equation because the classification stop doses are calculated by RADTRAN for activities lasting a total of 27 hours, and the in-transit stops are for only four hours.

The average dose to an individual living 200 to 800 meters from a classification yard, as calculated by RADTRAN, is

- 3.5 x 10^{-7} Sv from the Rail-Lead cask.
- 2.7 x 10^{-7} Sv from the Rail-Steel cask.

Collective doses to residents near a yard (a classification yard or railroad stop) are then calculated using Equation II-5 :

(II-5) Dose (person-Sv) = (Population density)*(Dose/hr to resident near yard)*(Stop time)

Thus, for a rural population density of 13.2 persons/km^2 (the average along the Maine Yankee-to-Hanford route) living near Stop 1 in Table II-23,

Dose = (13.2 persons/km^2)*(3.5 x 10^{-7} Sv-km^2/hour)*(4 hours) = 1.9 x 10^{-5} person-Sv.

Comment [h21]: Why does this value not show up in Table II-24?

Results for the stops are in Table II-24.

Table II-24. Doses at rail stops on the Maine Yankee-to-Hanford rail route

Stop	Route type[a] (and Stateand StateState[a]	Time (hours)	Railyard worker dose (person-Sv)		Residents near stop (person-Sv)	
			Rail-Lead	Rail-Steel	Rail- Lead	Rail-Steel
1	Suburban, ME	4.0	2.16E-06	1.61E-06	3.42E-06	2.59E-06
2	Rural, NY	4.0	2.16E-06	1.61E-06	9.15E-07	6.94E-07
3	Suburban, IL	2.0	1.08E-06	8.05E-07	1.24E-05	9.37E-06

[a]Determined by the user from the WebTRAGIS output

Truck

Doses at truck stops are calculated differently from doses at rail stops. There are two types of receptors at a truck stop, in addition to the truck crew: residents who live near the stop and people who share the stop with the refueling truck (no members of the public share rail stops with the train carrying the cask). Griego, et al (1996) conducted some time and motion studies at a number of truck stops. They found that, while a large semi-detached trailer was refueling, the average number of people at the stop between the gas pumps and the nearest building was 6.9, the average distance from the fuel pump to the nearest building was 15 meters, and the longest refueling time for a large semi was 0.83 hour (50 minutes). With these parameters, the collective dose to the people sharing the stop would be 2.3×10^{-4} person-Sv (Table II-8).

The collective dose to residents near the stop is calculated using Equation II-6 with the data in Table II-8, the population density of the region around the stop, and the stop time.

(II-6) Dose (person-Sv) = (Population density)*(Dose/hr to resident near stop)*(Stop time)

Thus, for a rural population density of 15.1 persons/km^2 (the average along the Maine Yankee-to-Hanford route):

Dose = (15.1 persons/km^2)*(3.3×10^{-6} Sv-km^2/hour)*(0.83 hours) = 4.1×10^{-5} person-Sv.

Comment [h22]: Should this value show up in Table II-25 for the Maine Yankee to Hanford rural route?

The population density used in the calculation is the density around the truck stop; appropriate residential shielding factors (Table II-3) are used in the calculation. Unlike a train, the truck will stop several times on any truck route to fill the fuel tanks. Very large trucks generally carry two 80-gallon tanks each and stop for fuel when the tanks are half empty. A semi carrying a Truck-DU cask can travel an average of 845 km (DOE, 2002) before needing to refuel. The number of refueling (and rest) stops depends on the length of each type of route segment. The following equations are used in this calculation

(II-7) Route segment length (km)/(845 km/stop) = stops/route segment

(II-8) Dose (person-Sv) = (population/km^2)*(dose to resident near stop(Sv-km^2/hr))*

(stops/route segment)*(hours/stop)

Table II-25 shows the collective doses to residents near stops for the rural and suburban segments of the 16 routes in Table II-4. Trucks carrying Truck-DU casks of spent fuel are unlikely to stop in urban areas.

Table II-25. Collective doses to residents near truck stops.[a,b]

Comment [h23]: Example calculation – what was provided in the paragraph above would suffice if an example is used that results in the values reproduced in this table.

Origin	Route	Type	Persons/ km²	Average number of stops	Person-Sv
Maine Yankee	ORNL	Rural	19.9	1.73	1.1E-06
		Suburban	395	2.09	2.3E-05
	Deaf Smith	Rural	18.6	2.47	1.5E-06
		Suburban	371	1.6	1.7E-05
	Hanford	Rural	15.4	4.33	2.2E-06
		Suburban	325	1.5	1.4E-05
	Skull Valley	Rural	16.9	3.5	1.9E-06
		Suburban	332.5	1.3	1.2E-05
Kewaunee	ORNL	Rural	19.8	0.81	5.2E-07
		Suburban	361	0.59	
	Deaf Smith	Rural	13.5	2.0	6.0E-06
		Suburban	339	0.52	8.6E-07
	Hanford	Rural	10.5	3.4	5.0E-06
		Suburban	316	0.60	1.2E-06
	Skull Valley	Rural	12.5	2.6	5.4E-06
		Suburban	324.5	0.44	1.1E-06
Indian Point	ORNL	Rural	20.5	0.71	4.1E-06
		Suburban	388	0.71	4.7E-07
	Deaf Smith	Rural	17.1	2.3	7.8E-06
		Suburban	370	1.2	1.3E-06
	Hanford	Of stops	13.0	4.1	1.3E-06
		Suburban	338	1.1	1.8E-06
	Skull Valley	Rural	14.2	3.3	1.1E-05
		Suburban	351	0.93	1.5E-06
INL	ORNL	Rural	12.4	3.1	9.3E-06
		Suburban	304	0.72	1.3E-06
	Deaf Smith	Rural	7.8	2.3	6.3E-06
		Suburban	339	0.35	5.8E-07
	Hanford	Rural	6.5	0.43	3.4E-06
		Suburban	200	0.57	9.0E-08
	Skull Valley	Rural	10.1	0.42	3.2E-06
		Suburban	343	0.11	1.4E-07

[a]An empty cell indicates that there was no population on the route segment.
[b]The number of stops on a route segment is calculated by dividing the kilometers of the route segment by 845 km and may be a fraction.

The rural and suburban population densities in Table II-25 are the averages for the entire route. An analogous calculation can be made for each state traversed. However, in neither case can one determine beforehand exactly where the truck will stop to refuel. A truck stop, which could be in either a rural or a suburban area. In some cases (e.g., INL to Skull Valley) the truck may not stop at all; the total distance from INL to the Skull Valley site is only 466.2 km.

Comment [h24]: fragment

II.5.4.4 Occupational Doses

Occupational doses from routine, incident-free radioactive materials transportation include doses to truck and train crew, railyard workers, inspectors, and escorts. Workers who handle spent fuel containers in storage, loading and unloading casks from vehicles or during intermodal transfer are not addressed in this analysis. Truck refueling stops in the U.S. no longer have attendants who refuel trucks.[5] Gas station and truck stop workers are in concrete or brick buildings and would be shielded from the radiation with the same shielding as in urban housing (98% shielded, since the shielding factor for urban buildings is 0.018, as shown in Table II-3).

Table II-26 summarizes the occupational doses.

Table II-26. Occupational doses from routine incident-free transportation.

Cask and route type	Train crew : 3 people; person-Sv	Truck crew 2 people; person-Sv	Escort: Sv/hour	Inspector: Sv/hour per inspection	Truck stop worker: Sv per shipment	Rail classification yard workers: person-Sv
Rail-Lead rural/suburban	5.4E-09	NA	5.8E-06	NA	NA	1.5E-05
Rail-Lead urban	9.1E-08	NA	5.8E-06	NA	NA	NA
Rail- Steel rural/suburban	4.1E-09	NA	4.4E-06	NA	NA	1.1E-05
Rail- Steel urban	6.8E-09	NA	4.4E-06	NA	NA	NA
TRUCK - DU rural/suburban	NA	3.8E-09	3.2E-09	3.2E-09	2.0E-09	NA
TRUCK - DU urban	NA	3.6E-09	3.2E-09	NA	NA	NA

NA = not applicable.

Deleted:

Deleted:

Deleted:

Deleted:

Comment [h25]: Why are these NA? Are there no inspections or truck stops considered in urban areas?

II.6 Interpretation of Collective Dose

Collective dose is essentially the product of an average radiation dose and the number of people who receive that average dose. Together with the linear non-threshold theory (BEIR VII, 2006, p.16), collective dose provides a method to estimate the number of "health effects," cancer in particular,

[5] The State of Oregon still requires gas station attendants to refuel cars and light duty vehicles, but heavy truck crews do their own refueling.

that will occur in a group of people. The following example – a state suburban segment on a particular route – is typical of all routes in all states; only the specific numbers change.

The following parameters characterize a particular segment of the Maine Yankee-to-Hanford truck route, the suburban segment through Illinois:

Comment [h26]: NOTE: Good example included here.

- Route segment length: 73 km (from WebTRAGIS output)
- Suburban population density: 324 persons/km^2 (from WebTRAGIS output)
- Area occupied by population along the route segment: 0.800 km x 2 x 73 km = 116.8 km^2
- Total suburban population exposed to the shipment = 37,800 people
- From Table II-13, the collective radiation dose to that population, from routine, incident-free transportation, is 1.0 x 10^{-5} person-Sv.
- U.S. background is 0.0036 Sv per year or 4.1 x 10^{-7} Sv per hour. At an average speed of 108 kph, the population is exposed for 0.675 hour.

The background dose sustained by each member of this population is 2.8 x 10^{-7} Sv for a total collective dose of 0.11 person-Sv. The total collective dose is thus 0.11001 person-Sv with the shipment , and 0.11 person-Sv without the shipment. Estimates of the collective radiation risk from shipments of spent fuel are only meaningful when compared to the collective risk to the particular population when there is no shipment.

Comment [h27]: 37800 people x 2.8e-7 = .0105 person-Sv as opposed to .11 person-Sv. Did I do this wrong?

II.7 Observations

- Radiation doses from a routine, incident-free shipment of spent nuclear fuel to the maximally exposed individual is negligible: less than 10^{-8} Sv.
- Average radiation doses from a routine, incident-free shipment of spent nuclear fuel are less than 10^{-9} Sv.
- The largest average dose to a member of the public from a routine, incident-free shipment of spent nuclear fuel could be sustained by a person at a truck stop when the truck carrying the cask is refueling. That dose would be about 10^{-5} Sv.
- Collective doses to residents along the route are of the order of 10^{-5} person-Sv or less on any rural, suburban, or urban route segment modeled.
- Doses from rail transportation through urban areas are larger than those from truck transportation because train transportation was designed, and train tracks were laid, to go from city center to city center.
- The collective dose from an incident-free shipment of spent nuclear fuel to residents along a route or to occupants of vehicles that share the route is negligible compared to the collective background dose, and cannot be distinguished from background. The collective dose from 100 such shipments would be less than one percent of the collective background dose.

Comment [h28]: Why would this be greater than the in-transit dose for people driving near the transport for the length of a segment (I think that was the conservative assumption)?